MICROCOPY BOOK TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

ROLL

Microfilm Publication M887

RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES

NUERNBERG WAR CRIMES TRIALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. KARL BRANDT ET AL. (CASE I)

NOVEMBER 21, 1946-AUGUST 20, 1947

RoII 7

Transcript Volumes (English Version)

Volumes 16-18 Apr. 9-30, 1947



THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS SERVICE GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

WASHINGTON: 1974

INTRODUCTION

On the 46 rolls of this microfilm publication are reproduced the records of Gase I (United States of America v. Karl Brandt et al., or the "Medical" Case), I of the 12 trials of war criminals conducted by the U.S. Government from 1946 to 1949 at Nuernberg subsequent to the International Military Tribunal held in the same city. These records consist of German- and English-language versions of official transcripts of court proceedings, prosecution and defense briefs, and final pleas of the defendants as well as prosecution and defense exhibits and document books in one language or the other. Also included in this publication are a minute book, the official court file, order and judgment books, clemency petitions, and finding mids to the documents.

The transcripts of this trial, assembled in 2 sets of 30 bound volumes (I set in German and I in English), are the recorded daily trial proceedings. The prosecution and defense briefs and answers are also in both languages but unbound, as are the final pleas of the defendants delivered by counsel or defendants and submitted by the attorneys to the court. The unbound prosecution exhibits, numbered 1-570, are essentially those documents from various Nuernberg record series offered in evidence by the prosecution in this case. The defense exhibits, also unbound, are predominantly affidavits by various persons. They are arranged by name of defendant and thereunder numerically. Both prosecution document books and defense document books consist of full or partial translations of exhibits into the English Loosely bound in folders, they provide an indication language. of the order in which the exhibits were presented before the tribunal.

The minute book, in one bound volume, is a summary of the transcripts. The official court file, in four bound volumes, includes the progress docket, the indictment, amended indictment, and the service thereof; appointments and applications of defense counsel and defense witnesses and prosecution comments thereto; defendants applications for documents; motions; uniform rules of procedures; and appendixes. The order and judgment books, in two bound volumes, represent the signed orders, judgments, and opinions of the tribunal as well as sentences and commitment papers. Clemency petitions of the defendants, in five bound volumes, were directed to the military governor, the Judge Advocate General, the U.S. district court, the Secretary of Defense, and the Supreme Court of the United States. The finding aids summarize transcripts, exhibits, and the official court file.

Case I was heard by U.S. Military Tribunal I from November 21, 1946, to August 20, 1947. The records of this case, as the

records of the other Nuernberg and Far East (IMTFE) war crimes trials, are part of the National Archives Collection of World War II War Crimes Records, Record Group 238.

The Brandt case was 1 of 12 separate proceedings held before several U.S. Military Tribunals at Nuernberg in the U.S. Zone of Occupation in Germany against officials or citizens of the Third Reich, as follows:

Case No.	United States v.	Popular Name	No. of Defendants
1	Karl Brandt et al.	Medical Case	23
1 2	Erhard Milah	Milch Case (Luftwaffe)	1
3	Josef Altetoetter et al.	Justice Case	16
4	Dawald Pohl at al.	Pohl Case (SS)	18
4 5	Friedrich Flick et al.	Flick Case (Industrialist)	6
6	Carl Erowh et al.	I. G. Farben Case (Industrialist)	24
7 8	Wilhelm List et al.		12
	Virich Greifelt et al.	RuSHA Case (SS)	-14
9	Otto Ohlendorf et al.	Einsatzgruppen Case (SS)	2.4
10	Alfried Krupp	Krupp Case (Industrialist)	12
11	Ernst von Weimsgecker et al.	Ministries Case	21
12	Wilhelm von Leeb et al.	High Command Case	14

Authority for the proceedings of the International Military Tribunal against the major Nazi war criminals derived from the Declaration on German Atrocities (Moscow Declaration) released November 1, 1943, Executive Order 9547 of May 2, 1945, the London Agreement of August 8, 1945, the Berlin Protocol of October 6, 1945, and the Charter of the International Military Tribunal.

Authority for the 12 subsequent cases stemmed mainly from Control Council Law 10 of December 20, 1945, and was reinforced by Executive Order 9679 of January 16, 1946; U.S. Military Government Ordinances Nos. 7 and 11 of October 18, 1946, and February 17, 1947, respectively; and U.S. Forces, European Theater General Order 301 of October 24, 1946. The procedures applied by U.S. Military Tribunals in the subsequent proceedings were patterned after those of the International Military Tribunal and further developed in the 12 cases, which required over 1,200 days of court sessions and generated more than 330,000 transcript pages.

The crimes charged in the Brandt case consisted largely of medical experiments performed on defenseless concentration camp inmates against their will; "euthanasia" carried out on the mentally defective, the physically sick, the aged, and ethnic and racial groups; and the murder of concentration camp inmates for the express purpose of collecting skulis and skeletons for the Anatomical Institute of the Reich University of Strassburg. The following medical experiments were conducted:

- High altitude: to investigate effects of low pressure on persons,
- Freezing: to test human resistance to extenely low temperatures.
- Malaria: to develop controls over the recurring nature of the disease.
- Mustard gas: part of a general research program in gas warfare.
- Sulfamilamide: to test the efficacy of the drug in bone muscle and nerve regeneration and bone transplantation.
- 6. Seawater: to test methods of rendering seawater potable.
- Epidemic jaundice: to develop an antitoxin against the disease.
- 8. Sterilization: to test techniques for preventing further propagation of the mentally and physically defective.
- 9. Typhus: to investigate the value of various vaccines.
- 10. Poison: to test the efficacy of certain poisons.
- Incendiary bomb: to find better treatment for phosphorus burns.

The prosecution alleged and the judgment confirmed that these experiments were not isolated acts of individual doctors and scientists on their own responsibility but that they were the result of high-level policy and planning. They were carried out with particular brutality, often disregarding all established medical practice. Consequently, large numbers of the victims died in the course of or as a result of the experiments.

The euthanasia program was the direct result of a directive by Hitler of September 1, 1939. It resulted in the secret killing not only of aged, insane, incurably ill, and deformed German citizens in sanatoriums in Germany but also in the clandestine murder of foreign workers. The killing in gas chambers and by injections in the sanatoriums served as a proving ground for these forerunners of much larger installations in the mass extermination camps.

In addition to these experiments, over 100 concentration camp inmates were killed for the purpose of obtaining their skeletons. Their ghastly remains were found in Strassburg by Allied troops.

The transcripts of the Brandt case include the indictments of the following 23 persons all of whom were physicians except defendants Rudolf Brandt, Viktor Brack, and Wolfram Sievers:

Karl Brandt: Personal physician to Adolf Hitler, Gruppenfuchrer in the SS and Generalleutnant (Major General) in the Waffen SS, Reichskommissar fuer Sanitaets- und Gesundheitswesen (Reich Commissioner for Health and Sanitation), and member of the Reichsforschungsrat (Reich Research Council).

Kurt Blome: Deputy [of the] Reichsgesundheitsfuehrer (Reich Health Leader) and Plenipotentiary for Cancer Research in the Reich Research Council.

Rudolf Brandt: Standartenfuehrer (Colonel) in the Allgemeine SS, Persoenlicher Referent von Himmler (Personal Administrative Officer to Reichsfuehrer SS Himmler), and Ministerial Counselor and Chief of the Ministerial Office in the Reich Ministry of the Interior.

Joachim Mrugowsky: Oberfuehrer (Senior Colonel) in the Waffen SS, Oberster Hygieniker, Reichsarzt SS und Polizei (Chief Hygienist of the Reich Physician SS and Police), and Chef des Hygienischen Institutes der Waffen SS (Chief of the Hygienic Institute of the Waffen SS).

Helmut Poppendick: Oberfuehrer in the SS and Chef des Persoenlichen Stabes des Reichsarztes SS und Polizei (Chief of the Personal Staff of the Reich Physician SS and Police).

Wolfram Sievers: Standartenfuehrer in the SS, Reich Manager of the "Ahnenerbe" Society and Director of its Institut fuer Wehrwissenschaftliche Zweckforschung (Institute for Military Scientific Research), and Deputy Chairman of the Managing Board of Directors of the Reich Research Council.

Warl Genzken: Gruppenfuehrer in the SS and Generalleutnant in the Waffen SS and Chef des Sanitaetsamts der Waffen SS (Chief of the Medical Department of the Waffen SS).

Karl Gebhardt: Gruppenfuehrer in the SS and Generalleutnant in the Waffen SS, personal physician to Reichsfuehrer SS Himmler, Oberster Kliniker, Reichsarzt SS und Polizei (Chief Surgeon of the Staff of the Reich Physician SS and Police), and President of the German Red Cross.

Viktor Brack: Oberfuehrer in the SS and Sturmbannfuehrer (Major) in the Waffen SS and Oberdienstleiter, Kanzlei des Fuehrers der NSDAP (Chief Administrative Officer in the Chancellery of the Fuehrer to the NSDAP).

Waldemar Hoven: Hauptsturmfuehrer (Captain) in the Waffen SS and Chief Physician of the Buchenwald Concentration Camp.

Herta Oberheuser: Physician at the Ravensbrueck Concentration Camp and assistant physician to the defendant Gebhardt at the hospital at Hohenlychen.

Fritz Fischer: Sturmbunnfuehrer in the Waffen SS and assistant physician to the defendant Gebhardt at the hospital at Hohenlychen.

Siegfried Handloser: Generaloberstabsarzt (Lieutenant General, Medical Service), Heeressanitaetsinspekteur (Medical Inspector of the Army), and Chef des Wehrmachtsanitaetswesens (Chief of the Medical Services of the Armed Forces).

Paul Rostock: Chief Surgeon of the Surgical Clinic in Berlin, Surgical Adviser to the Army, and Amtschef der Dienststelle Medizinische Wissenschaft und Forschung (Chief of the Office for Medical Science and Research) under the defendant Karl Brandt, Reich Commissioner for Health and Sanitation.

Oskar Schroeder: Generaloberstabsarzt; Chef des Stabes, Inspekteur des Luftwaffe-Sanitaetswesens (Chief of Staff of the Inspectorate of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe); and Chef des Sanitaetswesens der Luftwaffe (Chief of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe).

Hermann Becker-Freyseng: Stabsarzt in the Luftwaffe (Captain, Medical Service of the Air Force) and Chief of the Department for Aviation Medicine of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe.

Georg August Weltz: Oberfeldarzt in the Luftwaffe (Lieutenant Colonel, Medical Service of the Air Force) and Chief of the Institut fuer Luftfahrtmedizin (Institute for Aviation Medicine) in Munich.

Wilhelm Beiglboeck: Consulting physician to the Luftwaffe.

Gerhard Rose: Generalarit of the Luftwaffe (Brigadier General, Medical Service of the Air Force); Vice President, Chief of the Department for Tropical Medicine, and Professor of the Robert Koch Institute; and Hygienic Adviser for Tropical Medicine to the Chief of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe.

Siegfried Ruff: Director of the Department for Aviation Medicine at the Deutsche Versuchsenstalt fuer Luftfahrt (German Experimental Institute for Aviation).

Hans Wolfgang Romberg: Physician on the staff of the Department for Aviation Medicine at the German Experimental Institute for Aviation.

Konrad Schaefer: Physician on the staff of the Institute for Aviation Medicine in Berlin.

Adolf Pokorny: 'Physician, specialist in skin and venereal diseases.

The indictment consisted of four counts. Count one charged participation in a common design or conspiracy to commit war crimes or crimes against humanity. The ruling of the tribunal disregarded this count, hence no defendant was found guilty of the crime charged in count one. Count two was concerned with war crimes and count three, with crimes against humanity. Fifteen defendants were found guilty, and eight were acquitted on these two counts. Ten defendants were charged under count four with membership in a criminal organization and were found guilty.

The transcripts also contain the arraignment and plea of each defendant (all pleaded not guilty), opening and closing statements of defense and prosecution, and the judgment and sentences, which acquitted 7 of the 23 defendants (Blome, Pokorny, Romberg, Rostock, Ruff, Schaefer, and Weltz). Death sentences were imposed on defendants Brack, Karl Brandt, Rudolf Brandt, Hoven, Gebhardt, Mrugowsky, and Sievers, and life imprisonment on Fischer, Genzken, Handloser, Rose, and Schroeder; Varying terms of years were given to defendants Becker-Freyseng, Beiglboeck, Oberheuser, and Poppendick.

The English-language transcript volumes are arranged numerically, 1-30; pagination is continuous, 1-11538. The Germanlanguage transcript volumes are numbered Ia-30a and paginated 1-11756. The letters at the top of each page indicate morning, afternoon, and evening sessions. The letter "C" designates commission hearings (to save court time and to avoid assembling hundreds of witnesses at Nuernberg, in most of the cases one or more commissions took testimony and received documentary evidence for consideration by the tribunals). Several hundred pages are added to the transcript volumes and given number plus letter designations, such as page number 1044a. Page 1 in volume 1 (English) is preceded by pages numbered 001-039, while the last page of volume 28 (English) is followed by pages numbered 1-48.

Of the many documents assembled for possible prosecution use, 570 were chosen for presentation as evidence before the tribunal. These consisted largely of orders, directives, and reports on medical experiments or the euthanasia program; several interrogation reports; affidavits; and excerpts from the Reichegesetablatt (the official gazette of Reich laws) as well as correspondence. A number

of the medical reports were accompanied by series of photographs and charts of various experiments.

The first item in the arrangement of the prosecution exhibits is usually a certificate listing the document number, a short description of the exhibit, and a statement on the location of the original document of the exhibit. The certificate is followed by the document, the actual prosecution exhibit (most of which are photostats), and a few mimeographed articles with an occasional carbon of the original. In rare cases the exhibits are followed by translations or additional certificates. A few exhibits are original documents, such as:

Exhibit No.	Doc. No.	Exhibit No.	Doc. No.
301	NO-1314	410	NO-158
307	NO-120	441	NO-1730
309	NO-131	443	NO-890
310	NO-132	451	NO-732
357	1696 PS	462	NO-1424
362	628 PS	507	NO-365
368	NO-817	546	NO-3347
403-	616 PS		

No certificate is attached to several exhibits, including exhibits 433, 435-439, 462, 559, and 561. Following exhibit 570 is a tribunal exhibit containing the interrogation of three citizens of the Netherlands. Number 494 was not assigned, and exhibit 519 is followed by 519s and 519b.

Other than affidavits, the defense exhibits consist of newspaper clippings, reports, personnel records, Reichegesetzblatt excerpts, and other items. There are 901 exhibits for the defendants. The defense exhibits are arranged by name of defendant and thereunder by exhibit number, each followed by a certificate wherever available.

The translations in the prosecution document books are preceded by indexes listing prosecution document numbers, biased descriptions, and page numbers of the translation. They are generally listed in the order in which the prosecution exhibits were introduced into evidence before the tribunal. Pages 81-84 of prosecution document book I are missing. Books 12, 16, and 19 are followed by addenda. The document books consist largely of mimeographed pages.

The defense document books are similarly arranged. Each book is preceded by an index giving document numbers, description, and page number for each exhibit. The corresponding exhibit numbers are generally not provided. There are several unindexed supplements to numbered document books. Prosecution and defense briefs are arranged alphabetically by names of defendants; final pleas and defense answers to prosecution briefs follow a similar

scheme. Pagination is consecutive, yet there are many pages where an "a" or "b" is added to the numeral.

- The English-language final pleas, closing briefs, and replies to prosecution briefs of several defendants are missing, as are a few German-language closing briefs and replies to prosecution briefs.

At the beginning of roll 1 are filmed key documents from which Tribunal I derived its jurisdiction: the Moscow Declaration, U.S. Executive Orders 9547 and 9679, the London Agreement, the Berlin Protocol, the Charter of the International Military Tribunal, Control Council Law 10, U.S. Military Government Ordinances 7 and 11, and U.S. Forces, European Theater General Order 301. Following these documents of authorization is a list of the names and functions of the members of Tribunal I and counsels.

These documents are followed by the transcript covers giving such information as name and number of case, volume numbers, language, page numbers, and inclusive dates. They are followed by summaries of the daily proceedings providing an additional finding aid for the transcripts. The exhibits are listed in an index, which notes type of exhibit, exhibit number and name, corresponding document number and document book and page, a short description of the exhibit, and the date when it was offered in court. The official court file is indexed in the court docket, which is followed by a list of witnesses.

Not filmed were records duplicated elsewhere in this microfilm publication, such as prosecution and defense document books in the German language that are largely duplications of prosecution and defense exhibits already microfilmed or opening statements of prosecution and defense, which can be found in the transcripts of the proceedings.

The records of the Brandt case are closely related to other microfilmed records in Record Group 238, specifically prosecution exhibits submitted to the International Military Tribunal, T986; NI (Nuernberg Industrialist) Series, T301; NOKW (Nuernberg Armed Forces High Command) Series, T1119; NG (Nuernberg Government) Series, T1139; and records of the Milch case, M888, the List case, M895, the Greifelt case, M894, and the Ohlendorf case, M895. In addition, the record of the International Military Tribunal at Nuernberg has been published in Trial of the Major War Criminals Before the International Military Tribunal (Nuernberg, 1947), 42 vols. Excerpts from the subsequent proceedings have been published as Trials of War Criminals Before the Nuemberg Military Tribunal Under Control Council Law No. 10 (U.S. Government Printing Office: 1950-53), 15 vols. The Audiovisual Archives Division of the National Archives and Records Service holds motion picture records and photographs of all 13 trials and tape recordings of the International Military Tribunal proceedings.

John Mendelsohn wrote these introductory remarks and arranged the records for microfilming in collaboration with George Chalou.

Roll 7

Target 1

Volume 16

Apr. 9-15, 1947

OFFICIAL RECORD

UNITED STATES MILITARY TRIBUNALS NURNBERG

U.S. vs KARL BRANDT et al VOLUME 16

TRANSCRIPTS

(English)

9-15 April 1947 pp. 5594-5971

Official Transcript of the Imerican Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of gmerica against Karl Brandt, et al, defendants, sitting at Throberg, Germany, on 9 April 1947, 0930-1630, Justice Beals presiding.

THE MARSHAL: Persons in the court room will please find their seate.

The Honorabia, the Judges of Military Tribunal I.

Military Tribural I is now in session. God save the United States of America and this honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the court room.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Parchel, you excertein if the defendants are all present in the court.

THE PARCHAL: May it please your Honor, all defendants are present with the exception of the Defendant Oberheuser, absent due to illness.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal naving received a certificate from the prison doctor to the effect that Defendant Dourheumer is unable to appear in court today, she will be excused from attendance for this day, it appearing that her interests will not be jumpardized by her absence.

The Secretary-Comeral will file the certificate from the prison physician.

Counsol may proceed.

TELUT POPPEDICK - Resured

DR. POER: (Counsel for the Defendant Poppeniick): Mr. President,
I should like to continue in my interrogation of the witness Poppeniick.

DERSON EXAMPLATION (Continued)

The possential the incoming base experiments on 5 January 1944, in Ding's diary, No 865, Prosecution Enhibit 12, page 48 in the English Document Sook outry according to which the minutes of these experiment were sent to the Reichserzt-SS to be form and to the liedauguerouse. The witness Keepen believed that he read that these

5394

9 April 47-M-Ff0-1-2-Foster (Int., Brown) reports went to you. Did you ever see such a report? A NO, at least I cannot recall ever having seen such a report with pictures. I cortainly should have noticed the pictures. I Was it oustomary for experimental reports to be sent to you? A No, such reports were sont direct to the Roichs physician. Correspondence with staff numbers in such matters was principally forbiddon. If despite that such a case did arise, namely, if someone because he didn't know any better sent such a report to someone who shouldn't receive it, then the report would nevertheless still have gone to the Reichs physician. Q Did you know the Madaus Torke? A As I have already said, I know the mass only from medical portodicala. Q Do you know Dr. Koch? A Ho. BR. BOTT: In connection with this question, I shall subsequently mutanit Dr. Room's affidavit thich arrived within the past days as ovidence for Dr. Mrugowsky, in which Dr. Eoch says that he did not Those Poppandick that no correspondence was sont through Poppanlick to him, or to the Unique Morks. DY DR. BOTHER Q Did you know the Wichor SS and Police Leader Weyrech who was atationed in Droslow A I only know his none. Q Did you attend any confortness at which there was discussion of experiments with the orug 9 179 A 270. Q Do you know the drug R 17 at all? A No, I did not know about it until this trial. Q Did you have anything to do with the taking of the contents of the inconfiary bombs Buchemenic or did you know of this? A No, not that ofthor, 3595

Q In other words, you had not connection with the planning or direction of incominary experiments described by the prosecution nor were you the competent expert whose job it was to concern himself with this whole question?

A 270.

The source of the second of the second popular of the second popular book. I must it as Popponlick Exhibit No. 9. At the moment I shall read point 2 of this affidavit. I quote:

8. Tractiont of phosphorus burns 1th "R 17" .:

The dropping of phospherus inconding banks undo it mecessary to find the appropriate means for its treatment. Since the usual copper sulfate solution did not all ow satisfactory results, the firm of Dr. Phiase of Drawdon count another medium to dissolve phospherus. They found this is a liquid which they manufactured and called "R 17" (earbon totropheride). The effectivement of R 17 was groved by the firs of Dr. Thous through the experiments on rabbits.

After these rubble amore a to were concluded in the number called the Wilher 88 and Police Lember v. Weyrach of Dresden to match those of providence. Since my emergency office who as the providence of Crupponiuchror v. Weyrach, he asked no to done close to the firm of indication v. Weyrach, he asked no to done close to the firm of indication v. Weyrach, he asked no to done close to the firm of indication v. That was in the fall of 1943. In accordance with the request of Dreponius hard in the first of indicate, I reported to the Reich Physician SS and Police on the results in the treatment of phosphorus burns found by the first of Madaus; I suggested to him to include the required as for his first-old kits for air-reid processions. Greats wended as inestigation. For this purpose, some time later, so easy by. Ding, in his capacity as hygiomist, to Dreaden and ordered as to arrange for Ding to to to the Madaus firm and see the results with the draig R 17 obtained by that rime. I did so, Ding came to Bresden and in my presence we took the experiments

mentioned. He declared later that he would investigate the efficacy of that proparation, also on rabbits, in Buchenwald, upon orders of the Reich Physician BS. He asked that the firm Madaus should place the proparation R 17 at his disposal. Immediately after the inspection of the firm of Madaus he left Dresden again.

It is also know to no that Dr. Ding had requested the effice of the Higher 35 and Police Loader to supply him at the the contents of an English incoming bomb; this request was, as far as I know, filled by the Police President of Leipzig. Dr. Ding sent for the drug and the incoming bomb.

It is also known to so that Ding rade a report about his experiments; I know this, because Dine inquired coveral times at my office in Dreeden, both in writing and by telephone, whether the report was there, since he could not find it. It was supposed to be a report with illustrations. Theiher the report want through my office, I do not know, as I used to be in Dreeden only one day each week. At the time when Ding was looking for the report, it was not in my office. I presume therefore that he sent it directly to the firm of Madaus, since they were interested in his investigations.

ж

"has I had heard nothing for some time from the Reich Physician,
as to whether R 17 was to be included in first-aid kits for airraid processions, I saked the Reich Physician about it. He explained
to me that the proparation would not be introduced, since it merely
dissolved the phosphorus but did not directly help in the healing
of the burns. Another source, however, was producing a proparation
which embaned both thuse qualities, and this one was to be introduced;

The prosecution in the course of its mass mentioned the method of treatment of the Dordeh physician Dr. Vacrnet, who carried out transplantations on homosexuals and people who were prematurely old; what do you know about this?

- . I have come that Dr. Vearnet we working in Progue in a phermicountied fortery on the technical nevelopment of a horsen pill. This tablet had a certain shap and he colled it artificial gland.
- G In this connection I submit the Drier officevit, Document Hoo 12, pass 33 of the Document Book Teppermick, it will be Peppermick Exhibit 10. I quote from the third personaph:

".t Himler's order Dr. Vacrnet was given a suitable laboratory in the Heilmittel-S.s.b.H. in Frague, which was subordinate to the EVEL. Dr. Vacrnet serked there in Frague in the year 1944.

"Equipment and personnal very placed at his disposal. As we know, Dr. Voernot is said to have worked as an incorporated scientist on the development of the artificial sland, which had been patented. He was convinced that his scientific method would be successful and worked continuously on it.

The left as I remember, Dr. Voernat are said to have had successful results on his Danish private p tients in his treatment with the artificial cland, which was purhaps not technically perfected.

"I, myself, som the so-collect crificial gland during a visit in Frague. It was a small triblet, in the shape of a cylinder, only a few millimeters thick, its dissector I estimate, was no more than 1 cm. The outer edge was surrounded by a silvery layer.

"Vacance told us that these tablets were to take the place of numerous hormone injections, because after implantation in the body they were assimilated alonly and evenly.

"We were convinced of the harmlessness of this tablet, particularly since we know that such implantations of hormone crystels were customary in medical science."

Did you speak with Dr. Veernot?

A I not Dr. Vaurnet once in Greater' ento-room while he was weiting there, he introduced himself to me and we speke together. I remember that we speke about his method, and he said that he had already employed this method in Dermark on a number of private petients with very good success. If I recell correctly, Dr. Vaernet wanted to have a pure hormone substance for his technical work, which was difficult at that time to obtain.

The production has put in Document 1300, Exhibit 209, which you signed and which concerns Dr. Varnot's activities; this letter make Dr. Ding to place inheretery facilities at Varnot's disposal if it should become necessary; how does it happen that you signed this letter?

applied yesterday; manually where Gramita dictated the letter and told the secretary he did not want to writ to sign it or I should do so. I read the letter here, and this is not my style of letter-writing. In connection with such an experiment as Vacanat was carrying on, I would not have used the words "humans experiments". I would use the word "implentation" and the vecabulary in the letter is not mine in Beneral. In addition I was not familiar with the connections mentioned in it.

Q This letter was addressed to Ding with ugh his home was Schuler?

A I seemen that the secretary soked what the hunding was to be and since I did not know that Ding was already needed Schuler I said

Ding.

- Q Haw does it happen that the letter is addressed to the Concentration Comp Buchenweld and not to the Typhus and Virus Research Institute at Buchenweld, which would have been more correct?
- A I did not know anything more procisely about Ding's address at Buchenwald; I did not know the name of the Institute at Buchenwald and consequently I just had it addressed to the Concentration Comp at Buchenwald, and I know that it would arrive.
- Q Do you know whother Ding had a further correspondence with the Reichs Physician in this catter, which you found out about?
- A I cannot recall over having seen such a letter, but I think it is quite possible that Ding answered this letter and stated that Vournet could make use of the leberatory facilities at Buchenwald.
- Q Kigon tostified that Ding wrote a letter to you, in which he did not report in detail shout experimental results, he did give you general information about the activities of Vacamet; did you receive such a letter?
- A as I remember neither from Vacanot, nor from eng one else, nor from Grawitz, did I see such a matter.
- Q Magen soid that Veernot used his method on at least fifteen persons, he said further that Veernot's treatment was the partial cause for two feeths; did you know enything about that?
- A No, I do not know of fifteen pursons nor do I know how many people he had applied his method to; and this harmless method could cortainly not have led to fracilities, I consider that out of the question.
- Q In the letter to Ding there is mention of Vacanet wanting to carry out preliminary examinations on persons for which he used Ding's laboratory; the presentation speaks of preliminary operations without ever having any proof of that; what did you impine was meant by pre-liminary examinations?
 - A I cortainly sid not immediate that those were may sert of surgical 5600

operations; in such a hereless operation as this any surgical work would have been altogether unnecessary. I can only isagine that these were blood or orine analysisaste test the hermone contents, or a similar analysis to that maich are frequently carried out in clinics or laboratories. Moreover Dr. Here recently testified here that castrated persons were also aballable here and that these, according to the law, were criminals who had been castrated for immoral affenses locally.

Q In this letter that you signed, there was mention that further details "bout Vaernet's work and been sent to the comp physician, did you see this documentation?

a that this documentation was I do not know, but I did see a collection by Vecrnet, a collection of literature, and of the solubility of the tablets with mathematical calculations.

Q Dr. Kirchert's efficient, which has sirondy been submitted, which is HIG 7, Peppendick Exhibit No. 9, reports on this matter of Vacanut's documentation and it is my intention now to read this Document regarding Vacanut's method:

1) Re. Dr. Vacrout's method of treatment:

"Grawitz and Kaltenbrumer had talked about the possibilities of applying the Vaernot horse no properation and submitted suggestions thereon to the Acidensfuehrer SS Himmler. One of these suggestions was to sell that properation abread on the black market and thus to obtain foreign currency. It was also proposed to premise those properations to foreign aments as a reward for useful information.

So for as I know, thuse suggestions were rejucted by Himmler.

"After that had happened Greents wave as the Vapraet file for ordentation so that I mould be informed in case Kaltanbrunner should reise any queries.

"I was at the time in charge of the medical erro of the members of the Reich Security Wain Office.

"From studying the file, I rathered the following, as for as I 5601

still remember:

reparation, produced by him, with a large number of his Danish private patients. Quite a number of cases were described in the file, which showed the exactlent result of this preparation. It was intended for: impotence, geriatic diseases, and finally homosexuality. The gland itself was planted beneath the abdominal skin, a completely naraless operation, and was supposed to be offective for about one year. After a period of no year, a new sland would have to be implanted.

"Grewitz told in when he gove no the file that Dr. Vacanct was going to place his process at the disposal of German medical authorities. Nothing is known to no personally about an application of this treatment in Germany.

win the file were rise arthurstical colouistions stout the size on the type of cover of the sland in connection with the partial of its absorption in the human body.

9 apr. - Medd-3-1-Moloy-(int.Brown)-Court 1 " After I had read the file I made a note to that effect returned the file to Grawits. A discussion with astenbrunner did not maturializa." Q What is your attitude towards these se-called experiments of Vacenot, were those experiments or simply methods of treatment? a They weren't experiences. I contradistinction the German " Vorsuch" is a much broader term than the German word "experiment." The word "Worsuch" is used not only in the sense here as the presecution uses it we the experiments, but it is us. doily in every hospital and every clinic. when any method of treatment in the Charitee "wesn't load to success then Dr. His sai !, now we will try on experiment with such and such a mother." I other worls, the word " Versuch" does not have the same commo tation as the the word" Experiment" used literally. And of course in this connection professor Rostock,, as an expert, testifiall about the crystal implentation, and recently Dr. Harn made statements on tide subject, who was an eye witness of this treatment, and both said it was a question of an internet; nally known method of treatment that was alto other harmless. DR. BORMA: In connection with the implantation of synthetic . lands I submit HPO 10 and 11, both excerpts from scientific publications. These are only two of the many publications in this file, and which Cuseribe implantation as one of the authors of bornone thorapy. I submit on exempt from Clinical Enderinal y, as Poppunick Exhibit, No.11, to be found on page 27. I should like to read a part of this excerpt: " Except from a Jores " Clinical Englaringlocy" (Klinische Enterincle de". " A manual for physicians ins students by Arthur Jores, Dr. mel. habdl./Humbury. Berlin, P blishe by Julius Springer, 1939. page 300: Thoropy with nex horomes. a. Physic legical prefice. Some years ago, by the clariffication of the chamical constitution 5603

9 aprl. 3-3-3-Malby-(int Brown)-

Court 1

of the sor h raches, the way was opened for their synthetic production, it wid not take long until synthesis was achieved, thus opening a large and new filed for therapy.....

There can be no doubt that by means of anomically pure sex lere has it is possible to compensate fully for the functions of the small bith in the custrated animal and in the human eastrate...."

I Omitted reading 12 lines, and take up in the middle of the thirds paragraph at The I plantantion of orystale."

Desnusly and later repeated by Sold aller and others, proved that the better effect of esters is one to the above menth not factors. Crystal implentation shows a clear superiority of the pure substance compared with the caters. In the experiments as to by Schreller and Gahrke, the implentation of 1 m testesterone and a 10 bigher affect than the same I so I wan by injection. The effect of crystal implentation also laste such larger; the maximum effect is reached later;...."

If we pay 304:

" Therapy with male sexual hermones.

" Frontment by means of the preparation concerned has, besides the effect on the sexual sphere, a masher of other effects.

"First ther a is a gameral stimulation, particularly in the case of a in, persons."

I bogin now, with the last paragraps of this boument:

To chace of cumuch idian and weekness of pot may, not of a purely physical nature, the necessary lose is generally smaller. In such cases, Venezur for instance recommends 5-50 mg of testesterone propionate per lose and a total of about 10 injections.

the feeling of physical fitness or particularly neticeable. Broticism (Eretisierung) does not eccur. These observations indicat, therapeutic use of male sexu hormones particularly in cases of somile complaints and premiture importance, here, also, the results reported are very good.

9 Apr. -2-03-3-3-Maloy-(int. -rown)-Court 1 D cument HOF 11 is an execrpt form the " Schweizerische medizinische Wedneschrift, an article by Dr. Smeller. This is on page 29, and I put it in as Poppondick Exhibit No. 12 Hero also I should like to read - fow excerpts. I quote: " Excerpts from ! Swiss Medical Weekly" (Schweiserische medizinische ochenschrift' No. 25, 2h June 19hh." THE PR SIDEMT: Do you find it necessary to read any portion of this exhibit into the record? Is it not enough to refer to it as an exhibit7 DR, BOEHN: Fernaps I den't have to read it, but I should like to read one paragraph if ah ut to bt lines, this is on page 1, page 675, at page 31 of the Doubent do k. 7 Phys 6751 " Hormones to lies under hish pronsure (o.g. hydraulic) but solid single crystals in particular, will naturally offer longer resistance to the process of solution than 1 only process substances.... ... With ro ro to its effect, the implements in of hormones is similar to the priciple which could best be called ' Simbalar prothesis'. As with the intact organ we so natural function is to be replaced (e.g. the replacement f the very by legilantati a f estruct 1 in the case of casterti n), the crystal, in an infinitessimal livision, in a continione flow if small, so -t -spick physi lates lates of humannus, has the same effect ... O'ly thus can it be ox plained that with ' landular' prothusis' Exclient theregoutic results can be obtained." The originals of these combits, can, if you wish, be seen. Q In the overe of its come the Pr secution, aside from the experiodnes mention, in the indictant, mentioned also black compulating muriments; y u were n t colled particularly responsible for this, but I shoul nevertholess like to ask you, 'i you know about the coaulatin experiments carried in in Dachau? A W , I know hothin of those hely al experiments. Q Didy a know the wor " polymol" at all? A The wird I have beard a semmere ir another. 5605

o april-M-GJ-J-k-Maloy-Brown-

Q Did you know that polygal was produced in the Ahnenerbe?

A That might have been mentioned once also, but I don't know may details.

if you heard that experiments were being undertaken with drug what would you have supposed that meant, that is to say you have though they were in any way claimed experiments?

A It never would have occured to me to think of scmething that .
was not permissible for the testing of ecapulants, as surgical rolms
in a hospital provide that acquate material.

Q Document 614 was put in by the presecution as Exhibit 245, English Document Book, 11, page 25, where there is mention of an article by Pascher on the use of Polycel 10 in the Munich Medical Weekly hascher was accused of not having submitted this work to the Reich hysician for approval; it you see this manuscript?

A The compositer work by Amacher I never naw. If I had I to should have remember it.

of channel transport of supers in which it is self that supers on the in or crimicially in a number of persons. This is Document Owld, or escution administ 259, English Document Book 11, 257. It was now this report that is here in question?

4 40

in Inditate papers there was a paper on artificial infect to the in securit a Eddint 251 in Document Bo k 11, one 12, 19-19. Difficultary and this case rist ry?

4 0, 5 31 nit.

A line Deposit to k referring to the level sources. This is a longer in a Community of the lander of the section mention of a longer in a Community of the lander of the lander of the lander of the lander of the american of the partition of this american, or sie you have any mortal of this question at this

a T. I might know the leboury and I direct know may-

The SS. is a perior of the SS did with take part in the UK-

A I never to ke mit in any much excesses as a non-er of the SS.

I The how a types of the same that is contained and make the value of the same that is a present a make the same to value of the same of the same that is a same of the same o

A learner wink some notions I the R. S. Initial Office, and of work was proved to the analytic and a protection of the first of the state of the analytic and the analytic analytic and the analytic analytic

infies north armisistred to the meich registers, at they ware to continue to a metion in the Rasse and Siedlan, Office.

q in whore wide, you were not drawita's staff and for a part of the land in the part of the reserve you has per-

A on, I was noticer stail orbit or the click at any was stand and the chief of staff is a sum was stand in reading between the calor to the their offices who represents the crick, and a far is a madhettem or review is concern, I must say that drawith binnels one or fees a of interval mediates and and and made of twice, and, a recover, this was a constant with the netwer to be some finites affects affect him.

q the pute tellivity in the state of Sichlines , may of-

A The templates of the Template and Solid point Office of the series of an order of the series of th

the two then to a ville could be received the war and answers i extending a plane there is considered ut?

The sin that and Subtliment Office was founded in 1932 of Derrots initiative, in the sin was to have or to persuade the publication in the his distinct to a subtle country. This was to the limit to the limit the Subtle of the result in the result in the result in the subtle of the result in the

In one after in the whole or animation. The netivities of the Sattlement Office we have remained very all nt. To a sure, and because Office hid set up a few SS sattlements, we take a limited to a few undertakents, I case a secure secure finance of the property of the Seculement Office, and prescribed at the ar, a matricipal to a point the development of the development of the article of the article of the development of the d

ng, phill: I am had like to a heart or distance which of the Vitness side tyle new should not like to see that a few disco-

the value of the three boy quantities to a property to

D. T. It Mode for a 196.

Choss- 14 18 1100

31 00. 77 031

The state of the country of the state of the

A I know only that is one of stoomly document one a for relate making. And choose pure will a loss a two mot be an to relate the all laters.

I in your inject arms of a control to the part of and soon or. He takes of the control of the co

A That is quite, set in Newton i was not always present at the affice.

7 % mile 19-colerative nt. Se ur 1 Do you know anythin that the first that run owsky conourned in solf with specific inflose, ical questi as which were now retwood and repullated by the her insighty and that he identified misself with these views? A Turn restly referring to a -entire "Melierms." Th was a no that you waky has relations with "hillstie" circles. a far me have, this was a pullesoppical acrowst, I believe, with ridays in En last are with wisher to comes the laws of apture /r the direct beervanter I other howelf, and I boll of TWO lie ment or the cold of the Train but the Wa lieter not care relate a with the policy both lights but I can't buy Ent to equ, - if is is true that you sig the request fleryin a worth of the tenne Williams to lea a Fr the plant of whom a per and carry and the SS, The In cap at a ne real tack was . Les meth mater was a Divillety. A first I want that have collaboration for emphasized, but I THE REST OF THE Do. The los Thomby . to implier quantities. TWO is the true interest than I the witness by any Director & month four data more, who Proposition my cross-Continue DROSS-CLI I TIVI 31 1 NOTE: to Dr. 1-year toky first of il I and like to olivity in my om min' mad for to. Fri and force that periti as y a "al". Now in the cost of 1935 year act - Typicita in the Thin Hard and South one Office in wolle. A in 1935 the new ris the year and Settlement Office. It was the not For the all range- lines and Graundheits-. Pile of fine SS smith was the transparted about a year later to the order of South ant Office.

1 w that in 1941 you ware appeared calci physician of

A Whi physicians is not the correct word. To use the wr Mone in Physician, coass to was not in charge of the to him office but simply sould the cortain refers in the no-

I will, there was not try ther which me his her than

A do, not in the area and public and office.

q " with held thee jorn of a to the large and bettle and if-Min until town?

a armen of the reality

9 April - JP-5-1-Burns (Int. Brown) Court I 4. Now then, will you explain what your position was in this socalled "Sip en-amt? was that a department of the wain Race and Settlement Office or just what was the Sippen-ent? As you explained it here? A. The Sippen-ant was an office in the Race and Settlement Office, one office of many and in this Sippen-and there was a Main Medical Department in which the doctors were included although this depunded diffurntly from the to the. Q. Most than, in 1943 you were as minted to the o tail of Grawitz when the re-organization of the 38 ledical Services took place; is that right? A. Mo, I didn't hold office the first time in 1943. That was in 1939 2. Now, when wrate to nee to re-or unitation you were appointed Chief of the Personal Staff of the colchearst SS. That was in September or first of September 1943; is that right? a. The doctors of the Sine Settle and Dirice, really, I belonged in the summer of 1939 to the reach Physicians. . Minton to a question; on the lirst September 1943 you became Chief of the Paraone) Staff of errorite is Reichearst 35, did you not? A. Yasterday T stated I received to this without there war existing such as office. we than you has be table "Chief of the foregon Starf of the Ofiles of Malchaurat 5320 A. Yus. G. Pro, orior to I Sector our 1903 and was your contact with drawite? . And position did you not sulative Oravita, if any! a. Bufor: 1943 diaca 1959 I was an ordinary ober of Grawital staff, not having an apprish position in the TO is I had been transferred primarily to work in the same and Settlement (ffice and I was si ply used to do one il jobe bud usu I was pres ut at Gravita! office for cours at a ting. 3(12

9 Aug 11-1-17-5-2-Surns (Int. Brown) Court I 1. Now, if I understand it correctly then you held that minor position open drawits from about 1939 until the and; is that right? A. You, thit's true. 4. And you will us that position was one in which you handled minor tosks or special assigns its; in that right? A. Tos. . Are or loss a general handy-unn when needed? A. Ho, that's going too for tooner I wan't tiways there. Q. well, now thou, to first at a you readined the title was in the re-organization on 1 Scot ber 193; is that right? A. You. we will now, after you received the title to Diouf of the Paraenal St if da you have in autius? 4. I wasn't Calof of the Personal Staff but Chief of the rersonal niftee and an bitle but as I said yesterday quite explicitly Granite strted bast he was given a walfla responsibilition but in if out whirthing mar rockin the at at and o me we well, then work old you come or yourself under Gravita? Did you consider yourself a minute for or a Lutter-carrier like Radelf Grand or first in Arisor or Just Wir Laid you consider yoursolf? A. If you want to cold it that, I rollly was a measureer boy for provide occurs of right and all to the call the word carried out in the lines on Satole and the Cliffee. Q. Accountaries a cotty and rough when it's in SS Churchehrur. A. It is also in sile that is now the connection with my hetavature as a whose thetar in the and suttlement Office and that you e ill laws are described what my activities elsewhere for example by the Lifens population. .. well now, did you are may competing westacover with Laiprig? Now, you have board bure relating to Lumtstrations it laluring or some such taint? long fid you name an respection or contact with an

9 april-JP-5-3-Burns (Int. Brown)

Court I

impaint of in Laipsig?

- ** I when only of one, not two institutions in Leipzig. That
- of Fred. Von monatel?
- n: I 'may Prof. Non Kon al and I also re embor that once I provided his with a secretary, a specific secretar, who was electrone employed at the about the Planeter effice and I want to the office where she was exployed and made offerts to get her for Prof. Von Menmal.
- 2. Die 3 to have one especity whoteverer in the Enstitute at Lapung?
 - Ca. Dog
- Q. Will no, your control ories to 1943, that is, I Supto bur 1943 with the Releasurers to benefit might a clear contact. To the the impossion you might to away?
 - W. Yube
- in the long-run all reviews that a transfer the received and standard on the long-run all reviews the relationship with the received and restored the relationship of the restored to the rest
- A. This text is Rescher! text. In other words, by no mound a decision, on a what was discuss. there and prever the rest of the letter shows this as a very no-sides coloration; I think a very openific purpose, nearly, to comew corpain results with the Reichsfushrer SS. I say that I did not all this statement in that form but Rescher consected himself in this a particular way peculiarly and although he

5514

9 April - Jr-5 - Burns (Int. Erown) Court I bulenced to the General SS he disputed the fact that he was subordinate to the moich Physician. Therefore, I pointed out our situation in the Sipportant, that we too wore subordinate to the Reich Physician and as nucleasing consequences after all medical matters did have to go through certain channels and here as the Staff Physician of the Race Settlement Office I also, outside of Frants! Office said something and memoly, that Siavers could not not not ask as to come to him in an official capacity; that I said yesterdy, that argument is a distorted representation which isnot true at least in this sense nor can I over rose ber having discussed caything with Sievers providualy. Q. Now, you were in this gathering as stated further in this document - I rocken that is the scoting with Anscher and Grawitz and yourself - you were present? a. I was called in labor. Q. muon was that muitine? A. The mouting to k place at the beginning of 1943, if I recall correctly. Q. January 1943? ". Tom. we Fire, you stated on livest examination that you had visited Dachau twice; that is in 1939 and 19619 w. Tou, shable tarue. Q. The accompanied you on your 1935 visit to Dachaut A. I connot say. Q. The Gravits with you? A. I didn't know Grawitz at that thre and has nothing to do with him. That happoned when I was a consected at the the Bace Settlement Office, that visit. Q. Now, was branits with you in the 19hl visit? A. Ma, under no circumstances. Q. Did you say on direct that Growitz was with you when you visited 5615

9 april - JP-5-5-Surns (Int. Brown)

Dachau in 19419

Court I

- A. No, I did not say that.
- then is just by interpretation your Hener, I will have to check that. Let's 30 on to the sulfa seperiments, doctor. New, you attended the society Comfortance in Berlin in 1943 when Gebberdt and Fischer reported on the results of the sulfaniliside experiments, did you a b?
 - A. Yos. I heard that report.
- Q. work our mole to mee this from that report that concentration case irrator were used in these a periodic?
- eer the times.
- Q. Now, district Subharit to 11 y o that could be seen resulty
 that the importmental on a nountration only implies a purple contents
 to South?
- e. As for as I remomber what er. Goodstrit say was that the experimental somplets more parsons consummed to coath but he Cada't may have concentrated to a juncture.

- ments were being conducted at the Concentration Camp Ravensbruck, tidn't you?
 - 4 100
 - Q Are you sure, hostor?
 - A No. I knew nothing about that.
- n Tall, how, to your knowledge, did Grawitz pardon me, strike that to you knowledge, did Gebhardt every submit reports to Grawitz concerning his experiments at Ravensbrucck?
- A Professor Gobbardt himself said that he sent reports but I wouldn't know that particularly.
- A You never now anything in the nature of preliminary reports originating from Professor Gebhardt concerning sulfanilamide experiments at Navessbreeck?
 - A I cannot recall having seen anything in this connection.
- oncerning experiments with was gangrens carried out on invates of
 Ravansbrack Concentration Comp, would you have been in a position to
 - A No, by no means.
 - 4 and you never naw such a report?
 - . I cannot recall anything of that sort.
- Q Let us establish a time element, doctor. Assume Orawitz received a preliminary report from Geobardt in June 1942 - would you have seen that report them?
 - a Mo, by no means. There was no reason why I should-
- Ally?
 - A The time document make any difference,
 - 9 Would you have seen such a report in August?
 - A I just said that time doesn't make any difference here. As a metter of policy such things wouldn't go to me. Occasionally I saw some 5617

9 April 17-19-170-6-2-Gross (Int. Brown) Court Ho. 1 Setails but not in context and I couldn't tell you now. Q That was your rank in August 1912? A In August 1942 I believe I was Obersturnbannfuchrer. 1 Sbersturnbannfuehrer, is that right? Well, I will show you the report, doctor, the preliminary report or such. I want you to identify the original. I just received it from Berlin this morning. I haven't had an opportunity to prepare it. I would like to present this to the witness for identification of his signature. Defense coursel may look at it. I will offer it formally at a later date. Is that your signature, Dr. Ecpendick? A Yes. Q Turn to the second page of that document - just the second page. That is the subject, just the subject - the heading at the top the subject of the report? A "Interim Report on the Clinical Experiments at Rayensbrucck Concentration Comp by orders of the Telchsfuehrer-65". On the 20th of 7 - 42 ---C That is enough. Tould you kindly give that document to the defense counsel, please? a Now, doctor, in your position as Chief, or as what you call Managing Physician in the Main Pace and Sottlement Office --THE I WISINEWS: Counsel, do you desire this document marked with an identification number, having been introduced? id. M.Hor: I was going to wait until such a time as defense coursel finished perusing the document, your Honor, EX INTION CONTINUED BY 'F. EPDY: I Can you tell the Tribunal what the negative racial policy was7 A The negative racial copulation colicy concerned all extermination measures in contradistinction to the positive racial population to the positive racial population policy which and to be promoted by Dr. Long. 5618

- Q Assume in 1941 lat's assume this for a noment that you mid concern yourself with sterilization in 1941. Now, would you have concerned yourself with sterilization in your capacity as physician in the Wain Raco and Settlement Office or in your capacity as the man who took care of special assignments of odd jobs for Dr. Grawitz. Suppose the situation ever arose wherein you would have to concern yourself with sterilization, would it arise out of your job as physician in the Main Race and Sattlement Office or as position of subordinate to Grawitz - in which capacity?
 - A questions of this sort wight have represented no through -
- it Now, you testified in direct expedination that you only know about logal sterilization, is that right?
 - 1 You-
- Q Well, now lot's look at Document Book NO VI, document NO 21h, Exhibit 66, found on page 51 of the English, your Honor. If defense counsel has the Dermin copy I would appropriate it if he would submit that to the witness for the surpose of this consinution, That is ID-Clauder Maubergle Scation, Sterilization Section, Document Pook Was

Now, the date of this letter?

- 1 20 Tay 1941.
- Q That's right. oll, now, dector, it says here Reference Report to Releasmenter-S5 27 May 1941. Do you know anything about that report that was made to Reichefaurrer-SS 27 May 1941;
- A I know that furale starilization was to be treated according to this "Lamberg noting and I also know the name but I do not know this letter. I know nothing of the report to the Reichsfuchrer. If I know anything it is only concorned with the treatment of female steriliantion.
- Q Well, now did you concern yoursalf with the treatment of femile sterilization at this time here 1911, in thy, June, or July?

- A Me7 No. I was interested in this as a doctor in the Sippen-ents.
- Q Then you wouldn't have any knowledge that they were preparing to perform treatments, upon women, to perform experiments on women in connection with Elauberg's method?
 - A I knew nothing about sterilization.
- nemory, a little. It might be a good time to break, your Honor. We can introduce this document after the recess.

THE FRESIDENT: The Tribural will be in racess.

The and is a sin in session.

no month of the D current on which the before the Proposition and the state of a three of the D current on which the before the Proposition and the state of the

THE F LETTER TY Commonly while Hills at 1 . 1839.

The thirty of the station, of the bound of the court of t

Light service of Prescrition and in ... Life, or Lenesse.

the result of the transfer of the standard of

5622

This is Saburra Trace digments smould not different idenilliction of the I see no connection absent them.

The Laure Than I will have to ive blant different 10 nonors the, Your . to r. The ir sometion winter to ut then it is IS D. Clibert

The should they are the commence and are the the comult.

"!. This is by into the this as not projectly, there to be an are a time of the court in there they the to de bely separate.

is _ did I had I will the to Vice the MO-1559 and the car be no .0-1539-a. Dec to 1 J. will car a socution contract by I r debutification persons, and D current 90-1555-as ir scours and it has fir i and le the po-

I a median take up the could belook, and if home Un r .- s l ._ on the ri insl or ... i sould like to me mit In the lay the manager.

His Dr. F. on Sek, A will a but no labber that a 51 I her at Solo 5, which is how Ju . 1961, which was Do-Associated to the termination of the stranger SS on 27 E GO A LILE DOOR ON COUNTY MADE AN MAIN AFFORD AND I under the or it is suchity and is My is "that 29 May 1911 and thi reference la.....

T'I : _1" It D wisel, our D at 1 ... 0-1639 dr attack in JI Car

7. __W: Your for r, I am thed 5 on out 10-1639, which is two irst "count, it is the 25 m 1000, the War is to A PINCE OF THE PARTY TO THE BEAR OF THE BE

F _ 35/35 Tr June 445 at 1655-49

. . DY: Yas, You Yas, shink is I markin Exhlist W. 175 for i contification. W ., t to 1 Near at. 29 at 1941, 5524

the reference is foral Report to Seicheff word SS on 27 by 19/11:

Product of our Pricesor Chapter, concerning his new motion of corr Pricesor Chapter, concerning his new motion of curillantias of inferior wagen without an operation, a re-retail mean exercise, has sooned;

When the state of the state of

The tipe for a single process to the desired with cross the size of the providing aircompensation of the process of the proces

where income on the primary addition alloy and it has rate to be not been allowed to be related to the contract of the contrac

The same birt for most income to reside to postudinter a 12 day's at a reflection of a 12 day's at a reflection of a 12 day's

The si cab re to the term as "Contratag"

- Q Now, Doctor, do you know anything about these matters?
- A I could only conclude therefrom that we are here concerned with two untirely different fields Clamberg dealt with, one of the fields regarding praction of sterility itself, and one regarding the trustment of sterility. I only know schetning of the treatment of women already sterile.
- the netablished a method therein other doctors performed sterilizations.

 In that a alear understanding of the situation?
- If I see correctly, the data and the physiciens that are to perform the procedure and the beginning of the experiments do not tally. Even if this was correct, the result of these experiments had yet to be expected, but "leady at that time in the Spring of 1943 the physicians "olf, Schultz rod another two had been mentioned to me re-ording their trustment of sterile 35 memon. These must be two distinctly separate authors.
- O well, now it states here in the fourth paragraph of the lutter:

 "Taking into account the excrition significance which such a
 method would have for a negative population policy and it results
 therefore," and so forth. Now, then, do you understand that to mean
 that the purpose of this apprimentation was in order to apply a
 storilization method to complete and follow up the megative population
 p lies?
- A I don't know root this copyression "negative population policy" is supposed to class.
- If is very simple, isn't it, Doctor, that if they have persons that they consider to be in the class that is set forth in the negative right policy or negative population policy that they could well studied those people and thereby use them as alove laborers or use them for work of any description and have no fear that other persons fitting into the estepary would be born to said pursons? Isn't that very simple? Isn't that one of the purposes of the negative radial

which is offered for identification as Prosecution Exhibit No. 475; "Dear Comrad Brandt!

May order of SS-Brighdefuchrer Br. Grawitz I enclose herewith the list of physicians who are prepared to perform the transment of sterility as requested by Reichafuchrer-SS.

Hoil Hitlerin

and your signature. That is your signature, isn't it?

4 Yes.

Q Mell, new, then you maintain that this list we do not have was attached herote and had no connections who tooover with the progress for experimentation?

.. Yes, I multin that. Yes. These are the four physicians who were successed to trust storile women.

Dh. BOREN: Mr. President, if I may interrupt, it is an error that we haven't get this list of physicians. The Presecution itself submitted this list as Decument NC-214, on page 48 of Document Book No. 6. The list is available. However, it was not submitted in connection with these documents since these two matters do not fit together. Page 46 of the Document Book 6. That is the German Document Book.

Mr. H. HDY: Pres 51, Decument Book No. 6, Your Honor.

9 April 1947 -M-10-1-PEF- 10 loy (Int. Rammler) Court No. 1

Dr. Poppendick, referring again to the letter which you have before you which bears your signature and is dated 4 June 1941 which states that you enclose herewith a list of physicians who are prepared to perform the treatment of storility as requested by the Reichs Fuehrer 55; now, is the list of physicians you enclose thereto Document 100 214 which is Prosecution Exhibit No. 158 found in Document Book 6, signed by Grawitz?

A. No.

Q. That is not the same list you enclosed or attached?

A, They are the sum physicians, but it is not the annex to that document. I note it bears the designation "special" or "top secret." I know nothing about that. It cannot possibly bu the annex to that letter, but I am sure they are the same physicians. It says here "Treatment of Sterlity", not the bringing about of sterlity, but the treatment of people already sterile. It could only have been these four physicians, because I as the head physician must have known exactly who it was.

Q. Now, when you enclosed the list what list did you enclose with this cover letter, a list you had composed or a list Grawitz composed?

A. The list comes from Cyawitz.

Q. And you may the physicians massed thereon are the same four physicians to moned in Document NO-214?

A. I don't know the document.

Q. Don't you have Prosecution Document No. 6 before you?

A. You, these are the physicians.

Q. Then you exclude the possibility that when Granitz ordered you, Doctor, to send a list of the physicians who are propared to perform the treatment of storicity to Storibannfuchror Dr. Frendt, that the attachment thereto was Document 60-214, you welled that possibility?

A. You, the dates are entirely enthront. It is already on the 30th of May that Gravitz reported them, physicians to Himmler. I think that seachew this list got lost. We may have asked as once more to send the list to Brandt, because here there is mention made of a telephone conversation with Gravitz on the 4th of June. I don't know exactly what

9 April 1947 -1-10-2-PAP- Maloy (Int. Hamaler) Court No. 1 the situation was. Q. Suppose this document NO-214 did not contain therein as a reference the report to Reich Fuehrer SS on 27 May 1941, would you then be inclined to think that this was the list attached to the Document No. NO 1639-A? a. If we are nerely concerned with the names of the physicians then I would be of the opinion that it belonged to the first document, but I know nothing boot any report to the Reich Fuenrar SS. This must have buon a pursonal matter of Grawitz, and I don't know why this list is designated "top secret" because here we are only concerned with the trottment of storility. Q. Of course in the original tra "top secret" is handwritten, not typowriten; it obviously may have been put on there some other date. Now, Doctor, did the list you subcutted with your cover Letter contain the same four names as contained in Document NO- 215, is that right? a. . Il, I only know these manus in that connection. I don't know other nemes. Q. Now, the ofte of Document ND-214 is 30 kky 1941, and the date of your cover letter is a June 1941; they are rather coincidental, aren't Alto 97 ", I direndy said that I assume that this letter or Grawitz dated the 30 My was eitherstill arroute, which is quite probable considering the short difference of time, or that this latter had been lost, at any rabe I must seems that this latter was not available and the Heich Fuchrer wanted these mames; thereupon Grewitz telephoned me in the Main Settlement Office to sord the list of these physicians once more to Brande. He probably said that Brandt and gotten in contact with him, and the Reich Fuciner wanted the armos of those physicians who could advise the SS families and treat them. C. Alright, Boetor, will you return thos. documents please? MAGE SERRING: Just & second, Mr. Firdy. BY JUDGE SEETINGS Q. Witness, in the letter, Proceeding comibat 475 for identification only, which you have identified as your letter, there appears in the appear

9 Loris 1947 - 1-10-3-PEP- Whiley (Int. Rancher) Court No. 1 Lift fand corner the letters and figures PAZ IV", then the figures "102-"het significance do those numbers have, can you say?" he according to my knowledge this probably was the entry in the socalled Lateer divry mears the outgoing latters were entered daily. On the besis of the documents which I have seen here I have concluded that the roman minural refers to the volume of thit letter divry, because the Litture dated 1921 bear a lower number than those of the years 1943 and 1944. The second resour is probably the curr at number contained in that values, and the third figure obviously comes the year, A. You ir of the opinion than that that is a symbol or office code number of som kind ased in your office to indicate outgoing mail, is that corruct? a. It obviously does not refer to any particular field of work. These figures refer to the mail disrice where every letter was entered. That was done by the socretary, and quite irrespective of what field the Litters concerned. Q. The t was done by the secretary in your office? se Tone Q. You are quite care of that? A. Tube Q. ... Il you plan to refer to Document No 214 as it appears in the English Document Book No. 6, at page 51, and I believe it appears in the German document book at prog. 48, and you will note in the upper left hand corner of this letter, which is exemed by Grawitz, and bich you say you did not send with the cover letter NC-1639a; and there appears there the symbols Roman IV, and thom a despared Arribic 102-Al, which is precisely the oran code number is is on your cover letter NO. 1639A; according to your previous at terent then that code designation would indicate that this was a letter tint came from your office also, is that true? A. Both Lutters were written by Grawitz's secretary and were entered by nor in the daily diary. I conclude from that that it must have been as I described it before, the first letter of Er witz must have been lost,

9 April --- 10-6-PEF- Maloy (Int Recentur)

gotten once more. The names were the same and I probably compiled these names once more, and the secretary entered this list under the same figures, because she must have assumed that the first letter hadn't arrived and had gotten lost. That is the only explanation. At any rate both letters went to the secretary of Grawitz office. I only know of one letter though.

Q. holl, hitness, did you dictate the letter NO. 1639-A, Prosecution identification 475, which you signed?

A. I have only Document No NO-1653.

9 April-M-GJ-11-1-Burns(int. Rabler)-Court 1

JUDGE SEBRING: Is that the letter that ucu signed 1639-A?

I can no longer say that. It's quite possible that this letter was written by the secretary personnelly as Grawitz had instructed her took : list from me. He'd dictated the letter myself I probably would have lictated it all at once. Therefore, the physicians would already where in the text of the letter.

AND E SEBRING: Well, you had a secretary, the you not, witness?

NDE SERRING: You had no secretary to whom you could dictate a letter if you wanted to?

A IN Grawitz's office I not a clerk at my disposal, a male clerk, who was really working with the chief 'entist. Grawitz secretary generally rejected to work for me. I had difficulties with her because she always even after 1963 stated that she was only at Grawitz personal disposal and that she was a t spine to write any letters for me. Occaral mally, however, I had to write some letters concerning 55 physicians and I always had difficulties and I always had to look around for a typist for a hour who could write those letters.

JODE SEPRING: In this daily Hary, as you call it, or list of the letters that we were sent out. - were letters which you dictated contained in the same diary as letters dictated by Grawitz were listed?

a Yes, all of the letters what through that many - all of othe letters that went out of Grawits' office, the medical quatermenter and the Chief Dentist has their was lary.

JUDGE SEERING: I note that on down at 1839, Presecution Identilication 174, those letters read IV (Regen Numeral 55) 98-41.

MR. LaPli Cowi unly - typ craphical error, your Honor.

795 WITH SS: Diadn't quite un merstani, Mr. President.

JUBGE SESSING: In Presecution identification 17th, Document No. 1639, In the that the Mary index real LV instead of IV. The Presecutor subjects that might be a typ prophical error for IV.

THE WITHESS: That is obviously a mistake, to mean IV-98-61.

JUDIE SEBRING: Very wall. Resume.

9 April M-11-2-GJ-Burnss9int, Ranler)-

in your hand - what's the file index mamber? Would you read it off, plants?

- 4 IV-98-11.
- Q Now, would you road the file index number on the letter that you si no 19
 - A IV-102-41.
- of Well, new would it be that this letter dated 29 May 1941 bearing the number 98 and then the letter on h J no 1941 bearing the number 102, would it be there was only four other letters written in the meantime?
- A Yes, that's possible. I already said there was very little correspondence in Grawits's office.
- Q Then the letter being 102 would be the 102ml communication coming ut of Grawith's office?
- A 3., the 102nd letter from the 4th volume. Every volume star-
- Q Well, then witness, that's rather unusual to number the letter of 30 May 1941 Number 102 and then number a letter of 4 June Number 100, if each number designates a letter?
 - . A I didn't quite un tratand that.
- I will it very close. I will tak you once again; was this intotter which is Decument Number 21s, Presecution Exhibit 168, the inclosure that you mentioned in the letter signed by you on June 4th?
 - A There is me reforence made in that letter to any other letter.
 - 4 Ther is an ther letter t , isn't there, dector?
- A first but that's only a nature that concerned the secretary. I cannot say in that he was a set up that she entered the same mail names on my letter. I can explain it by saying that a telephone conversation must have occurred where one was instructed to send the list once more because it has a see lest or some such that the first letter, bearing the same number, dated the 30 May,

9 April-M-GJ-11-3-Burns-(int.Raulor)-Court 1-

thich was signed by Grawitz is not know to me. It isn't clear at all to mean the reference, namely, Report to Reichsfuchrer SSN should mean. Furthermore, I don't know why this letter is designated with top secret All I know are the four physicians who were named for the treatment of female sterility and who ponly ild work for the SS wemen.

Q Now, will you return the document please,?

No-1639 and No-1639-a there appears at thotop the rubber stamp file number "Secret, 332-13". Wan you explain the significance of both communication bearing the same secret file number?

A I can see n. legignation on these I cuments to read " secret"

9 April-1-JP-11-12-Burns (Int. Roller) Curt I JUDGE SERRING: In the English translations before the Tribum 1 both decuments NO-1639 and NO-1639-A appear to be mirked " grat". .A. H.RDY: I mly have to clarify that for you. That's . a rubber stap on the original doom ent, and it's the personal stoop of the Reichsfuchrar SS; file number 'socret' refers to Rulli's office and a rubber at ap put on by Rudelf Brandt's ffice ni - rubber st .p put in by Rud lf Brindt's office when they received those easunications. JUDGA SEBHING: I assume that but I am asking the witness if he knows why this is marked with a rubber stamp "secret 352-10.20 a. I re lly don't know now these letters were treated t the Reichsfuchrer's office rig raing secrecy. This matter re Ily need not hive been kept secret. Very often it wis up to the secretary whether any letter was chainsified "secret" or not if one didn't know whether there was any such directive regarding scorecy. At my rate, the letter which I -seigned did not go out is secret. There was no remson nt -II to do th t. Ms. Hampy: Dr. Popendick, regarding the sulfamilimide experiments, I wish to submit to you now document -- the origin 1 of Document NO-582 which has been previously introduced Into evidence as Prosecution Empibit No. 289. This is the resort to t Dr. Ding submitted to Dr. Drugowsky for publition. The second o go of this report boors the stomp thereon ad pencilled sign ture. Is that your sign ture? A. Yes, the t's my signature. Q. Will you return the document, ple se? Now, during the ocurse of your air ot ex min tion you make the point ruther emphatic My to t the testimony of Dr. Rogon was inconsistent reg rding the reports which were sent to Poppendick. 5636

0 april-M-JF-11-2a-Burns (Int. scaler)

Tou s id on p ge 1266 "Kogon st ted that the reports dld not go to Poppendick", on p ge 1266 of the record.

Isn't it possible that abgon stated that the resorts did not go directly to poppendick?

tring itto: A June 1, 156; the 1 st perseroph of which re do no full to a 1

We have thoughout co-criticate with Sulf-musica in Typhus o was, a war, without mocease. Kinds, however, her synthecised " ou stende of is inci traylaul home which in a sound corrying Str. t seci, is to such in latent superior to all sulfena dies that it morely receive a conicillin. The intend them of human beings he your still ere to a very unpless at security a mifest tions, seute cyanteis. Movertheless it is morth sensi wrine whether or not beme right tim taste chieff to rade in persons surfering from typhus in rier to entire the efforts to octobe to this roundy. Could you ective a guilder in our efforts to experte with some clinic?"

in there, United to day a to sat a section for Locks on tin th. 7 6 and Litter?

A That is a seiling

Q To a continue - Manurille to pair communication of June 2, 1944, birry dr. 370/44, the Std of by desired - recel to the feat that

The Typius is a right Dept. Default 11 shear experiment with the "decim distributions" apportunit to Venkennel and Kinnin on typhus ease in trace to retarring its affectiveness and competability. There are employed more leaviled data so the vector ready and it is requested that they as further transmitted to SS-Stuber. Dr. Ding, SS-Stuber. Professor Dr. Venkennel furthermore wivises that a certain quantity of the drive ready will arrive here during the next for days. Upon provided this ready will like is to forwarded there.

"Fracesic Dr. Von Konnel considers it very advisable that Dr.
Ding should well on his in his clinic in belong for the purpose of discussing talk r ther different therepy. The necessity for absolute sources in streamed to all institutions concerned.

Migned Poppen Tek"

her, much Dr. VenKennel selection to set as so-between for him and dispending on the dispending to drugowsky and not dispetly to dr. 2/107

. I but them whether Verkonnel personally taked me to be a set them. I that are to him he wrote. He probably wrote to residue. In receive him to notivitie I had been in detail what his said a of many lastin was, and as on. I wrote her he was a hydroist.

5 Will, them you remembed that Dr. Proposedly are chief of the involved of Suchemonia, Windt you? Ding's superior them you wrote this letter?

.. I know that he was a symbolist, that he had come from drug waky's institute and I, therefore, assume that belong belong a to that institute. Not Discharge with the institute in I discharge it that there.

G. Il, no it special in the third per er is a the second of tree, a locality of the show a party will errive and barba to meet for the like its barbar and the meet for the like its barbar will like its be for order there. That is, the large will be for order to a second the large to be be becomed to be a former this large to be becomed to be a second to be a former this large to be becomed to be a second to be a former this large to be becomed to the second to be a s

. W. Ir ally connet tell you that.

9 mril 47-6-750-12-10-070sa (Int., Ranlor) Court I . Did you over receive the drug yourself from You Kennel to send to Buchumald? .. I centt remember that. 2 Well, we will refresh your memory, doctor in Document NO-1182 which is offered as Proceedation Exhibit 477 for identification. Now this letter is dated 15 June 1944. That is the same date as the letter that you addressed to Mrugowsky. This letter is from Ven Enmal to ES-Staniartonfushror Dr. Poppondick regarding your letter dated June 5, 1944 whorein it states as follows: "Standartonfuchror, "I enclose the first sample of the experimental proparation dimminotiphonylsuphono which, for purposes of simplication I have called "VKES." "I point out again that I proposed for the first testing experiments tablet twice, at the most three times, a day; that, quite probably, symposis will occur, which say be averted by intravenous injections of 10-20 com of 1 percent nothyl - blue solution. Hoil Hitlor! Signed Von Kannel" News, then you did receive this, dector, didn't you? A I con't tell you. I really can't remember. I don't rumember having received it, at any rate the lutter is addressed to me, o Dine rimally got it, too, didn't ho? .. I can't toll you that, oither. A Look at the toy of the letter. See the stamp. In the stamp is "Recoived 21 June 1964 - them the mumber 144/44 with the initial D in the corner." Would you say that sould be Dr. Ding's receipt? .. I can't say that. It is possible. 3 Bight days later? I am going into another accurant on the sime subject. It would take two or three minutes to introduce thuse. JUDGE SERRING: Did you idoutify this document by a number? 5664

DR. EARDY: Yos, Prospection Exhibit 477 for identification.

- . May I say comothing also about that document?
- a Cortainly.
- It becomes evident from both lotters that this is a question of a drug which has cortain effects, for instance, cyanosis. I must point out that we physicians know that all sulformaide drugs create evanuels which can be everted by methyl-blue solution. In spite of that sulfamilianide is used today to a large extent. One, therefore, cannot draw the commission that those are experiments which can in may may be considered to be designed. In addition, on that lotter 10-1184 it is necessary to inform all agencies to keep this matter secret. Now, the conclusion can be drawn for that and no other conclusion can be drawn for that and no other conclusion can be drawn is that you Keepel wanted to keep the developments of this on proparations secret because he was institutions a cortain competition with the first involved. For that reason be tagged his research laboratory "y".
- I am not intoracted doctor in the purpose of the experiments of the externe of the experiments, I am morely asking you that you are not us andiery or po-between of Von Kennel and Dr. Ding. Didn't you eccording to this document wherein your signature appears?
- .. You, I alroady said that It it runers to experimental aminals und from this letter I concluded that I deviously sont one or two other letters to Ven Karnel.
- A Now, of those, in addition, you had knowledge that Dr.
 Programmer, color regions: of the Marrin-SS, could make available
 Prollities for an arimentation of any nature at the typhus research
 Portion in Engineeric according to this latter?

I know that Krugowsky Institute sent out secret papers remarking now experiences gained with typhus illnesses, numbers of these people were sent to SS hospitals, where in detail it happened I cannot say. In my opinion this was a clinical test. It was needed to see the SS man in some SS hospitals.

Q To notually show that Dr. Ding notually received the drug

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will now be in recess.

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The hearing reconvened at 1330 hours, 9 April 1947.) THE matsfal: The Tribunel is again in session.

MEL. OT POPPENDICE - Resumed

Da. Flining (Counsel for the defendant arugowsky): Mr. President, the presecution sublitted this morning various occurrents in which the defendent arugowsky is mentioned. In my opinion the prosecution so for as the natural is concerned which it is using against one specific defendant, this leterial must be submitted when the defendant is in the stend, otherwise the counsel for the defendant has no opportunity to defend himself egainst this material. Therefore, I ask the Tribunal sither to order that the meterial now submitted against the defendant arugovery should not be used against the defendant arugoveky or that the defundant aregoveky leter be egain collect to the etend so that he con make state ents regarding this naturial. The same objection I raise also on behelf of keufownn for defendent Audolf Branct who was also mentioned this norming in one of the documents.

Wh. RAFDY: Your Honor, I am afreid that the defense counsel isn't owers of the concept of rebuttal evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: The documents to which defense counsel refers have murely been marked for identification; they have not yet been even offorce in evidence. When they are offered in evidence, nounsel for any defendent may be hourd to interpose eny objection to the admission into evidence which he thinks may be well token. The Tribungl will then rule upon the marissiculity of the documents. Of course, if these operations are offered in evidence at this time or when they are offered, any defendant would have an opportunity to take the stand and explain enthrips in connection with those documents that might refer to him.

CHOSS ATA I FIRM (Continued)

BY .. HARDY:

Just before the recess we were discussing docu ent MG 1182 which was addressed to the deforment Postendick constraint the drug VK 25. 111706

5544

This letter is addressed to you, Dr. Poppendick, and I ask you again tid you receive this first sample of the experimental preparation VK 25, as outlined in this letter from Vankennel?

- A. To speck honestly, I cannot say one way or the other now.
- ... Well, essure that you did receive it, would you have then passed it on to the Hygiene Institute of the Waffen SS for delivery to Ding yourself?
 - A. I can't say that wither.

MR. HabDi: Vell, now, Document NO-1185. We offer this at this time for identification as frozecution ashibit No. 478. This document is dated 21 June 1944. addressed to 55 Hauptstur fuebrer Prof. Dr. Scherlau, Hygiene Institute of the Veffen-SS, and Earr Ding acknowledges receipt of one small bottle of Dismindodiphonylsulfon tablets as testing quantity for the clinical station of Department for Typhus and Virus Research. The tablets originate from Prof. Dr. Vankennel, Leipzig, then in barenthesis, see our letter of June 15, 1949, algoed Doctor Erwin Ding.

When the top of this letter you will notice underneath the word "subject" the words "he: Your letter deted June 19, 1944". Now, taking this letter into consideration and looking back at the letter addressed to you of 16 June 1944, would you state that these samples were sont to you by Vonkenpel and were in turn delivered to the Hygiene Institute of the Waffen-SS who in turn on June 19, 1944, sent them on to Dr. Ding? In that a reasonable explanation of the manner in which Dr. Ping received this drug at Furbentald?

A. I am not in a position to make statements about that route these drugs took. I cannot remember that I received it. It is ouite possible that it went on its ver without my personal perticipation at all.

When the prosecution is a second of the horizon of the horizon of the SS Sturmbonnfuchrer Dr. Veernet. Will you kindly look at the bottom of \$5545

that document and tell me whether or not that is your signature, doctor?

- a. ise, that is my signature.
- sh. HARM: No further questions.

INA PRASIDANT: Any further questions of this witness on the part of any defense counsel?

. Sis armin Exhibits 474 and 475 were sublitted; a letter of - July 19 1 ro, rain- the trint ent of fe cle sterile persons in other words, the trout ant of a woman who is storile. This letter, too, is initi land or si, med by you. There was also another letter submitted concerning sturilization of woman. Do you know of any connection betwoon those two Letters?

in So, as I said before, I and no experience that seever with storilization.

. The second letter is signed, not by you but by Grawits. In other words, you know only of the first letter, Emilit 475?

... You, in connection with the treatment of storile 35-wives and walch this letter concurrence to in my enpactty as a doctor in the Strong t.

. and the doctors here centioned ore Massers, Wolf. Ehrhard, Guntur - they being the doctors to whom this report was inde-

. La last wats make is Schulze; Quantur is the first name; Sculze is the last.

. The that is right, an error, at any rate, you know nothing the third of the second document concurring storilization of ponen. The found out alout it the first tile today?

in Tor, pir, that is ril I have about it.

. Tours is motion downent sublitted, 476, from Reichsfüchrer-SS, wie Payelcion , Tolico and SS concerning to draw VI 25 that was modified out this a rain. I now sature like to sek you wanther you were the capet at expert was wanted with the thousal?

.. Po, Votalianal took or of his attors premaily with the permits the level a I have old de said, I once provided him with ex ori intel and rule and the tie prountly the rouses way VonKennel wrote to no in this connection. That can only a we harmoned this once. Ind therewon I worked on the interes or, it can do have been that Grandtz 5648

struct to especially, but it was not the subject of conversation. Mach there was calk around the office actual matters were discussed; the fring-line situation, the list bombin; on Berlin, or such thinks, but there was so discussion of such thinks as you just mentioned.

DE. SOMER: No further questions, Your Ponor.

the FR size of the continue of the witness by any of defense o uncell

question which I could not mak before because I was ill. I have only one counties.

PRISIDENT: Counsel may proceed,

BY CR. LITT!

- "Itness, you recall the motion of Mchonlychen?
- as You
- . Du know which moting I to referring to?
- .. Y.s, the last conference in the 1944.
- . So t was the comforence row rdin which the presention sublated . List of those present; and you saw tast?
 - . Tub.
- . In this list of participants there is a montion of SSObsertur bounductor Dr. Gross. Do you rescable that? There has been considerable discussion of that here. Do you know this SS-Obersturabeautifector Dr. Gross was took part in this mouting at Wohenlychen?
- i. I do know a Dr. gross who was, I believe, an SS-Morstumbunaroulerer in 1944. He was chief physician of the SS hospital in Ri a and I believe I remember that this Dr. Gross was present at the mother at amendment because I talks I saw his there.
- . The have heard here on several days that a cortain Dr. Gross was a call provider of the co-defend at Dr. Blood you he at that here?
 - .. You.
- . Is take Dr. gross whom you continued and know as the chief physician of Ric identical with the collaborator Dr. Gross who

collings and with Dr. Blood or is that another Dr. Gross?

- that and have been mother Dr. Gross. I know from hearsty that there was a second Dr. Gross who, I believe, was a hydenist. Forever, I do not know him. It may rate, he was not the one I saw at this motion at Echonlychen but, as I said, was the uniof physician in Mr. He was the one who was at Tehenlychen, not the hydenist.
 - . Bid you know Prof. Dr. Blome before?
 - .. I haved the mone once before but I didn't know his personally.
 - . Did you son Dr. Blome at this woting at Hohenlychen?
 - 10.
 - DR. ELITER: No further questions. The k you.
- DR. BORES: Mr. Promident, I am through interreporting the witness Poppossitek. I should marely like now to subsit a few documents.
- the defendant may remain his place in the dock. Counsel may proceed.

 (Witness excused).

DR. BOEHM: Dr. Jung already submitted an affidavit, I should like to read part three, which has not yet been read. This is HPO-2, Poppendick Exhibit No. 2, the part which I shall read is on page four:

43. The personality of Poupendick and his activities:

"I not Poppendick floatingly in 1937 or 1938 and again when I took up by duties in the Madical Inspectorate in Berlin, where he was the shief of the medical department of the Asso and Settlement was called officially. As far as I remember, Poppendick, in this capacity, dealt with the medical supervision of engenic and matrimonial questions which vesched the Race and Settlement Win Office. This department of Poppendick, together with its small group of mole and remain workers, was subordinated in medical questions directly to the Rich Physician toward the middle of 1939. Poppendick worked for the Race and settlement whin Office in this capacity until the outbrank of the work when he was drafted into the army-and, the for as I remember, later as well.

"I arm Poppendick frequently during this time and I ned the opportunity of forming a picture of his personality with I jung, as follows:

Poppondick is a man who is conscientious and reliable in his routine work; beginning surjous, but a bit soft and in most of spurring on. He seemed to be interested exclusively in his specialized graining (internist) and in his professional resting daties in the Hoco and Settlement Main Office. His way of living was calm and a ber, in intercourse with others he was reserved.

5652

"As far as I come into contact with respondice and his work laring the enert visits when I reported in Sorlin during the work nothing seemed to have changed as far as his position and his tasks were concerned; and equally, the reorganization of the office was not much in evidence except the tithere were more people there.

"Leter as well, I never not anybody in the antecarabat except the secretary, and I was not under the
ignoresion that anything and changed in the way visitors
or experts were received or tracted. I never had any
knowledge of the institution of a 'Private Office' in 1943.
There was no outward sign of one.

"I know from conversations with responded that he tried asveral times to be sent to the front again, but it seems that these attempts led to nothing, permaps portly because surpendick was promoted to ranks in accordance with his appointment and it was difficult to give an appointment at the front to a man of his runs and without for at line experience. I remember that when I congratulated him on his promotion to etandertenfuchrer, he said angrily that this promotion was neither in accordance with his intention nor his wishes, because now he could calculate for disself how difficult it would be for him to get a front he appointment.

"as I say asia above, or. Grawitz we a included to a six judgments on external appearances. Containly those or otions as a some econoction with this tendency."

It is signed and certified.

Firther, I sho ld like to red from the clready submitt a Document and 7, exhibit he. 9, the Kirchert affidevit, I should like to read bort 3, which concerns Grawitz office and soppondick's activities in this office.

5053

Court 1 April 9-A-15-16-3-HD-Machan-Brown.

The passage is on page 20 of the Document book:

3. Routine at the Office of Grawitz:

"As Reich Physician of the 53 and Police, Grawitz was my superior officer in the health service, and I and frequently to make reports to him. On these occasions, I had the apportunity to obtain some insight in the workings of his office.

The appointment with Grawitz was made by telephone through his receptionist, Francisin Sommerfeld. It was from Poppandick, whom I had telephoned several times to make an appointment, that I learned that appointments should be made not through him but through Francisin commerfeld. When, at the appointed time, one presented enough in the receiption room of the Reich Physician, where Francisin Sommerfeld sat alone, it was she who announced one's arrival to Grawitz by house telephone.

Percent was in the habit of conducting conferences in complete privacy, irrespective of whether important matters or matters of secondary significance were at stake. The wood to keep the documents connected with the conferences in his dask. If it should happen that a written communication had to be propercy during the course of a conference, Fragulain Semmerfula would be summoned. From this conduct, the impression which I formed was of necessity that the Roich Physician Scharged personally most of the official work, without the cooperation of his colleagues in the balleing.

"Poppendick was Saier of the Personal Office of the moich Enysician SS. As each, he was neither his deputy, nor was no authorized to sign on his behalf, Poppendick's status underwent no change in the reorganization of 1943.

"As for as I con judge, crawitz, was able to deal with his secretary, without further assistance, and seconding to his known methods of working, this was what he liked to do.

"I connot remember ever nating apoken of the treatment of indeports burns with coopendick. These discussions took the with Grewitz only.

"In 1943, several conferences of the localing

Invaloines took place in Grawitz' office, regarding

Invalonal and a dipment requirements. Experiments with

that in boings were never the subjects of those conferences."

arenat. In view of the fact that dudolf Brandt has already been on the stand, I wish to simply draw the standard for the Tribunel to this afridavit. I shall disconse with the reading of it, becomes it concerns itself with the numerous officevite that Rudolf Brandt signed regarding the other defendants. He simply samits here that the streetest that Poppendick and knowledge of original experiments was based not an personal experience, at an the fact that he are seen the ment to that effect here.

The PARSIDENT: Does counsel offer this officevit as an exhibit in the case?

OR. Blank: I have offered it as Exhibit No. 14, but I shall discense with recain, it -- correction Exhibit No. 15.

MR. HARDY: I object to this object as being irregular, i remain as defense coinsel and saple time to exemine the With as Rudtlf Br not when he was on the without stand.

DR. 30EHM: I nevertheless sek the court to admit this Doqument as evidence because I wish to use it in my closing

Court 1 april 9-A-15-16-5-HD-Weeh, n-Brown.

numbery.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection will be over-ruled and the officiant will be admitted as evidence with the privilege of the Prosecution to pross-examine the defondant and olf Brandt if it desires to do so.

MR. HARDY: The Pros_cution will not wish to cross
exemine the defendant Radolf Brandt on this metter,
incomach os the material of Radolf Brandt is in the record
and have a duplication of it.

DR. BOEHM: As the next Document for the present in the persentation of my case for Poppendick, I offer Decement Bo. HPO-15, which is on pages 41 and 42. This will be Poppendick Exhibit No. 14. This is an Excerpt from an article "The Sentencing of Interness" by Dr. Arthur Strautor, Minister of Justice, Nordrheim-Westphalia, published in the Westfelenpost, 51 January 1947. I do not intend to end anything fr m this Document.

This concludes ay case for the time being.

THE PRESIDENT: Is counsel for defendant Wolfram Sievers ready to proceed with his asse?

DH. WZISGERZER for the Defendant Wolfram Slevers: "Inty the presentation of my case for Jolfram Slevers, I intend first of all to call my client to the stand and ask now the Tribunal's permission to do so.

THE PRESIDENT: The Defendant Folfram Sievers will the the witness stand.

WOIFRAM SIEVERS, a witness, took the st nd and testified as follows:

BY THE PRESIDENT:

Q. The witness will raise his right hand and be sworn.

I swear by God, the winighty and Consiscient, that I will speak the pure truth and will withhold and add nothing.

(The witness reperted the oath.) DIRECT EXAMINATION.

BY DR. WEISGERBER:

- were born 10 July 1905 in mildesheim as the son of the onurch musical airector Earnard heinrich and Elizabeth Sievers?
 - A. Yes.
- then went two years to college in hildesheim?
 - A. You.
 - 4. What profession wid you then choose?
 - A. It was my intention to become a merciant.
 - . now long wors you active in this profession?
- A. After two years training I was in a publishing notice; from 1934 I worked until 1935 with a few interruptions in that branch.
- 2. Did you carry out any private studies in addition to our profession?
- A. You; I was interested in historical and religious questions, as well as music.
- Sund or any each novement?
- a. Yes when I was in the Symnaisum, I was a member of the Wandervogel and later I join a the Pfadfinder Sund. (Say Scouts)
- 4. Did ou have any le dim positions in these youth org mizations?
- a. From 1928 on, I led a working community, it was composed of members of various both organizations.
 - w. How long were you so netive?
 - a. Until the Mari Party dissolved these organizations

Court 1 April 9-A-15-16-Y-HD-Machan-Brown. in 1935. . Was your activity in the Youth Movements of a political nature or nonpolitical? a. It was in general unpolitical; its goal was simply the numlthy education of youth and the repudiation of political conersion whether for the right or the left and also demanded respect for deep religious convictions. Q. Mr. Prosident, at this time I submit Document Sievers No. 13 as Exhibit Sievers No. 1, page 30 of the decument book. This is an affidavit by Heinz Ulrich Sieber, which I shall read: *I became personally acquainted with Wolfrag Sievers in the years 1931 through 1933 at Stattgart in the boyscouts novement. At that time I was bout 15 years of age and met hin, who was my elder comrade, often at campo and nikes of the boy equats. He also came frequently to see me at the house of my parents in those days. "Sievers impressed me, as well as the other boys, as a man of singular integrity, at whatever occasion we came into touch with him. In word and deed he was an example of the boy-scouts' virtues; correctness, efficiency and resdiness to help others. "In no way has he influenced us in any party-political sense nor nes he presched natred against other human beings, and thus he helped all the boys, who came in touch with him towards a humanly irreproachable and genuinely independent moral basis for their future lives." New I put in as syldence Slevers Document No. 19, page 48 of Sivers Document Book No. 1; this will be Exhibit blovers No. 2. This is an affidavit on the part of the meronant George adolf dentel, I read the contents: "I met ar. Colfren dievers in the second helf of the twenties 5658

The RAFDY: May I inquire at this time how many such character reference affidavits the defense counsel wishes to introduce? In addition, I should like to inquire if the four witnesses requested by defendant Sievers will be available during the presentation of the Sievers' case this weak, so that I may as well know what type of evidence is being submitted so that I may more or less object to any as I see fit?

DR. FORMER at this time, I simply intend to submit these two documents. In the course of the direct examination, I shall introduce roughly hO documents. Document Hook No. 2, as I have just found out, has not yet been submitted to the Tribunal but I have been promised that Document Book No. 2 will be ready by temperon sorning. The four witnesses, whom I have named, will be available at the correct time and I means that day after temperon when I am through interrogating my client, I can put them on the stand.

PE. HAPDY: Thank you.

DR. BOSH : I continue with my rending of this affidavit:

"I not it would not be severed in the second half of the twenties in Stategart, where he belonged to the youth soverant (formerly twander-vergel'). In accord with this membership, Mr. Slovers was a definite individualist with high ideals, genuine human warmth and a strong feeling of right and justice. At that time he was completely opposed to the what rorty and its methods; he rejected the Sitler Youth, since it was in nature and form diametrically opposed to the youth revenuent of the 'manderveegel' (Manderors). He was an seathers and an upright, frank therefore, for most a thing was useful only if it was also merally good. His mensitive and soft nature was one of his typical characteristics.

"Labor I saw ir. Slevers once wrain, briefly, in Sorlin. At that it no was already working for the !Ahnenorbe.! There again I did not have the impression that or. Stevers and a maged his inner attitude toward the tendency and methods of the Party and toward dusies. In other respects, too, he had remained the same."

and, thun there follows the signature and the certification.

56.59

9 per 47-a - 15-16 - LJG - 2a - Maenan (Int. Brown)

Document Book furnished the Tribunal, contains a further statement in the sifidavit.

DR. BOEH: I am sorry, but I did not understand the Tribunal?

THE PARSIDENT: In the copy of this affidavit in the Document Book,

there is another partyraph.

DR. BORN : Document No. 19, which I have just put in; that is the one you are referring to 1s it not?

THE PRESIDENT: Ios.

DR. BCEffi: I read the essential part of the Document.

THE PRESIDENT: I understood you to state you intended to read the entire Document; that was the reason for my question.

DR. BUELD: No, I simply wanted to road the essential part of the Document, which I shall do in the future with all my Documents.

BY DR. BUELD:

- Q. witness; is it correct that you married in 1935 and that three children resulted from that marriage?
 - A. Yos.
- . Until your entrance in the Nazi Farty, did you have cnything to do with purty politics?
 - a. No, I was not interested in politics at all.
- 4. Around 1930 did not political problems begin to encroach on the youth organisations to high on belonged?
- of party influence and various political parties. There were at test time more than thirty parties in Jarmany who made an effort to recruit the youth. Our efforts were directed to develop the young man's parties availables, but not to take the made of them.
- Q. around 1/30 did you not in the youth organizations a person who was of decisive i portonce in the development of your carear?
- a. You; in 1929 I not Dr. Friedrich Hielschor, who exercised a strong influence ugon so by his luctures before the youth movements.

the first the parties thereafter hid you have any particular centect with this their Hielscher for any special reason?

If contact with Dr; Hielscher was not interrupted after we make onch other's acquaintance. On this it became closer and closer on even in 1929 was of the opinion that the Heri Forty was a danger for our youth organization. In the course of longer, extensive a nearestions we come to the question we would have to recognize this danger. Or. Hielscher suggested that I should apply for membership in the Nasi Farty which I find then in 1929 so that we might have a colorer insight in this langer.

Q What Mid you and Dr. Hiolscher hope to achieve by your couring the MSDAP?

. The only thing that wer important was for us to have insight into the Party's intentions, he did not trust their propagate even at that time.

W Could you remlike this intention at that time? Could you do nowthing remarks as I it?

" Tos, I would nomiove insight into the cultural aspects of the larty and the real set for the youth organizations of the Mazi Party.

4 Did you inform Dr. Hickehor of what you had found out?

. I kept his currently informed.

Q and how lone will you continue this notivity?

.. Until about 1930.

4 For what reason them did you withdraw from the Party in 19317

" Hielscher and I felt that the reasons I joined the Party had been sufficiently not. In militia I was maked to join the Sa which I tilm't want to do.

O Did Dr. Hickether ive you may aposific take r duties in the NSD.17

I was to obtain the get in truch with larging paragraphs of the NEDLF in order to report on them. Further, I was to attend to achieve a position from which I could have insight into the activities of La line pars meros of the Torty.

- f. Gid you succeed in this?
- if Yes. In the Spring of 1935 I succeeded by .ref. Hermann irth to get in teach of the .hmenerte.
 - C Did you know Frof. Wirth carlier?
- . You, I made his coquaintence around 1930. Prof. Wirth lived in Maroury and was a pro-historian and I had been interested in pro-history and was his secretary. I remained his secretary from November of 1932 until February 1932.
 - Q when ild rrof. wirth appear in the whosporbe Institute?
 - A Theo was in the course of the year 1933.
- Q and then in 1935 you turned to prof. Wirth asking him to
 - A No, on the contrary, Iref. Wirth turned to me.
- Or. Hielschor had said you wore?
- . We know that wirth had commetteen with Himmler. I thought I wish have an opportunity of ponetrating data Himmler's staff in this way of having insight into it.
 - 2 les the Ahnenerto Institute of Hismler?
- A woll, it was in so for as hirth surgested to him to create in institute which would concern itself with Nordic research work. Himbler took up that like and that's how the Ahmenerbe became a relatored association on the lat of July 1935 with wirth the president and I became the Secretary-General.
- DR. WEISGIFFER: Mr. Fresident, in that connection I should like to refer to the institution called abneverse. I should like to effer Document Sievers No. 1 which can be found on page 1 of the Document Volume No. 1. This will recome Exhibit No. 3. This is a chart of the personal staff. This chart was already submitted in the Document Volume hudelf broadt. I also include that you not in my decement Volume by purposes of compenison. The office January be ---

in. M.RDY: It's already an exhibit. Is it necessary to give the other exhibit number?

THE PRISIDENT: It will probably make it more convenient to have it numbered in the Document Book but marked duplicate by giving it to they exhibit number.

of the December No. 2 which will become Exhibit Sievers No. 4. This is about any contains a lescription of the Institution then enter the December No. 2 which will become Exhibit Sievers No. 4. This is a chart and contains a lescription of the Institution then enter the name of the Institution of the Institution then enter the December No. 2 which will become Exhibit Sievers No. 4. This is a chart and contains a lescription of the Institution the enter to enable you to the Tribunal a short lescription of the crientisation of the Institution the contains and the Institution the contains and the Institution of the Institution the Institution of the Institution the Institution of the Institution therefore, in other words.

AD. H. DDY: If I understand this correctly the efficient of Slevers is the cortificate with the opent standed therete. In that it? In other words, the chart is part of Exhibit No. 4. Is that a pract?

Di. ELSCARER: The chart is Exhibit No. 4. I think the requester was not quite ploor of ut that.

in. A. D' : That's what I understood; that the chart is Sievers and bit 4.

Dr. MISGEREE: You, Litness, weing this chart will you ploase lescribe the organization of Annenorme? Start from the cresident of work downwards.

The Processor and Chairman of the Amenorea Association, was the helchefuchrer SS Himsler. The Amenorea, speich was to be a requered and reaching association was to be a similar organization to thready existing association or scientific societies which, in eddition to the Universities had to ver certain spheres of research and

5863

support them. The scientif head of the channerbe was the Director of the University Marich, Prof. Quest, who was the Cur ter. Under him were the subcrimetes, the scientif departments, which can be found on the left-head of the chart. There were 46 of such departments.

Separal that there were is ut 15 research assignments and members of those rest amonts, the scientif department, were boared by scientists. They have to be either professors or lecturers at a German University. Superising, these 46 scientific departments and the 15 research escientes and arriving at the fiture of 61, I have to state that 30 of them were professors, that is, people who were residing at German Universities. Fourtier were included a people who are residing at German Universities and 13 of them were second corrected, people who at any time could start a university percent.

litness, we shall come to that later whom discussing subsequent documents. I ten't think we need go into the luthils it this time. Would you plouse explain your own

.. ..s Conorel Secretary, which was later changed into Roich Business Manager, I had to be responsible for and onro for the entire administrative problems of the Sucretory's office, budget, as is set out in the square which one can son undernorth Roich Business Managor. In addition I had to hend the .. hnonorbe Foundation Publishing House which was founded in 1937 and which can be found on the

and now, Witness, on the left bottom half of this chart one can see a little square which rends: "Institute for Militory Scientific Assocrats with seven subordinate logertpunts. .. t this time I only want to ask you when this Institute was founded and offili ted to .hnenerbe?

.. That was in the year 1948.

The mixt Exhibit which will be No. 5 is Document blov rs no. 3 which follows right after Decument Sievers No. 2, Axhibit no. 4. This is the effil wit of welfran Slovers cortifying the correctness of the two charts submitted, namely chart no. 1 and chart no. 2.

us the next deschant I offer Sievers No. 3 which oan b. found in Document Book Sievers I on proc 3, that will become manibit No. 6

JUDGS SERRING: what was counsel's Exhibit 5?

DR. ALISG RESR: Axhibit 5 is Document No. 4 - Document No. 4. I think I made a mistake right new. Decument No. 4 is to be found on page 5 of Decum at Volume I.

Than I offer Document Sirv rs No. 3 which is found on 1 60 3 in Document Volume I which will be Exhibit Sievers

No. 6. This is a compilation of the Scientific Departments of the Ruse reh and Instruction Society channerbo. They are listed here - the 46 departments which already appear on the chart and it is stated here quite briefly what the scientific activity of the various departments was. I don't deep it accessary to read this decument in its entirety and I should like the Tribunal to take judicial notice of it.

On the second page of this decement one finds another list of research assignments which were already mentioned by my client. The last listing is the Institute for Military Scientific Research of the Waffun SS and they led Police. This includes the departments: 1. Research 2. Floatner, and 3. Hirt, and then 4. Interpological Institute. Then, a. Military Scientific Department for Chaik Goology, Mathen Sical Department, and finally, the Institute for Broading Research.

do that document? You were already speaking about the 46 scientific departments and about the various research assignments and we arrived at a total of 61. The departments and the people in charge of research assignments you said were 30 professors, is lecturers, and furthermore there were 13 meeting graduates who were lecturers at universities and four legals research workers?

- .. Yos, that is correct.
- I am now interested about the political new sup of this: 61 persons. One you tell us senething as to what extent this: 61 people were manbers of the Sa, NaDaP, ate?
- ... It was not compulsory that the Computation houls of the ... incherbe were to be numbers of the Party or the SS. Of these 61 persons 47 were numbers of the Party, 28 were members

of the SS, 33 were not in the SS and 14 were not even in the Party.

G Could y is sublivide those fourteen a little further?

andl, 5 of those 14 were political pursucutions, two were both lie alorgana, and three were foreigners.

Thank you. That is sufficient.

When Sievers I on page 7 which will become Exhibit Sievers No. 7.

This is a decement which was already submitted to the IMT as Document 48875. It contains the statutus of the besearch and Teaching Society Amenorbe. I sak the High Tribunal to take judicial mitice of that document and I only consider it expedient to rank paragraphs 5,6,7, and 3.

"5. Mracters.

"The directors of the Reserren and Teaching Society consist of .. "
This can be found on page 9 of the Document Book.

"I. The president who is at the same time the chairman and legal representative of the registered society in the sames of rer. 26 of the German Civil Code.

"2. The curator.

"y. The Reich Susiness man for.

"The helensfuchror-88 is the president.

"b. resi ont.

"The president stance at the head of the nest reh and Teaching Scalety. He is in charge of:

Mi. the direction of the Research and Terching Society. He desides on the distribution of justiness and Seteraines the individual tages:

#2. He appoints and dismissus the curater and the height admistrator;

#3. He appoints oh! dismisses the numbers;

"A. He authorizes the budget;

"5. He alone is suthorized to undertake alterations of the

charter and to dissolve the society, in as for no this is permissible enter the existing local regulations.

97. Curitira

"The cure, r is in course of the circutives given him by the prosident.

Mb. . ich p'ministrater.

"The holds business immager will deal with the society's business half root mostle for business or mission and similarities, for irraing up and business of housest accounts."

dence; we refersion a stillistic business wer?

h Mr. In 1935 I mirrody had an income of about 600 marks in that profession, whoreas Second up Demonstrate of Channello only paid 100 marks a month.

then expectations you will have for it the jubilities purinces?

a Mr. Only in the part log I be desired, when was attended to the 700 perks or sinth. I we say that in the partition of I may our I would not be used in a constant.

is note that organizer to into an estimated with your position to the absolute or the year line to appear such saventagos?

The state of the contrary. I is married at the end of 1934. By state of in the religion of mainers and very few religions as a denoral semi-darp of the elements. I had to make my flat you reside at faith, but it was only in 1930 man I succeeded in finding an apartament in the matter than that the top operated from a wife and body and partament in the part of the matter than the partament.

which will the to become this position as which using a factor of the character and you will probably zeroe with the that it is not say to collect argues would be propored to take into become

9 pr.-4-GJ-19-1-Sirisi-(int.Ranler)-G art 1 A This separation naturally made the work more difficult, aspeof fly sin. I had to go very often to Wusst at Munich while the other which wheat repeat My visited me in Berlin. Cwing to the special separation it became necessary that I repeatedly had to represent Weest at conferences and has to sign letters relating to matters which really " not bolom, within my spere of traks. Q The presecution in connection with the counts which are here un or the indictment has subsitte a number of letters which bear siproture. I am at first interested to know wether the entire corresponfunce arriving at the Abhancebe went through your hunds. A That was not the case. Because of the independence of the decontracted names and the decentralized creanization of the departments it came ub ut that a large amount of the smil Hid not as through me but arrived at the departmental heads, respectively. They, in turn, when leg reant matters came up submitted these matters to the curator Prof. most. Q Could you yearsolf rior any research assignment to be carried ut in the framew rk f the abnoncribe? A N , I would not be that, Only the proceedent, Himmler, or the curator, west, and to that. at a later time we shall come back to the fact that in one or ther cases you ild that, neverth less, but at any rate we shall talk thrut that later. The abnoncroe mly boilt scientific tasks? A In accordance with its or unisotion its tasks only dealt with cultural in scientific work and actually carries such work through. Q and i'r the first time, was the Annenepte given tasks which runically no a thin t ! with that stelety? a That happene in the lat of January 1942, by reter of Himmler with he releved that an enthance ical institute to rectal. Q I wit in His der dec tent reer? a T. the abnenerbe. 4 Was the Ahm merbe at that time an office within the deichsfuchrer 55 reprinati n7 5670

9 mpr.-1-5J-19-2-Biolsi-(int.Remler)-C trt 1-

- A Yes.
- Q Well, the impartment chief was 'ref. Weest, wasn't he?
- a Yes, that is true.
- Q Did the Ahmenorbe in subsequent periods receive tasks which or anically lidn't belong to it?
- A at the beginning of Larch 19h2 Dr. Mascher approached the Ahmenerbe and informed them that Hismler had ordered that the Ahmenerbe woul' take over his work at Isohau.
 - Q Hart you known this Dr. Assenter from before?
 - A Yes, I had kn wn him since the year 1939.
 - Q Inwhat connection did you make Dr. Hascher's acquaintance?
- A Woost at that time was informed by Binnier that a certain Dr.

 Bischer who to receive a limited of cancer illnesses. This assignment
 who not to carried out because of mascher being traffed into the Luftmaffer in this manner in opposite a because superfluous as regards a
 science when had really a thin to the Absorber be.
- any such assignment fiven to Dr. Rescher?
- name to nature has knowledge of any departmental head by the name of Rasselver, an article appeared in the well-known porticical called "These cek" in which quite exciting results in cancer research were centioned, and the mane of Dr. Rasselver was pointed at as the departmental head in the abuse row. I into distely a tific curator musat, as well as Himmler, I that from that a the lastwaffe. Since must and I will not approve of this incomment the Lastwaffe. Since must and I will not approve of this incomment, each of Dr. Rasselver to put Himmler into the forer and are rejecting attitude revives itself when Rasselver once are appreciated in 1962 at the Annoncomment.
- A I now o so to your participation in the high altitude appropriations of Dr. Rosener, and I shall have the Decement R & Monter 2 of the Proceeding about to you.

fill you please turn to page 61, and page 59, in the English Book trinslation. This is the Document Mc. 15181-A, Exhibit 48 of the Prosecution, as it can be seen from this document you made an inquiry on the 9th of March to the 9th of "arch 1942 to the personal staff of the Reichefushrer SS. The inquiry referred to Dr. Rascher, since this inquiry itself is not available as a recument I should like to ask you to toll us from your own memory what its subject was. a as for as I remember Rascher at that time turned to Wuest, who was in Munich, and then to me, and told us that cortain research work which he was carryin, but by order of the Luftmaffe at Dachau were to supported by the administration of the shnonerbe. Since he, however said that he wasn't allowed to give as any more details I turned to the personal staff of the colchefuebrer SS in order to wain more information about that matter. Q that support was to be siven to Dr. suscher on the part of the ministration of the abnenurbe? a He was to be supported regarding the supply of equipment, apparatus, and the establishment of connections with scientific institutions with whom collaboration so not to be necessary with reference to reschorts work. This support was not used at the beginning because the inftwaffs rade everythin, that was need available. Q Did you at that puriod of time know - that is, when receiving the letter of the 21st of "arch 1942 - that this ecocorned with experia mits on human bointe? A This may become evident to me when on the basis of this letter lated the 21st of March 1962 0 talker to Dr. Hascher at Dachau. Q chan was that? A That was at the and of March 19h2, or in the 1st of April. At may rate, it was after this letter - either the and of March of the beinning of April. Q Had you been in any concentration case before that late? 5072 A New

9 mr.-m-GJ-19-3-Biolsi-(int.Ramler)-

Court 1-

9 April-A-GJ-19-h-Birlsi-(int.Remlor)-C Hurt 1-

Q Could you enter the concentration camp at Dachau in the subsequent period of time without any ADO?

A No. For that purpose an express approval was necessary, an approval and a directive by Hammler, which was done during that first visit. After that I had no report to the commandant of that camp and has to sign a statement which obliged me to keep secreey, and furthermore, I was not to talk to the immates regarding personal matters. It was always necessary to report to the commandant personally if at may time in the future a further visit was to take place.

- 7 It was at the end of March 1942 when for the first time you came in contact with Dr. Rascher in the Concentration Camp at 2 Dachau?
 - A That's right.
- Q Were at that time the high-altitude experiments of Dr. Rascher started?
- A Tes, these experiments were already being carried out for a little more than one month. That is, ever since the 22nd of February 1942 but that is something I only found out here through the testimony of Neff.
- Q Up to that period of time you know nothing of these corporiments?
 - 2 NO.
- I Is it correct that on invitation of Rascher you watched one such experiment?
- in Yes, that is correct. Then on that day I arrived at Dachau, of course, at the und of Narch Dr. Rascher introduced Dr. Remberg to me and we then carried out an experimentat the pressure chamber. I matched two of these experiments.
- I fid participation of those experiments fall within your tasks as they were defined by the order of Himmler?
- A No, it didn't belong to my task but Rascher requested no to waten these experiments.
- That did you find out about the experimental subject on this occasion, and what did you know about the course of these experiments and I am speaking of the experiments which you yourself watched?
- " " number of experimental subjects were there. The experiments were carried out by Dr. Rowberg and Dr. Rascher. I spoke to those experimental subjects both of whom received no harm and energed completely fit after the experiments. One person who had lost his consciousness during the experiment afterwards refused to believe that he had become unconscious. This phenomena interested me and I entered into

a conversation with that can since I couldn't imagine that one wouldn't know about the fact of having become unconscious. In that connection the can pointed to a number of figures which he wrote turing this experiment which were not interrupted in his opinion.

- Q Was Dr. Rochers prosent during these experiments?
- A You, Br. Romberg was present.
- o bid you discuss with these experimental subjects whether they were volunteers?
- I spoke to both of them since I was interested in that patter. Bascher had tall me that these experimental subjects were volunteers, and Himmler coefficient that. For that reason I asked whether himse people had volunteers! for these experiments. The enswer was under that more people had volunteered for the experiment than could be used at the moment. I inquired what the reason was that they we hand send for such experiments and the reply was made that in this came every opportunity is maised to improve one's condition. This was a new train of thought for me because up until that time I had never been in a concentration came.
- Idd you report to Alcolor about these two experiments which you attended at the end of March, Rascher hinted this in his letter of 5 world 19h2addressed to the Seichsfuchrer SS? In this connection I refer to mage 62. This is the letter by Rascher to the Reichsfuchrer 36, dated 5 world 19h2 which is Decument of the Presecution 1971—h. Smithit h9, which is to be found an age 60 of the Saglish trans—lation. In this letter it says SS (Decretar handfuchrer Dichl took the for a few days matching experiments and probably may have given your brief report. Did you report to Marcher about what you had seen in Dachma and who was that?
- A In view of the way Ruscher expressed binself it is important to state that he is northly expressing an assumption. Easter 17h2, that is on the 5th of April, shorthly after I had soon this experiment I discussed with Himmler, Cortainly I wasn't in a position to give him

9 April 47-4-ATD-20-3-Burns (Int. Renlar) Court No. I report about it for the technical pro-requisites or anything that would have enabled no to give him a correct description I lacked. ? Rascher in this letter mentioned that you had been very interested in this work. How do you explain this passage in Rascher's letter? A This letter written by Reacher to Himler is not known to me, which also applies to the entire correspondence of Rascher with Mirriar or vice versa. For that reason I can only assume that Rascher chose this expression in the letter to Himler in order to score a point with Grandta with whose visit he was probably not esatisfied . If he speaks about my interest, he probably mentions it because according to Mindorts order I had to carry out the support which I had been ordered. Q at that time in Dachau you recognized that human experimental subjects were used during these high-altitude experiments. apart from the order which you, did you have - didn't any certain consequence arise for you corsonally from that fact, even if I take into consideration that these two experiments which you observed had no fatal outcome? A Yes. I personally rejected these experiments on human beings. I rejected them without being in a position to judge whether they were materially and essentially necessary. Q Did you communicate this inquent of yours to any higher ngeneied? . You, when I reported to Himmler at Easter 1942, ordered to attend to field headquarters, that is, to report regarding other matters, I stated to him that I had been to Dachau and that I there witnessed a high-altitude experiment, and that I was personally rejecting such experiments. 1 And how did Himler re-act to this statement? A Hirmler spoke to me and gave on some long explanation. He stated that experiments on human beings were always carried out where

nothing else would do. He said that we were concerned with saving our German fliors when conducting these experiments, and he asked me whether I when rejecting to such experiments was not indicating that not all was being done to save German soldiers.

Q Was the furnishing of experimental subjects your task?

a. No, all of these experiments had to be personally approved by Ricciar. Minuter personally issued further directives to the Reich Security Main Office (RSHA). That is, to the competent agencies there. Winnier during that conference told me that only volunteers were used for these experiments, and in case these experiments may have a fatal result only those criminals condemned to death could be used and in no circumstance were any political protective prisoners to be used.

Q On this occasion, during that Easter conference of April 1942, dight you one more ask Hirmler to give such research assignments to mother institute, as this one given to Dr. Rascher?

is attracent but that in spite of that I asked him to consider whether it would not be more correct — that such medical experiments were transferred to the aphare of the Reichsart SS, since he was at least more computent in regards these experiments than the chamberbe was.

a Word you successful when voicing these misciwings?

A Unfortunitely, no. Himler stated that no person in the world was asking no to carry out these experiments. I should have to leave to him that his directives were correct. He maither wanted Gravita nor enyone class included in these research activities, but mental to maintain them directly at his own control. He said expressly that it was because he wanted to exercise a personal influence since he presently carried the responsibility.

THE PRESIDENT: We will now adjourn until mine thirty tomorrow orming.

/947 10 april-M-GJ-1-1-Foster-(int.Ramber)-Court 1

Official Transcript of the A,crican Military
Tribunal I in the matter of the United States
of America, against Karl Brandt, et al,
lefendants, sittin at Muernberg, Germany, on
10 April 1947, 0930, Justice cals presiding.

The Mannable, the Judius of Military Tribunel 1.

Military Tribunal 1 is now in session. God save the Udited Status of America and this Tribunal.

There will be order in the court room,

THE IN SHEEM: hr. Marshal, will you assertain that the lefen-

THE ARSHALT May it please your Henor, all the defendants are prosent in the neart with the exception of the Defendant Oberhouser, absent due to illness.

THE PRESIDENT: The Scoretary-General will note for the record the research of all the 'eferciants in court save the Defendant Oberhouser theorem on account fillness, pursuant to doctor's certificate which will to Files. Defendant Oberhouser may be excused from attendance, it appearing that her interests will not be projudiced.

in Marriage a representative of the Mar Crimes Group who has requested purphers a of the Tribunal to interrocate the Defendant Heven this morning.

The defense counsel of the Defendant Heven has agreed and prosecution is more than the such interrocate in Tribunal should see fit to dismiss Heven this morning for this interrocation.

TREATH SHEENER On the statement of counsel for the presention and agreement of counsel for the effects, Defendant Hoven may be excused from attendance before the Tribunal this marning, subject to the interrogation which has been mentioned, it appears that his accuse from the Tribunal this marning will not proper ice his interests.

10 april-W-GJ-1-2-Foster-(int. Renlar)" dourt 1-Grunsel may proceed. WOLFRAN SHLVERS - Resumed DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) BY DR. BISCAULTE (Counsel for the Defendant Stovers): Q Witness, I remind you that you are still under outh; you were sworn in yesterlay. A Yes. Q Witness, yestermay at the ond f the session we had reached the question wother you were successful your mis ivines to Himmler which went to the affect that the attachment of the department Rasener and Hirt to the Abmemorbo was alien to its tasks and that you for certain reasons underworld to keep these departments out of the Ahmenerbe seciety. Did Himmlor on this occasion state that the execution of further research assignments were to be carried out with the supert of the Ahmenerbe? a Yes. Wirmler acts that in addition he wanted the research work of frefersor wirt linked to the whoenerho since ne considered that to be of the utpost importance. We then discussed the Lat experiments of which firt had spoken to me, and in which connection he had formerly carried at an erimente at the military sections academy on ACC's. Himmler then months usly lucional that such experiments would have to stop. He said that the were being carried out mainst the will of Hitler, non commiselence officers were more in reant to soldiers. I was told to tell Hirt that he would thee to report to Himmler about his work in detail and I and to transmit Himsler's wish to him that Birt in addition to his animal experiments, would make a few practical experiments on human beings. Himmler, who ord intilly tante! Hirt to report to him personnelly-but Hirt was ill-caked me to relieve Hirt of all his work, which would keep him away ir a mis real restorch socivity. In this minur I came into contact with Elet or Train his work. 4 How, do you illeass this situation that any tains parties into wich you to p the bosis of Wirel e.s open? a subsequent to this Sjection I raise with similar and which had n success, I speke to by reportmental su perior Turst 5679

10 April-W-GJ-1-3-Poster-(int.kumler).-Court 1

We maintained the point of view, however, that Himmler's orders were to be carried out. I than discussed this question with my secretary, and told her that I disliked this entire development. I discussed this question also with some of my friends, for instance, Dr. Hielscher.

Die discretter: er. President, in this connection I intend to submit an affidavit of Frau Dr. Schmidt. This affidavit is contained in document Volume II. I have this serming that this will be compiled burin the course of the morning and it is expected it will be delivered to the Tribunal in the afternoon.

- . Didn't any explose of these reports arrive t your
- .. We, the entire scientific affeir, the execution, was dealt with in Breet controt with Bim lor and Racchar. herefor rade a secret report entitled in order so save
- . It apports blue this accret report was sent to .Inotterio, it loost a cop of it; for that rone a thore is remain for the assumption you at locat gained knowledge of this recept?
- a. I wouldn't want to a relute the possibility than this re rt ve cla cont to the dimener o. It ray have been on the distribution list. Newswar, I didn't read there countifie regerts. The hid not real within an Breks. I know n biling . Don't its tochnic'l spect. I want t interlated in it, because it only ... It with modical quartiens. in that onses I rec! the usual survery which could 'o form, at the one of any mer report; and these reports, as ir they fidn't emeon accord , were of ther translittle to The lar, or west, or to the despotant hopertuntil fund.
 - . I a all new 1 ve the Document I do B of the Priseout to shown to the; I so the like to like in branche ch Is contained in the Decement, NO-226, Excite 75,

en page 123 of the German text, and page 127 of the English text. This is a letter by you to the Personal Staff of the Raichs Fuchror SS, dated the Sist October 1940. In passage 2 you write those that the high altitude employees would have to be continued and that therefore the low pressure clamber was again required. For Ath this letter originates

- Inocorre in Number of appeared a number of appeared the place, at which Mascher was present. Minited asked Enselor how the situation we reparated how pressure claritors. Rescher said that the lew pressure claritor beautions has him a craft of a letter address that I should sent him a craft of a letter addressed to provide, which he wanted to sent this intent a descript to descher's instructions I can't this iren't to Branch, including this letter which jou layer just submitted to me.
 - 9. Mare to Fight eletitude opportunity then continued?
 - " of use the Low pressure character dignit return to Dochau.
- The deal true recis, an account to it that this low procumes of the for limits on a fact to Dacheu?
- We, that was personal by ostablishing a contact with the color mostablish of a few competent of the class of the six Person of these and the LVL, which were known to be, his own, it could be prevented, that, in solds I the express office the limiter, this low promoted, that, in solds I the express of the legith of the express of the legith of the legith.
- 1. I now out order your activity in competito with the Lit citizate experients of Dr. ascier very briefly. You receive the refer from the leaser Dr. stretch in the experience onto at Jackson to retting for bin all the

accountry laboratory equipment. I state in that connection that ut the time this order was issued by Himler, Dr. mostly had already been carrying out his experiments for a puriod f aproximately four wooks. In addition I state that the lew prossure charles was not furnished in ou. Upon Receior's request you participated in two high altibude experience no day, during which or. Remberg was also present. He har dul results could be aggerthined after these experiments in the experimental subjects. It is experimental subjects confirmed to you that they in voluntoured for there expert onte. Hereupon The and a slart ro, ro to limber chout those experiments, cal upon whis commain about Whilliam not to assistate this with of angelor or the absonorbe, accessing which The lor strictly rejected. Turing the course of there experience you took so notice of any reports of Handler, and bl secret report which I provi usly discussed only arrive at the almon roo at a time when those experiments i already been concluded. You yourself state that you Attention that secret reart. You abit, however, the belillity flaving run the final sendence. You wrote two ther letters, the subject of which was the new furnishing of a 1 w pressure of there, and in large outline this is the mount of to the wester to high will build of arthomis; is to at correct!

- Two, if it is true, in bits e uncetion I did my best.
- . As a little revert to this question, anding the swinging properties a state of the contract with the coll of the coll of the contract with the coll of the
 - . I mad with the Lord to the after the father with in

85 . of the Presenting, and 16 of the former tout and

totals Tucker to at Dr. . seler of 22 optorhor 1942;

"Summativer Siev to g . I'm then easy." The rept. 1

10. TES

control the intertal is supported the cell of or or order

by Mr. West r at the a mountrision comp Decker. One could

today a therfree that you has received after inter oligio

5884

po - 17 of the English cout; any fine a letter cont by the

the the second principal it signs Font to SS Obereturn-

Q. Now, would you to good one gl to turn over one page, and you will there find br. a selects letter ated 3 Describer 1942. Elis lotter is alwayingly director to Dr. Rulelf Br mit. It boo mes evident from that letter, and I am referring to the last lines on page 17 of the decument brok, that accord but turned to you in a number of actions, is the b cornect?

4. Met. I sinkl revert to the low programs elember riofly, he says love of a be turned to see in rear to t a stope repurling the less processes of ribor. I di into depending white thete. It strikes the bear of this request by Receive, only is toy then him der arrived at Tuniel ... then to his solf gave ro the cutter to cond him Mis Janut latter, which was coviously lisenssed, Do further once ti tic turns to so regarding a teletype saich Lake if r the fermishing of we can for the purpose of these un originate. Since Ti clos to elpotely lebuol orders r or ing the furnishang of experimental subjects, there was not be best for as to to.

1. - Linkt in the provide or to a second or litery or anty we Yes, I to other that in the state to good my to recor of Minuler, as to had been included in Bracker's experiments by the approval of Minuler. Himself probably her realized in the mountime that for the purpose of the elastication of these scientifically very extensive and difficult questions Resolve alone would not be sufficient. Firt, because of his state of health, could not come to funish for one day, and for the reason asked that everything be propored beforeland, that he could main insight into all the work results which had been abtained so far. I told Resolve to proper ever thing according to Birt's desire. Professional criminal was presented for the purpose of this experiment.

1. The that a professional criminal who had already been condemned to leath, and low did you know whether it was such a criminally

It has files, because there as a preficility that this experiment would and family. We contoned who family the continue we furnished by the Orbital Telies by report of the Colp Absinistration. We see that this as a contened field been passed by a regular court, and it became evident therefrom that this man than the property of the triangle of the contened recently to leath because of the ran whather is been that this experiment adjut and fatility, whereupon the content that this experiment adjut and fatility, whereupon the content that the experiment adjut and fatility, whereupon the content real that he was well made of that. Fo said to be would have to die courts of a house on all criminal, he was a criminal because he couldn't be anothery less, and that he decrease descriped leads.

" "11 you convined a president that he had no disper-

that you were on good footing with Amsehor, and that you had personal interest in scoing that Rascher be transferred to the Emffon SS from the Duftwerfee, is that correct?

I. Whicher ever since 1942 on accounted and pursued the aim to jut Resolve into the SS, and have him transferred from the laftwarfe. He erfored me to take care of this personnel matter. It isn't correct that I we personnelly interested in it, if only for the resonnel for Resolution was only naturally bransferred from 1946.

C. Bill Aracher reportedly Lagrens upon you to necelor to lie transfer to the inflow SSY

he helieved that owing to the close relationship to the same were the on such better in the Chiffen SS. In the same way then her one always proveing in this master.

10 wril-M-JP-9-1-Karrow (Int. Realer) Court I Q. In other words, you want to say that you didn't further the transfer of Buscher to the Suffer-SS on your own initiative nor did you meter it. A. I was not interested in it at all and only on the basis of this insistence which was displayed by these two parties I wrote a letter. Q. How, would you please on good enough to turn to Page 86 of the document volume which is before you? This is a report about a so-called "Gold Genforcage" dated the 26th and 27th of October, 1962. It is to be found on page 88 of the English translation. Did you receive this report in the andenurse? a. I derenially didn't receive it and I don't resember having seen it amphoru. Q. Didn't Egrator Wgost receive that report? A. I don't policyo so. The scientific work in connection with fischer, which only concerned Himsler nersonally, was always dealt with directly by Resoner and Himmler. These matters were only sent to waset when Simpler actually sent anything to suest. I don't believe that this the shapened in this particular came, at lay rate, whent never told so mything about that. These respets and the resourch assignments which were just discussed buy completely outside the interests and sphere of 5040t. 3. What do you know about the so-called dry cold experiments of Dr. Bascher. 4. I only know good these experiments on the ouris of Himslor's order which was cout or similar to soul and Gravitz because of the firmishing of the equipment. I don't mow whether these experiments ver containing carried out. at any rate, I only found out about that here in this court room. as a proroughlists for the execution Heacher said that it was measury that they be carried out in the mountains. Histor had also preced that these operiments be carried out on the termin of the bount in Ville at Onfolfold. I was to see to it that

1) arti-w-JF-4-1-Karrow (Int. B. lor) Court I deen odditions were available there. Investigations, however, proved to t the terrain of Sudolfeld was not suitable for that purpose, at the sale time I had heard that there were a sufficient amount of cases of freesing to be found at front hospitals. I therefore asked Ruscher why it was necessary for him to carry out any further experiments. He evaded my question and merely declared categorically tout he would have to abide by Himmler's order. Q. What your did that occur? a That was at the end of 1942. Q. The order Was at the end of 19427 a. afterwards there endued that conversation with Rascher in connection with accommoditions. i. and that was intended for the winter of 1943 and 1944? a. No. for 1942 and 1943. Since the terroin at Sudelfeld was not suitable, some other place had to be found and I handled this matter very negatively. Rascher pressed me on that matter and missiler Was rather indignant, but, at any rate, I couldn't create a house by symulf. Histor subsequently ordered that proper tions be made that these experiments could at least be carried out in the next whiter. I think I made a distant. I think it must have seen the winter of 1943 to 1944. I'm our, it was 1943 to 1945, and I talke that florwards dimmler said that proportitions be made for 1964 to 1945. These experiments, however, were never carried out necrose Rascher w.s Inrusdy arrested in the enring of 1944. Q. In that case you are saying that these dry cold experiments wer not curries get in the mount ing in the winter of 1948 and 1944. Tou assisted in the failure of these experiments being carried out because you delived finding a muitable accommodation? as 105. Q. I il not shortly summarizing your testimony with reference to to sount which concerned itself with cold amountants.

10 April-N-CP-4-3-Karrow (Int. Rapler)

0: art I

NA. H.-DY: If it plans Your Home

MR. HADY: If it plans Your Honor, the defense counsel has put questions to the witness and the witness has testified to these questions. I rolly think summations after each experiment are unnocessary here. That can take place in his closing statement.

THE PRESIDENT: A short summation on the part of defense counsel might be in order, as long as it does not contain too much repetition.

DR. WILSGERER: Tos. Tour Honor,

SY DR. "MISOURGE:

- Q. You accident lip attended the completion of a cold experiment of Dr. Rescher at Dachen. You had a on no records about Dr. Rescher's experiments and received no knowledge about them in any other way.

 The farmishing of the experimental subjects for the rewarming experiments were not your obsides, and you actually had nothing to do with it. You intended further experiment under the directations which you have previously described. You know nothing about any dry cold experiments being carried out in Dachau itself. You have succeeded in having deligned and finally completely frustrated into dry cold experiments in the neutraline. Is that correct?
 - A. You, that is correct.
- Q. after sourching your wind, dign't you do chything in that connection which went outside the orders which were given to you by Eumiler?
 - a. Jo, in ac way at all.
- 2. I now come to the next count of the indictment, and rice experiments. The proc cuties also connects you with that count of the indictment. During the course of this trial it was mentioned that a contain Professor Schilling was a raying out malaria experiments in the concurr tion cump of Because. Wes this Dr. Schilling a member of the absence to besiety?
- A. He muither collamned to the annumeros nor to the Institute of Military Audie 1 Specific. 6891

Q Did you have anything to do with malaria experiments which were conducted by other physicians or research workers, he it in contentration camp or in another research place?

A Neither the Annenerbs nor the Institute for Military Medical Besserch, nor I personally, participated in melaric experiments on human beings.

Q I shall now have Document Volume IV handed to you. Would you please turn to Document NO-721, Exhibit 126 of the Prosecution, so be found on page 15, page 10 of the English translation. We are here concerned with a file notation signed by you concerning a consultation with Dr. May at Munich on 1 April 1942. Would you look at managraph four. This paragraph seems to be in contradiction with what you have so far tentified.

A With reference to this plan discussed with Dp. Hay it was only entomological question and investigations remarding combatting inserts.

Q Well, puragraph four specks about observations unde on convicts. How about that?

A Well, we are here concerned with information which Himmler issued when he ordered the erection of an institute for combatting indecim. It was to be the tesk of that institution to combat insects who were plaguing human beings, such as flies, lies, and bugs. "It has noted to see that the results were to be at his disposal in the numer of 1942. The notation which is available here was dictated in Berlin to my secretary after I returned from Munich. The fact that the mane Schilling is misprinted here as Schling indicates that the same Schilling was completely unknown to me at that time and, furthermore, the witness viewes has here testified that Schilling was may concerned with exceptions of malaria termina - was not make tropics.

This notation bears the date of 3 April 1942. From the point of view of time it is after your consultation with Dr. May in Tunich. During that consultation it became very clear that any elections on human beings were out of the question, at least as far as the order went that Dr. May had received. Now it is somewhat surprising that in your notation, dated the 3rd of April, we find this paragraph four. There was really no reason for any such interior being made at that time, was there? How do you explain this paragraph four being included in this notation?

A My consultation with Dr. May on the first of April was the first one with him. I already said that Himmler had been speaking about those experiments, and May remediately declared that an abservation of convicts and using medical equipment in Dachau was out of the question since entomological research work was not being considered. And, he said that he had his own methods in corrying out that him of research work.

Q. Mr. Precident, in this connection I should like to submit not becoment Stavers No. 11 and I offer it as Stevers Exhibit No. 8.
This is to be found on page 24 of Decement Volume I. It is an affidavit hade by Millegard Molff. I read the following paragraphs, at rilly 11th paragraph two, and I quote:

"From I March 1957 until 1 July 1943 I worked as a secretary in the Rosecrch and Instruction Society "Ahnanerbo", Ros. Assit., for the Roich Susiness Manager Velfra: Slevers.

"Norr Slovers reportedly have no assignments to complete, and

"From the memorandum of 3 Levil 1942 on the conference with it. May in Number of 1 April 1942 that was shown to be I remember that it. Sievers told be in the course of the very racid dictation that I include as paragraph four of this note Himber's rotary and during a telephone convergation on I January 1945 to an institute at Decima."

I skip the next three paragraphs and I reed the last paragraph on Tage 24. And I quote:

"At any rate, in this case the wording of paragraph four clearly resours the opinion of Himmler's and not one of Herr Sievers who, as I positively know, was at that time not yet familiar in the national state and who also later repeatedly expressed his annoyance arous the fact that Himmler had in any way connected the "Immererber with concentration camps."

Then follows the certification.

With the malaria experiments the Prosecution relies on a number of antries you make in your diary. I shall now have the diary of 1945 and 1966 shown to you.

Pronacution, to be found in Document 3456PS, Echibit 123 of the Pronacution, to be found in Document Volume III. This is to be found on page 55 of the diary and page 169 of the Document Book III of the Pronacution which only contains a few excerpts of the diary in its English translation. In your diary under the date of 22 of Pormary 1966 there is a notation about a conference with Dr. May with reference to a collaboration with Dr. Pleitner and Professor Schilling. It, therefore, appears that some connection existed to be been Dr. May and Professor Schilling.

In the situation is as follows: In January 1964 immulor told to on the occasion of a conference that Schilling's reports would have to to the entemployical institute of Dr. May for their information.

In the occasion of this conference of 22 February, ball with Dr. May,

I wild Wr. May about this order of Hismler. Dr. May replied that

there was nothing he could to with these reports and wanted to know

"I are wore being sent to him. He rejected a collaboration with

Schilling. Schilling himself nover cent these reports.

the entry of the 29 of March 1943, which is to be found on page 164 of the Emplish translation. Under that date of 29 March 1943 a conference is mentioned that you hald with the curator and departmental chief West, which refers to the appointment of 25 Mauntsturmfuebror Ploetner. How about this conference-this also appears to be in some connection with malaria experiments.

is considered by the prosecution m an important siece of evidence.
For that reason I shall fill in the time until the recess by briefly discussing that diary with you. With reference to your activity as easiness manager of the abnormance society it was this position which

caused you to keep this diary, which was done very extensively and

very carefully. was this corely a hobby on your cort?

- a. On the controry, it was a rather tedious task. Himsler on the other hand has ordered, on the basis of an 35 directive, that all agencies would have to keep such a diary. Himsler attached considerable las rathers to the diary being kept carefully and in an orderly fashion. I therefore tried to give the impression that this diary was kept very accurately.
- to show which value Himmler attached to the careful Recping of such a
- A. This diary had to be submitted to Himmler at regular intervals.

 If her the succreak of the war, when were important work was to be done,

 I spoke to the chief of staff regarding this very burdensome tack;

 thereupon he told so that the diamiseal of the chief adjutant of Himmler,

 van alteralabum, had brought the fact about that the diary had been

 coglected. Enerefore the disries and to be kept also during the war

 that we then were kept during a rea.
- The de you yourself judge the occurrey and reliability of the dirries? Here these dirries kept particularly occurately, and the ontries in this diary in strict accordance with the facts?
- n. Owing to my frequent assumed from my agency I often could that dictate these entries four days or constines even weeks. These mirries in many cases are often not in accordance with the facts,

nother from the point of view of time, nor substance. When looking through that diary one notices discussion of conferences which had last-oi until late at might. In these cases we were often concerned with untried which would have to constitute an alibi for certain cases, and likewise the compilation of the points of discussion as they are entered there are often in controdiction to the truth.

1. Ultress, according to the essertions made by the presention one could gain the impression that your activity, at least starting iron the year 1942, for the most part concurned itself with the facts which are nore under indictment. I have thought it to be expedient to look through your diary of 1943 and I found out that in this diary of 1003, which only bovers the time starting from the 1st of January up to the 30th of June 1 43, you and apoken with 326 persons, either verticity or by telephone. Several persons are listed there with whom you him a minour of conversations, and the number of such conversations, including for telephone conversations, ascusts to 878. I thus selected three practs who are nesed here within the facts which are here under indictment. I extended the circle of these as far as possible, and I would at the me bur of 21 pursons with whom you had 32 confurences during the first six combs of the year 1943. exemp these 21 persons also appears the curator and the appeared chief .. west, with whom you his altegation 23 conferences, that is, person I conferences, as well as thisphronic conversations, and it becomes evident from that that you for in very close information control with your departmental hand wholf de to t correct?

T discuss a car are with my outpursmental head. From this compulation it was no evident very clearly that a quarter of those conversations were discussed that the conversations

- I then worked out the percentage of these conversations and persons, and I arrived at the figure of 5.3% of
 the persons contioned in your 1943 diary and when considering the 82 conversations, I came to a percentage of 9.2.
 I tried to include as many persons as possible even
 including personnel workers in the personal staff of the
 SS, personnel officers in the staff of the abnormance, and
 in spite of all this, I only arrived at this relatively
 small percentage; is this personned more under the indictment as regard to your entire work?
- .. That is absolutely true; this work was so much on the border line of my entire activities as it is very instructively described by your comparison.

The RESIDENT: The Tribunch will be in recess.

Port No. 1 The Priburel is again in session. B! Du. WAISGARBAR: . Now I come to your participation in the sea water. aperiments. I shall show you Document Book No. 5. Plonse look at page 21. It is Dogwood NO-182, Exhibit 137. It some to be a letter from you to Dr. Grawitz dated the 26th of July, 1944. On what occasion was this latter written? .. Grawitz had domanded laboratories in the Institute for Military Scientific Research to carry out sea water experiments for the Luftweffee. That was the centents of Grawitz' lotter of the lith of July which is mentioned as reference in this letter of mine of the 26th of July. Did not Grawitz ask you to supply experimental subjects ed wall? .. Noither in this case nor in any other ease did I have mything to do with supplying the subjects. Grawitz had self that these questions would be settled by his directly with the Luftwarfe. : 48 this letter shows, you tolked to S-Heuptsturm-Cuchror Dr. Plostner and Oberarzt Dr. Beighboock on the 20th of July, was that the same Dr. Plostnor when we have spoken spout boforo? .. Yos, that was Dr. Plactnur who continued the poetin work ffor Raschur was arrusted. . Had you known Dr. Bei 1 boock up to that time? .. No, not up to that time. I not him for the first and 1 at time on the 20th of July. I did not know that I would --- t Dr. Bui Abovek in Dechou on that day in this connection. In connection with the sea water experiments I had heard only in that of the Vienna Professor Appinger, and my very brief Silvers tion with Dr. Buillboock referred only to my laborn-To rooms (weilable in the esterological institute where

the discussion took place, and Dr. Beiglboock said that all other questions would be settled directly between

- ! How long did this talk with Dr. Beiglboock last?
- . Now, was not Dr. Plostner to participate in some
- .. Yes. Grawitz had wented Ploetner to participate in
- . No. In the boginning of 1944, when he took over the Ansoh r section; Dr. Plostner had refused to participate
- . Did Br. Flowther not encounter opposition from
- .. Him her recognized Plootner's standpoint and I supported it. It was finely achieved that the chaonerbo should no longer be included in research assignments which involved heren experiments. That was then exclusively a nattur for Grawitz.
- . Now in the locument book which you have before you pla so look of Decument L)-183, Exhibit 136 of the Prescoulien, on page 20. When did you learn of this lotter?
- .. Orly hore laring the proceedings. The letter did not so through my office. It went from Dr. Brandt to Grawitz.
- . New lot us look at the most locusiont again, the letter to Dr. Gravitz of the Soth of July, 1944. This letter speaks of the accomplation of forty experimental subjects. Did you not instigate that, too?
- .. No: although there was room for forty experimental subjects in Flortner's section, this ruck was not used

.pril 10-M-BK-8-3-Putty (Int. Von Schoon) Court No. 1

from the Luftwaffe rooms, which belonged to the malaria station.

1 ... ril-1-6J-9-1-Karrow-(int.Von Schon)-Court I 4 The melaria station which you or the Ahnenerbe had nothing to do A That is right, w. had nothin; to do with it. Q fow, were you present, at my experiments connected with sea mater matters? " No, I was not present. Q On one of you subsequent visits to Dachan did you not take in interest in these experiments? a aptor the 20th of July, I never went to Dachau again. Q Did not the chaonerbe receive reports on these experiments? A No, we did not receive reports of them nor did we learn anything about the course of these opportunits. 2 Then you say, in connection with the so-called sea water expuriments, you did nothing further than to pass on this letter of the 26th of July, 1944, and talk to Dr. Bei 1boock in Dachau? A That is true, that was all I did in that connection. and this discussion doubt merely with making laboratory rooms language and a series A Yes. Q Now, I came to the next complex of questions. It deals with the skeleten collection. I shall have Document Book 9 of the presecution hand I to you. Look at page I hard. T is is document No.-085, Exhibit 175 of the Prosecution. I is is a letter to Rudolf Brandt and contains a proliminary report from Dr. Hirt of Strasbourg. This letter douls first with the work done by Dr. Hirt in the field of intra-vital miscrocopy and the second part contains a su estion for securing skulls of Jurish Polshovik Commissors. The this report from Dr. Hirt made at your suggestion? A No. Q she had this report mode? A At the first sentence of the letters indicate, Brandt had that for this report. As I learned later, he was noting on Himmler's rders. E704

MG April-M-GJ-9-2-Karrow-(int. von Schon)-Dourt 1-I that do you know about the back round of this report? . I Learned only later of what had proceeded this report, at Sector, 19h2, whom Himmler pave Setailed instructions for the execution Similar said that the race office Rassenant had given him such an assignmust already in 1941. Did your office chief, Professor Woost, talk to you or Himmler Theat settingup a Jawish Boshevist skull collection before your letter of the 9th of Florency, 1962? A I do not recall that Musst talked to an about it. Wether Himmler discussed this matter with West I cannot say. I may point but that from May until August, 10hl, I was on active service with the Waffen-55 and has only a very loose connection with the Absorption during this period. After that I was working for the Southern Tyrolean Cultural Association to a way from Borlin chapt at the time. It would be possible that turnetion made by the Rassonant went through the Ahmenerbe for some rosen, although in view of the general nature of the work of the Almonurby them would have been no occasion for thin. . At any rote, at the time when this letter was written, on the 9th of Subruary 1942, you know nothin; about the previous history? " lo, I dd nate to be you know we ther any office of the Abnonerbu had suggested sotting as such a skull collection? .. I know nothing about that. I so not in line that this happoand furing my chaunce. hy to you nesure that? . Because so-colled recial research was the luty of the race and settles of Bain - ffice (Alesson and Stellungs Houptant). There was no Intil i r racial rustaren in the appearate. 2 Bud you known Dr. hirt before 1942? a les, I saw his twice previously an official occasions, The first tin was in 1936 in qualingburg, and the second time was in the spring 1944 when the U iversity of Strises r; was conce.

1. April-M-GJ-9-3-Karrow-(int. You Schon)-Court 1

- That Jo you know about Himsler's acquaintance with Mirt?
- a as I learned later, from 1931 on Hirt below of to the SS. His a equaintanceship with Himmler I learned of in 1935 in Quedlingburg. Subsequently, however, I had no opportunity to observe the relations between Hirt and Himmler. I learned, however, that Hirt had been given an assistment by Himmler to decide the anthropological age of the skull of King Henry 1.
- Then you are of the opinion that Hirt enjoyed the special confidures of Himmler?
- A Yes, at the colebration in Quadlingburg in 1935, I observed that hirt, who was present as an Honor guest of Himsler's was given appealed attention by Himsler and had his special confidence.

10 mril- JF-1 -1-Gross (Int. Von Schoen) Court I 2. . that was the position of Dr. mirt in 1941? a. Hirt was a university professor and director of the anatomy Institute of the University of Strasbourg. 2. Was Dr. Hirt a member of the chnemerbe? a. To, he was not at that time, niumber appointed his at the end of 1942 or the beginning of 1943. 7. Then you mean to say the point of departure for this prelimimary report was not due to you or some other office of the Angeneral but lay in the relations between hirt and nimilary de Tota Q. This report which we have before up, did you change it any way? Did you add enything to it when you passed it on to Dr. drandt? a. I bassed on the resort in its original form without any comment to drandt. 2. Now let us go on a few pages, to page 7. That is Doomsent No-090, Emploit 176, page 9 in the anglish. That is a letter from Audolf or not to you. I shall quote from this letter, the second sentence, "as I have told you before, the Reichnfuchrer-ss is very much interest in Professor Dr. hirt's work. Perhaps you could oull on Birt some time so h and well him again that the Reichsfrenrer-SS will place at his discount everything as needs for his experiments." And of quote, anen, and on what occurion, did Br. or add say that to you? me as fir as I recall it was in a telephone conversation when he asked for Hirt's report for minuler, 1. here you not surprised that nimber was giving or was going to give Professor airt everything he meded for his experimentar a. To, according to the object those which I and made at this accting in Quodlinburg and Ass from the information from mirt I was able to anther that mirt was given definitely preferential treatment by Einster. In such cases Einster displayed on racus concresity, 7. But would it not have been reseible for you, considering the

10 april- JP-10-2-Gross (Int. Von Schoen) Court I flot that Himler was very busy, to reduce this generosity same what in individ _1 cases? a. I could not do that without its becoming noticeable, Q. But the correspondence went through your bande? If my conplaints had been received it would have been possible for you to defloat the matter in some way. a. That was quite impossible for two reasons. First Eiseler, a well as my office Chief Waest work in direct written and pursonal contact with the individual accomtists. That is shown, for example, by Hiemler's visit to Hirt in Strandourg at the end of 1942 or the beginning of 1942 I don't know expetty when, and, in the second place, Himsler and Hirt act quite frequently so that complaints could reach and reached Himler from firt is other recople without by maving any knowledge of it, Q. In the letter waten I just quoted the 1.st purporagh mentions a visit which you work to pay to Mirt. when did you make this visit? as as I am I can reaction that was in the number of 1942. 2. Now, I could implied that a request for you to visit Hirt Hight to a to the emplusion that you work to doub with the scientific part of Dr. Mirt's work. Now you told as yesterday that your work as Reich suchous manager was limited to purely administrative things. How about ±11. ±2 as in t was true in this case also. I was not to take to Hirt bout sei stific matters. Begines he had just sont _ report to Himmler mout those mutters as the letter of 27 February shows. Q. Now, if we so on to the next page we find Document 10-086, exhibit 177 of Pros.cution, Posmiont volume 9. This mentions 150 skelotoke of prisoners. How did you read, this munder? as first mentioned this once t. I have dready said that simpler and visited hirt in Structures. I was not present during this visit. as nirt then told he he was to contact Bluckes directly according to Similar's instroutions und if accessur was to use of services as mediater 570s 10 pril-w-yr-10-3-Gross (Int. von Schoen) Court I if he could not come to Berlin niuself. Q. Hofore you talked to Gluccks himself, had Gluccks known of Staderta order? A. Tes. Gluccks had already received Himler's order when I talked to Gluscks at Hirt's request. 2. Mey, the next document NO-116 is a letter from brandt to Mchainm. Why was such a letter sent to Mehanna was worked in the RSEAT Why was this secondary if Clucks already knew about this order? Gluccks was the man in course of all the concentration comps. A. Gluecks cont no to Michounn. I had not known him at all up to that time. Men and had been informed by Gluccks by telephone but he make that he needed a lotter from Himler or from his personal stiff, and thorowon this letter was written. Q. and want did you discuss with Sicksann? a. I have edding the report from sirt and I said that associates of nirt waited to conduct some anthropological tests, and that he, which was to give then the necessary prerequisites in anschwitz ecording to himler's instructions. C. For, if we go on in the Deciment Book to Document NO-092, v. flad . luster which so les of short contags. Did those short conings a we mer connection in obtaining persons? a. To, there is no connection. This refers to Hirt's work on Lost. 1. In the next document, NO-087, Exhibit 181, you mention a Dr. Bongor. Mant was Dr. Benger's ussignment? a. Birt had given beheer the assignment from the persons condemned to do the to melect certain more me from the institute and conduct anthropologic I a sures. ?. The say Professor wirt was bi this assignment? as Int. Le This letter of 21 june 1993, which we have more as Exhibit 181, did you dictate it yourself? 5000

Sport I

- size I was never in assenwitz and know nothing about debger's investigations, only senger could give the necessary information; and school was in direct contact with hirt and received instructions from him.
 - Q. But you signed this letter why?
- a. Since it was a ten secret letter on the basis of secrecy regulations I had to sign it.
 - Q. lould that not have been a matter up to the curator?
- by Whost, but occasse of the urganey of the matter, as the contents show, this was not possible. It is one of the cases which we have already discussed carlier here. Because of the separation I had to sign lecture which baset roully should have signed; but he had been informed most all those letters proviously by telephone and he was sent conies of them.
- It is a teletype which you send to Dr. Badolf erandt. Why was this teletype munt!
- the disc dif.

- Q that did Dr. Hirt have to say?
- .. The term be seen from this teletype. It repeats Hirt's inquiries word for word, and Hirt also made the suggestions which are listed at the end of the teletype.
- C Then you were just passing on a telephone conversation from Hirt?
 - . Mirt called me up and I sent it on by baletype, yes.
- Q The next Decument, NO-091, speaks of an SS Standartenfuckrer Beimert.
 - A Brumert was the head of the personal staff of Hismler.
 - Q has he your superior?
- ". You. We stelf lorder he was my disciplinary superior since the Abnorable office was under the personal staff. Issumert had the right to insue instructions to quest and certainly to me as buent's subordinate.
- A The instructions continued here, to dissolve, to take up the sollection in Strasbourg, did not origin to with you?
 - " No, not with ".
- Q You redelved the instructions from bounds on, then presed than on to Hirt?
 - .. You.
 - to and when west that?
 - . On the 7th of Soptabor 1944, pp my dirry shows.
- Q Then after that, did you take any further interest in this natter?
- .. No. As this note here shows on the 12th of Cotabor I was not this to ill Hamptsturmfuckner Park anything about the dissolving of this collection.
 - 9 Then did you get in touch with Hirt?
- .. Yes, I called Hirt up in Strasb up and that I passed on to Bury what Hirt told ma.
 - O In this Decument NC-VL, the final mentione rends: "He (that

refers to SS Standartenfuchrer Sievers — that is, you) thinks that this procedure was the best one considering the whole situation."

"es this year personal epinion?

A No. I said - I think I morely passed on Mirt's statements to Bern without any occupant.

Q You have Decement NO-AS3 before you. That is the next Document, pr - 17, Exhibit 184. You recall the testimony on the 18th of December 1946, here, the mitness Richr, and you know, therefore, what happened in Strestours and Matzweiler in 1943 and 1944. When did you learn for the first time of these events?

nod four taken over by the insteaded Institute. Hirt that the bedies old, tell no what happened in Strabourg. I learned that only here burns this trial.

Of It was your coinion that the Jows selected by Bobger in Ausemits as German as I the ned army were stand to Conth. Did you know of the me-collect Germaner Order?

. You, on the Brator Misquesian in 1942 Hirador had told me ut it.

Tou have reportedly mentioned this Enster Hassasian in 1942 with you had with Himler. The Hirt property

.. No; in the businging Himmler had intended that he should be present but Hirt was not well at the time and Himler Hid not want to tak Hirt to take the long trip to assime ignartors.

It some to no that this stater discussion, 1762, is of decisive importance, for the further involupments in the Amendment and to fir you personally; therefore, I consider it expedient for you in this the Tribumal, as triaffy as possible, how this conference are ut, and what the assemble statements of the iscussion were.

not the limited professional last ever her with Minutes. Usually, o efferences with Hinder lested only - matter it minutes. This

ortical r conference lasted several hours. The coessist for it was the establishment of a new multural historic l motion. Hismler Inder-i to and Dr. . otres, who was proposed as the director of this section, to come to this suction because there were certain matters of or pulgation and fine cirl matters to it discussed. I want to Himlar's hesiquartors with the firs determination to discuss with his to intuition of etternia to the abnesser's trake which had no e manetice with it; especially bent button decrinate occurshortly be are I had seen and it is altitude experiment in Dachem. I residend that this would be very difficult in appendition, and scald not be may. I had, therefore, propered weelf in refer to have in excuse for the discussion thick and them I als attention. The F and I Moture - Mi ma the Meture in Selfoure the ottoened to the ... har more. That is a i suseen for maturel history and still exists today, that to exceed the thought to control to the heart of this museum which and it possible to a worke the plants, even the exet tellento only, a presentably that both the first and the calor were pro-BLTV-1.

- 4 and you teld Findian chart this?
- a I had a polloction of these plants ande of for himsler and that thee with me.
- I You control to mention Himming's interest so that after that you could product to also to a specially or mind?
 - W 200.
- "I You wanted to st the opertunity to neve a low discussion with Aladah?
- If you consider the second of the second of the conference with the extra I reported in the for it, and to present the second of the conference with the extra I reported in these flate. In the second of the secon

end I personally did not like it, and I didn't see what I was supposed to do in this field which was entirely alien to so. Then Himmler began a discussion with se, which lasted shout two hours - until two at might. From his point of view he presented exclanations for all the questions which I crought up, but I held out in my point of view. But Himmler did not change his mind and we came to no agreement. Finally, he said - gradgingly - "actually, as a business manager this is none of your business; you don't have to interfere in scientific matters. That is my responsibility." He said he would take into account the fact that I didn't understand these things, but I had to lot him make the decisions, and I should warry about any can duties.

Then I said that I would very much link to know what my lation in it. Himilar said that I had to take ours of the mainistrative matters as before, I had to take ours of the wishes of the people was her been hands of sections up to now if they asked for my help in administrative matters and want at to be relieved of the minor a tails because I know his point of view. He said that respects workers were to carry out respected and should not worry about incident I things. He said no would inform the gentlemen, which he sid, enghow as for as the Hirt case anatomical normations, it was a university action and was none of our postures at all. Minuter because quite excited. I caked him for a written order, because they were quite new things.

In a irritated himser, but finally I aim get it.

- Do you worn by this order Document No. 482, which is Exhibit No. 35 in Document Book 1, page 58 -- page 58, Document 488, Exhibit 33: "Free the Reichstushrer SS to the Reichs Business Langer of the admonorbe, SS Obersturn-bunfachfor Sievers: I masign the admonorbe, Jto..."; do you nown this order?
- The same clurry mint on any opposition in this connection with first or my opposition in this minter, limited and make any opposition in this minter, limited and make any opposition in this minter, limited and make a special point of first's appointment. On the make to be second by Foint 3 of the order. I was to be made by applying and and personnel available as in shown also in Foint 4. As far as the advanced or I was denoted in this referred only to labor torics and workstops, that the be seen from the first the time onth to se, I it same the section chiefs to find a toric apprishent 1

a lithess, did you not point out to Similar during this conformed that such research assignments, as those of Rascher and Hirt, night be nore offectively attached

.. Yos, I said that yesterday, after Himler hed refused that, I had another etterpt. in that direction. I pproached Hirt because Hirt himself had not expected to get support through the ... however, he was sweet surprised at it and he was quite willing to mak wintly to ettach him to some oth r organization. He should be what suggestion he could m k to Mirrolor and whom I said purhops the Reichaurst SS. Wirt said that he could not discuss that, as he did not what to work under a mon like Gravitz as he said that he who i midestpoop, so he would have to get the support Alreadly from him lor. whom this attempt too failed Wirt was out under the Institute for Military Scientific R.Scarob.

I Tou mentioned the Consister order a waite ago: your know about it?

. Top.

I Did you consider this Go. misser order legally admissople?

i I on not a lawy r: I am not ju to decissability of such orders. I was a sold r or that time, trivate, I

and no consission, when I esked for the order in writing from Himmler, Himmler said as a soldier you have to carry out overyorder. He showed me pictures of arrested commissars, and end wetten as well as pictures of German soldiers and divilians who had been terribly mained and killed by these can and women. I could not then object any further to this natter, but the inner conflict which Himmler brought to his subordinates, through his order, he never werried about them. In the background there were the SS courts and if sequence failed, the SS courts administered ruthlessly and they were stricter against SS officers than they were in other cases. I had to adjust ayealf to this situation, which was very difficult for no as it was an energous writtend burden.

1 sithuss, now I dome to a few diary entries which are commeted with this subject; under the date of 10 Pebruary 1943 is

In an about the for edjournment,

I you are now joing to precood with the livry entries in
this attor, the court will be in recoss.

(.. recess was taken until 1330 hours.)

(The hearing reconvened at 1330 hours, 10 april 1947.)

the sortificate of the prism physici a me to Deferd at Oberhouser's illnown.

Counsel my proceed.

HELUF SISVERS - Lamented FIGURE EXAMINATION (Continued)

DY D. CHERMAN

- what is not to you a few appries in your cirry in connection with this applicant collection. I wall he a your cirry in connection with this applicant collection. I wall he a your district sub-detect to your cover-last he go as 1943 and the your district of 1943 contains, under the a to of the 10th of February, the following entry, which is to be touch on a say of the areas distry, and on page 163 of Document where a. 3 of the English to relation. This distry contains an entry to which the rescution is a size of private. It concerns itself with Dr. . The concerns itself with
 - Ton, Inlaid.
- . The whot resented you consume the media with this Some
- . If I have to reffice this commiss, by Boy, was an old openion to of Stofes or wirt. In this year of a on the 10th of word ry, to notified the Cop strong I called theat that he had been in facilities that he may not the the stronger of the original of or ry out the directions given it by let if he had to been this order for Johning
- RY TO Professor Mars characters and no to offeet a delaying
 - a . I list of the English form of Soul To. 3. there is a report of Dr. for all the interpolate 3 to surements of Analysis. Did you -in

- dai of this report? La To, Bur returned from inschritz and informed us that ached instructed the work there, mines un opidente had broken out at med witz. He said the the would have to report about this matter to too see. . How is it that you or the ... incherbo concerned it solf with what ...ttcr7 .. Buchase, by order of Timilar, the imponerbe was counivainned to being or of this ad daistrative work. Himler, in Mirt's special care, issued express directives to support Firt in this we inistrative MOZ'L. . It , under the date of the 23rd of June 1913, the execution of there shall photograms are boing month and. But was your connect-I'm with those hotogramas. ... It's disher has or wonted in X-r v a contage, or purhaps some tains we won with the current. I don't juice important the of it is a read itself -it. at the time, they rete, it was purely toc.mic-1. . To reall now record to the living of 19th. yould you turn to the unter of the 2rd of Thisrary, which is to be found on price 169 of the Ja lies Doom out Book Da. T. Under the die of the 2rd of Fubrunn, 1304, a conference with fire of fur eaching is montioned. Obvirusly, you were no then then of Str appours with lists? A 20 40 . Di Birt on this occasion show you his akoloton work? .. To, I didn't see is skolotons one w didn't discuss that inther. This collection, which offer all was a metter for the antiquical funtitute at Street are, was only discussed with firt once, to t in, ... to carly attion, "you talk work ess bein developed. In the t occurrion I observed on present dis met rout wite inthor. No, however, ridiculad a and tried t instruct no mount take riffulr by

talling as something about anatomy. He made a few statements regarding suctory which I didn't know of up to that period of time. In the stimustion, he stated how anatorical research workers received their corpses, and I was quite surprised at the encunt of corpses such : another ic I institution moods. We led no to the big workroom where corporate were propored and where the students were working. However, I If all other there very long. Firt of the tile . winterined the point of view to t bo, me am anoto de l'order, would have to attack problems wherever twoy his drive of the . To seld that fines persons who had been combound to doubt would give the such opportunity, and that to him it appeared to be ridiculous to lorely execute that or burn them instead of herdia: thus over to his armtonical institution as it would ordinrily be done with progle who had no n gentledged to dentil. I was structly under the 1 pression of my imprection in this nestonical room and I saled at not to discuss this actter with no in future. He diants outwally discuss it my longer. In this conference, dated the 2nd of Tobrie my 1944, "irt only maked no to instruct Dr. Begn to sond .1 dis modesmary .otoriol.

Court No. 1 10 apr 47-A-14-1-ARM-ratty (Int. Barler) " winn't Dr. Bere collaborate with Eirt at Strassbury? A. No. Firt was not in Strassburg himself. We was working in minich. Bogs was furnerly active at the Reps Office and he know Hirt from the time of his studies. . Thank you, that is sufficient. Low looking at your entry of the 4th of meril, 1944. I out to you that the prosecution concludes from this ontry that enthropological measurements were carried out in originar I ver came. What do you have to any about that? A. anthro lacical measurements were carried but in this world war just me in the last worl war. For instance, I hear whout such work in connection with the reserved of the roce received worker, Klaum. He of some reserved work on nectors of the enstern population who both .our Areftot into the Vaffun SS. . Concluding this complex of questions, I ask you whether you it onythin in that connection which went beyond the orders which were issue; to you by Himter? A. No, in no way at all. . I'm ere further cherce in the Count concerned with evidence Jaundice, when did you get into contact with the experiments with epiacto journicel these were experiments corried but at Sacheenhausen and Enteroller. A. I sely found out here at this propent tried in Macroburg that such exect unts were carrier out. . 'eren't you not the abnumerto or Reich Research Council in contact with Dr. Dolment This new has lean mentioned here sever/ times. A. Int new of Dr. Dobnen was entirely unknown to me up to the tion if this triel. . Di'n't the abnements or the Institute for Military dedical Absence five a research reston ent in thet connection? the Time .. Di the Reich Auswerch Council issue sty with ories! so I don't know about that. If such on a or was fasted by the 5721

riscussed there. Did you ever gain knowledge about these experiments up to the time of this trial?

- A. No. Nothing became known to me and the names mentioned in this letter I heard here for the first time, manes such as Sutzeit and Kalk.
- with reference to evidence jeuncies, you are testifying that you had nothin whatspever to do with this entire research work, and when in the 3rt of Merch, 1900, you are an entry in your diary, "Generalarst Dr. Schreiter telephoned regarding hepatitis research," this can be very simply explained by the fact that Dr. Schreiter maked you to arrange a sunference with himself:
 - Tob.
- to Dr. Ensaber, Polycel experiments were rementedly mentioned during this trial. I shall have the Document Book II shown to you. Would you turn to pere 237 This is Document NO-61 I ber your marken NO-616, ashibit 248. It can be found on more 21 of the Scoupent volume in its Gorman form. It becomes evident from this Comment that Rescher received your arroyal for the mublication of an essay in the Munich Weekly Medital ruri dical. During the course of your testinony you have stated that you have mothing wantsoever to do with the scientific mart of the errori onts. Isn't this securent contradictory to your statement?
- A. No. We are here concerned with a question of publication.

 Restrains this essay in equation, I telephoned by desertionable chief.

 Nucet, who have his approval for ablication. I, in turn, informed becomes about that, end I, therefore, did not give such approval on my we initiative and under my use jurisdiction.
- There appeared a number of entries in your diary of 1944 in connection with the production of roly al. Could you state suite con-
- As it was already clarifier by Dr. Block's emminetion, I had received the order by Fincher in the Fall of 19-3 to take stems in order to start the promotion of this congulation drug. Assurber of confer-

Court lo, 1 10 Apr -V-A-14-4-EHA-rutty (Int. Resilor)

tions, equipment, and whatever was necessary for any such production.

It this becomes apparent from these numerous entries in the diary of

19ex. In this connection I want to point to my statement of earlier
this morning where I said how these entries originated.

flying bround about him and his wife after his arrest mong thee that he removed his collaborator muschler by
mardering her. Bascher, incidentally, succeeded in
electing himself of this suspicion of murder. After
everything hed become known through this trial - everything that mescher has on his conscience, I am rather
inclined to believe it. It becomes also evident from
uncle Mascher at the ents now secret Rascher kept his
misdoeds. Only by interfering with his mephow's dock
Uncle Rascher gained anowhedge of whotever he is
testifying here. He, at the size time, confirms in his
attracent that his nephow was rights with anger when
finding out about his interference.

- Q. Concluding these questions, I put to you Pohl's "ffidavit which is Document No. 065, Exhibit 221, to be found in Document Book 11 on page 2. I quote (this is on top of Page 3): "Slevers told be the following: Amenerbe, who manager Sivers was, was developing a drug in Dachau, up order of Minnler, which had as its result the quick congulation of blood. He said that it was very important for fighting units because it prevents their blooding to do: th. The experiments in Dachau, during which one insate was snot st, have proven these results." Did you tell Foul anything to that effect?
- * MR. HARDY: Your honor, This Document No. 065 merely pertains to Daweld Ponl's testimony concerning Sivers. I has not neare that the original or the English contains the words son orders of minuler! In connection with these experiments to find a congulant for blood.

DR. TEISBERSER: This is a document which can be found in Document Volume #11 which was subpitted by the prosecution. There can hardly be any doubt that this statement of Pohl

refers to the experiment of Asscher in connection with colygol. For that reason I think that this is quite necessory.

THE PRESIDENT: If I inderstood correctly, counsel for the prosecution was suggesting that there was a mistranslation in the English document book. At least, certain words were weed from the German that counsel said were not in the English book. Did counsel for the prosecution make some comperison between the inglish document book and the German?

DR. ZIBBERSER: The presume I quoted comes word for word from the Jerman text.

Annenorme, whos manager sivers was, hid developed in Depart, by proof of algebra, a medicine..... That len't in the translation.

THE relativist. The words by order of Himsler's are beging from the English trensh tion. Did counsel for the prospection examine the German accument?

The saidy: I can see the name "display" name, Your salar, but I could not aske out the other Garden words.

- vicial, the defense of deal is correct in his translation from that I so here, lour schor.

THE PHESIDENT: Will council for the prosecution son to the English translation is corrected in accordance to the Lamino I on't want right now, but at some I to the so it will be correct.

ha. Assilt I will enter the Borown, Your Romor, and

I Lid you tell Pohl anything to that effect, that an immate

. I told Pohl exactly what I had found out from Eberstein. As I already said the lavelogment stage of polygal was already concluded when he received Himmler's order to take care of the production. If Rascher shot at an immate in connection with polygal research then this, at any rate, occurred at a pariod of thre when he had nothing to do with that matter. I only gained knowledge of this alleged shooting after Bascher's arrest, as I already testified cerlier.

Q Mr. Freel lent, in this connection I offer Document Sievers We. 10 am Exhibit Stavars No. 6. I beg your parton, Exhibit No. 9. This is an affi lavit of Osmald Pold on page 21 of Document Book Slovers I. I read the essential points to be found on page one of this document, and I quoter

"le ly affidavit of 23 July 1945 concorning medical experiments was submitted to be at the reference to my statements in paragraph 4 Blovers ("knonerbe).

"Z. Sievers! Mary of 1944 (Doc. No. 3546-FS) was submitted to no with reference to the entry of 15 June 1944, 9 o'clock, (page 167):

"55-Oborgrup, enfuebrer Fohl.

"L. Production of polymal and settlement Felix."

Too pare raphs two to six are not interesting here and I shall skin there I quote again:

"after begin- road this entry in the larry, I can remember No toral visit very well and I can state according to the best of my knowledge and enned most

" her all relevant reints expermine the posmibility of producing (installation for manifecture) the blood-standhing r mely "polygal" as well as concerning the other items had been discussed, Sievers told me - Por trings concernin the Reschur case before I called in the SS-Stimmarton Number Tauror to Macuss the employment of scientist

Ensember and his wife had been arrested for jointly committing child substitution and abjection. Through Rascher's arrest several unbelievable things had apparently come to light which were now being investigated. So it was also maintained that Rascher was supposed to have first at a prisoner in order to test the "polygal". Sievers therefore express an assumption which he bimself had only heard, but not a fact which corresponded to his own knowledge."

and then follows the cortification.

- I discount I come to the next count of the Indictment which refers to the Last experiments. I shall have Document Book XIII shown to you, reals you turn to Document 10-793, Exhibit 258 of the Proceeding, use 16. This is a letter date the 9th of April 1942 which you sent to Professor br. Airt. In this letter you are writing about special sucret experiments at Dachau. To what experiments did you refer in that entry?
- .. It referred to the high altitude experiments which were corried out by Rescher at Dachau.
- A The latter is tated 9 april 1962 which is a short time after you yourself had attended a high altitude experiment of Dr. Roscher at Dacher and reported to Rival r 5 april about it.
 - . You, that is correct.
- I Now, locking at this latter of 9 a mil 1942, I have to ask you whother this letter constitutes your own opinion as to how these last experiments more to be carried through?
- A This mornio I already stated in Intell what the subject of the forested with Mindler at That 19h2 was, Pour days after this I trunchitted the directives to Mirt as I received them from Mindler.
 - 2 Idd you yourself consider the less experiments as being laurerous?
 - .. That heat experiment must entell a contain round of danger I and to specifies a Layran. However, I did not conclibe this danger

10 .pril 47-4-10-16-3-Gress (Int. Regier) Court No. 1 to be particularly extensive since Hirt had told me by way of conversation that he was carrying out such experiments on MOS at Military "bifcol ..cadony. 1 In this Document Volume XI there appears as a Document NO-098, Exhibit 263, on page 26, a notation which you transmitted to Dr. Ru blf Brandt for his acknowledgement. On the 5th of April 1942, H-rlor told you orally, and later in the form of an order, that you ware to support Dr. Hirt's task to a full extent, by didn't you personally loal with this notation which contains a few wishes a Hirt? . I was only in a comition to trans it for Hirt's wishes. In spite of Histor's basic order I could nother deal with the people to nourishment nor with the question of the second camp physician nor with the question of payment of cartain in ates on my own initiative. I had no jurisdiction westever in concentration camps. I Now, would you turn to page 30 of this Document Book which ir Document W-193, Prosecution Sandbit 264. That was the reason for this latter of ... herere to which was signed by you addressed to br. Buildly Brunit? A The reason for this latter was the report made by Dr. Memor on the same date about his being drafted an about the report by Hirt where it is stated that these experiments could not be conducted without the assistance of impor-Q Did you at that time discuss those experiments with br. damer. particularly experients on human beings? a The experiments were not discussed with Dr. domer that time. agast from this affeir so only discussed questions of a current nature high were necessary, I You know, however, which these operationts were, then't you? It became swident from your Many that on the 25 June 1943 you were in later ily. " Yes, on the occasion of this visit to. Inner showed me a few percent with their lower ares benefit. 3732

- .. In March 1943 I asked Hirt whether experimental subjects had suffered any horn. He mentioned that two of the experimental subjects had hied but that the cause of death had nothing to do with the Lost experiments in both cases.
- A after this visit in March 1943 did you visit Hirt in either Street or a Mataweller scain?
- .. I was not in Metawoilor after January 1943; Invisited Hirt in Stranbourg once in 7d ruary 1944.
- appariments on hisself?
- If Yes, in 1941 Mirt teld me that he was conducting experiments on bloods. Non he became surjously ill because of this in 1942 I was to tell him on behalf of Mirmler that he was to stop those experiments on himself, but Mirt ensured that he couldn't do that, no would endert any experiments on bloods that he considered necessary.
- it has to man your personal impression of Hirl? his he worked in his research work?
- . I had the test is resulted if filt. I considered him a very pursuan resulted worker whose life was completely devoted to selence.
- The four diery 1944 there appears in the 8th of Morch a report of Mirt at Missler in Salabure, here you present?
 - . You, I was present, the my office matel west.

10 april 47-4-ATD-17-2a-Biolsi (Int. von Schon)

THE THEST DENT: The Tribunal will accept this Document previsionally. If counsel is at some later time able to obtain a proper jurat it will be admitted; if counsel is unable at any time to procure that jurat, counsel may again present the question to the Tribunal and the Tribunal will then rule on it independently. It will now be marked behilbit 10, provisionally.

DP. EISHERBER: I have already tried to obtain a copy in the prescribed form.

. ril 10-.-BK-18-1-M. Jana (Int. Vos Sesson) Court No. 1 In your diery for 1943, witness, you record on 28 January 1943 , conforance with Curator West and SS Chargrappenfurbrer Ponl, concerning continuation of the "I" orportuents; why was Fohl called to this conference and what was discussed? . The reason for this discussion was the assignment of a building site for the entenelogical institute. I had just come from Stressbourg, and I reported to my office chief acout the telks I hel there with Professor Hirt, the main subject of which was to expansion of the animal brading at Natawailar. .s I said bororo, experimental into is were nacted in large numbers for dirt's experiments, and wohl's approved was necessary to expand the broading of phinals at Nataweller. In your diary on the 22 May 1943, there is the following ontry: "Conformed with SS Obersturnbennfuchror Dr. Brundt shout cos experiments, according to letter 14 May 1943"; this dilry ontry is not contained in the English translation; whit were these gas experiments? .. Those gas experiments have nothing thetever to do wate the Lost experiments; but it this opportunity I can "Iv. information about it because the 1 tear contioned in this diary entry was shown to the witness Moine here during cross-arminet ca. 1 Is that Document No. 1388- .. Skhibit 464, which I shall now have shown to you; plouse look at it? (The Document is shows to the witness.) .. Yes, it was this letter water was sent to me. .cording to request, I went to so Mains on' I receive, from him - Staled envolope on which was written "naturn Scaled" after takun natice of contants. The witness is in a therefore could bt testify onything about this nattor. Thun, Dr. Gerlow, 5735

who is mentioned in that letter, was at that time the head of the 33 and Police Tachnical academy. By order of Himmler be was to develop a gas bullet with which it would be possible to make a criminal, who was being pursued, incapable of Fighting or acting for a short time, so that he could be soized, but which would not harm him in any way or kill him. The experiments which were made were without success.

Now Himmler, who always had the most renerkable ideas, demonded that Professor Hirt should name a substance which would nobleve the desired effect. Hirt, as could be expostel, said he was not competent to do so. He said that such a quastion could be answered only by an expert and then I told Brandt about this for Himmler's information, and later I told Dr. Gorlow, and that sottled the matter La I hourd no nord about it.

- . In may make these ges experiments had nothing to do dth Lost or my other poison gas?
 - .. lo, nothing.

1 Mir. Prosident, in this connection I would like to offer another Decement, which however is also in Decement Book No. 2. Upon my investigation this moon, I have hiscovered that Document Book No. 2 will be Finished this "thin; the I shall submit this Doesmont Inter.

dithouse, the Prosecution has on rgod you with experiments with the so-colled M-substance; in your dirry under the - to of 83 Detabor 19-2, there was recorded a discussion at This r with in Institute for physic I chemistry; the the tion rais: "Discussion concorning special substance, Lightsion of use and biological tests." Please in a very Tow suntaneous in lain this nexter.

.. .. discussion shortly before this, Dr. Ploetnor b i tolin that on orders from Sraitz in was to be called in on for tests with special substances. There was a mosting at the Reich Research Council when Dr. Placetor mentioned this, at which Professor Thyssen was participating and I want to him for information about this mysterious new substance as Thyssen knew about the matter. He reacted daits violently to my question, and he called it rolly and Utopian thinking on the part of the SS to try and use this substance again or even to discuss it. The head of the technical office in the SS Operations Office (SS Fuchrungshouptest), Schwab, apparently had suggested senething to discuss and jet acce laurels from this.

- ithis; you, yourself, wetched such an experiment with this N-substance, and what did you observe?
- -- Professor Thyssen invited no to watch a laboratory experiment, because he had no concept of N-substance. This oxperiment took place on the Sard of October, as the diary entry shows. The consequence was that Professor Thyssen and the maximum oxpert opinion to pass on to Himsler, which I like so that even Simpler gave up the idea of using the N-substance.
 - . Then the entlor was auttlett
 - You, then the netter was settled.

- A. M. I puss i on Himmler's decision to Hirt.
- Q. An. that was 111?
- A. You, that was : 11.
- i. In this connection I lisouse briefly your work in the Reich course of Council. I consider it necessary to ask a few questions to clarify briefly your resition in the Reich Research Council. How was it that from June, 1943, on you were amployed in the Reich Research Council?
- A. The head of the managing poard, Professor Menzel, appointed me his deputy.
 - Q. Who was Professor Lonzol?
- A. Professor Honnel was a ministerial director and head of the office of science in the Ministry of Education. He was also president of the German Research Association.
 - G. Ha you known Professor Consol proviously?
- A. I h * known fermal since 1936. I met him at that time as prosident of the Gorner concirch Association.
 - L. Whit was your function as Professor Manzel's ecputy?
- a. I was to represent Muncel when he was absent, but thet happened only rarely.
 - C. And what type of work fill you do?
- A. Purely "Chinistrative. I did not now to reach any Seciations in this position. Sociates it was a occurry job. I retained my position to business manner of the Ahnemerbe.

10 . m- - 19-15-Putty (Com Schoom) . Then these two functions were not identic 1? ... They had nothing to do with each other. The Reich Research Quantil was under Gooring and the Amenorbe was under Fig. ler. . Con one say that you were an official or an employee of the Buick Describ Council? . I was mither, I received no splary from the Reich Research Concil. I had no contract with it. I was norsly given by traveling exponence, nothing olar. . Then you made to eng the your osit on in the Roich Reserved douncil was an himstory one? - ". 9, it w o. > Sus in this mostion you at a melder the inside into working Sint's of Our up reserved buring the " r? .. the research cost a cost found to the Reick Research Council attached in the tarmerals. It only because of the author was it inossible to ot instruct into il this reserve work, but class beer nee the brent-five or thirt hade of special der rt onto were very inic orders in their functions. . Did not the resorts on resorts, work in the min the committed 200007 . The reports went directly from the orsons who had been given the remains, west, makes to the individual honds of smedel desert water. . How these reports not a called, rinted and code available to a large mather of goo lot . Yes, that was a new but the a printed reports contained only the title but not to a stones of the reports or the works. . Di pou au n to sey that the papers die at man how the ruserre. sei, musite warm e gried tat? ... I'v to hid not sow low it was corriod out it way way. y from many the you do the commental bury I are of the continte If these reported? . To house of to get once and the plant otombirgies told the 5742

17 - tr-1- 3-20-1-12rr (Von Schon) Court No. 1. I led what about the poteto toutles centioned here? a necer ling to reports from the Roich the potato beetle had appeared in areas where it and been heretefore completely unknown. 1 that about the poison experiments? That coes that ween - under #32 A foint 3 says exactly what Bloom tel' me on the subject. Ho purely anti-med that there was a suspicion that there might be some conniction with the attack of the 20th if July. He had talked to Himmler about it on the 21st of July in the was incorporated into this letter. 1 Do you know on thing about experients in July, 1943, on Russian prisoners of the which were alle of is a in properation for biological Warfar 7 A Mo, I know nothing about them. Q on the their of your app. many only superficial contact with this subject. "he y u have the impression that retive inlorical warfare was intendu 17 A Ma, I 'id not have that ingression. I lithico, I now come to the count of completey. In the examination of your co-clien ante on the mitness stand we have already loarned whe we in cli ht or close cont et with you and who 'is n t know you at all up to this triel, which of the rectinin co- ofundants, with the exception of those who have already testified to this on the witness stand, were known to you? A Dr. Remour I not in 1942 in Dichau. Later I see him two or three times in Borlin. Dr. B. aglboock I set in the mid le of 1944 pace in Dichau. Of the rist I know no one. I he the drought to ke show there was rather close official contest afrom Dr. Twoolf Branch and you. Therefore I should like to ask you to questi me to clarify this a ttory. That was your official ro-Intimoship with Dr. Ru If Brant? A Dr. auf if Brant was Hillris referent for the SS in the wain office operated staffs, Brant we, in m way, a superior, but Histoir - 5744

for Military Scientific Resourch, more to be submitted to him through his personal referent Branct, and also orders, decuments, etc., which can from Himmler, or which were issued on instructions from Himmler, went through the names of Audolf Branct. It was generally known that these core personal decisions of Himmler and were evaluated as such.

of New, the things which appear in the document books of the pro-

a It laid to Brandt from tirm to time. I want to see him when it was necessary to bain Rivelor's actsion. I brought the persinent occurants along to these discussions. Span't took shorthand notes on them which he solution to Himsher. There were no discussions with Brandt in the sense of planning were out of consideration since he lasked the time.

I You spoke if wrent decisions which you had to get from Himdor.
The that not a muthing for the Office Chief (Antachef) to 192

A Yes, that was the erec, but that is not in contradiction to my "isomessions with Rusolf Branch. My superior telker to direct frequently eithout my presence - more frequently then when I was present, and then he talk in what facisi a Big der had reached insufar as it affected my work; but in fost remove was in amich and be was director of the university, so I and posterby, and he is not like to travel. He frequently appears a to obtain the recessity decisions from Him for through Pranct. Thus I had to me to bunich way find to hear what must wonted.

- 1 He from 11 you sou Front in pour 17
- a about overy four to the works, but only for a short time.
- A firm, and their quantion. Disper participate in any new lottle to time?
 - A No. They have non-first haviness to legron.
- 1 tro you not siven the rest most a stand right meetings as a largery ?

I The state of the

- Q Did you trike the so-called SS outh?
- A No. Thurs was no formality.
- " Kru you per tof later?
- A Hirder promoted no coerselectuckers amon I joined and then at intervals I was promoted as for as standard affective promoter.
 - . Hora you in 35 lorder on a full-time Main? (Hauptantlich)
- A No. I the always an employe f the abmomeroe Society and I was always paid by the abmomeroe.
- i Thild y a "clange" to the SS old y a perform any service in the 257
 - A Mp, nover.

ne an SS ran.

- I Then your managership in the SS was apply on paper?
- A Yes, one could say that.
- I Dir y u ricolas and tareh tarte at tabold
- A Mi mither.
- I through the fte to military service?
- A In Try 1941 I was draft, int the "fict-SS.
- I And what was your rank in the Walfon-SST
- A Si-Schubtze.

- Q Int is private?
- A You
- . 7 that was your rank in the SS at that time?
 - A Obersturdamifuchrer.
 - and how lone did you serve with the Waffen-SS?
- A About throw months.
- n the your promotion in the SS recognition of your services is turiness manager of the .hacacroo?
- A No, it had nothing to be with that. Eighler wanted to have a pseudo military within in all his of loca, as is well known. For that reman avaryons was liven in SS rank for r in, to his position.

, a re you ever promoted prenaturely in your I.

. No, as I just said, when I was admitted I was made Oberscharfuchrer by Himler, and then I went

Your superior, Curator west, was also an SS member. that was his runk.

n wuest as for as I recall was taken in as a Hauptsturnfuchrer or Sturnbennfuchrer, and in 1941-19 2 he held the rank of Oberfuchrer.

? That was a few ranks above yours?

". Yest, at the end but weest was always one or two ranks above me.

Die that express the fact that you were Dr. wundt's accordinate?

" Yes, I was niways muest's subordinate in rank is well as otherwise.

. .. ad my final question - why were you released from service in the warfen 53 after three months.

. Two substitutes were compleyed who had to be trained when I was drafted and both of these people were killed in an nuto accident at the same time, so that I was ordered back from the armed Forces and was assigned to the porsonal staff.

DR. MISCHER: Mr. President, I have now concluded this subject. I would continue tomorrow . . . nording.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribugal will be in recess unt i 9:30 tenerrow corning.

Official transcript of the Marican ilitary Tribund in the author of the United States of Merica, aninet Will Brandt, et al. defendants, sitting at Burnberg, Ger any, on 11 ... ril 1947, 0930, Justice Beals presiding.

TEL . ISLA: Foreons in the court room will looke find their sents.

The Conversio, the Judges of History Primumal I.

.ilit my Pribund I is now in session. God neve the United St les of Lorie and this honorouble Tribund.

That. Will be order in the courtroe .

THE PROSIDER: Ar. Armel, will you escurt in that the defendents are all prosent in equat.

DE RESELE E M it lorse your Bonor, all Cofondants are process to the court.

the presence of all the defendants in court.

"OLFR" SIEVERS - Tomur od

MIRESIDET: Counsel : or record with the emmination of the witness on the stand. In witness is resimiled he is still under outlies

The riburd; in the stipping before the International idlitary Tribural and ordered, in the stipping before the International idlitary Tribural and other and the strength of the holomood to a resistance or may be set the formal Specialist reduce. This feet has resulted in the outer receive for my client to reduce for the pot in the position of Reichs desimand present of the international fate or much the international value and a second of the international tributal and the second of the property of the professional tributal and the experiments which he had so at height. Now, the question arrives, was there a derivative on this contributed phenomenon exists historical only in a very could consure. I have been a few manufacts and reder or there are all consure. I

wor, that there is already a 1 reer assumt of literature abroad on this question. Unfortun tely, this literature was not yet accessible to me.

now, to introduce this find chapter of my direct exam inction. I should like to subjet Document Slovers No. 50 as Slovers Exhibit 11.

It is in Document Book 2, which is 'Iredy welln'ble, you 25 in this between Dook.

I offer this document on Excitatt Slevers Po. 11. This is an except from the compulet by S il Hock, "The Proceedy of the 20th of July 1900", I quite: --

of the Indian work.

Dr. Milson der: It is on - c 27 in the English.

TISIDE T: Proced, commol.

In this order to district and it is a structure of the country of

A be mure the world new only one of tenne Generales: The setted and positive one, proclaimed with the flourish of truncate by the problem properties. The other General was hereby visible to the cable open. It was represent, producted, personated, imprisoned in with an enterative on the In the Phiri Beigh there were indeed by kinds of General. The principle should not understand one other. If remains and improve but they did not understand one others. If remains and unserval was requested a special story in the first story of offers. The world story terror the classes of includes two remains that has not an include the constructed despotion of ill time, and no one know what was oin in which we wish the prison.

5750

That we star 20th of July in reality? Whe it wordy a ludicrous will a revolt, bound to fail ampalosely in a descript power state — in a second of power backing the attackt

Probably it will never be possible to show the whole widely redicion conspirately, all the proporations and conferences, the years of abbin and flowing in the plans for the revolt. It will never be possible to street out before the world the hune circle of conspirators and the direct secret or maintains, in short the whole illegal setup of, posserule, citizens and politicious.

thy not?

Just because the 20 July was not a rowals and by a few penarals, but a conserve of vide etact of the for a conde. The threads of this a negletary did therefore not ever row in one hand, but annother any hands, through order with war exercised within the opposition. In our based which the opposition, to may be except the set-up of the other, no may was permitted to now it exactly, if only for security respins.

Drower, the non-wer belt the through the their banks are dead now. With the execution of five they remained silent even under the totaless of the G steps. We now to them for ever ploted their wide.

is first 1/200 to lear more of commirators extract our thousand the children on all the civilians.

is in it to be we represent the attends and to a we carried it but. But to a tender of he wild in evenous mout 16,000 lilitary can were involved in the revolt. Thus we to a 16 concrets from the inner not circle of the conspirators close war executed. In onse members and in appear work in view of a incredibly well-or smiged forthere.

One for is solf-evident: Fitter could be be overthrown by the offer alone. "It our being become up a colitical or emitted and in the last resert, by the reason of the copie avant successful attempt would at the being would at one works on an sense.

the ware the Political Lan that propered and planted this attempt and which were the Political Farties involved on the 20th of July?

To my say undistakenly in My neo: Boh nd the revolt stook the unple political Serviny!

For the time being, I should like to quote only the touch.

DIRECT EX. IN MINE (Continued)

er D. Tistersen:

- . "Minimum, in the first port of your ext inction you have elredy sold any you been a nequalisted with Hielscher and his aroununce our intentions of Priodrick Melscher, as for as you know
 that?
- Do the 30th of John ty 1953 the rule of the HSDLF bears: the sevenent or not the time such too string for the people who opposed it to be able t destroy it wish. Even the several million members of the trace unions were not able to prevent the destruction of the unions at the time. It was elect to us the tent first only consistent infiltret in into the FSDLF by the apposition could take place. The object was to build up tooir posts o refully and try to form a deal of resistance within the city. That was a process which could recease all years slowly, since the entire cubic and private life was been able to controlled by the SD and the Gestage. Then in the course of yours it has no nor and are along that the college of the logic rather to union that we are posts to union that only very last was a top set le, in our minion the only very last with the time of the try.

Il.pril-H-AM-2-1-Machan (vonSchoom)

THE PASSIMENT: Cast is the inte or approximate Cate, of the publication of this Document; Sievers Document No.50?

Die STSEMMER: Tr. President, this peophlot by Buil Henk was published in 1946 in the second office. I submitted a copy of this published as the original Document.

THE PLESIDENT: Proceed.

BY DE. KISGELEE.

o 'Mitness, was Michscher clone in this intention?

"Our opposition group was by no morns the only one. In the course of years various other resistance croups were founded. In view of the great langer of their intentions they had to proceed very slowly and enrofully " fore they could establish contact with each other.

Of Con you toll as anythin about about to atablish control with people of shall r intentions from your on agreeionse?

Inger, Dr. Modic, who as I to recommend in very close contact with address Contact with address Contact, who have a factor, was in very close contact with address Contact, the head of the Counter Intelligence Service of the Cohrmsont. At the end of 1933, Hielscher came to Landen, where I was working at their time, in the sector of his intention to bring the Top of the 30th of June 1934, there was another therough Income of the 30th of June 1934, there was another therough Income of Abrucht Schneffer at Minister on the Chicago.

Did this connection remain in the following trace?

If Mic, until 1930, Second I may be Serlan in 1935 it was no langer possible for me t continue to get as linken can, but Michescher continue; to manufact a state.

Q Mr. Provident, in this connection I offer Document Sievers No.17

The Document book Sievers No.1, or the No.11; I offer this is
Sheverst Exhibit M. 12. This is in this Evit of the Lateur Franz

Living of Manich. This is the same Dr. Lielig, when the thousa has

11.pril-M-M-2-2-Machan(VonSchoon)
Court 1.
just montions: Franz Livia status:

"I leelare herwith, that "olfren Siewers was known to no from 1933-1936 as the limited man between Pricirich Holseher and agreelf. He was reliable, elever, unconditionally discreet and a possionate energy of Matienal Socialis.."

Then follows the signature and cortificate.

I hlse offer from Decument Book No. 2, Document Sievers No.46 which is on pr a 18. I offer this as Sievers Dahibit No.13.

THE PARSIDENT: That Edilit loss not engance on Prop 16.

DE, "ELSGEDIE: Page 48 of the German, Boemant No.45.

Becament No.45. I offer that as Slevers Echibit 13. This is an affidevit of Albrecht Schooffer, the same Abrocht Shooffer when the
witness just contioned.

"Frie rich Hielschur, whose requaintence, I sade in 1930, was a program quest in my house at Himsting on the Chius Lake and often staye! there with us for works. From Hiscussions, convergations and papers which Frie rich Hielschur showe! we, I was convinced that he was a number of as or anization which attend to ', ', ' lacing confidential a cuts within the MERG, to obtain information on the party and to to 'mange to the region as such.

"Through Miolacher I g t to know several of his friends who belonge to this organization, Juan them was also Molfrey Nievers when he brought along as a visitor in 1943.

"In the course of the conversations which took place at that time, Hislacher tell as - as for as I remember in the presence of Sievers - that later worked within the SS-ergenization, i.e. in the so-called tahoungree which was founded by Hislacher his purpose was to cover up for the retivities of the Nielscher organization, to protect ambers of the ergenization; to empuffing according an uninly to unlocalne the SS from the inside, and finally to prepare an order revolution.

11 pril-M-2-3-Mochan (vonSchoom).

"I therefore believe that Wolfran Sievers joined, and stayed in the SS, only in order to serve the purposes of the Hielscher-Organiaation."

Then follows the certificate.

FRE FRESHENT: "all, counsel, in this offidevit by Schoolfor, the officent states that he has been a resident of the United States since 1939 and that he not the 'afondant Slovers in 1943.

Di. MISCHARA: That is a typing mistake, Your Honor, it should be 1934, the original December has 1934. I did not have an opportunity to compare the translation with the original and correct any typing mistakes.

THE PLENDENT: If that shoul to an error I desire it be corrected at this time. The date is correct in the Garden Document book +1934.

BY Tang Talson Total

- "itnose, what were your duties as liminon men to Dr. Lievicy
- .. I had to corre information between Highschur and Idecia.
- I And what kind of information?
- church, control with both Christian acets with the intention of the church, control with both Christian acets with the intention of intentional their specifies. In consection with the church we their products of their relief or chem relief to alcounts, no hope, to fine strongth an our opposition at that thee. As more also interested in the intention of the MSDAF, a rigultural questions and in cultura field. From the and of 1933 until the entering publishing house of the MSDAF, the central publishing house of the MSDAF. For use a rest local of secret information of the party was collecte there, I have very good opportunity for obtaining information. I was take to use this opportunity for the benefit of the resistance agreement for Mielscher and Lawing.

11 April-M-GJ-J-1-Karras-(int. Von School)-Court 1

- I Through the fact that you moved to Berlin and your work for the shaenerbe there resulted for you a new situation. In this new work for yours did y a continue to work for Hielscher?
- A Tos, my work for Hielscher and his resistance (rou) was really only to be in here.
- The you first informed yourself about the people with whom you worked the people as ound Himmler?
- " You, I and to fortify my position first and feel my way care fully.
 - @ Wor. you inconstant contact with Miclacher during this time?
- A Yea, that was a perequisite for the success of my work in this position.
- thing that you did in this direction at this time you had to work with them care...
- a UNtil 1937, Hillscher lived in Berlin which made it possible to have exact of contact. In 1937, Hielscher mevel to Moiningen. We met in Berlin or at their places. In 1939, Hielscher mevel to Petedem which recetablishes constant a stact.
 - Q Did Midlschur live y a definite deties for this time too?
- " You, we wanted to at further insight into the group of people of and Highler to find out the plane and intentions of the Sa.
- Q for Freshlont, I may four Pleasant Slovers #20 in Decement Book, SilversI, page 50. I ffor this as Silvers Exhibit IL. This is an afficient of the G verment physician, Dr. Ernst Friedrich Ebert, dated IL danner, 1947. I shall rest in once 51 the paratruph, " I sweet" in the total or Page 51, E quite:

"From Adverse 1938 on I was an active memor of the accret organization of Sriedrich Hillscher, which from 1933 until the collapse of the Nazi rediction flowers rediction without interruption, and which we connected with the attempted western of 20 July 1944. In 1938, on the country of a property with Friedrich Sielscher, I mat the former in the attempted with Friedrich Sielscher, I mat the former in the former and heigh Business warm or of the Annunctic, Wolfram

5750

11 april-2-GJ-3-2-Karraw-(int. Von Schon)-Court 1-

Silvers from Berlin, as a member of the secret organization. I know that his duty was to supply us constantly with newsfrom the Raichsfuchrung - SS in , by virtue of his position, to help and conceal our work with all means at his disposal. From numerous meetings with Friedrich Hielschor and him, Sievers in the course of following years, I know that he always carried out this difficult task very well."

There follows the certification.

Witness, Mid you succeed in corrying out this intention of gaining insight into Mimmler's entourage?

- I had to conserve with Himmler's personal staff, the head of which was wolf, later Chargruppennanters. I" the course of time I had a very good or crtunity for obtaining information, but I must point out that I always not to be very careful not to disturb the appearance of loyal-ty.
- Q Professor Gobbarit has sometimed the so-called Froundenkrois Circle of Friends of Himmler. What can you say about that?
- year 1936. The circle of Friends was compased primarily of big industrimilete and bunkers was not for a social evening at results intervals.

 On these censions a sember of a sectal evening at results intervals.

 a sunject this interested the group controlly. I primed the impression
 that there were influential people, as could in industry, who wanted
 that there were influential people, as could in industry, who wanted
 that there were influential people, as could in industry, who wanted
 that there were influential people, as could in industry, who wanted
 that there exists force to pay one sillies in order to be admitted into
 this at-called Circle of Priesses.
 - . Is it true that the girale of Friends financed the Abnumerbe?
- and the of mount one million from the Girole of friends, but he used this many for personal representation purposes. The absorber received to be of this many. It was finished murthy from funds of the German Received association, from hairs funds, seeming contribution, and dues formulaes.

1 April-W-GJ-3-3-Karr: w-(int.V u Schin)-Sturt 1

- a Bid you yourself belong to this Gircle of Friends?
- A Yes, from the and of 1941 on.
- Q Wes this not appread preference based on friendschip with girmler or did you pay-any large sum of money to be accepted?
- A It is well known that many high-scunding make often did not have the implical background. This was true of the Circle of Friends. It included a number of influential persons from industry and business who supplied Himmler with funds. These men were, of course, persons grate with Himmler with funds. These men were, of course, persons grate with Himmler. Himmler wanted in this se-called circle of Friends to make known his cultural ambits ms. In this connection, from the end of 10hl on, the Curator and Office Uniof of the Abacharba, Professor wast, I, mysulf as Beion Business Manner; and the Main Department Ontof of the Abacharba, from the Chircle of Friends. Persons the paying members if I may call them that were considered friends of Himmler and were treated as such by bim. For the others this was not the case and curtainly not for me. I had no personal advantage from it, but in the interest of my registance work I had a reacted and advantage.

11 April-1-DJC-4-1-Grees (You Senson) Sourt No. 1 Q You mean to say thin that you succeeded in getting a new and important source of information? . A You, one shight may that. I should like to montion an comple in this connection. State Secretary Mouseann I cleared to this circle of friends from Goebbols Ministry. From 1943 on he ways reports in the circle of friends about the situation of the front, the political situation at hime and threat, and Goodbuls information service served quite vell at times. Once at a meeting Naumann rejected or rather he told us of a stem rappic reject on a motion of the british H use of Workens by Churchill which he had received as a burn after the meting. Q In your work for the locationed lives nt did you work alone in the theenerie or did you have to meinter? A As also must up I to I yet V stout associations requelly. This was more ansier because I succeeded from time to time in towin rose ren seel or ours given to relieble non. In this way, for and In, I mave br. and mener a five race reb and mount, and I eve him a page of a section ental of the ... him nerve. ... fter that it one postile for him to traval threat, which thermise would not have been mostile for him since he did not belon to the Tarte, 53, or any other regulantion. . Atmose, you and I posteries that you groundly could not insure rise con againments in an you just said that you attained a received assistant for Hillsener. Do you must to any that this casi mount was - feke, ore that you yourself took the misk for Eiclock ris appointment in the amenurbe? J. Tub. a Con you tall us an acty o sours of yours or any other Resistance Group for seco, Sel in my fore in the premerba? A for people beling a trustic to believer's brug, or people in contact with is, I made out find positions for about the only flarmed them. Saidles bully an englishing the technology 5759

which I know were opposed to the Mari regime. The Ahnenerbe included a total of about three hundred people.

Q Did you also have contact with other resistance croups? You have mentioned Luidic.

". You. From time to time I received instructions and informetion from Mielscher who had all the threads in his hands for his group and his contact with other groups.

Q Gin you tell us a few notemerthy cases?

.. Hitlschur discussed his various conferences with me, with Bount Von der Schulenburg, hand of the Young Conservative Broup of heristance Movement, before and ofterwards. The with Count Timeenthal was from the and if 1945 and the beginning of 1944 was the men between Stauffenburg and Hicksoner.

& When Mid you cateful ah contact with those people?

.. I are in touch -ith Schulenburg first. I believe it was in 1940 when Schulenbur are Rielscher et to other, we established contact with elementhal in 1943. Also, Lt. Ven Berffen, Stenff-onwords adjutent.

If we would not in this connection I should like to offer from D current Book II, D charact Sievers No. 52 on Sievers Exhibit No. 15. The document is in p = 16 of the Endish translation.

Being is a short excerpt from an article in the "News analogs"
(New Direct) Emigrap, No. 1. The orticle has the title "One of the G arean Resistance." It would with Court Heimath was Noltke.

I to not intend to read this incurrent but an perce J7 I should like to point out a few waste. It the top there is mentioned Theoder Stultzer, then when was report—Le-Solz, and Johannes von Heeften.

Mitness, this is an article, as I just said from Thomas musless.

You just speke I a Lt. you Hastborners. Is the new Johnsons

You Hastbor - is that the same only

⁴ We. Than wer his 'r ther.

11 .. ril-1-UNG-4-3-Gross (Int. Van Schoen) Court No. 1 t This article on page 30 and 37 ives a muster of names who are considered the most important members of the Kreisau TCUD. lithess, did you have any contact with other nembers of the Kreisan Toup? . You. I know is attionered .dan von Trott-Zu-Sols very wall. Q Her aid you know of his ment reship in the resistance movement? a. I learned that from him. We learned to know each other in our secret political situation when there was an tir reid on Burlin. The news wern Reeften was mentioned and oft r a few execute questions we mutually revealed our outcomien to the Serie. If I remember durately the name was Trett-Zu-Selm as well to Priodrich Rielscher Locur reportedly in your diagr. If this Was - Very secret matter are it not careless of you to mention to ac money in your in yor . These more communitate entries in my dirty which I discussed yesterley. The frequency of my teler with the men and to be I line in service on consequently the discussions listed were not the natural once figurated but were morely did in. That is specially trancf by von Trott. are you in a moret with any other resistance roups? . You. I had uspecially diese reacti as with the Councillo Brow, harded y Fredherr we Lucii. The wed polations with the Socialists, represented by Luchwein and later 'y Reulera. al Cathur Ter worked ith Dr. Topf os a respected can in the a sterable or sceini burestio circles. I personally has very whose Fal cions with una nover his prusen who talement to the me collect off Group, which als included Kunzu (?) for cample, who Wis in contact with the former i ich Chancellor Wirth in Switter-That, and there were also ensembled with hesistance a veneral in the "Child territorice. I ad all a same of the Territories of the IN F I P Griefi on the tas in interior and or of the Guedle 5761

Listance Avenuet.

5. Mr. President, my client has just rentioned the name of Trof.

Dr. Ermars. In this commention I should like to offer from Domi-

If , R. EDY: May it please Your Hener, it seems to me that deforse counsel has some for each h into this defense of his in
resistance revenent. The tile an attempt at mitiration. That the
defendant was a man or of the resistance group - every have explained
fully what the resistance group ind - and I think up t the Tribunal
is call make in a of the activities of that account. The mitness
here is man to have three after situases can here to bestify in
his cativity in resistance account. I would assume that the Tribtunel has now enthered sefficient interestion to determine the status
of the defendant Sievers in that a relevance ray of. Increasors, I
expect to may further the can taken up with the resistance
we cent buriness.

THE . USIDENT: Commeditury proceed. Objection is ever-ruled.

Dh. MRISCHBER: I offer document No. 14 in Document Book I, us o j2, as Scivers Exhibit No. 16. This is an efficient of Dr. Assium Boltzers, dated 17 January 1947: I should like to read morely a few excerpts from this efficient. I quote:

"I, Dr. Lesion Bohmors, born on 16 January 1912 at Kutfen, the Satserlands, Archeologist by profession, residing at Buildenpest, Juliansland 375, swear, tostify and declara:

Withhal been known to me, elrerly since 1937, that Herr Wolfram Sievers kept invertily very much also from the efficial adentific last dectrine of race, blood one sail, etc. In accordance with this attitude, he true the end of in, and successed in accordance with this represent tives of the ordical false factions out of this branch of science, and that in order to protect this science. The question ordical, of course, any Werr Silvers continues his efforts to gain importance manner as circles. In my spinion, his sole aim was, on the one hand, to form a cell by order and in accordant the resistance grows which exists already at that time. It is difficult now a cell in acquainted with the levelopment of the Mesi rounce — to put healf in the mental position of these Curmons she then, under very two rate discontenges, a extendent the possibility of a revolution."

I shall not road that follows, but on pa o 33 I shall read to second and leaf over robes

"it is known to so that Harr Sievers, too, had more or less limit contents in these purry with persons who had a muchicus with a species interested in news and interesting from underswand Germany."

The light part with on tills you:

The second of pears and i him are and are learnt to trust him,

not may and controlly did not pay. At the present moment it is not may be judge the feelings of the individual resistance men. Their tracks fate was that all their efforts were in vain."

Then there follows the certification.

The following decement, Sievers No. 15, on page 35 of the document book I, I offer as Sievers Exhibit No. 17. This is an afficavit of the same Dr. Bolecore, deted 16 February, 1967. It deals with the warning and about the sevens of this Dr. Scheetra by Sievers. I ask the Tribural to the nation of this affidavit.

57 ph. WIROLIUM:

" lithers, on request of Hielscher, you maintained mented with a number of other residence rouse; I want to dve the Tribund a compression and expense oursey of the nature of your constitutives activities. Here we brief.

A meetring to by position, by main duty was to extended posses a value to information. Also I had to sever difficult. Situations, to sake conferences possible, for which I ands my confidence which may seen unlike the swrite to, and may seeld incidents which may seen unlike which to an outsident, but in such a haddy damner as nother we had to be very earsful of an emerging and accountly. It was very important, for example, how very SS traps were in the laich of any to . It was up that to a but minuration. Of a wase, one sould a testing take the SS min. Trice, but I was object to it is cause will follow training of the SS was under the main office my by remain of the free that Minules a sted to have the American pollication at the training and by the teleposes and the appropriate was out to the training as to be tone reputably because the royalt was out I for your times.

. The ear purpose two no matter excepts with well-clorify.

not cray and cortainly did not pay. At the present mement it is not clay to judge the feelings of the individual resistance men.

Their tracks fate was that all their efforts were in vain."

Thun there follows the cortification.

The following document, Sievers No. 15, on page 35 of the document book I, I offer as Sievers Societ No. 17. This is an afficient of the same Dr. Homors, dried 14 February, 1947. It donle with the worming and about the moving of this Dr. Bohmers by Sievers. I sak the left world to the maties of this efficient.

By on. Wilding IR:

with a number of other resistance reads; I want to die the Trirenel a comprehensive and concise survey of the nature of your constructed activities. There he 'ric'.

A prescriber to by weither, sy wein duty res to define and press in volumble information. The I had to cover difficult situations, to make conferences possible, for which I undo my office evenia is, one may well incidents which eap soon uningerent to an establish, but in such and may denourable in the we may to be very soreful about communic a and accurate. It was very important, for excepts, he sawy 55 traps were in the Lich at any time. It was a dark to a this industries. Of a urbe, and could not simply isk the SS axis. This, at I are able to a it a course all of the irrights of the St has unfor the axis office the ip reason of the free that Himmler a stall to have the channels of discretion and to the trape, and by the salinesses and the appropriate much or or publications used, I see all to judge the much of the swarel times.

You say tomore the as mother excepts which well clarify

Hidlscher.

A New was Hickschur slamps know you inf rand of all details of his proper tions shout the final aim of this resistance activity? and the final aim, as you have already said, was armed averthrow.

necessary of the details, as no descriptions of a first thing and another; but the resident per de never has seet broadcare of everything that was aim on, if only for remains of annually of but I know quite a fer a surface of mands of people of bottom of to the very us resistance maps because I can into contest with putter a large map or of pople, for another, the circle of friends which I have just talked about 1 m and were mentioned in this a material, it wint a circle of the restort is prompted to assertain in that a material is they were mentioned to another the title.

I make it possible for 500 de many comes to mere must are of the consistence to variet for the formal for the formal than to mid. Thank

. Yes, I was beloned to the language costs. The language is next interpretation of the costs of the language is a language of opinion terminal to the Common of the distribution of opinion terminal the SD in Deposite on the time observed Common in Democrat, then the well known the deposite transcent Common in Democrat, the of the language from the opinion of the deposit of the Common of the contract of the language from the opinion that the language from the opinion of the language from the language that the language from the lan

11 April - - DJG-5-4-Cook (Int. van Schoen) Court in. 1

I sysulf had no opportunity to warm him directly. Therefore, I want to Dr. Von Trott in the foreign office. I infermed him of the planned drive spainst the Jews in Denser's and in particular about Professor Bohr. I asked him whether it was possible for him through his connections abroad to send a warming to the people who were endangered by a reliable means and he said "yes", and smartly therefore no teld no that the worming had "con transmitted, and then irefessor Bohr succeeded in Placing to Sweden Worms the drive was curried out, and later he went to include and then to the United States.

C. Is this the same Trott-Zu-Sels when you montioned before?

L. Yos.

- w. In the article the first Court Bosistance Movement which I have the prompt submitted a Sievers! Exhibit 15 It is said shot Colthe Proposed to Sind out that I waste consumes were both of head winst the Denish Jame. He you make this event?
 - a. You; that is the committee.
- To you one you please told the high Triven's very briefly the us no else or another in which you helped no ple wire were in may may a recessed by the Resi Regime or helpives of their freedom?
- Thompse whomever believed returning to do so, exploiting the differences between Bireler and mesonberg. For example, I was the total the coll-known archee—legist, Professor I whore, and Professor B.R. Schmidt. It was aften access by to the adventure of Pin logist schmidts to a from access by the transfer of Pin logist schmidts to a no capes, I make play in the case of Professor Lote, who has been discussed as a new particle of the control of the second to the capes of I perform toward outside the second of the professor to an expectation of the second of t

In 1966 I was alle to "item the liberation of the "respect foilt, rector of the University of Osle, for a concentration comp, and I the Telpod the correction colversity professors, for our law loss off, covered him reliberation stellars in 1966 I. . remarked from the concentration comp inclumedably professors for the I. . remarked from the concentration comp inclumedably income the professor that the law is a law or the first time.

through an eratact with amedion I saw a concentration camp, I was looply moved by conditions there. Although I had fourt them to a reities one the horrible tresphere on t rius cocisi as, so ing thuga things mould and the i pression of suel a slave a p surpassed anothing I lad hoon file to i a inc. I was aspect it mineto; to see that besi us crim al types there were highly invelligent go ton top. I diretting " the sirens tovillish, and whorover possible I telpe ; we is must be englighed that I's and very slink to pretending to a use I had nothing whitever to do with the concentration comparates. Pere I culi, I triod t have so the rel it, retirmine I spin to I we then given heav s. I'm I we allo be have the fellowing and an originars released: Entropyrior, Foist, Prois, Brazil, to contach only a fow; and by transfurring doubner's action outside of the concentr tion compa I was the erected and living or mistans for that blipty presences and proscrete them from the herrors If the lat for Lands of the 'cr. . mi I took a formle Joi white "itness into no own louge.

The from the entent Stell Slovers I of this connection to The from the entent Stell Slovers I of the Stell S

The first legislation of the Prison Principle of the Street, Forling on 21 recenter 1.32 of the faving been intermed for seven and a in the Semesatresian Comp Such.

The Mann, I first live in Semich for several number;

The (5: 1988) on order a same that I is also be income.

of Brest Protes nor Borlin and Later in the village of Brest Protes nor Borlin, for allowers saw to it that I could be schoolific work in the libraries of Borlin, and to supplie to with apple among. In the same with form is muitted form -- I had removed by the protest of Priodrick Poilsefor in Satella. Dielseher faited to be that he was not a true with a similar. Herr Sievers have no extend to fraction and a wall as a sible, live with a life in work, he wall a possible, live with a life in work, he wall a possible, live with a life in work, he wall a possible, from in her than the same trackers were so that the first and the same trackers were the live than the life of the same trackers.

The nurth Comment, Stavers No. 80 on page 75, I offer a calculate Stevens No. 19. 2 is is no lift with of Medicing Totals of the 5061 of June 17, 1907.

receive those temperate which you didn't as emiliate in evilance, but I sur out to by a roll only very short of important partition and properties and the properties of the properties and the properties of the properties and the properties of the

Thought Titmoss were of the form to the fo

JACC CONTUR December, in properties there is consist.

The block is non-conturned to state to the manual control of the state of the state of the state of the state.

then the English, and I is inclined to believe that in the so-called Cormin Document Book only the deciments by imming on a to 121 appear in the Inclini translation.

DR. BISGEBER: Mr. Prosident, I have . You more complete to low of the Decement book, and I all 11 see to it that they and submitted to the Tribunal.

J DOE REMING: Als is Decement Book 1, both Inglish and --

DR. WESTERN Yes.

JUDON F RING: -- Bergen

The Man Mr. The "respection's he among book is in the also form, Your Toner. I with it involves only one to current, lovey.r.

JEDGE SECRETOR: At the present account it processing this anyelves because He. 40. Jell, as a motion of eact, I we the Decement 40's in German, Prime it on be at all tends out more early than it appears.

DE REDUCE: It a number do you resign to this old bit, Counsely

. "Bis": "Ct: Sievers Exhibit No. Ro.

ED WHITE B. Mis is bo - ffil wit of Triodrich Brown.

The SEPTEMBLE Hose The as a staturent by the concentum state of the concentum that just the whence I sell to Tribural to take notice of the concentum to the content to the

The The The Deliver of the state of the state of the record. The record.

L Puebet v a alban)

Chirt No. 1 11 Apr -7-4-9-1-AEN-Marrow (Int. Brown)

The marshal: The Tribunel is again in session.

DB. NAISCARRES: Mr. president, during the recess I have got my hands on a few cories of Document Book Bo. 1 and I request permission to give them to the Tribunel. First, though, I should like to noint out one thing. I have escertained that the correct English text and also the dermen text of Sievers Document Bo. 60 is to be found in English Document Book Bo. 1. On the other hand, in the German document book the English text is also included. I can explain that as follows. The witness horkenes, whose focument this is, have me at the time his English translation. Then, when I turned in my document book to be translated. I also turned in this English translation in order to be of mesistance to the Translation Division. When the document book was finally out together, the defense, according to present custom, has no influence and consequently it was not possible for me to look through this book before it was out tefore the Tribunel.

MA. HARDY: Your Honor, night I sak the defente counsel whether or not the efficient in Document No. 40, Borkenna, is the same Borkenna that he intends to call here as a witness?

The Walshaller Yes, that is the same can so that there is no cucation of reading this document here.

No. 40.

DR. WEISSLEED: No, the witness will repear here. Consequently, this commont will not be rect.

May I now incuire whether Document No. 41 is in English or in German in the document back before the Tribunel?

THE PRESIDENT: The copy furnished is of Document No. 41 process in marking. I don't know equal the other document books but that metter will be carrected. Any that are incorrect will be supplemented with an in dish trenslation.

BY DR. TEISTERSHIRE

. Witness, before the recess you mentioned a few cases in which

you were tale to sesist persons who were repressed by the Nazi regime.
Wes the assistance that you were able to give in certain cases mossible without any further shot

A. Do, by no means. Luck, strong nerves, and courage were an eesential part of this. Those who don't know this period from their own experience in Germany can only have an approximate picture of the difficulties that always had to be overcome. Thus, in 1900 the Chief of Staff of the sersonal Steff, as my superior officer, wented to institute a trial against on for doin favors for prisoners and, in this connection, the rights that I had graviously had were taken away fro be; annely, my might to have in edicte sursumed content with the division chiefs. I ard to overy a state of worfers with the SD which downson very careful trotice. This slone, hower, we must an ugh to take core of the ticklish situation. Rivier was president of the Abnonerte and from 1943 I could withdraw into my committee in the Roich Research Council and frecauntly I could only meater the situation because of this. Let no give m brief example to clarify that matter. Mindler wanted to give Hitler a birthday present, a printed work which was to be printed especially for the purcose. I received the order to arrange for this. I had this Tolung property by a factous Leinzig professor who was known as a bookcincer. This artist, however, was not a certy peopler. In fact, he was Uniter surveillance as a Social Detectate and the SD order agreet issue If this. It was considered as less majesty for Hinnler to submit a book t fither that been prepared by a Social Democrat. Then, it a metter if fact, Fimiler did not use this book for the tirthday present as he had intunded. I was called to easwer for this and only because it was such I hi h work of art which Eintler paw two I spared difficulties.

Vitness, in describing this event you wish to make clear how corefully you were being amorphised and watched by the SD, is that not

A. You, that is so, and I can give you an execute to prove that I consulty was being supervised. In 1940 I had a violent showd on with the SI which was concluded with the assurance that the letter was settled,

Exercit, such assurances were sleeps of a very dubious nature and I found out thereafter that I was to be supervised by the SD in Reyrouth. Inc SD leader there came to me on the wretenes that he wanted to get infor ation on my office which had been transferred to that neighborhood. Further the conversation it asserted that we had an communicance in conton, a dan who was absoly befriended to this man, and bonness of this he said to be what his real easi, meent was. He then sent a report to farlin which clarifies the situation so for as I was concurred. This was just one of those cars accidents that solutions a mean. Rowever, I was sitting on a keep of tower, as it were, and at the end of 1900 or the heritain. If 1946 the relice chief of Emeratory at that time wanted to charge me with secition water, at that time, would have been considerably have then just a concentration came menalty. However, I reproved it as y haven cuty always to help whenever Dr. Fielscher or other members of our circle seater for assistance.

that the human bein; are eriments with which you came in touch because of bindler were officus to you becausely. 'My then did you not, on some arouse or other, standon your notivities as the Reich Business Menager of the whateverte? Thus, in one fell aways you would have extract/your-colf from this complicated position in which you found yourcelf.

As In the Sorin; of 19-2 I has plready this moint of view when I see the experiments in Duchau. I have already said that during the Leater discussions with Findler I attempted to peer this matter separate into the abnorable because I wished to have nothing to do with that persist the abnorable because I wished to have nothing to do with that persist lip. It is true that effect I was frustrated in this effect to effect this superstion I might have been able to extract myself in some way or "her from this situation. However, this fix not take place for the following research, as soon as I came in touch with the first experiments to have beings I take Dr. Histopher and my other friends about them and teclared uncomivocably that I wanted to have nothing to for with those things and did not have caything to a with them. By friends whinted out

t, me that, should I go away, should I abandon the position of Reich Business conseger of the ahnenerbe, I would not thereby effect the least changes in these experiments that Himmler had ordered and it is true that this is correct, what they said. On the other hand, because of my concerture the members of our group would no longer be protected by ne in by position in the abnonarbe and this would nost assuredly direct the ettention of the Gestand in my direction. Everything that I had done in the Ahneneric would have been checked very carefully and it could be counted on that the secret thread that led to the other groups in the resistance abvergent would be discovered. These consequences would be most unhappy for the conspiratorial activities of the group and, moreover, my very important observation cost for the Rielscher movement would have been blandened had I left. After nature consideration and inner struggle I decided to remain at my most in order, in this comition, to contribute to the destruction of the Bazi regime. My entire contact with the experiments in which, according to the prosecution, I perticipated in some way or another consisted, so far as I regarded these natters as criminal, in purely external things. Spiritually, I repudiated them infinitively. My external participation consisted only in assisting in the parrying out of the plans, the goal of which was the liberation of the German poopler but, nevertheless, I didn't lot things just take their own way. I was not, by any means, indifferent to what was going on, Accordingly, enoug other things I was able to prevent first the uncortaking of Recoger's human being ar princate, which he was planning on a large scale in the field of cancer research, by interesting the thief cancer researcher in the heigh heaveren Council, Dr. Plane. Secondly, I was able to prevent wist Himmler had remestedly addred; recoly, the finding of a low-pressure chember, both in the Autumn of 19 2 and in the Autuan of 1948, and this seemt that heacher's experiments were not continued.

A (continued) Thirdly, I prevented the carrying out of hascharts cold experiments in the mountains because by prolouring negotiations I kept him from fetting the necessary equipment. Thus, I was also alle to bring about a union of orders from Hismler to Enacher; with masignment by the Rolch Rosearch Council. Since Reich Research Council orders were issued at the end of 1942 Rascher did not corry on any further hance ling experiments, bucomes from then on he was not responsible for his tok solely to Himsler but for the first the was responsible also to a professisnel freely to high no was obliged to report: t. the competent men in the Raich oscuren Council, menely reference Scuerbruch. and methor would never have room the to stind up a minet the criticism of such a son. When finally, and furthly, I not in Dr. Hectner the first can was request outri ht to corry on human being experiments, Hingler finally in 1944 sew to it that the institut. for Elitary fatient Reserves no London had anything to do with numer reing opportunitation and said that such authors nore exclusively frontist officir, his also corried the on. I ald have been until to provent this lot I left of pesition.

If the resident, in this centext I offer from Decement Book I, Decement No. 10, on para 12, or Sievers Review 21. This is an efficient of Colomo Vellman. From this efficient I should like to read corely a brief para right, basely in para 43, the first pararrigh.

"All those actions of Sicrers work tracked another posters which I "dairo", so Finder at most of the other locators of larry and State justifiably and continuelly districted each other and their closest wirele and protected accessive by means of a well or helicit and effectively steril a like such size."

This affidavia is correctly metidict.

is further diducint I offer from Dominat I is bickers Ily

Extrabit No. 22.

THE THESIDENT: Will _ u please dive me that Document number again.

Deciment Book II, pore 22, of the Inglish translation, and this I offer to blovers Exhibit 22. This is an efficient by arms Section—
Doublehouser Wish a med or of Hielschor's desistance Group, and with when Sievers spake at root length short the situation in which he found himself "common the Shaner's concerned itself did experimentation. Section—Boutela ser state here in Social a number of rots no for thich Sievers to that the was to remain in this Books not the Sievers to that the was to remain in this Books not it in the who missing it would be able to the formation.

hitmon, in view of the coal of the Australian account, nearly, an error uprising - in you undertake any larger plans yourself?

In a mostler with the other raups, it appeared after the colleges of Stella red that the appropriate time for setting had private. Michaeler, Bestella ser, and specification convergetions with the this final out should be sendered, Michaeler as was led to then with the lands community the respect the respective to the incorporate last time on the percent the allieury alique coins principle to a community to a community of any with the leading of the angle of the respective of the angle of the final range of the respective to the second time of the last range of the final range of the second range of

- I You dust said to t simil to the ore towarful sen. Now all so applein that.
- token like the nest investment men, at hinder, occurse of concustostics of terms of worth, sic name was tokening the are possessed. Whether we are contacted in a literation of the service.

11 april - F-DJG-10-3-Gross (Int. Brown) Court No. 1

and of cost of the Allgeseine (General) SS. The entire relice, including the SD and the Gestape. From A5 he was heigh Minister of the Interior, and thus in central of the entire internal German central suchinery. Moreover from 1944 on, efter disposing of Janaria, Himsler took over the entire Military Counterintelli ence Service. Thus Himsler had acquired an extraordinary power, and consequently he appeared to be the man whee it was the most insportant factor.

Court 1 April 11 ... M-11-1-HD-Cook-vonSchoun. A. At this time even in higher 35 loading circles there already were misgivings about Hitler, which were to of traced brok to his false decisions in military spheres, and also to a reons like Bormenn's attitude toward him by simmler, whoses person ecemed to them to be inviolable, and they and in Himmler to be sure, 1 rgely for their own private soldish recepts, the man who should be at the helm of the state instand of hitler. If Himmler were done away with first, then the a near of civil wer would be mensurunbly reduced because there was the opposition to Mitler paich was founded on a larger basis, and that after first setting away with Himmler it would be a simple matter to do miny with mitler. We sould avoid civil wor within the frame work of our revolt and this was in the interest of the notion as a whole waten was under serious enough STOREURC NE 15 Mag. a. Witness, was the intention to do nway with Simular alacuse d with the other groups of the resistance do sment and was it oriented towards their intentions also? A. In all groups that are armed to set forcibly could only be done by getting vid of the leading personage in the as and the Mazi re ime, that way only could the goal be attained. To be sure it was not easy to reach agreements with the other groups r gording time and technique. This circumstance and the preparations which were necessary to make it cos ible for us to take over the of the State, which was pur intention, and this kept postronia, the date at which Our uprisin was to take place. Q. Now, witness, I should like to me r from you Yers brility comething cout four concrete line, which as 1-1 sold proviously, were directed toy of getting rid of

Court 1 April 11-M-11-2-HD-Cook-vonSchoen.

Hispler.

- A. Well the point of departure was the following: The resessingtion would have to a corried out so that batween the time it was carried out and the time it became shown, there were t least twenty-four hours. In this were we work to have an opportunity to requestnt the entire State our ratus and the army with the new state of affairs, and thus, if nostible, to word a civil one. From the line that were diet on this coint of view, the following sounce to offer the best of nees of a modes: I h va "Tre dy montioned "esterdo, the "house of inture" in which dimler wis very such intermeted. He had visited is severed the send the gen of of the "House of Wature" and told his that in a certain region of the alse vultures still lived. similar is had the order to find out where Less birds nestd and to observe them. After these occurry o one and seen concluded, no bim alf wanted to at there on a hunting trip. The slace was found in the collected in Observing of in a dear side valley. Routhly, thirty waltures were even there. I had a film taken of t is in order to interest Stanler oven more in this . bit tion. In the a mar of 193 I and all the orre onts nacessary for the assessingtion to be carried
- A: Witness, in our along of 1945, ander the date of 18 key, there is an entry "Lovie Pictures of "Dite dended "Witness" how one to se the covies you just sook of?
- A. You, the location was a recall why favorable for wis absolution. There was a climb of several hours up to the atting region, which a do it certain, even if who takes when a real at relating that arong, the a we would be to a moral at re of the angle. I got really or a certain build to through

Court 1 april 11-4-11-3-3D-Cook-vonSchoen.

rough terrain and found out that there would only be necossary a short one nour's welk from where the cer we ld have to stop to the nut: The nerrow velley, once the cer and laft the but up the-valley, could most readily be closed for several days. "e worked out exactly where it was to trac place and when. Signal stations were arounged for witch to to communicate the news of the went a few moments rather it had occurred, to our sen in Bartin, and the would then go into retion i medic tely. This would Sive 48 " very grant hand stret and the frat that Kimmler were not of the will we be only a done now where we wented it to become nown, and are no this accisive nowind the produce could establing on given to the as we if they came Iron Simmler.

- sa them were the order tions for those plans . compludud?
 - a. They ward conclused in the ratus of 1943.
- 4. Now now is it that these lone were never mirvia out? or just sold that in 1943 because of the o siderable military reverses you toos t that that would to made "operations that for side in industrying?
- a. Laure were ... ree reasons for the: Siret of mil, the wester could only be done between July and Bestombur; sig adly, it is united on want time Highler micked for two fring and tuiraly, in 1943, the cooperation bet dun the verious groups was not so rice. that he could have tour over the entire overheart's estimistration -- distair.
- as an ather words, you has be but off the copyring D-D DJ DAW Tons until 1844?
- * as the man we and mony of orts, or timently indu higher of this values among this. I stoke to whatle court evently in Jourse one in error 1944.

Jourt 1 April 11-M-11-4-HD-Cook-vonSchoen.

At the beginning of 1944 he himself looked at a penoremo which was arranged in the house of nature about the life of these vultures, and then Himself himself set the date for this nunting trip at the end of July or the beginning of august.

- . Now now come you didn't corry out the plan in
- a. On 19 July 1944, I want to believing to check on irranguments once more for the last time. There wills I was on the well a received information on the 20th of July on the unsuccessful attempt on hith r's life. I heatened bek to beat not aget more details and if cosmible to note my friends. Bur intended attempt was abandoned after the 20th of July, because most of the leaders of our resistance movement, including allegaber, were arrested, and Himmler called off the heating trip because of the new duties that were falling spon nim as a consequence of the new duties that were falling spon nim as a consequence of the new job as commander of the Reserve Forces (Ersetzhour)

You just said that Eiglacher was out in prison in connection with the attempt of the 20th of July. Now I am surprised that you your-self, because you belonged to the resistance movement, were not also arrested.

A. I also was interrogated by the Kriminslast Newhous of the Gestamo. I was accused of verticipatin, in the movement and Nehaus told us that Kielscher had already confessed that I participated and had named the man in his group and that merhaps I could save my life if I named those who were in the hielscher group. Since we has agreed not to make the names of the others under any discussionades, I assumed outto correctly that Scuheus was merely bluffing. From his succeions I saw that he had practy well underwead the threads of those resistance groups and I had to confess that I had known of hielscher's conversation with Stauffenber, at hambers. It was alse unfortunate that a letter in the Yille Reafter proved hielscher's connection with the Abgenores. We explanation of this was not accorded by Newhous; I was treated very roughly and brutelly by him and he released no with orders to knep ayacif available until I received further orders.

of 1900. I heard that members had been transferred and a Kriminalrat by the name of Seumeers continued by interrogation and it was because of this that I got off. Seumers was an all official, very correct and decent in contrast to Lemmans. I are able to cover for Fielscher on the basis of his charge orders from the Ahmemerbe and in the time that followed I was even able to bring it about that Hielscher

was set free after I had agreed to stand for him; however, the consition was made that he should be freed from prison so that he could join an SS 'probation' troop, but this in turn we were able to prevent as when he was set free there was waiting for him an induction order into the Wehrmacht, which his friends and I had arranged for him.

- Q Mithess, what would your role had been had the assassi-
 - A My active participation in the assassination itself.
 - Q You firmly intended to take part in it; did you not?
 - A You.
- A This what you and your friends attempted; was it not political murder?
- In our circle, for hamme and ethical reasons, we requisited political nurder, what we intended was to liberate the German people and the innumerable foreighers who had fallen into Himmler's clutches. The liberation from a man, whomeve regarded as the incarnation of greaty and conscientiouslessness, the loing away of such a creature, in corparison to whom the brutal and satisfic actions of persons like Casser Horgia were nothing, appeared to me, in view of the whole situation, as a norally completely justifiable deed. I believe that in this attitude I am in arrangement with not only the great majority of decent Germans, including provinced that this attitude of time will be approved by every ethical and richeous thinking person in the whole civilized world.

DR. WEISGERBER: ir. President, this is the one of my direct examination. I ask permission to sweat further Documents at a later late.

THE FRESIDENT: I understand that counsel rests his case for the defense of this defendant at this time.

DR. WEISGERBER: That is true.

THE PRESIDENT: With leave to submit Documents at a later date.

DR. VEISGERSER: The direct examination is concluded.

THE PHYSIDENT: That concludes the direct examination of the defense counsel.

DR. WEISGERHER: That is so.

D

THE PHESIDENT: The court will be in rocoss until 1:30 o'clock.

(A recess was taken until 1330 hours.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The hearing reconvened at 1830 hours, 11 April 1947)
THE MUSELL: The Tribunal is again in session.

WOLFRAM SIEVERS - Resumed

THE PRESIDENT: Are there my questions to the witness on the part.
I any if the defense counsel?

BY DR. . REFILLA (Counsel for the Defendant Mestock):

q fitness, you were deputy ensiness remnar of the Reich Research Council and I can assume that you are informed about the business in the Reich Depoarch Council.

A Yes, I can live you information about that.

I lietpe, clarify once and for all the was responsible for issuing and emporwaing recovers resignments, were those individuals, was it the Sound, the business emangement, or the business emangement,

A For one issuing of the resourch assistments issued by the Heich Reported Council, the only persons responsible and computers were the 30 department house and pleniposesselectes, who in turn were responsible solely to Godrine as grasiding of the Reich Research Council.

The gold #30 department be define on redicine the most importund aspect I the Research Council, or were there that departinte, for excepte, her high is you settingto the number of research
usel-mainter

A I cannot live you amy information about the research assists and issued in the department for general modicine, or drive the the moreour of accignments issued in any ther department. The total of accounts issued by the 36 departments and several thousand.

colvid their assignment from the nor of that department, and if there were my juty if supervision than marely the need of this department will be responsible?

A It was exclusively this hard of do transit who are responsible,

11 Apr-A-Ta-13-2-Poster (Int-Vin Schin) resurrch work.

who issued the assignment and who received the regular reports on the

7 That reports were sent to the department hear by the research worker, and be passed them on. In what form were they passed on? You know of the red pemphlots. Was there may other form?

A I can explain that to you exactly. The research worker gave a report to the department hash. The department head draw up a list every month which was a compilation if all the research assignments, This he sent to the department for e of in'x and reports. These lists contrined the name of the scientist who was carrying but the assignment, the title of the work in very crief every, and excetimes the number f the assignment and the pricity ratio. The department for eard indox and reports sunt these reports, in the fore which I have just described, to pursons who were interested. They, in turn, if they wished to, could approach the individual research worker for further information. From time to time, about every six months, those lists were compiled into the so-called red reports. Those were printed, the lists fore murely misseprophes, and these rue reports were sent to the numbers of the Board (Fresidini-Rat), that be pound about every six a atim or mine months. These red rep rts contained a thing but what was in the list, that is, morely a pum ary, the manu of the scientist, and the title of the againment.

Gan you state presis ly from your ann knowledge that in addition to those lists, and in a loin to the red booklats, those p. plu, for examile, the Bearl, the not receive ther results and nore "utile reports? or is it your pinnen that the numbers of the Sord receive" only table lists and red folders?

A There was haver my tour report issued.

I Now, you have said that the department hoad was alone responsick for his particular field, that is if or a lap rtampe for my case which that reason I should lim to ask you wary a morete question. From the or occidings so far, you have head that ir fossor I stock

11 Apr-4-M5-13-3-Foster (Int-Von Schan) Court Mo. 1

from 19kk on, that is in the last year of the war, was in the Reich dosearch Council as deputy of Branch as member of the Board. If, in that capacity at deputy member of the Board in the year 19kk, he wanted any research assignment changed in any way or had any objection, could be intervene personally or did he have to approach the department head, and who would that have been?

- A. rersonal intervention was cuite impossible. We could only have some to deheinrat Sauerbruck and whether he could have induced him to effect any change he wanted-I do not know, but considering the personality of ar. Sauerbruck, I imagine he would not have done any such thing.
- to with manusting the affairs of the Reich Research Council?
- a large number of important personages, who as I said regularly received these red reports, and the deabers of the Board were also invited to the meetings, but generally they did not come, did not send any representatives. It had no doubt originally been the sin to evoic duplication of work in this way but it spourced that people were very anger to sit on the Board, but notually did not want to give the Reich Research Council saything, merely wanted to obtain benefits from it. From my own knowledge I they that actually documentian existed only between the representatives of the error, the newly, the next office, and I believe the Food dinistry, and the Reich Forestry Office.
- . I have one more succession. You know the Institute for hillitary medical hoseston, the Ahmenerte in Decheu and in Stramebourg. I believe you said that these were explusively under the Ahmenerte and, therefore, under the Beichfuehrer 557
 - A. Ten.
- . Then those institutes were never under the Commissioner General for medical and health metters?
 - A. No. bertrinly not.
- When the incurry of you recall this event and what happened?
- A. The occession for this immitty, and this was referred to, was "in sublication in the sunich satisfied "coaly immediate medicinische "chancerift) and tecause of this publication professor hostock asked

to be sent a test senule of onlygel.

- and your snaver was to the same ---
- m. I believe Dr. Flootner sent this sample to Dr. Hostock.
- w. That was sli7
 - A. 105.
 - DR. relBILLA: I have no further questions.
- PR. Tier: Dr. Tipo for the defendant 'elts.

BY DR. Tiers

- . Witness, how lone have you known professor Weltz personally?
- A. Since the boginning of this triel.
- Did you or the Abneserbs have ony correspondence with professor helts or his office?
 - A. No, certainly not, as far as I know.
- and did Rascher, who he you know was assigned to Professor Weltz, for sometime ever talk to you about Veltz?
- A. You, he wooke of professor telts a few times but I had no real time about him because I did not know him personally or officially.
- Welter
- A. He wasn't very polite. He called him a typical scholar of the

not accent Rescher's new ideas, and Rascher made fun of the Christian Setholic attitude of Dr. belts. He said he was limited by his religious loves and this at that time always implied a certain threat to the state.

. Boy, in connection with heacher's high altitude experiments in Dechau, I should like to discuss a document with you. It is in the Garnes Document Book 2, on high eltitude experiments, on page 61 of the anglish, which is cage 59, the number is 1581-r5, Exhibit 08. It is a letter from the defendent mudolf Brandt to you, deted 31 Jerch 1942, I shall muste for the same of strolicity: "The Reighfushrer-SS, rersonal Staff, Fuchrer headquarters, 21 paren 190-, to the Boich Business Carager of the Abneserce, SS Oberetural ensfuchror Sievers, Berlin, Debles: Doer Tomrad Stovers: I refer to your inquiry of the 5th of Larch 1942 concerning Dr. Enacher." I want to correct appelf, the letter is dated 2 21 19-2. "Reference to made to the sub-atmospheric pressure ernortnumber which are being carried out on concentration came inhates in the Luchau came by the mir force. The Reichefushrer SS has approved those erveriments under the condition that So 2nd Dicutement Dr. Rascher, who is anyhow a medical let Lieutenent of the air force, takes part in them. I am sure that Dr. Bascher will be able to give you further details. Beil Hitler, kndolf Brandt."

You have already said in your direct examination that your inquiry of serch 9, 1942, which is mentioned here, was an inquiry so to what Easther's experiments were about. How, I should like to know the following. Die you merhaps learn from Easther for what receips he wanted to so attached to the Anneserbe, which he finally managed to out through with minules?

A. From the documents which have been submitted here it can be seen that as verly as 1901 America had satablished contact with Himmler, but only in the beginning of 1902, efter the high eltitude emeriments has been begun, was there any contact with the American. I maked develop inter why he had been ordered to come to the American. As give outle an extensive answer. I cannot remember everything that he said

now. Rescher did not went to come to the Ahnenerba. He wanted to work under himmler. In 1942 Himmler ordered that he should be attrohed to the Ahmonorbo. Before that Rescher was attached to Eimlor's of jutant's office in Munich, and he later retrained this association. It was my winion that Rescher was primarily interested in being free from supervision, including supervision from Professor 'alte's institute. I could see no convincing resear for this at first and besides it was none of my tusiness, but as early as 19-2 heacher wented to get to a university. and a tried the University of Lumich first. He went to the affice of the chief of the Ahmenerte, Frofessor best, who was at the same time Aircotor of the University of Auntah. From Ausst I heard leter that both or Messor Schittenholm, as well as professor Teltz, and refused to helt Brecher qualify. These two contlemes were in the nedical faculty of the University of manich. My own personal coinion about Beacher had as significance at that time because I had no right to mess judgment on " schontist, but in any case or personal coinian was confirmed by what 'west told me. Unfortunately, Wwest did not draw gay conclusions from this fact at the time I talked to him about it. "a even refused to talk t Similar about the metter elthough he could have breed his arguments . on the judgment of these two authorities. I would conclude from this discuss tonce that the fact that 'uset refused to help Rescher schieve his win contributed to his action to dissolve his association with Mass. 2. Then I should like to discuss mother document with you. It is in Document Book 3 of the prospection, pead 84 in the Serman and 77 in the English. This is because 1509PS, Prosecution Exhibit 92. It is a personal letter from Aciohafuenrer 85, Field Headquarters, 24 Cetober 1842. It is marked Top Secret. It is addressed to Dr. Signama Resener, Lunich, and I quote:

Danr Alacher:

"I scandwieder receipt of your letters of the 5th of Detoier and of the 15th of Detoier 1942. I have read your resert regraing cooling experiently on high beings with great interest."

I saip r faw talles and go on to to next persional

These experiments on humans and would instead lot stardy
from all long die ne o moult of those cooling conditions.
I would not hesit to to expert those per to the offices
o neered. I empower you to note by opinion on this
move to the cincerned offices.

The rest of the letter is of no interest here. It

San you tell us, witness, did Resence talk to you at at this document?

a. Yes, I remember it very 'ell. 'hen descher thesiv d' this letter from minules he este in with it this nextly and said that now, finally, thanks to this the indication by himpler, he and requir a the sufferizetion to work without restriction, and now by hybody should be a reful who wereomorphic to bin and objected.

Court 1 april 11-a-15-2-HD-Karrow-vonSchon. 1. In this document the Duftmeffe doctors are mentioned who were apparently against Rescher. Do you hove on rement to b. Heve that Professor Weltz was referred to in this document by Minuler? A. I cen only speek about Mr. Weltz because Rascher mintion a - as I said in answer to your provious ruestion - he nomed Mr. Taltz as an example. Q. Weltz was explicitly mentioned? A. Yes. . Now, you know Resoner fitrly woll, witness. On you tell us, could Angehor by expected to make use of this document? a. In view of Roschor's muntality and character that we only too cortein. In my opinion, by giving Reacher this "stagrication, himmler but a dangerous instrument into all . nos buc aso he and that Resence would use it, and a schur fult so sure of hiss If in possession of this Of thorization that even to be who was higher in rank to ough not his superior he dered to say that now, on the b sis of this authorization by Himsler, I would withdraw "my objections to these experiments. There was nothing to do since Roscher and talk retnorisation but to be very coroful, although my conscience and not entirfied. . The poor relationship between Professor Welts and deschor w ich you have montion i, t least ofter Rescher and this letter, meant a considerable denger for Professor 7.1127 a. I assume so if Weltz mea given Reschur thy occasion that Shapper, on the basis of this athority from Himmler, - is have set a recordingly. . I thank you. I may no further questione. 5793

11 April 47-4-ATL-15-3-Karros (Int. von Schon) Court No. 1 BY DR. FRITZ (Defense Counsel for the defendant Bose): Q Witness, did you know the defendant Rose before the collapse? 4 30e Q Did you ever have any correspondence with him? A No. Q In a latter from Professor Hirt to you - it is Document 792 in the Prosecution Document Book 13 - Professor Hirt mentions Professor Hose as a specialist in the field of entomology. He adds, however, that Professor Rose does not belong to the SS. Witness, why did you not get in touch with Professor Tose on the basis of this recommen- dation? A In connection with the establishment of a enterological Institute which Hisplan had ordered on the lat of January, 1942, I wake- not only Professor Hirt but also various other torsenages and institutes, on instructions from my chief, about a suitable specialist. All the asswers received, including that from Professor Hirt, I passed on to my office chief. Since Professor "west then found a specialist for the institute himself there was no need to get in touch with Professor Pose about the matters And another question in a different connection. In discussing the experiments of Professor Haacon with his new typhus vaccine in Naturalier Hil any one ever speak to you about any par-Meintion of Professor Rosu? a I did not participate in any such discussions, but I never heard the name of Professor Rose mentioned in this connection. Q Now, my final question in still another commection, Did you ever hear that Professor Tose had any part in the calaria experiments of Professor Schilding at Dachau? . Since I syself know nothing about these experiments I can till you nothing, but as I say, I never heard to mem of Professor Biss mentioned at all except in this letter from Professor Hirt. Q No further questions, Mr. President, 5794

11 April 47-A-ATD-15-4-Karros (Int. von Schon) Court No. 1 (DEFENSE COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT ROMPERG) BY DR. VOR TERK Q Mitness, you said yesterday that you know the defendant Br. Romberg. About how often did you see him? A I saw Rorberg once in Dachau and later two or three times in Borline Q Where did you see him in Dechau? A I saw him when I was there at Rascher's invitation to watch a high altitude experiment which Sascher carried out together with Dr. Romberg. Q About when was that? " That was at the one of earth or the cominning of April. In wor case, it was shortly before Easter because at Easter in 1942 I talked to Himmler about it. 2 Then you saw an experiment on this occasion? A You. Q Was that the only tion that you were in Dachau and saw an ox orinont? A It was the only time that I saw a high altitude experiment in Dachau. I was in Dachau several times. Q Were the experiments which were carried out in your presence in Dachou carried out correctly and with the necessary sense of responsibility as far as you could judge as a layman? · A I had the impression that they were carried out with great. modical care. I noticed that in one experiment the experimental subject complained of a violent carache and Romberg immedicately changed the pressure and the man who was in the low pressure chamber invicated that the pain had stoppede Q Can you tell the Tribunal anything about whether these subjects were voluntary or not? Do you know shother they were voluntoors or not? 5795

11 April 47-A-ATD-15-5-Karrow(Int. you Schon) Court No. 1

A I spoke about that yesteriay in my direct examination. I asked both the people myself. Both of them assured me that they had volunteered for this experiment.

O You fill not ask any one except these two experimental subjects?

A There were only those two used in this experiment and I also sait yesterlay that I asked those people how many had volunteered.

They said: "All of us volunteered but we didn't need that many people for the experiment."

Q tid these two people also tell you why they had voluntoered?

IM. Hammy Your Honor, the defendant has rather elaborately explained this situation to having the course of his direct examination. I won't see any reason why we have to so over this material again. I object to this line of questioning, Your Honor.

THE IRESIDENT: The defoniant may answer the question.

11 worll 1947 -m-lb-PRP- Gross (von Schon) Q. Will you namer the question, whose, withese? w. You sit our some the re son. Wes I taked them about that. last told so that they he voluntars, bucause this give them an opportunity to approve to ir position in the came - their situation. C. itness, so you recall want insignir those two experimental subjects works and whether that indicated whether they were primin is, colitical prisoners, or what they mere! a. I draw t remember my inst to the one min with whom I had the compression to I am that he are in security and to y in Daniela. As profession to was a saf process, so go told no - so I seems to win a profusilousland order L. Q. Low nather andject. Do you have whether tracher was regionsial, for the indistribute no the ore his tion of the Apprilants, for what is, feeting, spelter, on so forth for the operiant 1 subjects? a. Unless that was up to the case implicit them it said, of course, Brother was respectable for it. Q. Do you also know whather quacher was morpotent for the substiant of the experimental subjects or shether br. hembers had any influence on it. A. Ranburg curtainly as no inflatance whatever on the t because Runburg was - civilian in cost out give any orders in consentration coups. 4. hould it have be a possible for horours to consult the riles on the prisoners to deric information to us the sentence passed, rout provious commit ats, ats. has the possible? A. In a spinion that was quitt ippeasable. A oberg would never have bun the to any those files. It is the saw toing I just anis to the season of the second of the second of the second of the "line" to sep the files on officintle. C. Do you consider it obssible that I would could have courted my influence on the entrinonts limiter a men a schor to overy but, in particular bulk Bosborg How his ower to marant fital 5797

11 april 1547 --- 16-2-PMP- Gross(van Schoun)

appring to be corried out by Reschur?

A. I compet imagine may be could have one that. Ensemer was in the latter that the relief on his personal relations with Himmler on he part the ruthless use of this position.

The for to you know what a s Dr. Ro berg's Spinion of Dr. Roboneri

investion that he been he confirme reservations in regard to greener. I am elven this i prestion at the first visit in Dichau.

Resoner if not not a berg to take my consideration of the orin of the externance overlant of projects, in at later meetings when we and who other to be three times in Berlin, who itselve who me and the impression that he come true to restrain Rascher when a later we have the contract of the project of the first one in for Rascher, and Rascher will to be that he corp was a matthew three in also an impression in the later who has such that you make a later. I have not not not not be in a factor.

On Du you won that Dr. Rambury stwar the life of the trilor

A. I have the toother but I know nothing about it before.

G. which't are a contractall you count this woun you wishter Danbau?

A. We there were an montion of it.

The you wellow that if this inci ent has occurre before your visit Rescher would a ve tale you would it?

s. I wasnow so - on the brain of the tention I inter discovers of the on process to a course.

Q. Then it is our minion that this occurred only ofter your

A. I oul manufactor - John

is a finer our distance; for the first two about these high

as shortly ifter I a back the .- in 2 (1.45)

Q. Lire you experient on this subject while you were on or

great in Ballant?

A. Yos, I was token to Lon on for thet purpose.

(. an what was the point of view of the interrog tion?

a. I was the only non-scientist there in a special came for scientists are simply I was maken about scientific matters.

Q. In this you believe the the interreg terms and interested in serving to you?

the Hamilt Tour Honor, these interrog tions the witness was abject to in English are our labely irrelevant here. I soject to the English and court and a irrelevant an immeteral to

THe Facility is The Adject in will be specifical.

q. Un or those juris total or wir dispire after he went to the

on or to 25 am Piller Courts.

there is present an entropy of the terms of best in the transfer or the barrely direction of best in the barrely direction of the barrely direction of best if of the barrely direction of best if of the barrely direction of best if of the barrely direction of the barrely direction.

time I would not be more than a more than the first of th

G. hor col - o you collist Simulat to in min in this case?

will for the 55. This I was rerving with the process in 1941 I now that dring - rall cold - ero. For Phone we red willing as non to juntate before the in specific lly that ally the bravet, must morrow the face that I was a true to a relative the time of the interior in the interior to the time of the interior in the SS tries to the other branches if the structure of the interior is in SS tries to the other branches if the structure is into it. So I that the structure to the structure is the

wir Force. The fast assert this questions of the street many forther

11 april-a-DJG-15-4-Gross (Int. Von Schoon)

Fw a that the only in ichti a you ha?

A The only ovidence I have my experience with the troops.

In to other talk there was I got only from he range.

Q that to you know personally about Himmler's efforts in report to him attitude experiments in a little to evidence which had been submitted here before the High Tribun 17

in In the fill of 1912 Himler over a in rasignment to buy " Im pressure elember for the SS.

Q an what it you of here it you buy it?

oner note in the state of the state of the contrary. Research to a state of the sta

11 _pr-1-CBS-17-1-Cook (Int., Brown & VonSchoon) Court 10. 1.

-]. What do you mean by the way you expected him to met? What remove on the you expect from Bonk-rg?
- The letter which was shown to me a smile go, the letter from the letter to Ruscher, who also dy accessible at that time, and any opposite a would be considered as high treason. In order to achieve any him we had to proceed very carefully. I asked Reptors whether he intended strating a new series of experiments with Ruscher because I and on assignment from Einher to buy a low prossure chamber. Robers said to know nothin, about it and he and no definite intentions of collaborating with it. Ruscher is a new series of experiments and I asked him but to talk about the matter may further because I was sure them. The like it, and I said I assembly the could have informed no of the describe and I assembly the reluctance was palpeble in a second in a pull to describe the reluctance was palpeble in a second in a pull be done.
 - . and do you know whit happened after the t7
- ... If course, I a not know what Bondors did, but shortly thoraafter I approached the sgrew materials office which was in charge of the Anime acchines and supplies. The raw materials office anid that the firs could deliver a low pressure chapter only ofter several wonths and that it would require the bighost priority, but to obtain this minut priority one moded the sproved of the Inftwhife because the 55 could not prove my un ency or a great meet for a low pressure enceber of them the contract we not given, exale not be given, bede use who wrightly tene looked. One year later, in the fall of 1913, Similar pain issued an order for no to obtain a low pressure chamber when the he d of the Relai Reserved Council. This can also be so in from the last documents sat itted, a letter from Emscher to Pframountied I had, referria to an ordation of the head of the Resome of the inftweffe, which I protonded I h d obtained; that from the point of the of the people involved in the work of research in the infinite, it ind not moved by to continue his rea to it in nititude and no low resident on other could be note evaluable toomand it was

11 Sp-. - - -17-2-Cook (Brown & Youschoon) Court . . . ur antly moded for testing pilots, so Enscher could do nothing against ante on leastion of mine, because Enscher was known by the medical inspectative but not by the people in charge of the research at the Turbentio. . Mithues, dia you over talk to your superior, Professor Most about To Romborn, and in what connection? . 'Wost told me of a conference which took place in Hilmerl's field dom out which was in the presence of Romberg and Bascher, and on tais occasion he said that the young mer of macher, had appenled to ain very much. He die not remember Bomberg's mend any more. Romberg he not only op oned Willer but also be given him to explanations waich and lost those present to full into an embarrassed silence. . Did he also tell har in what points he opposed him and for while remembers? ... The question of that time was one of a report on the high altitude experiments already concluded, and on the occasion ther was discussion for the first tire of correin on further experiments. money, the frauging experimence. . Tron into achiement of Maset, would you say that Romborn refused to corry on further experiments with Mescher or just what luprous on did you have? .. Most was projudice; a signt Book re vitgous any real cause. It was not in his nature to contradict Mimiler. . Timess, you frequently h a becausion to talk with Histor. Tale corning you stated this you wanted to take it upon yourself to do away del Mander, and consequently I must assume to a you were perforty close as to the lords were notice. Now in my counton it is The last this trial, the state the extent the Himler was directly communicated with the experiments, to know a withing about Him-Turis were waitty. Made to a, as my last one : I, as you to pro-1-4 % the Tribund a micture of Filler's part and try, in methodor al: wishes with respect to modic I and hurn or rements? 5802

11 . pr- - 55-17-5-Cook (Brown & VonSchoen) Court 19. 1.

A. Pictures of Rimmler have already been presented here. If I am to shewer your question, I cannot do so in just a few words. I should have to ask for a cor win length of time to do so because this amount moses by to so in order to contradict the mixture that must have trisen from the presentation of Eudolf Brandt.

OR, VERMERE: i.r. Prosident. Dr. Prosident I consider this witmess buth as reports his personality and as reports theopportunity he
had to so a in contact with Similar, as I say I held this witness perfactly competent to present a convector sketch of Pinular which is of
import now in this proceeding, perticularly since Shalar, as for inst now we have soon from all correspondence with Rasoner, was not ally
interested in a crying out those experiments but took an active part
in those I, ther fore, ask permission, that the witness be given
panded in to make those statements.

(in. H rdy riese to make objection)

of "labor has sir do been sufficiently described to the Tribunal and the objection will be sustained.

FR. VOMMERK: In that case I have no further emostions.

BY DR. JEE ING (For Br. Moufmann who is Rudolf Broundt to counsel.)

- . Misness in the course of your activities in the Ahnenerbe; Aid you have Redolf Brandt?
 - as in I have already a ld, I have known Radolf Brandt since 1935.
- Q. Did you notice a difference in Rudolf Brands's attitude and too attitude on the part of other des in Himler's immediate vicinity?
- not only indolf Brankt, but also the other non in Examinate immediate not, indourhood. Nost of them were very careful to extract for themselves every possible advanta, a from their position and to lead a more or loss decreved life. I must say, in order to be true, that mudelf Brankt on the other hund only was devoted to his work and lived only for his work. In this respect he differed most considerably from his collecture in Historia staff, particularly in thet one could rely on the word and one knew to the would not, like some of the others, stab; on in one and one knew to the would not, like some of the others, stab; on in one much.
 - . In a fid you know about the august of work he had to do?
- In the should not extent that he was not able to get through all his work for if the end. During the last years there was no point in tale desired to Saiolf Branks to have his try to settle semething.

 Too mee the steretype masker was: "I shall have to look the matter up, plants call up inter." Therefore, as I said yestermy, I always not to all with any concerns should be these cases to be sure were was more more by. He was not reliable and these cases to be sure were the more of mich. I say Budelf Brank around 1990, when he was very ever worked and paysically work, consequently I did not go into the efficient extens very deeply, particularly because I know his cultural to the limitations. I are the processon that his necession in his

[.] To surtain questions.

11 .p- - ES-18-2-Moohan (Brown) Court 'o. 1. BY II. (Counsel for Bocker-Freysung.) . How long were you in your office in Burling from when until men? in Tran 1935 to 1943. F. 19437 an Total 1. Eow about the contin? in it office, the Dichs Business Office and from there I was transforred in the month of the ust to Woissonfels. . Then was the first time the type and or mycke to the defendant or. Bocker-Freyman, or even heart of min? ... Egro in this trial. . Did you or one of your subordimates have any negotiations with any of the representatives of the Medical Inspectorate of the Dufting o and with wholf Flores disregard the discussion with Proformer heldboock back in 1984 as that he already been reported. How the dame, but did you or and of your subordin too have any negotiations With any corresentative of the Addient Inspectorate of the Luftimere "L" If no with whom? ... To nogetiations were a rried on. . Non h further question. In the session of 16 December of thin orth, the witness Worf testified that reports on Bascher's object hunte fore sent to the Zicheruenrar St, to you and to the Laft-... office 1 Office 7; not I oak you do you know to what office in the Inform to deal Office 7 th & Enseaer sent such reports: Ac you know oned in about that at 117 ... I know nothing about Bascher sonding by reports to anyone Sthor Lasa Pincler. . Then I may assure that you do not consider leff's to visiting person to the other words you went to any that these coports of Anscher at ly to the galekefactor SS, accely in dor? As In the basis of my own knowled u, I must regard Moff's 5605

- Listed when the persons is when Rascher's reports were distributed; the to say the entire list of people to when reports were sent?
 - .. Mo. I hover any may such thing.
- In other words, your answer to the provious question already nature this one; in other words you think Emscher never sent any rejorts to the ledical Inspectorate?
- ... You. Reacher sent his reports to Minder and I have now a
- the negoti tions took place only between him and Einmler.
 - Q. To further questions.

BY DR. PORER (Counsel for the defendant Poppentick);

- Therefore to Gravita, which trok place in January 1943. This is not not in Limites by Dr. Resolver. These minutes are part of Document Do 320, Proceeding to this lleged set of minutes, the following re- 1941 10 to here been made: "You, I maked Slovers to some to no several times to give a information;" smet to you have to say shout this?
- I must say that Popponia k never maked no to come and see him; I multi mis requaintance only in 19km.
- . In other words, you now r consulted Poppendick about this caster our did he over cell in your
 - A 150.
 - . In further quest he.
- SY 3. SELECTER (Counsel for the defendant 3r. Baigliocom.)
 - > in Sievers, do you recall that you are accused in the

indictment with conspiracy?

- A. You.
- Q. Did you have a conspiracy with Boiglosock.
- A. No, as I said posterday, I only had a total of twenty minutes tells with him.
- 200 of your diary of 20th of July, there is something that I would like to draw your attention: "1:15 P. ... arrived in Densens. "10:30-12:05 Conference with various persons, which we interrupted by an air attention." Now comes the main point: "1315-1400 goars: Dr. Zeirlboock discussion of carrying out the sem-water experiments in the entensionist in the intension of time meant dicknor." Let us take a look at the mount of time involved; here you put it fown as 45 minutes, but I remain of the involved; here you put it fown as 45 minutes, but I
- plostnorts Appartment you moded a good 20 minutes.
- Q. Splundid, now is it further true that during these 20 minutes.
 In via of the air attack that had just taken place, you had a couple of tele hope convergations?
 - i. That cles is brue.
- 50 th t your talk with Boi librack was considerably loss than 20 (initus?
 - You, that is so, of course I was interrested.

11 Apr-1-19-19-1-Haloy (Int. Brown) Court No. I Q You were a member of the Raich Resourch Council or at any rate had something to do with it, but do you know anything about som water? a I know that you can swim in it. I Don't you believe that your outry in the diary, discussion of carrying out son water experiments, carrying out seems a bit for, is summent for avarstatement; you said yesterday that your entries in the diary were often made days after the setupl events and I us usking you now, ignit it possible that you were exaggerating a bit when you made this entry in a discussion if corrying out ser water experimental This, let me tell you, is very important. To have to go into this very desply. Flores fort fool any hesitation in giving me a straight out answer. We to t carrying out for orients discussed? Yes or no. A No, nothing. I That is sufficient for me. Then I can sum up your thought in this motter by saying that you, so to spork, were officer on guard? A as to what was going to be done here, all I can say here is that I heard something was gine to be fone with sea water and I put that "wn in my diary. 4 In ther wirds, your entry in the diary does not correspond to frats? A That is quite so, and as I said yesterday in my direct examinatit. m. Thank you. To have in the trial Behildt N . 92, that is a letter fr a Himster t Enseher, Exhibit 238, the testimony on the part f Blom. I could also quote you br. ichl, but I den't like the guy, to I wrott. New, in these two documents it is said that Hiral r to reduce everyone as a traiter who climit consent to corrying out human being experiments? Do you remember that? A Yes, we were talking about that before. I Mow, in your limet or in-tim you twice said that Dr. illuther was against sur water experiments, and a worthol as they had Himmler's approval. Mr. Hardy could mean that my client is in the same situation. 5808

11 Apr-A-18-19-2-thing (Int. Brown) Court D. 1 Www. I would like you to say that Pleatner was an exception? A The whole dituttion here played on essential part in this. You must bear in minyl that Reschir was imprisoned and that therefore all the files which were taken to Himmler in gameral new become very clear, trichtunin ly clour, that by exploiting this impression Himeler told pleatner that he did not have to carry out my experiments. He thought he could to it himself. Q tell, no rlotter was the reise described by others as a decent mort of fullow. Now, would y u n - is or morate the fact that this is purely the protectl? Remember the Tolish cloreyean who tostified here, and the ha seen floatner with Schilling? a clotar came in a very tre is monner to Schilling as I month ned youturing. 1 Nover mind. We denot wrent to a ther the Tribunal with this. To simply want to say Floatner, Mispato his disapproval of himan being experiments, % h part in them? A Yes, that is me, he was with Schilling. 5. Now, I now a question for Delegatest Schoolfor; in your darry n certrin Dr. Schooffer is continued. I should like to ask you whother the defendant Schooffer is the same as the Schooffer mentioned in your Lary7 A This Dr. Schneffor in the Mary was an enter lagist who worked a short time in the Ent or b ical Institute, and was not identical with the defendant. THE PESIMENT: Are there any further questions of this vitness on the part of defense c unsel? If not, the prosecution may cross-excrime. Just a magnet, o unsel, the Tribuntl has sees questions. BY JUDGE SEBRING: . Do you recenter the mone of the two experimental human suc-Jicks wh my h saw at Bernan? . No, I cannot tell you who are now 4 How it'y a happen to use these two our rimental subjects 5803

11 apr-1-2-19-3-1110y (Int. Brown) Court Wo. 1 whether or not they had volunteered for the experiments? A I said that both from Hismler and from Rascher I had been told that those are volunteers. I wanted to make sure of this for mysulf, first if all because I personally because of my general attitude did n't believe that those men were really volunteers, and it seemed rather curious to me tost in those cases and also later in Mateweiller whom I seker posple on this question I was assured that they had volunteered. This statement on their part proclams my inventigating the matter any further. " foll, now what difference were it move much whether they were or were not voluntours, if as ; u z y the continents were practically hurrhoss and were being carried in in accordance with a und modical moth de? A I can only teetify to this on the basis of my an knowledge with regard to the high altitude experiment that I sow, and the ten or so experiment I yers mu of Natzaciller was were treated with lost. The treating experiments were by no means so harmless. I also said that the man who was brought for the experientation was a criminal concenned t forth, and this experiment clar had fortal obmeroquences. But I did not to to there is without experiment, and consequently can say nothing further about the nature of the experiments. 1 But you 'il so, the high altiquis experie nt consected at Dichru on two experimental subjects, and the experiment was as you saw intirely harmless, and was boin carried at in acc rance with sound mudical with 's on man wh a you but ascuration by direct conversation were volunteers, is that c react? A Tirt is true, its lutuly true with regard to the experiments that I woulf sam. Then why was it that when you returned to Berlin you complained t Himmler to at those experiments? A No letter has noturally accessing these experiments might be havertholass, because if the appinsis that way receive in the SS I re-5810

pudiated them, because from many of my friends there existed the assurnace and conviction that the laws of humanity were being trampled under foot here and that as prisoner, no volunteer, because he had no free will, and that there was nothing alre aboutable, in our coinion, than to make such use of human beings in this fashion, whether or not the use was dangerous or harmless, and the entire minner and scope of those thin a apported to us as an expressi m not if semerate percent decision, but if what one might call a burocucoratized infamy, and I had the fooling procisely because I know the difference in the case of these high altitude experiments, since I know Remore was a very circumspact and punctuallines person, and on the thor and I heard Rescher express himself orat lly, maying the pars or in questi n were completely unimportant, and it was for this reas a I said to myself there could be consequences offer such things got under any that could not be foreseen, un as a matter f fact under Horr Pascher these consequences really did occur.

I the that the reas a when you returned to Serlin you sought to
provent the return of the low pressure Cormour to Dechau for the second
time for a litienal experiments?

A Wy Specifion to Himmler was a parentl objection to minet human with experiments, and his connecting him with the Ahmenerbe. This was in the Easter of 1942. The low pressure charger disappeared as I recall, in May from Dechau and only in the autuen when Himmler respectively and Bascher wicked to continue the 1 w pressure experiments a lely through Bascher, and I was rear dite provide a low pressure classer, and I was rear dite provide a low pressure classer, and then this I try to present this precisely because from the statements are remarks it small to been that both the Instwesse and the BVL Casapproved of Rascher's further work in this field, but there is a larger i six names cotmountwise two.

11 .pril-,-DJG-20-1-Karrow (Int. Brown) Court No. 1 Q You were able, however, to prevent another low pressure chamber being ment to Dachau for additional experiments, is that truo? . That was possible in the autumn through the verious circumallances that I have here tried to describe so that ofter the law pressure character in the of 1942 was taken away from Dachen there nover their appeared another low pressure chamber at Beckey. C Now, getting to see to this question of the volunteer subjects who participated in the low pressure experiments that you witnessed. at Dronna, what nationality nore those experimental subjects? . One man - no I know talk from his disloct - was an austrian. The other own was a General. 4 How do you what this foot? a I know it only from my talk with him. One of them told me that he came from Vienna, I relieve, and I maked the other where his home were that I believe that he said a locality in the baineland although I lent remealer the precise town. 6. Now, had your nestessmetten plans to axt manning Higgler been suddensful, that plans did your resistance movement party have to side over the gov rement? a The taking ever of the " venture was planned by the leaders of the individual proups, froctse information in this will be most accuracity provided to you by Dr. Hickonor when he testifies as a withers here. The entire symmeters and plan of the individual Trough was aired at a federated and of the various German pro-Vintes, the arction of a free Daries reversiont which would rest on " - Six that would permit at asperst plane to be reached with the Allico. Do you know of an accession was non-Person nationals were while as experimental or poets, eather with or with a their demonst? . We, I know no such outs recommend the people and I would saw in a toweiler in the case of the hear experiments were all Germans 5512

11 .pril-2-005-20-2-Korrow (int. roms)

so far as I not the impression. In my talks with these people I could ascertain nothing to the contrary, and in the freezing experiments the experimental subjects were term in Berlin as could be seen from the vertical which I appelf sew. I saw no other experiments. Consequently, I ill not speak with the experimental subjects.

I Then, as I understand the situation, based upon your knowledge, all of these experiments, is local at for as you withensed them or know anything about them, were consucted upon German nationals who not volunteered for the experiments with promines of lonioncy, and you objected to those experiments aciely seconds of the fact that you were of the otheral view that a new one was a prisoner could not freely volunteer for our, apprincipation, is that correct?

. You, I reputated my minur of such experimentation on burns tilmys.

THE STATESTAY The Tri used 111 new be in recess until 9:30

(A REDESS - - TANK WITH 0930 MCURG, 14 April 1947)

Court No. 1 14 Apr 47-a-1-1-AE -Foster (Int. Brown)

> Official Transcript of the American military Tribunel I in the matter of the United States of America areinst harl Brandt, et al. defendants, citting at Suernberg, Germany, on 14 April 1947, 0920, Justice Beals presiding.

Tra marketal; Persons in the courtroom will please find their seats. The Honorable, the Judges of Allitary Tribunal I. Military Tribunal I is now in session. God save the United States of America and this honproble Tribunel. There will be order in the courtroom.

The Falcification or, harshal, you ascertain that the decendants are all present in court.

IPA . Abchaht ..ey it bleece your Fonor, all defoudants are present in the court.

In readily T: The corretary General will note for the record the presents of all the deschounts to court.

Any further evamination of the without on the part of any defense Counsel?

"CESTAL SILVERS - Resunce

of In. SAUTAR (Counsel for the Actordant Elece):

4 Tithuse, I should like to curation you on two points; one of them removes your diary entry of 18 August 1940. In your diary there is a that reading "brofessor lose webe a data from the Beighefushrer-SS in order t record to him after 25 August." Fointe under discussion refer tor "1. Incepte" - Scorn't intercet us; "2. Points bectice" - doesn't interest us either; "C. Poison emeriments in connection with Brightfuckror at". buster " reader "professor lose is coing pressed answ to test the colpon now." You were pirecey secon about this catry during The Airest evenination. Dr. Slevers, and if I undereteed you correctly The toriginal that this entry referring to poison has some connection or other, or could have some a minetion, with the attempt on Hitler's life on July 20, 1944. But I am not omite sure that I understood you expectly. Therefore, I should like you to state again briefly just "Aut/Dignificance of this entry is so far as Dr. Blone is concerned - this

Court No. 1 14 Aur 47-4-1-2-EHG-Foster (Int. From)

- A. You are asking me to call on my recollection to an extent that for surpasses human nature. Just let me check on this once more, I said in my direct examination that I wrote into the diary what Dr. Blene told ge. It reads, "Foison experiments in connection with Reichsfuehrer-SS with requests for report on 21 July." That is to say, Blone said to me test Himsler had said something to him on the 21st of July about this matter. That does not been that Dr. Bloco, so for as I recall, said enything to the effect that this poison was connected with the attempt on Eitler, but what Dr. Slope said to Himmler on 21 July, in other words, one day after the attempt. That, of course, he didn't tell me.
- Q. I am asking you this, Dr. Sievers, only because you in your direct examination seemed to connect this entry strangely with the events of the 30th of July, and I am not ture whather you expressed yourself compatity or whether to understood you correctly. Perhaps you remember that Ir. Bi me, when he was interrogated on the stand, said that this referred to Doryl, that is to tay, to that other poison which was found curing partition fighting in the East and which was almost unknown in Sermany. Increfore, witness, I should ask you minuse to evert your menry a bir and may whether or not it is possible, as far as you recall, that this talk in commettion with that teletype message, which I think was also the 21st of July, referred to Boryl.
- A. You, that I remember. Ir. Blome did mention Doryl, but then in or direct empiretion I didn't everese myself clearly for I meant that Ir. Blome has already talked about this with Finnier on the 21st of dily. But Ir. Blanc said nothing about a connection with the avents of 20 July.
- Then I have another question, witness, You recall that when Or. Flome was interrogeted on the stand we discussed at some length the cert indu- file which was kept at the Beich Fescerch Council for Dr. Fine and Sauercruth. You were present at this discussion. This concerns two documents, one is I beument 690 concerning Sline and the other is 691, Sourchruch's card index file. These comments, and a few others that

Court No. 1 14 Apr 47-4-1-3-EEA-Toster (Int. Brown)

been turned in for Blume document book to be translated and vill subsequently be submitted to the Tribunal. Now, you remember that in this card index file for Dr. Blume there are two assignments mentioned of which Dr. Slowe assarts that they have nothing to do with him, that through an overeight on the part of the personnel staff they were entered on his part index file, but really should have been put on Dr. Sauerbruch's file card. That is the areignment 0326 of Rascher, "he-warming after cooling of white human body; nealing, with cases of partial from fine, and adjustment of the human body to cold. And then the next assignment is 0329, Hirsch-Strassbourg, which reads, "Alteration in the living organism after use of chemical warfare agents". You remember those discuments?

A. You.

Is not it would interest no to know - now I should like to hear it if you something on this subject since you are well infermed about the Reich Research Council. Do you know who gave this assignment Bascher, 0326, "Research efter general couling"? 'Too gave that assignment? Do you know enothing about that assignment at all and, if so, just what do you know enothing about that assignment at all and, if so, just what do

A. The Resolver sectionment is, so far as the contents are concerned, the sease of the resignment that Firmler formulated and which was submitted here as a Louisent. Then on we initiative those assignments were joined with the Beich Research Council, the rules of the Reich Research Council testanded that the researcher himself, that is to say, both Rescher and Sirt, had to make their application to the Beich Research Council. Thus it is altogether possible that this is a false entry because it is true that at the time the organization of the Reich Research Council was suffering from 1 ck of personnel, but on the other hand I consider it muite to still that, for example, buscher, when he turned in his explication if testarch with far example, huscher, when he turned in his explication if testarch with referred to the fact that he had conquestions with Tr. blone, which as you know is true in connection with cancer research and

1936

with Polygel, and that thereupon the entry was made on both cord index files in order to inform both Dr. Sauerbruch and Dr. Blone of the matter. But both assignments were assigned through Pr. Sauerbruck who slone was competent for that. But with regard to the Hirt assignment I can tell you accething of meterial importance. In addition to this card index there are copies of the original research essignments; in the card index cards, for remeans of apace, there is only a very brief notation of the title of the research assignment. Both the cord index and the comies of the originals were in the camp of aranguers, the interrogation camp where I myself saw that in 1945. The Firt assignment reads: "Flourenment signoscopic exeminations on the behavior of Lost gas in living orconlege." If this complete formulation were present here, then this matter would not need be discussed before this Tribunel because thin research in living organisms in liver, passeress and so on, then, as the ascert for the presecution, nearly, Dr. Alexander, said, these amountments could only be dirited out on animals. Energiars, in these Hirt emperiments they would only have been aminel experiments.

- Q Could you please reiterate the procise title of that research assignment, such as you saw it in the Camp Kranzberg?
- A "Fluorescent microscopical examination of the reaction of Lost gas in living organisms."
- Q Mr. Sievers, because of your activities in the Roich Research Council do you know what order number Sauerbruch's department had and what number Dr. Blome had?
- A. Every one of the 30 plemipotentiaries and department leaders had an order number but I cannot say, from morery, just which number each one had.
- If To refresh your memory, I put two documents to you that I have received from the prosecution. These are photo copies, document No. 700 and document No. 699. Then I examined Dr. Blome I already stated that I was going to include these 2 documents in a subsequent volume and subset them later to the Tribunal. I have received these photo copies from the Prosecution.....
- Wishes to establish the file number o one Dr. Sauerbruch and of Dr. Slome. The prosecution will stipulate that Sauerbruch's number was No. 10 and Elements was No. 15, and these questions will not be necessary.

 BY DR. SAUTER:
- Q You are gettin now the list of order makers and also the list of the various departments. These are Presentation deciments and not latims of documents.
 - a You, I know this list and it is correct.
- in and you see from the list that Professor Blome had order number 157
 - A That is right.

THE PVENIDENT: Counsel, the Prosecution has shipulated that Or. Flowe's number was 15 and Dr. Sauerbruchts was 10.

DY. S.DTER: In that case I need not ascertain it acaim.

If then I have one more question. Even if you are a layman, can you, because of your activities in the Reich Research Council, confirm that an assignment, such as for example, rewarming human beings, or effecting changes in the human organism, does not belong to Department 15 but to Department 10, which is General and Classical Nedicinal You have already said this more or less indirectly, but I would like you to say it specifically now.

in I can tell you that Dr. Brower, who was Dr. Sauerbruch's expert in the Reich Research Council, tell we at that time that Dr. Sauerbruch gave these assi ments.

I Now one last short question. In your direct examination you stated that you yourself more in principle opposed to all human being experiments, and expressed yourself to this effect to Himmer. How, then, it is happen that you were so opposed to human being experiments? Can you explain that more precisely?

. The reasons were the followin . Then, on Faster of 1912, I spoke with Himler about this, I was unfor the recent impression of my first visit to a concentration camp. Before that I had had nothing to to with concentration camps and this windt moved no greatly. Noreover, I had Mirt's report on the Jewish belshovist collection of rituals; and at the end of Parch Himler prepried that Hirt should, in connection with the lost experiments, be nor already affiliated to the Abmomerbo. I also knew Rascher, althou is only slightly, and had an unploasant improssion of him; and I know that Hismler had seted so protector of this research, and that it could not be seen, in view of Himler's personality, just where this activity would stop. All of there factors played a role in in being me to make the effort to per-Paid Missior to disassociate this activity from the abnenerbe. That was the primary reason I had for expressing myself as I did to Himsler. Over and beyond that I wanted to attem t to interrupt all this research activity if I resainly could, because I, personally, and let me complasive that this is a purely evotional matter, republisted human

being experiments, no watter under what conditions they took place, and hel! them to be ethically intolerable. I saw this as my humane buty at that time. However, I emphasise that I am not a doctor of medicino, nor an I a physician; I did not have any academic degrees since I never raduated from a university. Until this Easter conversation with Himmler in 19h2, I had never spoken either with a doctor or a lanyer on the question of the admissibility of human being experiments, so that my point of view was an entirely personal one. Only burles the course of this trial, and in view of the material here submitted about himan experiments in all countries and during all periods of bine, have I realized that this is a series of most Mifficult problems, which has personaled no that my attitude heretofore has been onesided. Even though after the Easter conversation in 1942 the effort was made by various physicians to make it clear to me that in the interests of medical progress, and for the good of humand ty, many problems coul? not be treated through animal experiments but only could be clorified through human being experiments; the great scientific importance of the high altitude experiments as a pre-requisite for fli ht at hi h altitules and that conditions cannot be ascertained unless through human experiments.

14 april-k-(P-3-1-kaloy (Int. Brown) Court I was brought a de to de through instrutive questioning by a high ranking american medical Officer in 1945 in england, where I had been specially promise to live information about this. I could, however, not answer the many questions he put to me, secuse I am not a scientist, for which he erroneously held no. DR. SaUTER: Mr. President, I have no further questions. Thank 2000. The Tubilian: are there any further questions to this vitness on the part of any defence comments There being some the Prosecution day oross conside. MR. BARDY: ANTOre we produced to the coope-examination of hr. Slovers, I would like to of rify for the record your last statement. you w ro in En 1 sad; and it can over in the translation it was in 1944. is that correct? THE MICUESS: 1946. Choss ELANIERTON BY MR. HARDY: Q. Mr. Sievers, you were a newber of the Secret Resistance Movement, whose murpose was to overthrow the mitter regime, is that correct? J. Top. 2. Sow, you were william to rise your life by working with the inner circle pretending to be a greent Napar neabor of that you could pull the transit to the legist of the Besist nee hoveburt, is that right? a. I was proposed to a crifico to life for this if accessary. 2. And your purpose was to -An information to or manit to the lenders of the Redistance work ent? tas You. Q. Low, who was the Resist are however so intent upon everthrowing the Mazi Route of

1- *pril-W-JP-3-2-W.loy (Int. Brown) Court I a. I don't understand the question. Since one of the Lain ropronches on the part of the allies a minst the semans is that there was no German Resistance Movement; and since we did carry on tais resist nee I comest understand the point of your question, 2. Now, your purpose was to overthrow the Hitler Regins and in corrying out that purpose you were willing to risk your life in your copacity in the .hnemorbe, wherein you were in the position to gain valuable information which could be transmitted to the londers of this Resistance Lovement; now, immunch as you were a member of this Regist nee novement and apparently a strong mader, what was the romson for the Resist nee howmant being so intent in evertarewing the Mazi Regi of what did they have inst the Mazi Regime? Do you understand the question? a. We rejected the contralized form of Government of the fari G. vortement. We regarded the party us a whole us consisting of riffriff. to recomired their Socialist as a swindle, because in reality a rarica fundilish and Bir Industry were furthered. 2. are there any further rous as, hr. sievere? m. These make rousens I have just tentioned out, of course, be supplemented by any museer of details. Q. and then you also yourself, that is personally, subscribed to these views of the resistance never at, dian't you? 44 Of course, 2. and now, I assure that ut the present time you would be vi leath opposed to the rise of such a type of rule in Cornery in the future, and that you would be willing to do whatever you could t provint it, is that a correct issuption on an part? a. Our busic prientation was not pused on experience that we had fter 1935, but we saw this one drawin most sefere, and, of course, as it was then it is now our wish not to see a Bulitarian me incommission to white Q. Let ue or a little core proffic; would you be in favor

le april -- JF-3-3-Lalor (Int. arown) Court I now of marine hitler rise to power once again here in Germany? a. After what I have just said at reat length about these matters I stoly cannot enswer that question. It does not make sense. Q. You would do all within your power, if you were in a position to do so, to avoid any rise of such an organization of the Wasis to the reals or the helm here to Sermony, wouldn't you? a. Of course. 2. Then I has not therefore that you would be willing to tell ms, that is the fribunal and the German secole, all you know about the activities of the muri Regime, so the one that the German people will be fully infor od of such activities and best be in a position to must themselves against the rise of such a Government in the Tuture? a. I have always declared sysolf to do so, but unfortunately it Was cally mader the protection of this High Tribunal that I had a classe to speak of our activities at any reat length. From the very so luning, at the very first and of og interrection in 1948 I pointed tols out, but the result sliply was that I was trouted worse. Consequently, I had no rouses to press agaclf forward. Then in December 1945. I made - written statement on this subject. In the interrogation before the Constanton I was, because of an objection on the part of the Prosecution, not liber to take worst those matters. In the LaT also I was very limited, and when I and a written statement on this subject in an ust of 1946, I did not hear mythin further. In other

The state of this indication. There is a crivities which took

words, it is not by fault that may of these matters have not come

to li ht, which it would burk us have been very expedient to have

raised in objection what it appearing on those mutters.

clote list; no during of direct excitation on Friday, yes yourself

14 artil-war-3-inloy (Int. arown) Court I place under the Masi reduce with typical hazi netions, and you are in soultion to make just what cach and every one of these defend ats did to further such experientation on human a sings; are you now fully blo and willing to toll us now outh one of these defend ats participated in these experiments we we can got a good clear picture and the friband can render a decision which will be a complete story; Will you do that for us now? A. I am of course prepared to answer your question, but as excitation and interrogation has already proved. I know very few of my no-defend inte. But persons who participated in the experiments th t I know of, marely hirt and Roscher, are not here in the dock; and regarding the other experiments that took place obtains my sphere, I am unfortunately not so informed us to so able to give you detailed information, which otherwise I should naturally on ready to do. . ow, i.r. Sievers, w.r. may of the defendants members of the minumerbe Society? - Did you hear my question? a. You, I understood ou. We get discriminate as is evident from the statutes, outwest the Tares rouge of achours of the Amonorous. from Ser an could occome a mader of the Annoneroe. These were the rows fled participation on burs. The scientific cell corators could only, of _ special let on the part of the Society everse letive newcore; of then there were the ac-called corresponding accours. Of the codefault ats only Redolf areadt was a ordinary number of the Society, and E for as I mow a son elec-Q. low, did any is the other cours, such as brandt or andleser or my of the defend ate, find my of phose defend as a we chording too who were become of the absorber bodiety? 5654

1. april-W-JP-; and 5-1-section (Int. srown) Court I a. The arrier of ordinary numbers run into one or two thousand; now whather or not there were subordinates mone than, I don't know At I don't know then b more or personally. Concerning too ctive ne bors I don't know this. Ruscher was a comber of the laftwaffe and a University Professor, therefore, I a must say just what the suberdianting rol tionenip would be there. Q. Mr. were the nore important ambers of the Annanorbe society? as I ... vo already said that they comprised roughly sixty-one persons; anoly the virius depictions enters and these who had important ros. rch assim ants. 2. That is as matlined in your Document wook No. 1; the chart you man itted to the frie mal? 4 700. Q. Mrs. Ar. Sievers, of course you know it is of considerable interest to everyone as to now the fintactic program of experimentation Was conceived; now in your position as a deputy in the Heiche Heaversh Council and as the Reiche destause homener of the Ameneroe Society. word you fold to ascertain was conceived the idea of medical experiments on his a coings in the engents lies e spal how I know the element of experimente on numn boings is an une old idea, but I nown here in German, who consolved the idea to experient on consentration carp 1sti tee? as of for me doctout my torid a kes it clear to me, Amechor played a fecialve role in this, and amenor had close connections with distor. Einder, was divers one erated things and over-did then, had blose emperiments in insteas in c acontration curps carried out and the pointed out the possibility of such experients. In other words I can tally deduct from the way the nion altitude expectments come about, of watch rescher was evidently the incuranter. Then, is also in shown by the ficultate, is was nilch was, in his turn wong to him ler to A We am freezing experients carried out, and then later there were 1923

14 april-k-JD-i and 5-2-heddan (Int. arown) Court I added the son-Water experiments. 1. Woll, then, here you have heard all the evidence in this Pribund and fine your own personal knowledge of the somewater expericonts, the freezing experiments and high elatitude experiments; do you think that minder, exclusively, without any suggestions from other purple, c.necived this idea of this sphere of emerimentation in the d meentr ti came? a. It is my coinion, as I have already said, that the basic cause for all this was the connection butwoon Easener and His ler, but then when it was seen what possibilities there were other persons went to himler in order so extend such work, for the idea of the freezing emport unter was cortainly not all durie swa the .. Q. Line, in addition to that, ar. Slovers, Himler like yourself and aywolf was a lay-men, he had no knowledge of medical research, Will he be projected by Emecher is the last most of high altitude exportionate, by which for from in execute outs, parhops by Mirt for lost ompurisonts, or by wari as other individuals to secure human sein, s or to have made available inactor of the cameentration camps for the purp so of a queting the experiments, now would minuter, or you, or I, have been alle to determine whether these experiments were justified wit but first c multing a specialist in the medical research field? in Ho, and to t was or reason for wanting to break away from executionts of this sort in the Amenoroe, because the rewas no specialist there was could supervise such thinks as a specialist, hinder arroanted those things to himself, he interferred person ally in the carperthents, which can be seen not only from the i culents the molves, but also in that letter rea welf to wilch where it is even explicitly utited to whit extent at for personally took part in this research and interferred in it; but I a asider Miller mythics and a specialist or + m authority. He, h wever, as I slid, are a sted to be binself and Sor: Gook rat described similar very well as this respect,

1- spril-w-JP-4 and 5-heathen (Int. grown) Court I Q. Well, now, you recall that the date 1963, in the fall of 1943, fr a that point on all natters of research and to go through Gobbardt and Grawitz before himsler would act on it; now do you know of any other advisors Rivaler and so that be could justify sanction these apper in onta? A. No. I remember in others, and that can be seen from the order of 15 way 19 - whore he includes specially Grawitz and Gota rat in these matters. Q. Woll, now, from your knowled o of these very us activition, what individ is within the fracework of the Geran & verment, that in the entire for in Severment togen into consideration, what individuals he knewl to of those exertmental A. It is hard to way who these nors as were by nowe, but it was fill lor's cust m. about matters in which, in his upinion, he could on coldly show off, to talk no ut the. in conversations. I once was a withour at a lumcheon for two submarine contains, who had come to he edge arters to receive decorations, and very probably he told these subwarine capt ins the results of his freezing experiments. That is what I can say of my own showledge because I tampered to have been there. whether he also spoke to at this claswhere, and to whom, that I do not mow. In the other hand, he forbade reports being aven to people on two outside, so that with the exception of wilch and Goring so mondoctor was to be informed of these matters. 2. Well, now ar. Sievers, y u wore in the position in the Reichsforeclassiant where you were tele to observe a great deal; you fully re lite, of c aree, that the se had amorticat I research problems, that the "chrancht hid experient I research problems, the civilian sucir har apportunated research problems; and que to the chaptic conditi as in Geram fr :: 1941 to 1945 whom all were ampayed in all-out " If To; it must have been necessary to e reinste these activities, to that you could utilize the sup lies of ctivities to the oust de14 -pril-W-JP-4 and 5-4-Mochan (Int. oreun) Court I

vantage. Now in the heicheforechangerat, or in what organization in the learnant and So, we was the decordinator of these activities; we was the person or roup of persons that studied these various ethicities at that they would not have a deplication of effort, in ther words so that they would not have a deplication of effort, in ther words so that they would not have a deplication of effort, in there words so that they would not have nice. It itude experiments into on in each concentration cump, and therefore it would be underet so that 9 of the research task decline, with high altitude experiment were necessary, as was the individual or rup of individuals, we have an experiment of this case?

a. The experiments had been made in this direction, first of all it was the competent expert departmental leaders. For example for modicine, Prof. Dr. Schereruch. Then, when the conditions are so which as job have just described, the rewing chartic conditions, required a closer of redination, and the hillbury Resourch association (Medrforschungsgemeinschaft) was called into being, and Professor Oschoor, was to coordinate. However, Professor Oschoor, was a machine engineer and he had many anyments with Professor Sancrbruch. For that raisin, in 1948 it was decided that Professor small was to be in charge of all medical matters, however, this coordination in the hade of Dr. or all coincides with the allitury collapse, so it never because affective.

Q. Liw, when you sho k of Simerorook and Onumber; that is within the Reichs Research Council?

a. Yes.

Q. Whe it theoretically the idea that the Boions were ren Council
What to be the council time and in this field of medical restarch?

a. In two not the iles, because actions was all one department

- one thirty in the decens Research Council. Only in 1964, when matters

were consecutated and coordinated several departments were united

and iven preference, and the nation of resourches conducted by the

others were listed. Wedicing was one of the research assignments

14 april-N-JP-4 and 5-5-Neehan (Int. arown)
Court I

dinution, Dr. drundt was assigned to it.

Q. Well now, one last question along these lines of coordination and these experimental ideas, which were conceived; suppose yet and I together had gone to Himmler with an idea, say to experinent with Lost, whom would missilar have called into his office to advise him about the feasibility of Sievers and Hardy's rescarch problem?

enloc

in suril-1-63-115-la-dochan-(int. Brown)-Brawitz, but if you or I brought him a proposal made by a professor, then that sutherity well save sufficed for his and he himself would have defined the matter. Q Do you recall the affi lawit of Oswall Fibl where he had , para rath portaining to y urpasse, where Oswale Pohl status, in the introductory para raps, I don't have the "cousent here now, it is Dicum at Ma-Ods, where in it states that he thoughe Himmler had men like Branct, Conti, Gravits, etc., advisin his in those matters, new de you think that Cawald find was correct in his assumption, Dr you recall that barticular section? A Yea, I remember that pers re o, but I ton't believe - at loast from my - or experience - that I can confirm this. The close conmeeti n touch Histor and Grawitz was known to me, Himbler never thought wary men, of Conti, and the relationshatteen Himsler and Brandt were not known to me at all, Himmler never socke of Brop t; but of course I not kn with what extent Birmler has a nversetti na with these these but is, I wis never red to. o Now, Mr. in yers, furth the curse f your direct exa and a your formed many times to the horrithe conditions in concentheir a cape which y a comportation y waste, then; will you please Location in utail to the Tribun'l just what you saw when you went into this c recontratt n can s? a the total impromion we must really show he are I mulk a : whit r instruct the mr L c neutronia a comp, become when I mrived there I was token right to the appartment I was visiting. I had to cross to line ril curt, in no comer famion was beschor's locartment, al there I saw how the ords nors no to line up who were to a to work. It me this that are a the letter of which I soke here, handly, ist the in, like myself, with all a rts i sins, r i and reen, had - Giv. o rot other with prisonrs f di types, in no sin le commu-CASES. a North you get the pression among a visited the many

14 April- '-GJ-405-21-Hoshan(int.Br wn)-Court 1you spake of harrible conditions in the camps, fid you got the impression as stated here and as stated many times before those Tribunals, that the concentration camps, were like boy-scouts recreate nal centers? a I lon't think you could say so, no. The set-up was such For instance the sick-bay was equipped with ; od medical equipment and ch an, but this question of cleanliness was easy to achieve as it has from be a sai that when the concentration camps was visital overything was serubted and eleaned, and thus the swelling access dati no made an excilent in reesl n; but it is n t just a clean house of no, that makes life telerable, as I said, what abruck me is every no thrown together A Tue Q Di'y u soo, my 'the insetes rinkin champagne's A log I de not see that. Q weel n w, so have been teld that all the immates were very happy in those curps, they run about cleafully, played harm mices, etc.; It it in ruse y u that may, 'r. Sievers? A No, I did n t have that impression. W Now, what I am metain; at, Wr. Sievers, is do you think that any official visit r would have some the same things in those cames that you saw? A That depun's when he wint there. Is general the concentration comes were capty turin the tay, because the inmates were taken utside t wirk, and this was als the case in Dichau. O'ly twice when the pupile were clime at to work it! I have the total impression of all those to usuals of impates, who had to line upper visit r, say botmen min an elevan " clock in the merning, saw very few people, mestly to y were recrites an he saw _ ty barracks, so that the not impresal n was althoughour ascess. I in it it to not us of the questi n and In view if the whole system if the and, I believe it was certain that the visit re who taken the so came pure sely during these hours for that runs a. Q I'm, how many times in y a visit e neentrations compa?

14 april-1-03-445-30-Mochan(int. Bross)-

A IN all the years, I was in Dachau eight times, but not always in the concentration came, because the etternel final institute was outside of the concentration came. It was only twice that I saw all the impression about which I speke, all the ther times the came was just about empty 'uning the Lay, then you would not the impression that there was a few paper. For example, the possion that there was a few paper. For example, the possion who could not work, because they were sick, they were walking around, and y u would not the impression which really contradicted what it really was like.

16 April 47-M-FjC-6-1-Cook (Int., Brown) Court I

- Q Well now did you visit any other camps other than Dachau?
- A I was twice in Matzweiler, which, however, was under construction. The buildings set up there were quite different from that at Dachau, and I Mid not see there the people worked. Working conditions in Mataweiler in the quarry were considered particularly terrible, but we were not allowed there. Them I once visited Oranionburg, but I did not got into the cast itself, only in the work shop, and other wine did not become acquainted with other concentration DESTRUCTION .
- 7 Well now in the course of all of your visits, how many of the visits that you had, are in the category of an official visit? For instance, you were with Himler one tire at Dachau. I assume that would have been an official visit. Now how were confident that you DB/clf
 - A I was never in Dachau with Himsler.
- Q Didn't you go with Himmeor to Dachau in commostion with the high gititude experimenter.
- A No. I wann't prosont shan Birrler took a look ut those baparimusts.
- Q Do you think conditions would have been different on an official visit than visits you mand, or would your visit have been an official visit also?
- A I never made an official visit to Dachas because I was always there for official conversations.
- 1 Well now did those confitions, the herrible conditions you May carrated here before this Tribunal, were they an open secret exong t . cembers of the medical service of the Tuhrageht?

A We were not allowed to talk about what we saw in concentration be so. That was explicity not fown in the paper that I had to sign. Theoremer, I had no opportunity to talk with numbers of the medical icon otorate of the Cabragent because I did not know anybody in it.

14 April 47-K-FiC-5-S-Cook (Int., Brom) Court I

Q Most, doctor, do you think you unlerstand - I Son't know whether the interpretation is difficult, but do you understand the expression, "open secret"? That is a familiar expression to you, isn't it?

A Yos, I know that expression.

Q Woll then you don't think the conditions which you have marrated about the concentration comps would have been an eyen secret among the medical services of the SS, among the members of the medical service of the SS;

A That the conditions in the concentration compa were not ideal must in, my opinion, have been known within the SS, but the concepts of "ideal" and "sufficient" were not inform.

Observe possibility that a rar with the rank of Bruppenfushror or even a Standartenfuserer would have no knowledge of the conditions in the consentration camps, that he just would not equivally more or less hear about it from one of his friends or other last Do you exclude the possibility that a rar with the rank of Bruppenfushror or even a Standartenfuserer would have no knowledge of the methods in which the sencentration camps were boing operated?

A for a certain enterory of those loaders that may be true, but I consider it out of the question that an Obergruppenruehrer, in mose efficial superc there was a consentration camp, did not know that went on inside it.

I now, doctor, did you over sake any attempt during the course of these yours to save some of this intriminating material so that on the war unled or for the resistance movement and accomplished their objective, if you had not that sood fortune, did you make accompts to make the files of these original experiments, or did you can uny artempt to retain this information so that you could aid in whachy home to the Garman people just what the basic activities of these places were?

14 April 47-1-7;0-6-3-Cook (Int., Brown)

A I kept my locurants on this in the Soich Research Council, and those documents alone with the other documents were taken to Roslar, as I was later told. All or the material of the information office of the Research Council was there, and my documents were taken there also.

Q. Thus is document NO-088, it is most difficult for no to information may you being a resistance worker would have written much a letter as appears in document book 9, which is Prosecution Schibit 182. I think you are familiar with the letter. It is a letter addressed to 35 Standartonfuchrer Dr. Rudolf Brandt, Warrein you are elicitim tures proposals for the dissolution and destruction of the pewish successor collection at Strambours. Now herein you state:

"The skeleten collection as such is not conspicious. It could be inchared as remarks of corpsus, apparently left in the ambtemical institute by the French, and ordered to be era great. Decision on the following proposals is requested:

- 1) Collection e a bo propurred.
- 2) Collection is to be partly destroyed,
- 3) Entire collection is to be dissolved."

That is signed Signers. Now here you haven't goted consistent with those beliefs that you not, and it would seem to be that you and want to save this material so that it could be required by Allies, so that they would be fully every of this herrible crime, while there Javish people to make a skeleton collection. Thy did to get in this segmen, doctor?

A In my direct exactation I have already said that this proposal on not of my own. It was not simply the question of the skeleton collection alone, but of all magnifest, measures tenceraing hirt's locariment as a whole in Strassbourn; and Elect myote to be, for this region, and I telephoned to him, and he himself and this suggestion; let I, not knowing meant the situation was at the University of

Stransbourg in the matter of anotomy, and I could not have known this, could not have made; and I, orientated myself according to what Hirt said, and passed on the teletype to Brandt; but after all what should I have done if Hirt maked me what was to be done, and what would have happened if I had said to Hirt: "Heave everything where it is, so that the Allies will find it?" I wall have been shot the next day.

Q Well now you state here in the letter that you would leave remaints of these corpses around the Strassbourg lateratories which would indicate they were apparently left in the anatomical institute by the French, that is a fine how-de-yeu-le-, lan't it, trying to put this crime off on the French. These idea is that? It was flower's mignature.

A I have already told you that this was not my idea but mirt's, and I can only explain this as follows: Then the University of Stransbourg was taken over there were in the anatomical department, of course, corpses. In other words, I simply transmitted what hirt told me. That was not my nucleasion.

Q Woll now, did you over express any satisfaction to the fact that all of this data has been destroyed or was that morely that you were transmitting an order for Birth Bid you feel happy that this was destroyed or did you feel san that it was destroyed, so that you could not bring it to the attention of the Allies or other nembers or the registance normant than it, ineritable and came. In other words, Dr. Seivers you were not pleased that this natural had been destroyed, were your

14 April 47-11-7;C+6-5-Cook (Int., Brown)

A I was not at all pleased about this because there were shough documents describing this whole matter available. Hirt's embeddeent statement that the collection was destroyed was not true. I was not informed what went on here and the various questions that were asked of me I could not answer. I had to ask thirt's advice.

Q Wall now this tolotype to Brandt was dated the 5th September 1944; and true, as you state, it was indicated they were not controyed at that time, but were destroyed at a later time-around Detobor, 1944, the solitates collection was destroyed. Now, ir. Solvers, you have been a little inconsistant. We have here a latter dated 50 Junuary, 1945, which is document ND-975, which will be efforal for identification as Prosecution Exhibit No. 479,-De you have a copy?

A No, I don't.

to "Donr Courade Kirt":

From letter of 4 January dealing with Publingon being declared us absorbed town has been forwarded to be from "ischemfeld to wide place. I a ve interceded for this plan, but I have decisted for the time being from submitting it to the Reichsfredro-Es: first of all it will be accessary to once to an excommant with the Poreign Office and the Enter Limitary Spear.

report report on Streeters has anly come to hims. Many thanks for it. I shall be back in a declarated at the end of the Lords, and if no further notice will now arreived there in the monatime, I shall see to it that all letters mailes to you recountly shall be discatched ence name.

"Paris as well as london is taking quito setive on interest in the activate in the activate of Stranbours and regret that you have not been solded. In the acantime you will probably a we received, or shall no in the very over future, an inquiry of the Foreign Office win the stainty of Reclasiastical Afrairs and Macrisian referring to this. We say be very glid that all d to and papers on the work have been descriped in the . The charge could not offer any concrete statements as for a line elread written to you, I should be very glid if you would a man short trip out of your true at Macriburg.

met .. bost wishes on bird roomis as usual.

Tota Pitler!

Youre (-ignod Slovers.)

That signature is a tour. This happens to be a file or my.

nel popore it Strasbourg University is we boun destroyed?

L. Met told he tills.

1- :--:- 13-7-2- Karrow (Brown)
Court :. 1.

The PRINTER The Tribunal will now be in recess.

(A recess was taken)

14 .pr-1-0.3-d-1-lesser (Int. Burder)

Court '5. 1.

Discoul: Persons in the Court room will desse find their seats.

The Pribunal is again in section.

BY T. I II:

. Dr. Sievers, we I understand it from your direct examination.

- . Ar. Sievers, as I understand it from your direct examination,
 you work directly apposed to the datablishment of an institute for
 military adjentific research in the francyark of the Anonerba Society?
- dominant which we such litted to be before the recess? "Ith great ease you will be able to find way minilar letters. I already stated that there was a very close connection between Sire and Findler. This letter rows that I did not destroy by own files; otherwise you would not have been able to find that letter.
- your definitely appeared to the establishment of an institute for littery scientific research within the framework of the chaenards of sections. Well, now, we constant of fact, you were quite active, apachy active, in the durant a, the preparation, and the foundation, of this institute for military scientific research, weren't you?

Th April 47-65-ATD-9-1-Malor (Int. Amler)

. I explained in labell what I tried to prevent when I had that conversation with Himmler at Easter 19h2. The possibilities of A jection on my part were extremely limited. As it can be seen from the Statute of the Society, I only had a right to interfere in anthers concernin budgets, or other organization matters. In this cannor I was already able to prevent two different things. In the year 1939 alrea by Himmler manted to create a Cancer Research Institute under the charge of Professor Holtz, and wanted to affiliate it to the Ahmenerba, At that time Prefessor Heltz unnted a yearly budget of 900 thousan . arks. By in lostin this assi mont as alien in its nature to our Society, and in view of the large but et required, I was able to respond this affiliation. Professor Molts was later supported by Professor Plane in this, and I saw him again in the year 1943. 19 right or objection was extremely limited when Similar at Easter 1942 stated that these mitters were not to to Derminhed from the menios bein in to the Ahaenerbe, 'art from bankes of the Waffen SS. When my objection had no avail, an' at this occasion Himder became very enernotic, I can't succeed in seeing by it that a separate institution was broated, namely this institute for "Hitary Science Research and affiliated to the Abnemarko; but this manuraly a union with the Amenorbo as for as personnel wasconcornel as existed elsewhere. For instance, the personal of the German Research Sciuty was also used for the Neigh Research Council. However, the two institutions contimed to exist inter miently of one another. Then Similar finally issued that order, and after my objection was not successful, I did as "irected all the reparatory work to set up this institute for williamy scientific research.

Then you it' en age in notivities which eventually resulted into the foundation of these institutes for military scientific re-

. I described in detail the evaluations causing this buring by dreet commination.

th April 47-11-170-9-2-Maloy (Int. Motor) Court No. 1 I How, what "id you do personally to further the establishmont of the Station in the Matemailer Concentration Camp for Wilitary Scientific Research? Did you do anything, did you take any a gressive stops to carry out the Reichs-Fuebrer's order, as you put it, to establish this Military Scientific Research Station in the Matweller Concentration Camp? . In this respect, in Professor Hirt's matter, Himler had issued very clear Hractives. He ordered, and that can be seen from Domment 095, that I discusswith Hirt the possibility of his being still more closely included in the work. That was done in the execution of Minalar's order. Q and when you satablished this station, did you have those about turbage notablishing it, porings not at lateweller but at some other man? " Upon Rimster's request, Sirb in supplicantation of his activities, was to earry out experiments in Dachau. Ho, however, rejucted that because of the distance involved and because of Ma being in dependable at Strasbourge Similar thereupen ordered that this one to be carried out at laterollor. I Then consistent with your thought and your statement, did you make arrangements with, we will say Gluccks, Bri macefuchrer Pluseks, who was in charge of the concentration camps at that time? Dit you make arrangements with Bluecks to get a station for Hitary Scientific Research in the Matemail r Concentration Carp? . Any such institute could only come about through the arrangewont of the absimistration of the concentration camps, and it was for that reason I had to discuss this entire with Gluecks. G and you it Heaves it with Cluncke, Him't you? a . Yes, I had to discuss this matter with Gluecks. 1 In | what if you iscuss with Gluecks? . ". The grastion of laboratories or work rooms at Nataweiler, in in particular experimental stations with unitals, and arrangements for the breeding of animals, which wurs set up then.

14 pril 47-M-17-9-3-Maloy (Int. Realer)

- Of You were aware of the fact that experiments were to be carried out on the immates, weren't you? Or wast just animals?
- I I had already pointed out repeatedly that the principal basis of Hirt's work was animal experiments, or could only have been animals experiments; and above that, however, Himmler wanted Hirt to carry out experiments on brings. Firt M.1 both of these things at Nataweiler.
- that experiments were to be performed on immates? Did you know at that time when you consulted with Glusches in order to lay the groundwork for the formation of the station at Naturallar, "if you not know that experiments were to be performed on human bedness who were incorrected in the concentration camp Naturallar?
 - A Minist alrea by bridged that at Easter of 1942.
- n Wall, now, then you answer to my question I put to you a few moments one, is that you were aware that experiments were to be carried on involve at Naturaller Concentration Carp?
 - " Yos, I soi! that.
- Mil to offered at this time, your Honor, as Prosecution Exhibit No. 480, for identification. Now, this is a latter dated 11 September 1942, to the letterhood of the Chief of the Office Almentation, addressed to 5. Fri electrohrer Bluecks, Subject: 'llitary Scientific Research in connection with the concentration cam, Naturallar, Reference: Personal Ticcuscion of the 9th inst.

wari a 'afnemer:

"Based on my report that, as proposed by the Reichsfusbrar-SS, "here is a not possibility for entryin out our Military Scientific Destarch ork in the concentration cusp Mataweller, I hereby susperize "" awaits your approval.

"1) Information to the come of real office, concentration composite of a Samuel of Samuel of the concentration of the Circ. Misselland, are authorized to enter the concentration camp

16 april 47-45-170-9-4-Paloy (Int. Wester)

Intervalue. Their activity in the concentration camp Matzweller that are to be provided with accomposations and board."

And now will you turn to paragraph 5 in the same document, wherein you state:

The experiments which are to be performed on prisoners are to be carried out in four rooms of an already existing modical barracks. Only slight changes in the construction of the building are required; in articular the installation of a hood which can be produced with very little enterial. In accordance with attached plan of construction managements at Materialier, I request that accessary or large to issued to same to carry out the reconstruction."

Then you states

you scall inform the Commander of the Saturabler concentration camp, that you have approved the execution of the work at Saturabler, just no it was discussed with se there are about which I reported to you in detail, and that you besire that we be rived assistance in Adrillian the tasks with which we have been contrasted by the Reichstwehrer-SS."

14 apr-M- 5-10-lengtly (Rambor)

7 Then upon orders of the Reichsfushror-SS you handled almost exclusively the preparations for the foundation of the institute at the Naturallor comp. didn't you?

A As I already stated earlier, and as it is being confirmed in this letter, from paragraph two of this letter, it can be seen that the breeding of animals was arranged very exclusively --

4 Just a moment, Mr. Sievers.

A -- And that a cortain can, Walbert, was placed at the disposal --

Q Just a moment, I asked you a question. I want you to answer that first, and then you may explain the document. Didn't you meanly executively, pake all the arrangements for the astablishment of the Institute for Scientific Resourch in the Satzweiler concentration camp, and I will say in addition, on the release I the Releinscharer-63?

A Just as I answered you where, by rear of the Reichsfuchrer-SS I are agent all the discussions in accompliant in arcor to emplo Hirt to mark there.

heat place to carry out these experiments secressfully?

A I baid buf or that Him har has any acted Dachau instead of Naturalist, and that purchase apparent from the letter, and that Hirt was wish me at Matematica, stated that he could very well work thora.

in order at final out which the would be the most suitable for this pure so?

A A. i lively told you that among thebau. Naturally and drandoming I have visited no concentrate in campy and that Elember acts willy finally made that suggestion. It says in the first contoure: "he proposed by the Elicusfushror-Sice

n to let us turn to Demonst M-10 which is offered as are toution Exhibit Mo. 401 for identific Mo. This is went to as Jur initials, despit it, Mr. Silvers?

3245

Mapre !- P-10-2-idtoy (Rumler) Dourt No. I.

A Yet.

Q Well, now, this document is dited 27 august, which is a short time prior to the time the decument was selmitted to you wherein you reported to Glaucks. This states as fell war

"To SS-Sri naefuchrer Gluecks, Grandenburg.

Subject: Elitary scientific received in connection with the Nataweiler comp.

Brim defuelment

"As a result of the official tour in connection with the proction f on Institute f r Military Scientific Role rch reered by the Reichs-Suchror-SS, I cave unf rtunately to a surface to come and see you so far in or er to report to you about the particulars of the researches and invosti oti me, as SS-Cherstural no behrer Dr. Brandt proposed to you in his latter. Tiday, I amin anyo to so to Strassburg to hold further discussions with SS-Houptsturn where ir fessor Dr. Hirt and should like to thee the opportunity of cours to Unbowedler with him on Monday 31 August 62. May I, to this filicial tour had to be decided on saidbuly, sky u to have the common of the error advised of my visita? Upon my rotem I will come and record to you.

Heil Hitler!

Sievers."

Now is Notewiller the only can be which not made an official visit for this purpose?

A Yes. It was for that rome a that I want a Strassumry to see Pr Postor Hirt. At that time I had not you been to Netsweller Decause, to it over se apparent from this latter, I had had to ask the ske for purmission to got into the corp. As I seed in my direct ampirerion, I conduct not into the case taless to a roved my visit there. I the camy friend tours, but at thickel tours in this to find a suit lu c noententi n camp.

a cell, no, me note very men in I'm r f acceptly the slar missiler, weren't y u? Weren't you in favor -- 5866

14 Apr-M-19-10-3-Putty (Pomler) Court No. I.

h is license apparent from the provious substitted letter, Himmler had present that because Natzweiler was close to Strassburg.

- I see. Wall, now, didn't you consider that the working conditions in Natamoillar were extremely favorable for this purpose?
 - A Hirt established that because it was very close to Strassburg.
- 1 Moll, lot's see if Hirt established that. Let us have a lock at Dominat NO-977 --

tion Exhibit No. 482, 7 or Hours.

- Are your initials there, ir. Sievers?
- 4 Yes.
- I Now we will read this lively note then your initials.

Wilde.

Subject: The corrying out f military scientific research in concentra-

"As a result of the conference in 31 August 1942 at the consentration camp Nationaller, there is a considerity that the research ordered by the Reichsfuchrer-SS in the concentration camp Nationaller be corried out. Norking conditions on the whole are entracrimerily favorable.

The difficulty lies in the reaching of the comp. For the time being, the scientists record to carry out the investigation, Frifessir Dr. Hirt, Steparart Dr. Nieser, and Dr. Nieser-book have to use the train from Stepashor; to Setau. From there, however, they have to be picked up by cor. For there additional tries, twenty liters of earline must be made available to the local comp handpurture minthly."

And that is signed, "Slovers." I call your attention to the sontypes: "Working conditions on the while are extraordinarily favorable." That is a t Hirt's idea. That is 'r. biovers' idea, is it not?

A he it can be seen from the letter to Givecks, there was a man in that corp called Operscharfushers William to by profession was a breader f animals and, therefore, was in a position to be in charge of the entire animal breading there. This was sensething that was con-

1.7

14 mr - 19-10-4-Futty (Remler) Court Wd. I. sidered to be extremely favorable by Birt, and it was so in effect. Q Woll, Bottor, do you recall D. curent NO-L22 which was Prosecution Exhibit No. 33, contained on prac 50 of Document Book No. 27 That is the rear signed by Heirrich Himster to establish the Military Scientific Reserren Institute within the fr new rk f the Ahmenerbe. Do you recall that? A Your 3 That is dated 7 July 1942, isn't it? 4 Y35. . Now was this also to optoblem on Institute for Military Scientific Pascarch exclusively the 105 of S.inrich Simalor? A I les " state " orlier that after this convers tion with Himmler and his subsequent roor to get into el ser contrat with Hirt, and as I -lso stated during my direct organization that Mirt rejected to being gut under or wite to jurisdicti n, this thought are so to create an Distitute for Military Scientific Research. This was in connection with the orders I was given E lier time with reference to my assignment, and the result of the conference mich hire compassed on to Himsler, and it finally Tod to the formulation of the pour dated the 7th of July. a woll, now, you sere will only a could to the attachment of any such institutes is atlined in this rdir by Mainrich Simpler, the itt chrunt f my such institutes to the preserve Society, as you have stated on direct, is that right? A Yes, that is correct, and it was for that very reason that I was in favor for separate institute 'cin created, numbly, this Instituto f r llitar Scientific augo reh. I Than who say contact to Him I or to t such an independent or anigott a be apply to be set up which the frameric f the meric? A Think a done on the cases of the crumil conversations between Hirt and agently and then submit he and owen our was my alpertmental chief, and it was all suggested to Birlin. Q who sur wated it t distinct they I and an institute to be 2004

14 apr-1-12-10-5-rotty (Ramber) Court No. I.

attached to the Annahorte Society?

A I suggested that to Himmler on the basis of my previous convariations with Hirt and Guest. I did it by order of Woost.

Q Well, at last we are coming around. You suggested that the Institute for Military Scientific Research be established within the framework of the Chaenerbe Society, didn't you?

A In rear to create an institution which was not in the Ahmenerbe, which would lie outside the framework f the Ahmenerbe.

Q I have been three days trying to get that shower from you and

listening to you on direct; and it is certainly a fact that you suggested it.

if. Haby: we will turn now to Document NO-2210 which will to offered at this time for identification, Your Honor, as Presecution Schilt No. 403.

G On that last page, Mr. Sievers, the one right in front of you, is that your signature?

A Yus.

G toll, now, I have marked in pencil where I want you to take nobe. You state therein efter -

And Mana, 1942, and the select is "Asserved by SS-Hau, teturnfushrer emission of June, 1942, and the select is "Asserved by SS-Hau, teturnfushrer emission of the Stress unit," and the question of closer collegables of tween the Absence of and his, and here on the Last page Defendant Sisvers states — which is the third paragraph from the top, Your Honor, on the next to the last page of your English copy, which is incorrectly assected. The page containing the signature of Sievers, which is a helf page of signe-rephol salpet matter — it you have it, Your Honor?

THE AMESIDEMT: It is mirked page 4 on ours.

in. h. DY: That's right, Y or Honor.

G New here you status

"To collect these not similar research in the absence of which containly will comer, on these facility to the organizational and technical emperion, I so goots

- "I) Foundation of an Institute for Maitery Scientific had search within the America.
- MR) appointment of SS-Relationsfulner Professor Br. Mirt as in totive from er, and his are interest to Thios of Department B (at mighter Sirt) of the Asstitute for Military Scientific asserch.

"handher's were could well a hore included. He then could be "preinted as Shief of De, remont h (Reschor). Necessary supplies

for such an institute which in the first line will sorve the needs of the troops would 'a easier to explain and more reasonable as if applied for under the name of the whoeners alone. Signed, "Sievers."

That is the date that experts directly apposite your name on that last page, Mr. Sievers?

A 26 June 1942.

I and the date of the order by Mission establishing the Institute for Blittery Ecientific accounts is 7 July 1942, is it not?

A Yes, that is also true. From this passes it 'ecomes evident that I am hore referring to idealor's consider dated the 25th of March, which I already months as spilor, where he or ord that I discuss with Hirt his closer salls cration. The inscursions that were continued with Hirt are here set down; and they were then substituted to Professor west. From the last sentence of this decument it as a seen that It was appeared in the continue the work under the hame of "America." In other words, this decument confirms my conversation with Hisdor Laster, 1942, and the subsequent or anightenal directives and a neighborations.

If dertainly is approach, Dreter, Let's term now to anther so Ject. Suppose a dector wanted to grain entrance into a concentration scope. Generally, weren't you the man who was to 'e contacted if such an entry partit was requested?

A If supera approached to on that metter, it was my duty to transmit that wish to Himmler, or Clauses, who alone were competent for any such purchasion.

. Were let us turn to December 30-1657 -

15. Hoppy: - which will a offere for identification as Presention Exhibit E. . 44, Your Honor.

6 Now this distance, Mr. Sievers, is not in Junuary 1962.
Ind it is distanced to 0 cretural and where Sievers.

"Highly Honored Mr. Obersturn confushrer Slevers:

5052

During Sunday I will work on the report which you wish to have, since I have already collected all the necessary material for it.

During this week it was not possible for me to compile the report, as I had to give a lacture in wilhelmshaven, and my time was almost completely taken up with consultations on out reaks of typhus both there and here. The report will reach Sorlin by Tuesday at the latest.

"An out reak of typhus in the Neuengemee Concentration Camp occasions me to request your intervention, that I might be granted permission by the heichefushrer-SS in my especity as Consulting Hydrenist to the Sealth administration here concerning the out reak of such epidemics to visit the concentration camps and, if circumstances permit, to be allowed to undertake experiments by treatment of the potiumts and tosts of delousing agents. And the Typhus Research Lo cratory, cotablished by me in the Tropical Institute, needs material from fresh cases.

"The research results achieved in the in cratory with experimental animals, etc., cannot be evaluated practically without such work on potients.

"The Herrure Tropical Institute is available for all collaboration in typhus research and the era atting of typhus.

Hail Hitler."

of nod "Marchlens,

lodical Officer and Consulting Symionist of the Bulgarian army."

Now was it usual for people to request that you intervene for them to main soldesich to the o noentration camps?

A This letter constitutes a reply to an inquiry which I directed to a must er of institutes and researchers at the beginning of January by order of Professor waset, in connection with the foundation of an enterclogical institute which on the lat of January 1942 had been ordered by Himmler. In this connection I has a

14 april-45-MC-10611-Ac-Putty (Int. amiler) Cart Ho. 1 also had to write to Professor Hirt whose reply is also here availmettur?

a'le as a document. I had to write to Professor Hirt because he mentioned hase in his reply; and when cross-examined, I chreaty answorld the Defense Counsel of mose as to what I did with that letter. I transferred it to my department chief who then on his own initiative found a head for this entenche icel institute. I didn't know Profussor Muchlens persently, nor did he know me personally, and I think that he prote by saly asked me to invervene on his their laceuse he received a letter with the heading, "Reichsfuchrer-SS."

- I hall, now, when you intervased, to man did you refer this
 - a that metter are on talking mout?
- This lector of Dr. Michlers. He wanted to jut into the camp. To whom did you refer the matter?
- A I can't tell you that from manny. I really don't know it MUNICIPO.
- Q well, mrm, Is devily lebts think o'cut it a mement. The would you inform, or we would you tak, so that this footer could to whitted into the Newen arms a doestration smap?
- " I would have suit this letter of Mr. Michlans to Rudolf Brenut roking him to present it to the Reichefuchror-SS and out his ducision.
 - ? You wouldn't have sent it to Gravita?
- A Unity ofter poving received a reply from Branch cone rning Minder's decision in that respect, at I was only directly in contest with Himler and not with Greate.
- " all, not, this a pairs to a from this letter you have before 314 a melleri unillen. Ienta 1/2
 - a Yes, we are here expensed with medical products.
- Q and my sure the two his part resident an in the hatical services of the S5?
 - a Growdia.

14 april-M-DJG-10111-5: atty (Int. menlor) Court No. 1 5 Who elso? A Grawitz and Galhardt. follow, wasn't he? connections with that agency of the weffon-SS. Genzken? I transmitted it to Genzken, but I comit remember it.

C. How alout our friend, Mr. Genzken? He was a pretty high

A Yes, but I gover had anything to do with Mr. Gensken. He was the chief of the Medical Service of the Caffer-SS, and I had no

@ Well, were you ever ordered to refer this matter to him, to

A I stready told you, I isn't know what I really did in that case; 'ut I think I probably translitted that to Brandt, and if Himmler decided to sont it to Ganzken, it may have been that later

well, we will look regin at another scation of this same document, which is page 3 of this document. It is lated 22 January 1942, Addressed to Professor Dr. Michlens, Director of the Tropical Institute. Sur jest: "Research and Control of the Insects influencing human beinte.

"Rightly Honored Professor,

"I thank y u very much for your extensive report as well as for the enclosures attached to it and forwarded to me. I have made use of your material for my report to the medichafuchror-SS and I shall also inform you shortly in repart of your requests expressed in your report.

"I have forwarded your letter of 10th Jenuary 1942 with my recommendations to the Hygiene Institute of the haffer-85 (SS-Wrig-Diefuehrer Dr. Genzken), Forlin W 15, Knese ockstrasse 43/44. I think you will a given the apportunity of foing research work in Netternanno.

Hail Hitlor:

and there appears your simplure, does it no?

.. Yes, this is my signature, and met I said efor is quite 5854

correct. I used this material for my report to Himmler; and it was from there that I received the order to transmit Muchlen's letter to the Hy iene Institute of the Waffen-SS, and that is what I told Muchlens.

- If I are sure you did not receive the order to transfer this letter to the hypicalst of the haffen-SS from Gluecks. Name't he the laded man for you to have approached in the first instance to gain admission into a concentration camp?
- .. I don't unferstand your question in that connection. Maybe the translation was not quite correct.

- In the first letter Dr. imehlens is asking for permission or a permit to grin entry into Foundance concentration comp. Now he has maked you to intercede in his behalf. Wouldn't the first step which you to be in the direction of Gluecks who has all of these passes? In other words, he had the power of admitting persons to concentration carries.
- couldn't have done that. The prorogalate was always in order by Min Lor. It only channel was to approach "in der, as it was actually done in the process to the rea on "inder accided that members letter be directed to the Typione Institute. It is possible in the sleet ordered that Cluecks be informed of pushlon's desire simultaneously. The tower was done in that respect, of course, resulted on order by "in Lor personally. I could order nothing whatsoover.
- j. Juli tain before you would have forwarded this letter to Consider you would have had to receive an order from Grands or Minular, to their right?
 - A. You, the two ld has been a prerequisite.
- . The page two of this some document will charify that prolies for us. This is document, section is dited 19 Jamuary, and was sent for information to: The impenarous, and this document says: That the water of Professor puchlons should be referred to the SS Summangair epitems - edge 1 programment, Berlin; and it is signed by Sluckes, and went receipt of this, for information only, was that why you forwarded the original letter of members to Dr. Genekous
 - A. I can't to I you to t from memory.
- . Mail, did you over talk to be. Gonzkon about this matter at 117 Did not over home any convergetions with Jonekon?
- 1 never scoke to Genzken in all of or life. I transmitted the letter, just us I good not in the letter to Hamilton.
- 1055 La fourth y word this sum nonthest. This is safe read to the

14 ipres-020-12-2-cook (Runior) court 7 . 1.

Ayriana Institute of the Waffer SS, for the attention of the SS Fri Cofeshror Dr. Gangkon:

#Bubject: application by Professor Dr. Muchlens, Director of the Project Institute in Emburg for natural for his spotted fever research Laboratory.

baricadofuchrer:

"With reference to bur telephone convergation of yesterday. I am form rain; you the enclosed letter from Professor Dr Ambhlens, in which he requests that he be granted an apportunity for conducting exactions in the Economistic Concentration Comp. I have informed him in I have passed this letter on to you.

Roll "itlor"

and bulow that appears the eigensture of Sievers. In that your signa-

- ... You, that is to simuture.
- . Mon you have talked to O garon, havon't you?
- in Project of the Welfer SE for the at entire of Dr. O melen.

 I would note to decrease person live.
- includer any at grippdefularer, does it not?
 - .. You, because he was the calef of that office.
 - . It says: "Raforring to bur conversation" does it not?
- i. I probably refers to the conversation with his adjutant. I didn't speak to ir. Conston.
- bund ducion tast, r. Sievers. I want to ast the forequestions to the triple triple to the triple triple to the triple triple triple to the triple tri
 - A. You wear Profess ? Transit, in you?
 - . Yes, Professor Broadt, Zel Brandt?
 - A. Lot was on the Blet of North 1944.

14 print -12-3-cook (R miler) pount . 1. . he 31st of Marc. 1944. When did you ever neet Professor Endloser? . I nover met Professor Hendloser. . Then did you most Mr. Buff, Dr. Ruff, for the first time? - Here during the trial. 1. You never met his when he was working with ?necher? ... I . I only know that he was the calef of the German experidatal station for aviation. C. . Ind you not how to want you not Baschor, in that right? alb all one or w time? 1 500 Balle to That was the first time you has over soon Romberg? ... You, that is when the experiments were drowny being carried qut. . Chat was the first association you had with Dr. Ruscher? ... I know Bascher serore that, but I only mot Romberg on the accoming of up first visit to Declara. . How long and you known Ranchar? the it worly 1942 or whom? ... I alrowly said turing my direct executation that I had known Paster duce 1938. . The you over also in attempt to intercode in boundf of Jan Lar, whor to this or unimentation in May of 1942 or March of 1943, so the no could to the concentration on me for experimentation Mr once? .. I wid that Raccior my to that time was working with Bindorta "dju, at office to tunio... . In you never inverceded for Sammer no that Wischer nould no. to the a upontration of the for experiental purposes prior to the first in misishio omeriments? .. I don't rounder that. is limit it a fact of a you are Furaner your obwelly old friends? In t win h a contact from 1938 on, and you and worked a lot together?

14 pr-1-25-12-4-000% (B-17-4r) Connt During my direct exactnation I siready stated that Mascher at that time intended to corry out work in connection with an early diagnosic of cancur. . "It your is that? Establish the year fo us, by, Slevers? La Blut was - mist mayo been in 1938. Bascher was then drafted into the erroy, and then this catior never was continued. . In then ofter the t particular situation concerning the concerproblem, you has no further contact with Mr. Reschor until the high ltitudo roblos aroso? ... Until the migh elittude mottors eroso; in the mountime Anscher had been when the Inftwife. . Ict's nove a loss a Docu at 10-1331, which is offered for industrie ... on as Prosecution Exhibit Joj, Your Bonors. Now this is and 2 100 1939, to the Reichardenrar SS, personal staff, attention So Supercurriumrer Dr. Breadt: "Subject: Identification for Dr. Emseque, immigh, to enter concontral n cargo. Affician request of the Raichefughrer-55, 4-10% the resented expericonsecut a match or Enschor was to carry out, the crystallization of the blood of persons is so be exertined, which serve long sentences in timeditert a cume or are ingrisoned for a long time. Please see to it to the Product can be authority amount in a to purfer men to commission to the Document Consumer tion Consumer late to know We will Interes may contact there. " It is a SI to t copen's there under the notate a, Wolfren Stoyers? . Tot, we the - initial. Is and the note mercia in me 26 my 1939? - You, it concerns the crystallingtion procedure with reference to the control with the control of the remain . _ is right. . In I lengtuourer was I fivor of the as on be seen from

1 - 12-5-000k (1 - Lor) Comment . . 1. the letter. It was trepelitted to Brandt and that is all, . Don, doctor, hore in this trial the Prosception has introdid nearly neventy-five documents in which your new ampours. Some of the are letters signed by you, "ddressed to you or wherein a third wrote her montioned you as a collaborator. The documentary evidence and over meaven, that you were better informed in most of those anttors, to a the also a ponerous, Mr. Brindt. Now do you still wish to sit hore on this witness stand and this Pribured that you do not have a or of place knowled worf the netivities as not forth in this indictmunt thing you have offert a hard too lest two t pay - Do you have bt. 1. further to idd about the er! incl meture of those experiments and morat the port those contlemen in the dock took in the conduct of much vetivition? ... to particip to m in tant work I described it in detail during of Circol one inction. I have he done to have to keen anything gulat, and I did not intend to been regulated quiet. The documents mubitted in that copract's il per c to matern a le I h d to forl with is the fr nework of a position a demon I secret my. If these . At re. . - rent from the latters and submissed her and often thrown "It if a mit context, a leture poors with it not in accordage

- with the fects. One only made to le be but or rything which in consists of chariffor the situation, and then it becomes very easy to contum : person.
- . Inv. r. Slovers, prior to the let you walked into the court 7 to for the erratement in this pase hed you over appeared in this court ros _ before?
 - ... You, I was one inch her before the I. . T.
- b To did you apper here before the International Allitary Trictin 17
 - ... Bed use I w s d Hou hard.
- 3. The recition are esta defense without i've the SE as all or olders, my were you mot? 5800

1- 10-10-10-10-10-00-000 (Int. 5 1 lor)

2. I didn't volunteer for that. In defense counsel of the 35 collect no here and my first not was to show Dr. Polekvana, my letter dated December 1945, where I told about my numbership in the resistance novelest, and I told him a that councetian I was an unsuitable witness for it. Or. Polekvana, however, insisted very unjoustly that he opening no in connecti in that the problem of the immension, although take or not any voluntary retion on my art. Finally, under difficulty I has an expertuality to speak before the I.A. 2. and tell then what I reside through and I told you take a raing of a difficulties I had in that respect through you.

tow, i.e. Sievers, you among before the So design which was not up by the International distance Tribunal, did you not. Don't give no a long snewer, now did you or did you not appear before the Consission of the International distance Tribunal?

- .. You, unter circumstances, which I have just described.
- . The, and you appeared before the International Military Tribunal?
 - .. Yes, as it becomes parent from the record.
- didn't the International vilitory prisonal, and doesn't to recor, of the International Lilitory britainal most the the total copy before the docinet a test it was felt that and Sievers was injuried bisself in this cribunal?
- is It we the the two very colouisted the of the consecutor tives of the Proposition, to the it amount that may. I studied both twoords with my defense countril and I can say that this sophistry which I complete, the necessaries its in.

if. Briy: 30 further quest, as.

The FERRIDARY: The rises I will be in record

(She "riteral adjourned at this the for moon recess)

AFTERNOOM SESSION

(The hearing reconvened at 1330 hours, 14 April 1947.)
The WARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

DR. FRITZ (Counsel for the defendant Pose): Nr. President, I should like permission to have Rose excused from tomorrow's session. His case is the next one coming up and I should like to discuss it with him at comewhat greater detail.

THE PERSIDENT: Upon request of counsel for the defendant Rose, whose case will next be heard before the Tribunal, defendant Rose may be excused from attendance before the Tribunal tenerrow for the purpose of consulting with his counsel.

IR. FRITZ: Chank you.

WOLFRAM SIEVERS - Resured

THE PRISIDENT: Any further questions of the witness by counsel for the defense!

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY DR. 'LISORUPER (Counsel for the defendant Sievers):

Q. Witness, the documents that the prosecution submitted this morning give me occasion for a few questions. The chaver to the prosecution's question regarding your letter of 20 January 1945 to Hirt, Exhibit 478 of the Prosecution, was semewhat brief. However, I consider it absolutely necessary, in the interests of clarity, that you state briefly what your situation was in January '45 and whether from this situation you and some particular inducation to write in the formulation in which this document agreers. Do you remark but letter?

ifficulties, and what a tense situation, there were at that the abortly before the college. A real like Hirt, who was so close to Similar and who enjoyed his porticular confidence, always found it recessary to live up to this relationship in the tone that he adopted in his relations with up. In my direct executation I stated that not only from the beginning of 1844 on did I have the feeling of thing wetched over by the Gestapo.

but in May I found out confirmation that this was being cerried out on the Chief of Staff above me, and the SD leader who was in my department corroborated that. Thus, in this situation, where everything was touch and go, it was very important to be careful and, therefore, I tried to explain that the tone in which this letter was hold is quite comprehensible.

- you o few questions. I shall have this document put to you again. This document carries a most cript which begins with the words "55 Untersturn-fusher Wolff". He was employed in the chaeses, was that not not
 - n. You.
- Q. In this note Wolff is eaked to find out the location of the comp Notewellor, and to find out who the commander was, and this information was to be translated to you by telephone at Stressbourg by the 29th of the same north at the latest. Is my examption correct that at that time, namely, in suggest 1943 ---
- A. The letter was dicteted by se on the 27th of August and provided with this note regarding volff, in which I asked him to find out the exact address of the came and the commander of Kataweiler, and to counit that to se by telephone to Stresebourg because I left Berlin on the 26th of August on an official journey, we the letter shows, and asked Wolff to give se this information by the 29th. In other words, when I left Berlin on the 27th of august, I intended to go to Stresebourg and at that the I did not know the location of Natrociler, nor did I know who the commander was. In other words, your assumption is correct.

Court No. 1 1- Apr 47-A-14-1-AFA-Kerrow (Int. Brown) . In the first part of this latter there is contion of an official tour and the word "Dienetreise" is translated by the word tour in English and was interpreted by the prosecution as a round trip, as a tour. Now. tell to, did you simply take an official trip that was necessary for official rescons to Stressbourg, or did you really make a tour, such as the prosecution resules, through various concentration compa? At that time I made an official trip to Straggbourg and it is a matter of incorrect translation if this official trip was translated as on official round trip, and this is one of the reported and unfair interprotestions on the part of the prosecution. Q. I can assure you that the interpretation was not meant to mislend. A. Moverthologe it did so. Q. Your note of the Soth of June, 1942, Exhibit 481 contains ... In other words, as can be seen from it, your proposal for the founding of a littly research institute within the Ahnenerbe. Fow, I believe It is necessary in our wearch for absolute truth to go into the historical development that proceded this. You had this discussion with Riveler about which we have had enough discussion. You say that Bickler wanted to have these emeriments corried out by Easther and Hirt by all means. ser Tes. Q. You saw that, from the administrative point of this, this Reacher and Hirt institute was to be 1 sked ofter by the Ahnenerbe. Did you know then where the fineacial means for this institute were to be provided, or was that determined only leter? A. When I objected, Himler orranged for that. I mentioned this Horning briefly the very limited apportunities I had to interfere, and to errouged that money of the leffen-oS and not that of the Ahmenerbe should be used for this. w. and was that not one reason why these in titutes. Which were thing in noture to the abhencebe, were to be neder a sort of obner to the Abnumerbe and this whole idea was discussed in this conversation of Lester 5864

of 19427 Is that not so?

- A. You, quite clearly. Therefore, the institute was later called the lastitute of the Wesfen-55 and Police.
- Q. In other words, to work out this note of the 26th of June of 1902 there was no perticular reflection necessary on your part. The working out of this note was simply a matter of setting down in writing what Himmler had very clearly decided at Easter, 1902?
- e. You, it was simply the untter of setting down in writing what Himler had already established as policy.
- q. I do no not to Diction NO-1657, Prosecution Exhibit 684. On page 2 there is a letter of the 19th of January, 1942, ment "for information" to the Absolute and this letter is directed to Obersonstant Dr. Ofterdiagur. Did you know this was or did you have southing to do with his within the scape of the appenerbol.
- A. No. I didn't know him and I had no connections with him at that thee, but I assume that Herr maintens turned to this can in this same matter in the same very that he was to to me, although there was no possible reason for his dring so because he had received a letter from me with the letterhand of the Beichsfuchrer 55.
- Q. Now, page 4 of this document was subditted to you by the prisecuti at with the equipation that your first state out that you had never
 spoken to Dr. Conrien was not true. Because of this notation here with
 reference to our previous telephonic conversations, I should like to ask
 a few questions about the administration of the Bolchgeschaeftsfuchrung of
 the shaperbox. There the Ahmenerbox and a few collaborators, such as
 Hauptsturmfuchrer Wolf. In such cases as this did you yourself always
 carry on telephonic conversations with other offices and ally use in such
 cases this phrase with reference to but telephone a governable of restordays of was it your practice, as it was the practice of far as I know in
 why other offices, that the following to be piece. The adjusted or says
 ther collaborator as we by telephone with the labor of another staff or
 affice and then, when this conversation was derive rated in writing, this

phraseology was used such as we see here in this letter?

A. Yes, I tried to express that in my answer this porning because, of course, it was not possible for me to carry on all the conversations by telephone muself and, moreover, in such general matters it was not at all customery for a high SS leader of Genzken's rank to go to the telephone. That was taken care of by the adjutant who would say that his Brightefuchrer asks that the letter be forwarded and then the situation took place exactly as you have described. I remember very clearly that I never space with Genzken and didn't know him.

to This morning the prosecutor expressed his opinion regarding your relations with Dr. Rescher, saving that you were befriended with Dr. Rescher. Actually, your relations with Dr. Rescher were alwrifted well enough in your direct exclination but this remark on the part of the prosecution induces me to return to your relations with Rescher. Here you ever friends with Dr. Enscher?

As at no line did I have any close or friendly relations with Dr.

Enschor because from the first moment on, and marticularly because of

bis wife, I did not like his at all and I never had any reason to change
this opinion. On the contrary, it became stronger and deeper and it was
a rejection of Bascher's personality.

Q. Which, however, does not exclude the nonsibility that in official actions and within the scope of the office that y u had to deal with him you wrote letters to him such as this letter of 26 May 1939, which was put in this orning as are secution Exhibit 465.

A. That was one if my official duties and I carried out this duty blac in Research case with enteriol courtesy, and proceedy because of the close relations between the Research family and Riveler I was marticularly careful since he was always ready to turn to Himler directly if, in his opinion, he had any reason for compleint.

In. 'AISORNEER: Ar. Prosident, that concludes by redirect exemina-

The shisther: any examination of this witness by vey of redirect

exemination on the part of any defendant?

BY DR. VCRUERE (Counsel for the defendant Romberg):

Witness, would you please not again concentrate upon your visit to Dachau in which occasion you saw a high altitude experiment? Under interrogation by Judge Sabring you said that you secertained on the occasion of this visit that after the experimental subjects got sarache Dr. Romberg changed the pressure and thus brought about a condition that the subject found nore telerable. Do you remember that?

- ne You.
- W. How find but that the subjects had enrache?
- A. One could see through the beervation window that the two pointed to his our and I essend from that that he had earache. I couldn't hear him, of course.
- 5. And when this sign was node by the subject, did Royberg then change the pressure?
- A. Amberg moved a few levers and from the altitude mater I could see that the pressure was being changed.
- 4. After Runburg moved this lever, did the experimental subject continue to p int to his ear?
- A. No, the he moded in entirfaction to whom that he liked the change that had been made.
- there had been a convergetion to the effect that if the subject pointed to his our honders was to set the chamber at a different pititude?

- .. That hand ore and the experimental su jects had reached an agreement oforchand, and must have done so, that I learned sulsequantly from a conversation that how err had with the experimental
 - The you remem or the contents of that conversation?
- .. I at any rate had the impression that they had reached a s tisfectory a rement reparding the course that the experiment Was by trake.
 - C New who said that, the su'jost or hem'err?
 - A The man more or less thanked had one for this.
- I Wow to another matter. You stated that Rancher had said thing to you in consection with these experiments; he had cursed and said that the experimental su jears were unimportant and that It did not make any difference whether they were done horm or not. Did no make this statement after the experiments or did he express it in a loud cursin , so that everyone could here it?
- A When the experiments had 'con concluded and the chemier was no longer in Dachru, I reged hascher her verything had cone off in the experiments, and whether nothing had happened to the experimental su justs. To my surprise, since this contradicted what I had a surved at the experiments aysalf, he said that a couple of persons had died, Then I isked him "Hen come?" He said Himmier hal caked him to corry out a few extreme experiments and I then asked him whether hom or had been present. Assoner said no, he had done them slone, and on this coossion he made that statument a put non org - that ace or was in his way our use he was too week. That Histor had dumanded his extrese experiments can be seen from the documents here which, however, wid not so to me or to the Ahmener's at that time 'ut only to Gluecks and the SD namely a document in which he riler commanded Rescher to carry out further experiments with criminals contemped to dooth.
 - I soked you whether easehor made this statement at the time

you withoused the experiment — whether he made any remarks regarding the transment of the experimental subjects at the time when you were there.

" No, he did not.

Dh. Volombk: I have no further questions.

THE INCOMENT: are there any other questions of the witness on the part of defense counsel? Any further cross-excurrations by the Ireaccution? Exemination of the witness having been closed, the witness will be excused from the witness stand and may resume his place.

(Defendent Sievers leaves the Winess stend.)

Db. WEISCHAFF: Mr. Iresticut, it is now my intention to call the witness, Dr. Educri Mry. I shill appreciate the Tri unal giving me permission to call him to the stand.

THE TIESIDENT: The narshal will sugarn the witness Eduard May.

in. Highly Your Honor, my I inquire as to whether or not the defense counsel has all four witnesses evailable to be heard here in the next day or two, and then is it his idea to submit the rost of his decementary evidence after the witnesses have been heard?

Die telsdekein: Mr. President, all witnesses are present and I intend to her them, one efter the other, 'ut defere we hear Hielscher I should like very briefly to put in a few documents.

M. HADDY: Thank you.

(EDUAND M.Y, a witness, book the stend and testified as follows:)
DY JUDGE SERLING:

You will held up your ri nt asnd and c sworm. Repeat this outh ofter mer

I swear 'y God, the .lsi hty and Comissiont, that I will speak the pure truth and will withcle and add nothing.

(The witness reposted the ceth.)

JUDGE SHEHING: You may be seeted.

14 april-, -DAG-15--Lasser (Int. Brown) C Mostor, have you too ht? A Yos, in 1941 I was a lecturer in the University of Manich. in the was the rector of the university at that time? . The roctor was the ordinary professor of Indogermanic languages, Dr. Walter weest. and do you know whether Dr. west hed any other function at that timu? " Yes, I know that refessor what was at the same time, and this had nothing to so with the university, curator of the abnonerte Office. Shortly thoraster he 'come chief of the .houner's Office. Q Is it correct that refesser weet, in the spring of 1942, asked you to carry out a research assignment for the Amener of a Yes, in 1942 Dr. Twest called upon me and teld me that Himder had an applied enteneless research assignment to a carried out, and he ordered in this connection to set up a interstory or institute where the research for this easi maint could 'e carried out. This concerned the question of cold atting insects that do dama to to human 'win's and this resourch was to 'a carried ofu within the framework of the abnorm o. I pointed out to refersor mucot that achuae of saignments from industry I was very overworked and that, torsever, it was not my intention to sacrifice my free professional position for the same of some official position. Trofeasor wheat them at that this was of no importance and that I could take over this research assignment and my free professional position would not there y a in ony way endonsered; I would have some sort of loose pontract with the .hmemorie and that I should discuss this metter with the Reichs Idsinoss a niger of the Ahmener's, namely Mr. Sievers. That was the first time I beard his name. That was the contents of our Macussion, and west said that Slovers would get in touch with mc. is Did you then have tolk with Sievers? a Yes. In the most for days Sievers chiles me up. I then made 5 7L

14 April-A-DJG-15-5-Losser (Int. Brown) Court No. 1

his acquaintence and we met and had our first conversation.

- . Do you recognize Slevers manny the defendants?
- .. Yes first row, first one from the right.
- Q What also was said about this research assignment that you work given?

- A Mr. Sievers sail the same thing to me that refessor Wwest had said, nemely that this was research into com atting insect plats that do drames to human cings. I then pointed out to Sievers that this was a very large prolem, and I should have to know precisely what problems specifically interested Himmler. Sievers answered that I myself should ive these prolleds which I, on the "rais of my specialized knowledge, would re art as the most pressing. I then had to say to Sievers ; ain that that was not possi'le without further actuation, that I could only brow up a produce working program after I know what equipment and work mounts were to 'a put at my disposel; and I saked his whether there were any la oratories, whether there were instruments, whether he had the necessary assistants, technicians, assistants, specialists and so forth, and to what extent this equipment was evailable. I was rether surprised when Sievers told me that there was nothing there at all yet, that he didn't know mything about the whole matter himself, he simply had the order, and there we an't even a 'ulldin availa le, and if I took over this matter I should have to ereat this whole in oratory. Sievers and I reached some sort of an a recount to the effect that I a roud to carry out a very rough survey of the whole prollem on the 'axis of which the specified work on the prolum would later to arreneed for, and furthermore, that I should make efforts either in Manich or in the neith orhead of lamich to find a 'wilding that could 's used for the purpose of this institute.
- o Doctor, reparate this convergation of 1 April Fetween you and Sievers that took place in Manich, there is a file note which I should like to show to you. Look at No. 4.
 - A Yes.
- There is mention of o'servations on pris.ners; was this question discussed on 1 April Letween you and Sievers?
 - A. No, this whole point 4 is incorrect, ! occuse it says here

win this connection I am wondering whether we couldn't begin the experiments mest rapidly if we used Decheu installations." New, in this first talk with Sievers there was no mention of Dachau. Otherwise I never should have and the suggestion that I find a building through private agencies, nor should I after this conversation have made an affert to find a building in that way. It was not very easy at that these to find willings, as I can all over the country and looked at various buildings which firms had named as for sale, and then made a suggestion to Sievers on this su ject, which was then recepted by Sievers. In other words, there was no mention either of prisoners or of Decheu in this first conversation.

10. P ADY: Your Honor, a file note of some description has been sweatted to the Lithuss. Will defense counsel kindly identify acre?

DE. USISGERBER: It is in document look 4, Document NO-721, Exhi'it 126 of the Presecution; page 15 of the Document Book No. 4.

Q In other words, there was no talk of earrying out o'sorvations on prisoners?

w Mo.

the there any mention of the excellent medical facilities at Enchau?

IL Me.

5 Wes there any talk of Professor Schling, who carried out his anapholes experiments in connection with traject amborin in Dachau?

in ilev

L Then where was your institute housed?

I found a "wilding in the little town of Holzkirchen near Munich, which was for able, and which would to suitable after being expended. I spoke with dr. Sievers. He nerved, liked the place and empowered me to enter into negotiations with the owner for the purpose of purchasing it. Thill these more tintions were still

ting on, Sievers a vin come to dunich, and in this united conversation, did he for the first time tell as that Himmler had decided that the la crotory, respectively the Institute was to 'e set up in Dachnu. I was rather surprised by this at first, and Sievers have me the following russen for this. I have already mentioned that the takin over of this wilding in Nelskirchen, which was an old inn, would have involved remodelin , and Speer's prohi ition regardin - new construction wirk had already con issued, and Sievers said to me if the Institute is not up in Dachau we are no longer dependent on this Spoor prohi itien, because we have all the material we need, we have land 'elonging to the Sa, and moreover there are enough architects and wilding technicians emeny the prisoners at Dichou to do the work for us. Slevers them asked no whether I proof to this arrenement, 'eccuse he had to tell me right at the 'orinning that I could not gut any stone wilding in Dacheu, such as I had intended, 'ut could simply ret a 'arrecks, end he saked whether the entenole icel is cretery could to boured in a tarracks. I then teld Sievers that an entospherical la cratery just like any others could to housed in a "arracks, and that I had no a justions to locating the research institute in Dechtu.

- a when did this conversation t ke place, rou hly?
- In That, I 'whiter, was four weeks after the first conversation, 'ensuse I read or that I spent some time in leasting a 'wilding,' and that there was some length of time symin elepsed 'effore the plan of Tuyin- the 'wilding in Helpkirchen was a andoned.

THE INESIDENT: It seems counsel that a considere lo of this evidence is quite irrelevant. Can you not expedite the testimony of this without?

- W when then did your leteratory begin its work?
- . Lot me interrupt. I am surry, I didn't hear caything.
- when did your institute orin its activity?
- a The first experiments were in June of 1944.

- a libra, it is noteworthy that there is a lepse of roughly two years 'etween the first discussion of this and the actual setting
- " Yes, the properatory work, construction and gesting the instruments, and so forth.
- Litness, was this delay to a traced sale to the fact that Sievers went forward with this matter only very reluctantly and hositrtin 177
- . Yes, that is the improvalen I had, memoly that Sievers personally was not the loost interested in these matters, and a eve all I had the impression that he sid not use the mount that on the " sis of his position he could, in ay opinion, have used and should have used in order to carry out the construction and properations and to heaten them.
 - In other words no wes very diletery in this while metter?
- a Yes, very dilatory i sued; and I wisht say in addition that this was rually a similar abbor of tarred's construction. a terreake that would have been proceed in three or four weeks, and that it to k more than helf r your.
- to Now, in your institute, Mid you over corry out any miloria experiments?
- on No, underis is not in my field t all. I doned one of the main problems already, and I was told that I was to carry this out, namely to find new morns of our atting larves of itin masquites of creatic a poison in powder form to a sprinkled ever unter in pools, stament water where magnite harves are reciting in order t kill off this large to mest rok in this was corried out at great low-th, and it was lor-oly at this time the pro less ecome partheolarly important, the pre lan of era actin than with now means, since the raw actorial situation was such the provious used means were not available ony more in sufficient quantities.

Jeurs No. 1 . Did you ever work with Professor Schilling in Dachau? .. No, I did not work with Professor Schilling, nor lid I know him porsenally, but I found out in Dachau itself in conversations that in the camp a Professor Schilling was ouncorning himself with maleria and also was breading mosquitoss to obtain she larvae, as I did for my experiments. The rese rob institute was outside the camp. 1 Satwoon you and the amicric institute, which was in the one little wherein your institute was outside the cuap, was there my collacer then or did that institute raid inrvis wellable to you? .. No. I received no netorial from dehilling, and the Schilling institute never asked for autorial from my institute. . Did Siovers, in connection with your resurreh activities, have mything to do with not rin research? " No. . In Sievers' Girr under S January 19 ... there is to entry resording to which there was a talk between you one Sievers on combetting meloric in Auschwitz? .. You, that was the following: Mr. Sievers reported to he one day that in the one, of Auschwitz the number of

To he one day that in the one, of auschwitz the number of cases of materia had increased, and it was intended to unfortake resources a minet this. He asked he whether smothing could be done that applied on the anothers and whether in embetting enothers the mesquite was possible. I then told dievers I would have to look at this first. Consequently, I went to auschwitz and ascertained that the mater such and such proroquisites the sprinking of water with this jowder could be untertaken. That is how this case about.

.gril lu-.-BH-17-2-Machen (Int. brown) . In other words this activity at any rate had nothing to do with malaric experiments on human beings? . Me, this was simply the business of clearing the eror of mosquitoes. Under 22 February 1944 thereis an entry in SieverS' Mary, "Talk with Dr. May, collaboration with Dr. Ploetner and Professor Schilling;" from this one could infor that there after all was some sort of bollaboration? .. Parhays this ontry rafors to the following situation - but first of all I should like to say, there was nover any talk mout my collaboration: During the time the institution was constructed, I had taken over a number of people in these barracks, who is themselves had nething to do with my experiments, but whom I murely gave on opportunity to settle there. If I remember serrectly I was informed, or rather I was asked by Frofessor west, not by Sievers, whother it was possible to accommodate a cortain Professor Ploatner to anable his to carry on his experiments. I emphasize this is not a natter of collaboration, but morely the furnishing of space. I not Professor Ploutnor, he was introduced to me, and we wont out to the harrneks, which at that time had only been h if con lated. Doctor, I believe that will suffice. . Parha s I may add that Dr. Ploutner looked at the burracks and said he lid not have sufficient a acc there. . Did you have on og grounity of escortaining whether Slovers had anything to do with Dr. Schilling's department? · Wo. . You really had nothing to do with one roal inside of the concentration on ; in Dech wi .. No, this reserved station was outside the BS only. SETE

I was not awan allowed to enter the concentration camp. . During the course of your activities at Dachau. did you over come in contact with Professor Bloge? .. Yes, that occurred in the beginning; and I don't know whether directly through Blone or through Sievers' modiation I received an inquiry to the effect that I render an expert orinion to Dr. Blome concerning the cossibility of taking a combat measure in case that haraful insacts be dropped free pirglunes. Did this conference with blone concurn itself with an notive biological warfare? .. No, at that time the question was discussed whether it was possible that in the else of aropping potatoe inscota, a certain counter measure could be taken, and what in detail was to be deno. t was Slovers present during that conference? .. I bollovo. 4 Did you soo Professor Hirt, the anatomical expert at the University of Strassburg ? .. Yes, I ande his acquaintance upon my own desire. In the expert world, it was well known that Hirt had developed a fluorescent microscopic method with the Zeiss firm. ..t this time it was quite a new affair and I was extremely interested in the retter, because I attempted to apply this nothed also in the entenclosical field. At this time I drew Giovers' attention to this method whereupon Sievers replied that he know Professor Hirt, and Professor Birt was callaborating with him in some number. He further seld that he would make it possible for me to nout Hirt and I would therefore be able to look at his intravital microscopic work. . You then looked at dirt's work, and this mainly 587

- number of gentlemen were present at that time. I remember that Hirt held an introductory lecture about his
- a has the other part of Dr. Hirt's activities discussed in Stressburg at that time?
 - u No.
- In the summer of 19-6 son-weter experiments were to be corried out at Dechau; did Sievers discuss this question with you?
- .. No, Slovers said nothing to no about son-water experiments, but the fellowing connection has to be observed here. Slevers, one day asked me, when visiting no, Whother it was possible for me to furnish a room, we Word than concurred with a number of charists who were to carry out charical exeminations and had to be accommodated For two to three wooks. He said that the contlemen would bring all the equipment with them that they only need a room and they needed has and Water. I ground to do that, and after a certain paried of time number of continuen arrived and settled there. It was only on this occasion that I found out what the connection was, namely that seawater was to be made petelle by applying a special method, and this son-water was to be given to the inputes to drink and that the analysis of urine was to be carried out in the TOOK I placed at the Mis seal of these chemists.
- . You know nothin; about the manner of execution of thuse emperiments's
 - .. U , nothin; ct all.
- . Can you say anythin; at all about Sixurs' participation in these experiments?
 - .. No, I know nothin further than that Dr. Sievers

April 12-A-BK-17-5-Meshan (Int. Srown) Court No. 1

of these chemists for a period of from two to three weeks.

14 April 17-A-ATD-18-1-Futty (Int. Remior)
Court No. 1

I once more establish that your ins
to do with those see water experiments. Do yo

I I once more establish that your institute had nothing at all to do with those see water experiments. Do you know on the basis of conversations which you heard at your institute anything about the extent and the result of these see water experiments?

A No, I found out nothing about that. I only found out what I already told you concerning this room which I furnished and where I know that urinalyses were being carried through, I only came into a very superficial contact with these gentlepon, I remember a certain man — I think his name was Schustur or Schumeher — who reportedly approached no because he as a civilian, like namelf, could not out at the officers! mass, an' had to be seemed also for his food, and that is how a very superficial contact was established with that ventleran.

I is being inferred that when translating the demonstration of Mirt recards, intravital microscopical work, the word "frogs" was not used, in the translation, and I should once more like to establish that the temperations of Mira's that you mitnessed were carried out on frogs.

" Tos; that is corruct.

C In connection with these set water experiments, do you renumber the name, "Or. Deliberate"?

that this group of chamists who were working with no were working under a certain Professor Sui-livence. Personally I mil not make the acquaintunce of Professor Sui-livence.

I This conference regarding the furnishing of the room took place on the 20th of July, 19km, on the besis of Sieverst diary. Do you know who ther Sievers went to Dachau after this period of time?

il 100

DR. FISGEREID: Mr. Prosi lent, I have conclided the exemination of this witness. 5882

Ma April 47--- ATD-18-2-Putty (Int. Briler) Court No. 1 THE TRESIDENT: Are there any questions to the witness on the part of any other Defense Coursel? If not, the Prosecution may prossattening. CRUSS-EXCLUSIVATION BY :51. HUTDY: Q Witness, what is your present address? 4 19 mesent wifees is the same which was centioned by Defense Coursel before, Starnberg, Oberbayern. 9 Whom are you living with now? A With whom? 2 Yos. A I am living along. I You are living alone, How wall He you know Professor Hirt? .. I made Professor Hirt's acquaintance at Stressbour . That was probably around Surmar, 1944. 1 How wall did you know he bascher? . I made Dr. Ramemorts acquaintance in Spring, 19hh, when I was ongaged in the construction of the institute, On one occasion when I was out there and observed the levelopment of the institute, an officer came alone, and introduced himself to me. He said his name was Dr. Wascher, and he told me that he was active in the exep doing experimental work, and on that occasion asked no what my activity was. I told Dr. Rascher about my work, and he asked no to show him some of my laboratory configurat which was unpacked. 9 Well, new, after you had been established in the entemplogical institute which was tweside of the comp at Dachsu, it's you ever have a wisit from Professor Blome? A No. Professor Blome was never in Dachaus Q You den't over remoder seeing refessor Tone on his way to visit Dr. Rascher? O You never heard whether or not Professor Blood experimented on human beings with Dr. Bancher? 5883

A4 April L7-4-ATD-18-3-Futty (Int. Tenler) Sourt No. 1 A Mo; I never heard anything about that. Q Well, now, do you know a Miss Schridt that used to work for Professor Hirty A 10. Q Didn't Miss Schmidt at one time work for Professor Hirt and then come to work with you? A Schridt? I That's right. A Schmidte Q Y05. A I bay your pardon. I understood "Smad". It is "Schmidt". Yes. Yos. I know that a liss Solve it case to so and worked with me as a technical assistant. She had previously been working with Professor Hirt. Q Did Mas Sennamana also come to work with you from Professor Hirt's laboratory? A Yes. Tes. These two ladies, lies Schwidt and Miss Pennemann, had come from Professor Hirt. A Dat was their specific field of research? Were they specialists in some sert of particular problem that you had an interest in? A No. They had no special research field at all. They were ordinary technical assistants as one needs them in every laboratory. I had a great lack of technical assistants. I only hal one the was not very sood, and I reportedly asked Mr. Sievers to let me at least another two technical assistants since I could not make any progress in any other way, is a result, one dry these two latios arrivel, Schmidt and Bonnesann. They had no special knowledge of any kind but only knew about general technical matters as is issanded of a technical assistant, Q Well, now, how for is it - how long a ride is it from Strassburg to Inchan? 5884 A That ill seponds. It depends what time you are speaking of. It depends whether any sir attacks were taking place or not, at any rate, when I was in Strassburg at that time visiting Professor Hirt, there was an express their from Munich to Strassburg which was very fast. I am not sure whether it took eight or ten hours. At any rate, one could to it within one day. Later, however, that was no longer possible.

9 Well, these two essistants that you received from Professor Hirt, they later went back to Professor Hirt, dichtt they?

A I don't know that at the moment. I think they stayed with me almost until the Imboratory dissolved. I can't tell you that exactly. I think I can remember that at least one of these two young ladies left a little earlier than the other one in order to go to Tuebingen. Already in March or April I sent my famile assistants home.

Q Wall, now, they went to Tuebingen to the institute that Professor Hirt had set up there, dich't they?

A I don't know whether Professor Hirt had an institute at Tuebingen.

Q Now, Doctor, you stated that you know that these experiments were to be conducted concerning sec water. Did you know that these experiments were to be conducted on the immates of the Dochau concerntration camp?

A Mo. I only learned that later.

Q Well, fight you assume that they would be confucted on human beings?

A I thought that this rejenerated sea water would be given to people to drink, but I light think of immates. I really considered these experiments to be very harmless.

Q Tell, then, Himit it strike you rather strange that they would be coming to Dachau to perform these experiments rather than Joing it in Berlin?

A No. This timit strike no habeing popular at all. At the borisming I thought that I was to accommodate a few character temporarily the had been bombed out from somewhere, and that I was iving these prople a temporary possibility to work and that they were later to

14 April 47-1-173-13-6-Pubty (Int. Tailor) Court No. 1 A les, I made Dr. Frigowsky's acquaintance. I saw him once, and that was in Berlin. Q Do you remember when you not him in Berlin? a Wall, that is hard to say, Q Tould you say it was -A I was in Berlin ver often.

Q Tould you say it was in the year 1941? 1942?

A Mo. No. No. No. No. That must have been in 19hl. The only year in question is 19hh, but I lout know exactly when in 19hh.

Q Let's have a look at a 'noment, Dector, which was presented here in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit Mo. 124 -

MR. H. T.Dr. - Which Your Honor will find in Document Book No. 3, which is Document No. 10-517 and is on the last page of Document Book Mr. 3. Now this states: "Notice." The subject: "Cooperation with the Hygiene Institute of the Waffer-SS.

"Ath reference to my letter of 9 Ame 1942 regarding vermin central, a morting took lace on 21 October 1942 with the participation of SS-Standartenfuchrar Dr. Trucowsky and SS-Unterstar Tuchrar Dr. Schadlau, Meisbook Strasse 13/14. Under discussion was the cooperation not only in the fiel of vereda control but also in the research aphero of Reacher, and with roward to the use of mastein water in cases of froming es well as in various operational fields of the Hygiene Institute. As had already been laid form in the interview with 59-Intersturninghror, Dr. Schafflau, on 5 Movember 1944, 18! Enterprise: rologge of the archeologist Hant.

"A further mostin took place then at the Rysione Institute of the Waffer-SS on 20 November 1912 in which CS-Standartenfuchrer Dr. Trunowsky, SS-Standarteniushrar Sievers, and lecturer Dr. May, took part."

A Yes.

171 H. 17: "Dry May cromised on that occasion to send in his research clan14 April 47-4-419-18-7-Putty (Int. Renier) Court No. 1

- Q Do you remember that meeting, Doctor?
- A Tes. I remember this mostling very well, only it is my opinion that it took place much later and not in the year of 1942. In effect there was a conference between Slovers, Nrugowsky, and me, at the Hypiano Institute of the Waffen-SS. My tasks were discussed at that time, that is, the combat against flies and against mosquitoes.

Mr. HARDY: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

DR. WHISCHER: Nouls you possit no to gut one question to the witness, Mr. President:

BY DR. WEISCHREER:

- In consection with the sea water experiment I should like to clarify the following point: When Sievers at that then told you that you would furnish a room at your institute temperarily, did you have the irression from Siever's remarks that he know the details about the planned experiments?
 - A Not Stevers Misself had no idea of these experiments.
- Q When a little leter chardeal experiments were carried out at your institute, were they just suchysee?
 - .. You, mire amalysom.
 - A Immeton of the egros word not a ployed?
- is No, of course not. There impn't any unger for that. There is no possibility for it. There were three or four gentlemen sitting at a table who were analyzing their substances.
- DR. MISCHRES: I mayo no further quantions to the Witness, Dr. Prosident.
- TR. HARDY: I have see question, Your Honors.
- 4 Year Stated Br. Stovers had no knowledge Contecever of those out better experi ont. How do you know that?
- A Diberwise, he probably would have told in what it was all count.
 - 13. HARDY: 12 Turbbur questions.

THE PRESIDENT: If there are no further question of the mitness,

The witness will be excused from the stepl.

IR. CHECKER: With the oper well of the high Tribunel I should by like to call the witness, Dr. Franz Borkesson.

Dr. FRESDET: The Morabal will call the Witness Dr. France .
Borbarage.

JUDGE SESHING: You will please held up your right hand and be sworn; Report after no:

I Swoar by God, the Almight and Comissiont, that I will speak the pure truth and will withhold and add nothing.

(The Witness reposted the onth)

You may be seated.

DIRECT EQUIPMENT ON

BY DR. WELSGERBER:

- Q. If you prefer to enumer in the English language, please do so. Your name is Front Berkenau?
 - .. Yos.
 - 2 You word born in Violen on 15 December 1900?
 - A Yos.
 - 2. In you now live at Harburg or the Lain?
 - in You.
 - 1 You hold a dagrout
 - A You.
 - 3 Want dogroo?
 - A In philosophy.
- Q Withous, you may give replies in English if you like. You now a lecturer at the Marburg University?
 - .. Tos.
 - 1 Would you planes describe your exteer very priofly?
- is I was born in Vienna, and went to school there. Then I went to the University in Vienna and at Leipzig, and graduated at Leipzig. Then I lived in Berlin a few years, and eccepted a research followship at Frankfurt University Institute of Social Research, which is now in New York, and while I had this followship I was working in Peris first and in Vienna then and then I was surprised by Hitler's shrout to I were in Vienna; so I just didn't see book; and I lidn't spend a day. In Newl Germany win any other a matry decimated by the Maris.

I went to London in 1982 and lived there first as a free lance political writer, published a number of books on political and sociological subjects and from 1938 amards I taught International Affairs as an adult aducation loctutor for London-Cambridge Universities at Staton. I took up wer work in the proper sense in 1943. Only until thou I was teaching international affairs. In 1943 I joined the SEC monitor morvice, and in 1944 I changed over to the previoen service of the OMI Germa policy department, and from there to the Apprican broadcasting station in Europe as a Coman Biltor. I was schoduled to go to Importoury at the end of 1966, and then that didn't eccie off owing to the quadatodt offensive, so I mly got to the continent at the end of July 1965 with the Allied Press Service at Luxurburg as an Allied or ployed accompanying the learners forces. I might state that I was born in Justria and an stateless now, so I worked in Luxerbourg first, still in grorious civilian uniform, and than wont to Bed Moutoir whore I helped build up the Press Agency cama, for a time had under no Foreign Affairs in the Dana, and then on the suggestion of the Jeorican University Officer Dr. Hartshorne, I took up a looturessip in social seience on history is Marburg which I hold at present. Of course, I had a sort of idea I would do that if possible when I loft England and retirald to the Continent.

Introduce, the defendant Colfres Stovers, when I am representing fore to relying in the fact in his defense that already prior to 1983 and then during the entire subsequent period of the national socialist regime he was a surface of the reciptance group headed by Dr. Hielspher. Her I have been attempting to give the High Tribugal the possibility to gain a picture of Dr. Hielspher's personality. Do you know Dr. Friedrick Hielspher?

14 April 47-1-FjC-19-4-Cook (Int., Remilor) Court I

- Q Whon did you rake his acqurintence?
- A I not Dr. Hielscher first in the spring of 1928. I was then still a Communist. I left the Communist Party a year after and I was a Communist member of the Students' Representation at Borlin University. We had inter-party students' debates and I not Dr. Hielscher there as a specker for the Right. Also we had a mall shoot from our Communist Students' group, and there ence, from reading Hielscher's "Vermarsch", we started debating briefs with him which led us to personal contect. That must have been, I should say, parkage February or March 1928.

The April - x + 20 - 1 - Karrow + (int. Recoil or) - GJ - Court 1

Q. During the later period did you get into any close contact with Priodrich Hielschur?

although I lort Earling the year after and that, of course, limited any contact with Fielscher to the periods when I was in Berlin, but that was an very frequent visits, and to for that, one year I was still living in Berlin, so all through that time I at into increasingly close contact with him. That, of course, was made still cosier when I left the Communist Party and at I was no I make in the Party dicipline and could say and talk what I like and see when I liked. So, all himself that time, wo talked to lumb the beautrany publicate, we lating and also none positively things, and I at there asked by interests in Himselfer because he was su utterly undipical, he as man or min from the right. First I all, he was just an interesting son, to chart with, but apart from that I started to wonder a reason of the points where our that as tuched and charge even met, despite the fact that we had come from extrem 3 appoints where it is also for extremely appoint where it is a continuous contractions of the political rainbow.

for it must your name, this testic my of the witness thus for it must be every a verificable for a 1929 to 1935. The charges here in the indictment include the years from 1959 to 1935 in the first instance; an see mily, I point to the Cocta name the proceeding to make this witness. One or secution between an the grands that the witness is marely to testicy as to the corsensity of Michaelman, and it appears that that is all he is dim to be in the testicy to think this testic my is irrelevant here. If he is dim to testicy to the fore white for a lity of the before not Sievers, he may a name, but this came of marine sign of a "the think is taken as the valuable time of the Tribunal correctly. I are not not a further request that the Tribunal correctly. I are not not a further request that the Tribunal sky if this mixtures and the of a not Sievers.

Dh. Fish Fil: r. resignt, may I shortly with my ottle that I that? a number of ritron place in I also by interest that Dr. E skenne will to exitness is the resistence activity of Dr. Histories on will testify in that rear . I believe that the Tribunal The april-A-GH-20-2-Karrew-(int. Annualer)"

Court 1

witnesses, Dr. Berkensu am. Dr. Topf, I hadeheard the same arguments as just new. At that time I defined my attitude and my attitude new is considerly the same If I am calling Dr. Hielscher as the principal witness for Dr. Sievers' activity in his resistance advancet, I cann t expect the High Tribunal to have a complete picture about Dr. Hielscher's activity in Germany. Now, in most tomable the Tribunal to main some ideture about Dr. Hielscher's already state, at an earlier date. I therefore ask that the same with measure to approved, which has already state. At an earlier date, I therefore ask that the same with measure to approved, which has already have one , and y a permit me to continue quantitation these with same.

Justi n of the presentation to the calling of these two witnesses was verrule on the product that it was the an eratabling of the Tribunal that their testimony would be ver un beyond that of testifying as to the presentative findlesser, and this man here is surely testifive in us to the personality of hields and the remistance movement and that is not in issue in this tribi.

If the witness heret fore was largely preliminary. The witness will be intitle to testify, within reasonable limit, to the fact that there was, no the Mar testifie to by the defendant dievers, a named resistance a venum in Jermany, in testify to

14 spril-a-CJ-20-3-Karrow (Int. Randor)

Court I

anything he knows if my thing, would the activities of the defen-

DR. VEISGEMENT: Mr. President, may I briefly add that the witness, Dr. Jordenau, as well as the witness, Dr. Popf, know as well
as nothing about Dr. Sievers' activity within the framework of the
resistance developt where Dr. Hielscher was active. But about Dr.
Hielscher.

and Phisiphili Very well, coansel. Very well, coansel. I when't sure whether the witness knew anythin in ht inch or not. The witness may testify in to the splateness of a newslatunes of a jonaine, wone fide resistance note in the for any paring the years testified to by the Defendant Slevers.

MR. HarDY: Your accor, may I interpose a question does to defense counsel that, immended as Dr. Topf, his mext witness to be called, will testify substantiably the same things so this witness in testifying to, the presention will be in a position to stipulate that if they small as affic wit by the vibness to so called.

Topf, emerging the a companie and the mistory of the resistance covariat, and undersuch a Popf has no knowledge count the defendent Sievers, the sec will attracte that we will not wish to cross-ununing Popf, if that so the case, and that will save consider old time. Your conor.

Ind PROSIDER: Comes I would not so required to cross extrino the witness if he didn't desire to do so.

at this time the friendl will to in recess ontil 9:30 tonorrow morning at valon time of masel and proceed with the examination of the witness.

15 April 47-16-PiC-1-1-Frator (Int., Revior)

Official transcript of the American Hilitory Tribunel in the entter of the United States of American enginest Merl Branit, et al., defendants, sitting at Muraberg, Germany, on 15 April 1967, 6936, Justice Boals presiding.

THE MERCHALL Parsons in the court room will please find their sents.

The Mondroble, the Judges of Militery Pribural I.

Militery Tribunal I is now in session. One save the United States of America and this bear rathe Tribunal.

There will be mier in the courtreen.

THE PRESIDENT: In. Perchal, will you ascortain that the decembrate are all prosect in the court.

THE MARGEAL: May it plants your Honors, all defendants are present in court with the exception of the defendant Rose who was excused by the Tribunel yesterday.

THE PRESENCE: The Socrothery-General will note for the record the presence of all the defendants in court save the Defendant Rose, who is excused in order to spend the day committing of the big counsel.

The Tribunal desires to announce that when a recess is taken to perform at 12:30 eleleck the Tribunal will not reconvene until ten visuates after 10:00 eleleck on Thursday morning. There will be no sension of the Tribunal to error afternoon.

Counsol my priceoi.

DR. FRUIT BONE STAN - RUST OF

MRET EX. IT (ATTO: (C. atimuod)

WY DR. 'EDSCERS' (C.unsol for the Defendant Stevers):

At the conclusion of yesteries afternoons described a briefly told us then you had Friedrich Minischer's acquaintence and for what reasons of metabliched a close context with him. In question is, did you closely realize Miolector's estituic towns the Unit was Spiclish at that the that was around 1980?

15 April 47-1,-FjC-1-E-Foster (Int., Ramber)

A I don't think Melacher at that time took the Maris very ceriously, as in fact few people did. I think he regarded Hitler an a nountebank, almost as a wort of a harlequin. His whole interest at that time was concentrated upon Italinas Fascism, and that was just one of the reasons why I got so interested in hin, that he was viciontly hostile to Italian Fascism in all its aspects. That, of course, was exceptional because practically everyboly of the right had at least some mild sympathy for impsolini, ani Hielselor male definite an exception on all grounds. First of all, I hast cay he was very such opposed to big buniness and so large landed property, and he regarded Italian Passian at at a out of these social forcor. Also he was opposed to the smole at openers, to he shale spirit of the thing. I resember ir I may just give one incident-I re-order on the evening when When the norm cand through of that mirroradio failure of that remillequent Morth Pole expedition of General Medile, and I and one or two of to right wore sitting topother with Rielscher and, I boliove, one of his friends sommuna-jorning a boor garden I don't remarker exactly-they were just expited about that fridare and about the blow it was to square profit profit or

Woll, From 1901 converts fruits of course started to become important, and so talked about it a few times—so not in 1931—and Silolsaber was detring some and more bitter about the prospect of that not at an about it pertent in Correny. Not there is one talk, in fact, the last time a mot—I set him again in 1945—the last time is an at—I set him again in 1945—the last time if of him prior is not the periors are not to beginning of Sumbi bur lade, surpain it was the ani of Amount I was with my friend located in its located and the located and the located are sufficiently in should say one of the reasons min inclinate contains and not contain as I have a like the last of the located are not in the last of the located are in just and one of any true of the located are as I know that I have only indirectly—the had guite a close contact with martin lobbs, a well-known

5897

15 March 47-9-FjC-1-7-Foster (Int., Ranler)

Zionist philosopher. I could give a number of other instances about that if that should be necessary.

Well, to come back to that talk in 1982. It was just towards the and of the Peren regime, and we discussed of course the prosepoets of that. He being very definite that that thing sould not
stand, that that thing would not last, that it had no basis. I
rem for that we were very eager to get details about his views.
He draw out of his supposed a list of the rembers of the Harron
club which was then the real power behind the scene. We saw that,
and he said screening Mke, "Mell, now look at that crowd", and,
"Do you think that sant last?" Mar, startles from that assumption,
he insisted that he was contain that in the very near inture now
intional Socialism would win. But and a door impression upon
to because I had taken in a public debate which went through
political periodicals and so on. I had taken a very definite
atom on the opposite side.

I was still convinced at that time, in September 1932, that Marion would not win, and at that time and in that talk we had a long argument about it. I don't remember the details. I suppose I said what I always said then, and I have already given his main argument; and then the talk turned to the methods to comment national socialism, and that point of the talk is the reason shy I offered myself to br. seisgerber as a without, because in that part of our calk, then, Hielscher seveloped precisely the methods which were, as I understand it now, carried out by Sievers. Of course, we were all very interested in prollems of under-round work on the Left as much as on the Right, in view of the possibility of a coming dictatoronip; and, in fact, there was at that time, and also later, a strong disserement between my friend Loosenthal and quelf; cocouse I at that time was still believing in a sort of an idea of a mans inder round resistance on quasi-decocratic lines, even under a distatorship; and the problem was wrother that was possible, whether under a totalitarion regime of the Italian type, which then was the set pattern still, 'ut, of course, under the assumption that a Nazi dictatorship in Germany would be even much more cruel and more thorough than (besching's Metatorship, whether under such conditions, some kind of premnized underground mass resistance would be the right principle, or enother for quite a leng time the main task would be to work from within the core of the Mazi machine. I remember that discussion particularly well, or I say, because that really was not only an arrahent between "Leischur and us the roys from the Left, but recense locatental as appelr had deleted that point - I don't know how many times; and now suddenly Miclacher without knowing it, lift our problem directly, so we get there, set sayin men or rually ampine very little, 'weres it was already an atmosphere there discussion under round techniques on a possibly mear nature one wouldn't may more than was necessary, and less Hielscher did not mention my name. In fact if he had I think we should have

been done with him, because that would have shown an atter lack of seriousness, but be pointed out one thing to us, which was highly interesting then, just as a piece of information. He pointed out the extreme importance of the SS which was still a relatively small body, after all until the Room Putsch of 1934, rether the Hochm massaure, it was the SA which was the most interesting to the public; but Michaeler pointed out he had contects - he proved to be well informed - he pointed out that the SA was not the important thing but that the SS was the real core, end in that connection be mentionet the importance of Rharler, and them providly, I don't remember, who known to de From an occasional notice in the papers, ist certainly was not the personality he grow to be later. Now Michaelor developed a toc-preneed idea; the only possible attack upon a compact totalitarian root - was working within the highest attainable atratum of that rowing, and he predicted the core of it would be sainly the SS; and thou he late outstay: "Hell I am pushing na many people as i end of hi n up of postdel. In the S5 machine." Of course, so with't linewes the details. I only remember - skeption! he I has wint the whole ensumption of a Wari Wictory - despite that exceptions, I remoder or faction of wavy, thinkings "mell; of course, if we on the left had those controls and could puch people up tent say, that would be a fine thing, but we haven't."

At the sense time I knot by brain reserve on both climts, the first on the question of a victory of indicated, second, on Highnemer's vices out the impossibility of everthrowing such a regime
by mean pressure. Now, once in Jenuary 1933, hitler once to power,
roll the case norment one much, and ofthin a part it was may be
use that most of these and morn - well, this would of cours to
a lone story, describing all the operations evaluate the
impossibility of developing any type of tase regime to a regime
of the Nazi type. Then, in retrespect, that talk with Hielscher

5900

assured onite different proportions in my mind.

- Now turing this very important conversation that you had with Mielscher, the details of which you so well remember, took place in the fell of 1932?
 - a It was my last holiday I spont in Lerlin.
- You were surprised at the very shorp attitude and the very precise method of speciation, the denser as Hielschur described it to you?
- " hell, if you meen to "Scherfe Einstellung" "sherp attibude", Hidlschor's northlity to Maxisa, that did not surprise me at all, after ensetted him four years, that me a matter of ocurse, and Shat we shouldn't have bolton considerablelly with him if we hadn't men sure of thet; but it made me think a lot at the time, and it impressed to doubly aftermards, but remotedy had said with such ourfeet ansurance that the point to some, and he was going to do, (mi swie his measures will in bivance: and I may god, that in the light of all dispenses of volens and revenue roups, which have cost the lives of several of a class friends, I grow increasingly improsed that that for a completerial technique, and completetorill technique secure ciontic propertions in the fight operate r dietytorani; and passes a membat hock proper political considerobjects in the discernic sense; and that I becomed that proceeding not a can had been willed of that organization, I shought that was rurlly in ammired per-case and medical or that an underground organi-Their mode ochieve, do thety if course, I Learned only then I Putarend to Girmon, but I do are on it mack - I do brees it back to this correct promonis to. 11 dy prop retion of numberos.
- Donton, what his you find the thout Hickory and his bedavities during your voluntary and reason, which you have in 19.39

15 april-N-JF-3-1-Gross (Int. Amler)

- a. I had of course contact with neople who had stayed in Geramny and then an underground workers, who could so longer continue, went out to London where I lived, in particular again. Dr. Lowentel, who of course knew about Hielscher. and when he came out for the last time, I believe in 1935, I asked him, "So, what about Hielscher?"

 Of course, not only about him but about notens of people, but also about Hielscher. "Oh. Hielscher continues not saying much locat what he down but maying a lot of what he thinks of Hitler and the matie".

 Then, after the ropes tightened news coursed. Only during the War we had a false runor that he had encaped to China, and that was the last. and I really thought wither no was dead or he was in Chunking; except that after 20th July, after the attempt on Hitler's life, a few of we who knew his once asked. "Well, if he is alive he has cortainly been in it." That, of course, was guest work. That was not exceed on any nows. Until I not him a min when I returned —
- Dector, after you returned to Germany, aid you find that your judgment, respectively views across, soout Hielecter was confirmed in any way?
- the office of the De a of Pailosephical Faculty at Aurburg. He had thinned so much, no had thypically decayed so that I didn't recognize him. Then when he bareased to mention him name we nearly fell into each other's arms out of ple sure that we were still alive and from that time one ate a raging over every implantate subject. Set, before I really decided to liev a personal intimely to develop, though I really had little doubt in my mind done the man, I took references, and I found out that the man the knew the most was professor weller in Marburg, theologies, and I really had but the the man the knew the most was professor weller in Marburg, theologies, and I really don't knew wester the technical head but the leading man of the The Sameta, wangelical church movement in form my. and heller is a Christian

Court I

pacifist and through the Confessional Church and through a Church paper he issued he carried out active resistance throughout the regime. Now Heller had a long tule wout afelscher, and the two main points were that he, Heller, had cooperated with Hielscher under the Paris since Hielscher was in Marburg, that he had helped Hielscher to go to Sweden and take up contacts with the allies, especially English contacts with Bishop of Chichester. That was one thing. So he just testified to the continued underground extivities of Hielscher, and accordly, he told me wout his arrost after 20th July and about Hielscher's repeated floguings twich explained to me his rolly rather and and frightening looks, and that, doubite this repented terrare had not denounced mybody. wow, if I may say only one thing about dickschor's development and his political opinions as I found them now: The point which created a continued shirp dianyroument between us has disappeared, that was the question of the Pruseian tradition. Hitlacher was has had a oder tion in a typical Prussian University featurnity was a very strong Prussian when we know one another before mitter. Under the experiences of Paridon Hickscher has become am extreme Federalist of the Pan suropean version maintained that he sees no use for any kind of Germany as a whole in a wider Birese an francourk but that the individual regions and Launders of Fernany should be directly integrated into some Pin Strope n organization so that not only Prussin but also Germany as a St to would be superfluous. That, of course, in his case is founded on very etrong views cout decentr ligation in gener 1. He a d always been an inversary of large towns and large industries. I don't follow him on that point and his view about political fedo-Tollyation manys together - belongs to his views mount industrial - dum runnic decentralisation. At any rate the political disc-Touriest which made us look at the mother offer. Althor as two follows was sould agree on many taking but not on cosentials, had

15 april-b-JP-3-3-Gross (Int. Halar) Court I partly vanished because he had louned under Masian what Prunsian traditions and authoritarianism meant in practice and in its effect. Q. Doctor, fiter you returned to Germany you saw it confirmed that Hickenor had taken up the fight against the S tional Socialist regime until the very and without going into any comprenient a. Yes, I have henred that. One final question. You got acquainted with Hielschor personally from the time before your imigration and from the time you returned to Germany. Is it now your pointon that talk man, this fighter gainst h tional Socialism, would at and up for person of whom he is not confined that he was working that very fight with the some definite attitude and was his follower in this fight gainst the Pational Socialist's regime? a. With respect to that may I may a work to what Hielscher told as about the do th of his father, was was, according to what to told be, on old Silesi in because, and who gave to his sen as his dieing wish, knowing moost Mislacher's underground work, that he should kill as many Sasts as he could get. Also I waswith a Rielscher out of a feeling of emme of the ignoring which Hitler and maximus have orought over Germany is filled with thirst, if I may may blood-thirsty, nutred of the Maris, and the very idea that so could try to shield my of these boys would some abourd to ne from all I could gather over the new minescent years of our acquaintunce. Of course, there was ----Q. Low, if Dr. aielector et mis up for myone person he would only choose someonedy who has followed his in his fight with full fuithfulness. a I am sure of tat. Q. Mr. Promident. I have no further questions. 5504

15 April-M-GJ-3-la-Gross(int. Runlum)-C urt 1

BY JUDGE ENELING:

Which y'u speak, merely a secret sevement which confined itself only or larvely to delate, to aral Hassasian, to perhaps secret desimination of literature and arguments against the regime, or was it an actual physical, organized movement with locars, arms, annualtion, and supplies prepared ander an arguments plan of attack to liquide the Nazi loaders and to take over the Geverment?

A I was never a member of that reministion, for reason of basic ; litical later news if a other.

Q Can you may now many possile sore members of this Hielsoher Resistance to venent?

A No. I couldn't. No such citils work over even in talks with outsiders, I am quite sure, I can answer on thing, they containly ind't issue publications.

Q Even secret ubli stime?

A That was just the point that those things could not reach anybody offentively an only help the "estape, to trace people

Q Can you say west happens to the Hielscher a venent ofter Hitler case to power?

which I haven't answer completely, if I may so a normine the question wether he had a strict or mixed or up, I think his circle of frien's was fairly consect to be the finals and they breaked to ather, and from all I could ather from his who tails, from talks of a number of his frien's whom I km w now, from his or's accounts, they had he, of a curse, was the man we run the thing. To had a number of leavers and he had a strictly organized or u. Also, I assume the gradien of scapens to not arise whom y a work in the SS - access to work as was not the railing, and it would have been from the rail, from the left. That is was to again the figure and in a quite a nature ', because on the aftery the difficulty was that y u called all a rails a real residues from

15 .: ril-1:-GJ-3-2s-Gross-(int.Romlur)-Curt 1 among your la who ware persuaded and the task was not persuasion but action-overthrow of the recip. 2 An' you say, however that you know nothing that was actu ally in in a physical may to overthrow the recine? You say however, that of your own knowledge you know nothin that was setually "one to overthrow the regime - I am telking about in a ractical physical way either action or proparations, as listinguished from emotions, or feeling, or ichaton, or liscussions about the matter? a Here I can only ensuer from what I hear! since my return but I not have myself, in what Hielscher tel he hat in what Heiler told me were in a different pind a me he soid, Hielscher had positivoly of re on him freetly on the questi a of killin Hitler. . Let us assume that a man ohe espouse, the principles of this resistance neverant crult work bieself into the him circles of the Nami G-vorament for the juryese, let us assume, of securing vital information. hat information could be impart to the lowers of this so-called registance movement that could be used by the resistance movement to practical elements a in actually, physically worthrowing and replacing 115 200 0002 A I think if such a man were sufficiently high in the ranks of the magina vement he could import every kind of necessary information on nothin well times on. all conceives could be done with such inf ro ti n. Q But the expanients a of the resistance sevenont, as you actuallyknow it from your own knowledge, let us assume, that there was within the high ranks of the debreacht, the G vermenter the ES, a man who has accessability to all information, shot could this highspher Hesistance Howmont had lone with it in usin it to ratical a wantage in notually brin in about a reas ashly quick liqui ati n of Maxi les ers r wertherw of the team winter Mari re inc. a Starting for a the assumption that the immediate alm was killin- Hitler ... 5905

Q Starting from the hundling escurption that the immediate alm was to everthrow the government and to replace the government with some type of government that the group felt was more acceptable for the Germa people.

A The first step of such an everthrow would be the killing of Himmler and Hitler. Then you would need a men, high up in the ranks, for information on the political and on the tochnical side. On the tochnical side you would have to have a man who really know something about the movements of Himmler and Hitler, about the way they were protected, about the people who would have to eliminated or who were to have been qualcolinte the proposes of the men to have been killed; about potential friends of analess of such an enterprise; and, I should say, almost even here inportant—killing is applying if it is not politically well times, and in order to time it well you had to have a clear idea of the whole political and dilitary situation.

And also you have to be able, upon the death of the vistin,
to some the roins of government and to establish a well organized
government in accordance with your processes and principles, is that

A I think so... I think so. I think the question of the fermation of a government, as for as I can getter now, eiter my return, was not the price descent of Hielscher, because it was in different hands. There was the Secretar continuation which had a government more or loss roady but with which Hielscher disagreed because to was opposed to big business and large scale landed property and regarded that government as a government which would be largely dependent on those groups.

- 2 How long have you known the defendant Sievers?
- A I did not know Sievers at all.
- Q I 500.

A Nor was any news montlessed to me except the news of Plans, one of Hislander's friends, when I mentioned repeatedly together with him. As I said already, I should not have taken him seriously if he had divulged news and numbers.

C Than you are not in a position to know, of your own knowledge, that Blovers was an active implay or this resistance movement?

A No, I would not tostify to that.

redressing council for Defendent Slovers.

DR. WHIS PER R: I have no further quastions, Your Honor.

THE PRESUMET: Are there may questions on the pert of defense contect of this vitness. There being none, the Prospection may cross-examine.

19. HARDY: The Prosecution has no questions, Your Honor.

THE PRESIDE T: The witness may be excused from the stand.

(ifitness Borkengu logyes the stood.)

DR. WELDERS I how onk the might Tribunel to popult me to call the witness, br. Topf.

THE RESIDE T: The Persial will switch the witcon Brade Topf.

MENTAL TOPP, a witness, took the stend and testified as follows: BY JUDGE STARING:

You will raise your right hand and take the oath, receating after to:

I swear by God, the Alrighty and Ominaciont, that I will wroak the pure truth and will withheld and add nothing.

(The witness reported the outh.)

You may be peated.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY DE. WEISGERER:

- o witness, your mare is Dr. Erwin Topf?
- A You.
- Q You were born 22 December 1898, ut Meiningon?
 - A Yos.
- Q Your present residence is Henburg-Volksdorf, and your profession in eliter?
 - A You.
- Q From 1920 to 1936 you ward the editor of the Barliner Tagoblatty
 - A Yan.
 - a For what reason did leave that newspaper?
- A Work in the prosent of the 1933 had been a increasingly unbloadent from a subjective point of view did not bring no any gain. Svery expression of free epinion and very possibility of voicing even an indirect critique was prevented to an increasing extent. It seemed senseless to remain in a position which, after 1955, was considered by no to be important and incortant for the future. In the case of the Serliner Tagoblett in particular the denger existed that this newspaper would be changed into a Equipment Socialist shoot or that it would be stopped entirely. As a nature of fact, shortly after my distarture, the chief editor, Paul Sangarrar, who amboyed no and who supported no in every way, was distinced from his position and was later sout to America as a foreign correspondent. A year later the entire publication coased.
- I Tituse, as I ask you bein'll about your political attitude?

 I must the political attitude which you held before and ofter the

 year 1953;

Socialism and in the year of 1925, standing strongly under the impression of the surder of Batherau, went over to the social desceratic party. I had a few friends at Harburg who persuaded no to do that. I will dention their manus; they were Egon Wortheiser, Frankholen the is not a resident of the United States, a professor there, Habback, and increaser also belonged to his direle. I did not one into the foreground in the Social emborratic Party but abortly before toland to the Social emboratic Party but persuade in the Social emborratic Party but persuade a security to a social emborrate fraction of the Social personatic Party to a security assists to being a content party, caused to difficulty a mornion by work in the Social party, caused to difficulty a mornion by work in the Social party, caused to difficulty a mornion by work in the Social party, caused to difficulty a mornion by work in the Social party, caused to difficulty a mornion by work in the Social party, caused to difficulty a mornion by work in the Social party.

q dibiods, I take to interrupt you. If you said "porty" before

A Yes, their exactly what I won't. There were no difficulties for so to collaborate with the Coltaborate.

3 Witness, the 114 you with Printrick Biolocher's acquaintance?

A I mus Miolehor in 1988, through the estation of a friend, br. Salingar, the studied with me.

of that did you got to know about his nativity and his political attitude before an after the year of 1950?

A Sororo 1527 he bee to be considered as a Lin of the political Rights. Es constituted a particular type of the Rightist conservative estimate, which were completely now to us. Es indicartain acciming treads without bringle, accur a unit a broncer till Prescipe system and Socialism as it is too in the dense of Cowald Spangler.

q witness, kee Tribural is anima interested in Entering Whather Hielacour at that the already but has an appeal attitude towards the ISALF?

15 April 47-41-FjC-5-5-Lossor (Int., Ruller)

A puring the first years of our acquaintanceship, the NEDAP played no particular role imatecover. The NEDAP was considered as a harmless shoot of Fascism. I and my friends were interested in seeing Biolecher as a clear and definite opponent of Fascism. When Hitler and his party grow and gradually developed into a danger, it been a very apparent that he was a very sharp and definite opponent of that invariant. He rejected it very definitely but was also convinced about its danger, and it a very early time realised the danger which it represented.

- Q During the this aft r 1988 did you regularly most Hielschor?
- A If I remarker expressly, Higherbor lost Berlin shortly after 1933 and went to Leiningen, to my home town. I occasionally not him there occasionally showever I visited my parents. At any rate I took every opportunity to areak to bin and to exchange coinions with him. I notice ated him and his just out highly.
- Q Main't there a ery important conversation between you and Hielscher in the year of 19889
 - A I really to not know to make you are referring.
- Q fild got Micharar at my the call you that he had received a research musignment by the Abnormator

A Yes, he tell to that. However, I do not believe that that must he flowers 1938; as far as I receiver, that only ecoured after the outrook of the wer. I my be intuined - I as not absolutely coptain about the date. Then I bears as not that research configurant it represented a severe plack to the at first. I was convinced that everyone who was a definit expense of the Mitter region should know away area may close contact with ray of Mitter's reministives. Justing that convergention, however, Michaeler convinced to that he was ignitables his proper inner attitude and that it was only for reasons of expediency that he tend for this research easies out, in order to continue his work in a securiosed and that I reminestics.

15 April 47-1-FjS-5-6-Londor (Ith., Secrior)

Q Vitness, did Milmohor and any utterances to you with reference to other resistance groups with which he collaborated?

A "fall, we are now surrise to's later period of them and are
now referring to the years of the war, where I vicited him at every
expertunity in marlin. During these conversations I found out that
he has a very extensive moveledge of what a as join on in the
undergrand coverent, which we did not designate that the one at that
the expect, the back, literarises, not the circle of telebroin,
little, teak, noundher, vis. the "presenter" circle in that was
unclude 1941. Of course I ill not tell Histories for I was in close
combact with these course, because I was not authorized by a Triends
to discuss these artitles "it appeared one, but I concluded from
conversations I had it! Nielector that he had an appearing to
knowledge of his grant and that beyond that he must have had a
very close contact with after circles which I then did not know
about and of those orietowas I only not to know after the folth
I July, "he they ware mornelly known.

Q Titters, you and ordinant the error of Kraders aircle before | west, had to this commention of a introduct a number of manes.

These sore terroralities on player a considerable part in the resistance may not the time?

The limb of an input another to an input really the residence of the formation of the formation and th

15 April 47-M-FjC-5-7-Loscor (Int., Revilor) Court I

Frankfurtor Zoitum; and also belonged to the circle of Lousehner, and beyond that we corrected with the people of ...

Sourt I 15 Apr 17-1 - 6-1 - 1/4 - Saley - (Int Account)

- Q. Are you specific bout the same Braissy circle?
- a. No. there were further people involved. Yes, Goerleler, inver of thispie, that was the person I was looking for. He was intended to be the letter of the NTH office at that time.
- DR. MISSESSER: And in that connection I may draw the attention of the Tribural to the Discount Sievers 15, which I have already debutted, where the met i portant wembers of the Kreisau circle are listed. This is Document Book 2, to be found on page 34. It is the second before the last page of that Josephint book, where one can see the manes, York, Johns, Marennerf, Haubsch and malebrain, which are contioned by the vitness.
- to your a deturn of this Sermin Modistance Measuret. One thing is noticeable, next an entre release reticeance was maintained when votains may make which belonded to that movement. The Mational One could retire think to be a completely against the Mational Socialist retire would have to the bean part of a broad basis, and that of momentary would have involved test everyone who was a member of that reversest would be designed the name of a large machin of other members of their reversest would be designed by question is, one such a retirence was displayed, in security he were those individual crops or many within the entire resistance movement of Germany?
- A. The testion of the proof of the proof of the really was a metter of course. Increme of the proof of anyone knowing too much. One may to consider that it as well in we happened that any member could have been force, to bestify not the continual socialist around that po, exerging of us as well properly the major a used Outing such interrolation. Note of us could be such if his cell to the extent that mean a coordinates us., or well us challed a use were used such as the "truth" 'rug, of mich existence I gained knowledge in

Court I

15 Apr 17-31 - 5-2 - 103 - Maloy - (Int. Escaler)

the defentage fire process, one could not be sure that at such a moment one would not break down physically and psychiologically and testify to masters which would incriminate a number of people and couse their months. As for the second part of your question -
2. In this connection I ment to ask you another question. Is this also the reason why Hielscher mentioned no names towards you of the perbors of his resistance circle?

1. Destainty. It was a satter of course for me not to ask him

A. Dertainly. It was a matter of course for me not to ask him for any names. Just as little as I asked Reichwein to give me any names. Purhaps I may mention an example, at that time he mentioned the mane Stelser, and the poment I heard Stelser, whom I had already known for a long time, I bais when he is with you I can work with you too.

the most important members of the group of Kreisau are mentioned, and the name of Theodor Stelzer is sentioned, who was Important of Schleswig-Holstein up until 1933; is that the same one?

A. Yes. Es is at present president of the County of Schleswig-Holstein.

When the course of your journalistic activity you gained knowledge of the activity of the various parties in Germany; when't it an appoints accountly, prerequisite, to keep the names of the party members secret in the case of accountions who were in opposition to the provailing system of government; very often only first names or micknames were known?

A. That may be. I really didn't reel our activity as constituting a conspiracy, but I felt at that it was a natural thing for those people was opposed intional Socialism to get together, who had decided to be achiest it at personal risk and to see to it that simultaneously with the collapse of the regime the war would ond.

Q. Witness, do you know anything about Hielscher after the 20th of July 19hh?

15 Apr 17-0 - 6-3 - 100 - Maloy - Romaler

A. When we heard the naws of the event on the 21st of July in the corning I naturally had reason to feel that Rielscher would be incriminated in that connection. I endeavored to gain certainty about it, and I therefore wrote to his sister, who was mirried and residing at Frankfurt on the Main, and whose address I got from him when visiting him on an earlier date. I dign't get any news about that until shortly before Christens 1960, and then I suddenly received a postal card from Hisischer wacre he wrote that he had embarked on a journey which had Insted three months, and that he had now returned. This came it clear to no midbout having arranged that as a code, that he had been under arrest for three contre, and had now been released. I took the must opportunity to apost to him, which occurred around the middle of January when passing through Berlin, riding from the East Front to the leatern Front. I had a long and very impressive convergation with him on which occasion in described to me how his arrest had come about after he had visited Stauffenberg at his flat in Basburg. 1 also know Stauffenberg coforchand. He related to me what methods were used when he was interrogated. There was physical ad streetment and his face was beaten. A strong moral prosoure was exercised on him. He told so that he very soon reclized tout they didn't know very much about his activity, so that he once more gained courage to deny everything. Se further said that his friends, who wore within the party in SS orgunization, man sent his affarention into the prison which confirmed him in his attitude, and in his desision to deny everything.

Pl. ElSGEBalt Dr. Promident, I would suggest you take a recess. I shall require approximately ten more minutes for quantioning my vitaess.

THE PRESIDENT: Councel, and I understand you to say that Document Sievers 51 had been offered in evidence?

DR. EISOERER: Document Sievers 52 I plready submitted as Sievers Exhibit No. 15. Court I

15 Apr 47-4 - 6-4 - 133 -431oy - (Int. Rammler

THE PRESIDENT: I misunderstood you. I thought you referred to
Document 51, which has not yet been offered in evidence. The Tribunal
will recess for a few minutes.

(Theroupon a recess was taken.)

15 Lord 17-M-S'-5-1- copy Into the Solven Sourt Mr. 1

It is select the advantable win in section.

- ... Post r, I is a larger matter on the ive the Prittment to bring state of the total of the total of the North Mediatines "The orthogonal Company Superior of the New York Conteness?"
- . . clust cours of the times which have been built 1 par a W - 1 main of the r. red wiel finelly lod to the median of the LOSE of July, extrest to maked not oven ted & Tick it for my. I I trad - ro t had short the wests Draw the both to Schill bron orf. Dwarmon of the win was made and the on all the district of the tind 'tologied in is if the bearing or the Tologies and - 10 . v ry a: lis octor. It is to unified . www.cont. It consists for a marker of pacific will red ground earth in well-to present co. It is a their united i, a to period in it also, for selecting to so the print of the two bring or rection, in lector in the calle, and at the caller side the on it and appearant of entermain and the rule w circle. It the this running of mecentity wild by re t load of of iboration. Personalized from the rut of a part of the treatment and the restore the wie SD in 82 to be a correct man red treat.

I be desired of both or to the late of the most of miled in the first of the first

15 April 47-Y-S'-8-2-Pusty-Int: Win Selectin Court No. 1

no remit of the de im.

- there wasnot question of any rass propagands because any attempt at rass propagands would have immediately betrayed the secret. There was no possibility under the laws of War to appeal to the masses in any way. No organization over all these small groups, which would have organized them existed. There were simply a number of groups which somehow had contact in many cases only from the outside, not from the center. The hope of all these small groups was that at some constant military point a power would be founded which would dispose of military forces at the decisive rement to be able to paralyze the SS.
- 2 Did Malacher ever tell you that members of his sircle proposed may attacks on Miller or History
- A Miclocher, at a time it must have been between the fall of 1/h2.— No, I am sorry I have to think for a minute the fall of 1/h2 and the fall of 1/h2 before Stalingrad reported that an attack was actually being prepared which was to be carried out by a group of officers, and be emphasized that Himsler and Mitler would have to be attacked at the sum time, but that in his opinion it was even more important, if one had to choose between the two, to externishe the was sho was the exceptive, that is, Himsler, Declare without Mitler Himsler would be quite expande of carrying on the regime but Fitler would not be able to continue without Mitler House
- I and did Malacher tell you that within his circle web.
- A from what he told no I could not tell whether the execution proup which masproparing those plans was under him or shether he had namely obtained knowledge of these plans through friends. I did not tak him this herming it dishit seem expedient to me to know too much when a tiding in which I could not participate lineatly.
- As far as you know was there now includes list aroun up for the people to be appointed to the Government after the overthrow?

A Yes, that is true and I should especially like to emphasize there wasnot question of any mass propagands because any attempt at mass propagands would have immediately betrayed the secret.

There was no possibility under the laws of War to appeal to the masses in any way. No organization over all these small groups, which would have organized them existed. There were simply a number of groups which somehow had contact in many cases only from the outside, not from the center. The hope of all these small groups was that at some central military point a power would be founded which would dispose of military forces at the decisive moment to be able to paralyze the SS.

Q Did Melscher over tell you that members of his circle propered may attacks on Mitter or Himmler?

A Hickorder, at a time - it must have been between the fall of 1962.— No, I am sorry I have to think for a minute — the fall of 1962 and the fall of 1963 — before Stalingrad — reported that an attack was actually being prepared which was to be carried out by a group of officers, and he emphasized that Himsler and Hitler would have to be attacked at the same time, but that in his opinion it was even sore important, if one had to choose between the two, to exterminate the man who was the executive, that is, Himsler, iscouse without Hitler Himsler would be quite capable of carrying on the regime but Hitler would not be able to continue mithout Himsler's executive power.

Q And hid Higherter tell you that within his circle with plans were being rais?

A From what he told me I could not tell whether the specific group which wasproparing those plans measurable him or whether he had merely obtained knowledge of these plans through friends. I did not all this because it didn't seem expedient to me to know too much about a which in which I could not permissipate directly.

Q As far so you know was there any definite list drawn up for the people to be appointed to the Government after the overthrow?

15 April 17-15-ATD-9-2-Gross (Int. von Schoen) Court No. 1

A it that time I did not know that anyone had prepared a prospective list of government officials. Later I learned that people in Goerdeler's groups had worked this matter out in particular detail; but from my own knowledge at that time I can only say that hr, Pielscher once asked se how I felt about the question of who wasto be appointed to the position of Reich Food and Agricultural Ministry. That was a question which interested me particularly because in my journalistic work I had dealt especially with agricultural matters, and I had written a book on the subject in the Howohit Fublishing House width ous barned in 1933. Hickshor asked mu, "but do you think, a group is considering appointing Schlange-Schoolinger Minister for Food and Agriculture." That is not the right word - appoint - but we are considering him for the position. Tuntt that a wrone choice, isn't this man a representative of big agrarian interests, would be be able to mark in our spirit?" And we discussed this question. I cannot recall discussing any other posts and who was to be appointed to thur.

Q In it and if normano working in a subordinate position in some resistance group know nothing about positions to be appointed to cortain conditions after the overthrow?

in It was a matter of course that the names of the conscirators and persons who might be called upon later were not mertioned. That was the gractice in this work.

ing over about 17 years you are convinced that Hielscher and in-

15 april-M-JP-10-1-Knerow (Int. von Schoon)
Court I
7. On the basis of your showledge & inec

7. On the basis of your showledge gained during all these years, wour knowledge of hielscher's personality, do you believe that he would note scheene if he were not convinced that this person was absolutely opposed to mational Socialism?

would not take the mort of any one of whose integrity he was not convinced, and the concept of integrity in his evinion includes a definite rejection of everything belonging to the Hitler regime. I wight and that I remember a conversation when he told me that one of his former friends who was not in the closur circle of his group had told his that it as had to make beade with a tional socialism, and how indigment Hielschur was that some one occame a descrit, some one when he had antired and respected, and this can had occase an object of detestation became he said that one had to make be not with a timed became he said that one had to make be not with a timed became he said that one had to make be not with a timed Socialism.

IR. SISGLE R: Mr. President, I have no further questions.
BY JUNGL SEARING:

l. Doctor, prior to the end of the war, did you know what the Manuscribe Society was?

a. I don't believe I understood the question completely. I hourd "Did you know what the abnoncerop was before the end of the war?"

Is that right?

Q. ... that is not right. Do you know what the absoncroe Society

a. I heard of it marmins in 1938 for the first time, and the only concept that I aid of it was _ central etivity of the S5 for scientific and intellectual matters, in attent to monopolize such work for the SS. In my minion, it corresponded with what the name so s, principly presistoric research and the history of the German member, and that was more or less in accord with what hielselver told-no monot the research assignment which had seen given.

15 april-n-JP-10-2-Karrow (Int. You schoom) Vourt I Q. Do you know unything worst a or non or institute within the character which concerned itself with military scientific reseurch? as no, I heard of that only when this trial had begun, 3. You know nothing then about the first which the prospection insists existed in connection with that military scientific research lastitute? That is to say, that it was used for the purpose of medie I emerimentation upon non-German-national concentration curp immates? A. Lo. I le roed of these tollage only when the trial had begun. I. I believe that during the course of your interrogation by Dr. hotegorper you made some mention of the fact that the main point of the various resistance novements was to paralyze the SS and its functions. Did I understand you correctly? a. The oreparation for such an action was actually the central would on which everything depended to be sole to overthrow the Mational soci liet regino. 2. Were those activities directed . Anst the SS in s stimular? a. The executive extremeth of the national Socialist regime rested essentially in the mon of the SS was had the important key contitions, who atended of womens. Inc Sa was unimportant, expestally during the war, and in the case of the administ one could expect that 1 r . parts of it very quickly would be willing to poopurate. Q. I have no further quostions. BE PRESIDED: Any question of this Witness on the part of any defined counsel? There being mone, the prosecution may cross exemine, CROSS EXALL ALICE BY BE HANDY:]. Withous, wore you I honder of the movement known as the 6923

15 xoril-k-JF-10-3-Knrrow (Int. von Schoon)
Court I

Elolschur Movement?

a. The sevement was not known under that name. One can only subsequently speak about the existence of such a group. At the time I know only that he had a circle of friends with when he exchanged information and when he was keeping ready for later use.

2. We further questions, Your Honor.

The witness may be excused from the stand.

DR. WEISGERER: Nr. President, before I call the witness
Hielschur himself I should like to submit a few more documents to
the Tribunal. These are documents which deal with dielschur and his
metivity, and I consider it expedient at the present time to offer
these documents.

The Problems: I understood you to say that these are documents which does with Hitlor and his activities. Is that correct?

DR. WEISGERBER: Hielscher, the witness who is to be called -

I offer Document Sievers 32 as Sievers amoibit 23 on page 80. This is document book I on place 80. This is a certificate of the Ma or of Marburg, where Mislocher lives. This is a brief comment on his resistance activity. I merely wish to point out that at the old of this statement there is a reference to Dr. Borkenou, the witness who was examined today, and Professor Friedrich Heiler when Dr. Borkenous mentioned.

The next document which I offer is Sievers #33, as Sievers while 24. It is on page 82 in Document Book 1. This is an efficient by Theodor Steltzer new Prine hi ister of the Land of Schleawig-helstein. This is the same Theodor Steltzer when the witness Dr. Topf mentioned. Steltzer was himself belonged to the Aroises circle specks about hielschor's ctivity within the framework of the entire resistance movement.

15 april-M-JF-10-4-Kurrow (Int. won Schoen)
Court I

The next document which I wish to offer is Sievers 34 which because Emilit 25. This is in Document Book 1, page 84 and 85. This is an affidavit by the attorney, Frank Liedig, concerning the attivity of Friedrich Hielscher. This is the same Liedig who was mentioned in Sievers exhibit # 12 which I already offered earlier who testified there about his contact with Sievers.

15 April 17-M-S'-11-1-Lessor-Int: You Schoon Court No. 1

The next decement is Sievers 36, which I offer as
Entitle Sievers 26. This is in Document Seek 1, page
80. This is in officerit of Dr. Lethar Hischke, about
Hielscher's work in the mosistance movement. The same
subject is discussed in Document Sievers 37 which I
offer as Sievers Exhibit 27. This is on page 89-90.
Document 36 ... just a minute, excuse no ... Document
Sievers 26 on page 89-80, that is Exhibit Sievers 26.
The next document is Sievers 37 on pages 91 and 92 of
Document Seek I, which I cofor as Exhibit 27.

Then I offer the officient of the university professor, Max 3 lfes, as Slovers Exhibit 28, Deciment 38. This also doubt with Kielzeler's work in the resistance mevement.

As the last decement in this connection I offer the affidavit of the university professor, Dr. Triedrich Beiler. This is Decement Mo. 39, which I offer as Slavers Exhibit 29, Decement Seek I, page 98 and fell-wing. This is the same Dr. Priodrich Beiler when the witness, Dr. Berkeneu, mentioned reportedly teday.

I believe it will be unnecessary to read all those decoments. I am convinced that the Pribural will take notice of the contents.

And now, with the approved of the Tribunel, I went to call the witness, Pricarich Michelor, to the stend.

The Part Delta: The Part 1 will served the witness,

SMITDIFFE "ITLEGITA, a wigness, took the stand and testified as follows:

TE JOBGE SEERLING:

Friedrich Hiels lor.

The witness will prise but whith and and be evern. I swear by God, the what plate and Craissient, that I will speak the pure truth and will withheld and add nothing.

15 worll 47-M-SW-11-2-Lessor-Int: Von Schron Court No. I

(The witness reported the cath.)
Judge SEBRING: You may be sented.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY LA. BISGERBER:

- C. Ditnoss, your name is Fricarich Eiglschor?
- ... Friedrich Lielscher.
- no new living in Marbury, that is right?
 - A. Yos.
 - C. Mr t is your profossion?
- A. I am a perclar.
- ". I o subjects do you study?
- ... Elstory, philosophy, law, folklore.
- C. and nine, when know you taken an active part in
 - 4. Sinca 1927.
 - Q. Did you belong to . definite political idealogy?
- my historical and philosophical theories and idens.
- 9. How did it happen that you became an appearant of the MSOAP so carry?
- personal inferiority of the Matienal Socialist le dors.

 I could observe that they were constantly lying and that what they really wrated was uncertable.
- To Did you believe, as early as 1988, that the MSDAP would come to power?
- A. No, not in 1928. In 1950, after the first election bettle at which the party was victorious, I considered it massible. In 1951 I considered it probable. In 1932 I felt that it was cortain.

April 15-M-11-la-HD-Lesser-vonSchoen. Q. Did you join any definite political party with the intention of comb tting the NoDAP? A. No. I considered it impossible for any of the 33 German porties, with their bureaucratic methods, to be able to prevent a fascist dictatorsnip, or if it had come into existence, to overtarow it. 4. What methods did you thing were the right ones? A. The facciet dictatorship is a mass machine in a tecanical age. Therefore it seems to as to be out of the question, when confronting such a moss body, to act openly. It assess impossible to carry out propaganda publicly. We were convinced that the only thin, possible was to form very smell caures which would not be recognizable to an outsider and which at the proper time could be imployed for a coupdictat, that is, for an armed overthrow. . Then that was more or less the method of the Trojan norse? A. You. . Yore you, in your ideas and in your erforts to combet tale povement, were you alone or did you have associates? A. First a selected group of my students were willing to collaborate in this illegal work; second, I knew quite a number of personages of various political backgrounds with whom I agreed that this regime would not lest. w. That was before 1933? A. That was around 1935--1932/33. 6. Now come the 30th of January 1933, the so-called seizure of power, and now your real work begen. How and whom did you apply your method of the Trojan horse? A. This who so of my etudents, who were willing to collacorate, I made into an illegal organization, with dues, 5928

Court I April 15-M-11-la-HD-Lesser-vonSchoen. Q. Did you join any definite political party with the intention of comb tring the NaDAP? A. No. I considered it impossible for any of the 33 German parties, with their bureaucratio methods, to be able to prevent a fascist dictatorsnip, or if it had come into existence, to overtarow it. . What methods did you thing were the right ones? A. The fascist dictatorship is a mass machine in a technical age. Therefore it seemen to us to be out of the quartion, when confronting such a mass body, to act openly. It seemed impossible to carry out propaganda publicly. We were convinced that the only thing possible was to form very smell course which would not be recognizable to an outsider and which at the proper time could be imployed for a coupdiotet, that is, for an armed overthrow. . Then that was more or less the method of the Trojan HOPEG? A. Yes. w. Were you, in your ideas and in your elforts to combet this government, were you slone or did you have associates? A. First a selected group of my students were willing to colleborate in this illegel work; second, I knew quite a number of personages of various relitical backgrounds with whom I agreed that this regime would not leat. w. That was before 1833? A. That was around 1933-1932/33. G. Now of me the 30th of January 1933, the so-called selzure of power, and now your real work began. How and When did you apply your nethod of the Trojan Horse? A. This group of an etadents, who were willing to collaborate, I made into an illegal organization, with dues, 5928

Court I April 15-M-11-2g-HD-Lesser-vondencen. secrecy, and other necessary conditions, and I appointed people was were willing and suitable to get into important party positions. Sievers?

4. When and how did you meet the Defendant, Wolfram

A. As fer as I can recall, I met blevers about 1929, at one or my historical-philosophical lecture trips. He was a boy scout at that time. He spoke up during the discussion and we took a lising to each other.

4. Did slevers show at that the that he was opposed to the Madae?

A. That was a matter of course with the people with whom I had anything to do at all.

. And did you consider his suitable to work in your circle?

A. Y00.

Q. In 1929 Stevers joined the Shoar. Was that done with your knowledge?

A. Yes.

4. Did you advise him to do so or now did it come about? There and to be some special reason, since you were both opponents of this political party.

A. That was the first time, aside from 1923, when the NoDAP was talked about, and it was useful to know what was going on in this growing machine -- were there any people of good will within the michine, what were the leaders doing, what plans were eing made, what organization was being set up.

- . Data first of :11 you wanted to find out what intentions the
- You, and specifically in the youth work, because that had to
- on, in 1931 Slovers resigned from the MSDIP again; did he do
- A. You, one might my. We discussed it, and I considered it the thing to do.
- . How, way should he suddonly leave the Party since he had been ment into the Party with the definite purpose of getting information?
- . To had found out what he was to find out, the nature and the make-up, aspecially of the youth organization. It was just as inferior as we had simught, and even at that time it was so corrupt that without any further plan - end we had no lan at the time - without may further of a it was not accessary to have his continue.
- S. Now, in the year 1933, and Stevers, as the Fribunal h a miready seed told, again joined the WERLP: was this also done on your behalf?
- i. Tus, at that time we were already a tenranguly organized or while tion. We were the dy asking for volunteers, who were willing and the were capable of working up in the sense of the Projen Horse. Slovers seemed suitable, of he was willied.
 - to "one you able to got his any position within the Party?
- 1. Ma, I was not able to nelp him to obtain any position, and in the second place I h i me intention of tulling the individual perour tripp I trusted, in detail, what they were to do.
- 3. Mon it was up to the shill of the individual to get into a position fr p which he would be able to carry at the estigment which you give him?

15 pr-1-0 9-12-2-Malor (Ton Schoen) court . 1.

- A. You.
- . nd how did Sievers obtain tale position?
- A. He got into this with Hermann Wirth in the hannerbe.
- 3. Und was Hermann Wirth?
- ... Forment Wirth was a rather crasy student of pre-history, was has excellent material and terrible concepts.
 - . Was Wirth already in contact with the immoreres at that time?
 - is for as I know he was one of the founders.
- . Then as you may Slovers got in contact with wirth, and through Wirth he got into the Chnonorbel
 - i. You. He was there from 1935 on as Reich Susiness Manager.
- tow, did you give Sievers any specific assignment in the spirit of your povement?
- and about as it was clear that there was a possibility of exploiting Finnler's racial remanding and half education, the assignment developed to gain Himshor's confidence with the aid of

15 April 1927 -19-12-18-PP- Laloy (Inc. von Schoon) Court No. 1

the Amenerba, and to get as close to him as possible, we, that is my croup, were among the seconds who very early recognized the special personal danger of Himmler, and in the second place from the beginning we had been determined that one day we would have to everthrow the Party regime by force, and for that purpose one has to get as close as easible to the most dengarous man.

the first belonged to the MSDAP, you said he was to get information about the intentions of the youth movement of the MSDAP?

A. This time, of course, he had to get as many details as he could from the office of the heigher webrer-SS, and transmit them to us. We had to eratect weeple. We had to build up campfloone positions. We had to help the other couple and in turn to remain unrecognized.

6. And now the Sievers corry out these duties?

A. Well, it will be best of I be in with myself. I myself was known and considered undesirable by the Party Lancership.

Q. You mean the MSDAPT

A. You, you, of course. The party leaders know me and considered me undesirable. I ad already been under arrest, and and had my house searched. I was natched by the Gestapo, and in order to build up my organization I mediad to be able to travel injunery without arousing suspicion. Consequently, Sievers gave so fake research assignment, which was to a tacy indo-Germanic culture, customs of the around festivals.

Q. Sievers said during direct examination that he misself could not is use any research assignments; you said that you received a fake research essignment from him; woun't this research assignment hotely issued by the Curatur, Professor West?

A. Yes, If names were roin well, no much was in a good mood, or had been drinking with Sievers, it was about to be require him to do compthin, and so he recorded in perspective work that I was efficient for this research testement, and so I was given this assignment, and so I was given this

15 April 1947 -H-12-Re-PEP- Maloy (Int. von Schoon)

numbers. I was given a false pass as a section chief, though I was not a a ction chief, and was not a pumber of the SS nor the Annenorto.

- Q. And with this pass you were able easily to got visas to so abroad?
- a. Not necessirily. I needed a little more for that purpose, but it was easier.
- G. Then the actual purpose of the assignment of this fake research assignment was that you, who were a suspect night appear in a more huraless light, and would be able to nove rather freely and without supervision?
 - A. You.
- Q. Now, will it become necessary for Sievers to

 protect you person lie; I speak of this, since you said before that
 Sievers work you manted to explain first has an helped and

15 Apr-M-13-12-16-Maloy (Int. Von Schoen) Court No. 1

protected morbers of your organisation, and first of all yourself.

A He protected on when on the 2d of September 1944 I was suspected of participating in the events of the 20th of July. I was arrested and was to be hanged. Slevers used his position with Himmler, risking his own life, because Obersturebannfushrer Mauhaus wanted to hang me, and was convinced that Slevers and I had been in conspiracy with Stauffenberg. Slevers, through his skill, managed to have no released for lack of evidence.

- Q How long were you under errest at that time?
- A The 2d of September 1914 to the 19th of December, the same year.
- Q is it true that you were once in the next cell to the wellknown Dr. Goordslor?

A I connot say. I was in the Lebrter Prison in Berlin. That is near the Lebrter Station. I had Goll No. 225.

DR. EISGERBER: Mr. Proceedent, in this composition I offer from
Document Book 2, Sievers Exhibit No. 19 -- bog parden, Sievers Document
No. 19, as Exhibit 30, Document Book 2, page 23-21, 25-26 in the English.
This is a statement by Thursder Taumsch about the incarceration of Dr.
Hielscher after the 20th of July 19/4. Bransch was a prisoner himself
in the prison in Lehrterstrasse in Borlin, and he testified to the fact
that Dr. Hielscher was also under arrest there.

THE INCEMENT: The Tribunal vill new be in recess until 1:30. (Thereupon a recess was taken until 1:30 P.M.)

15 April 47-A-FjC-13-1-Fostor (Int., Renior)

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The hearing reconvened at 1330 hours, 15 ipril 1947.)

THE MURSHAL: The Tribunch is again in session.

DR. FRIMRICH HI MISCHIM - Rosured

DIRECT EMINATION (Continued)

BY DR. THISGMANN (Counsel for the Defendant Sievers):

Q. Witness, it seems to me to be desirable for you to give to
the Tribunal a very short description of the political phenomenon
which we described as the Germa resistance movement. Could you
clarify this poharonan in a few short sentences?

A In order to understand it one has to immeine the situation in which everybedy in the country fain! hisself whomever he wanted to be active in any way. One has to imagine that parents couldn't speak to their children because the child aid not know how to liein such a cannor that the attitude of the parents did not become apparent to their tenchers. One must implue that every neighbor was in a position to observe every errand, everyone who speke out of plane was sure to find an energy who would denounce him. One rust iragine that over since 1939 we know that prisoners in order to runks that tostify were thrown late a both of water wit 80 degrees hast and the skin was pooled off their bodies. One must impine that none of us sould be sure that he would be able to keep quiet and what he would testify under terture, or under the pressure of any which was introduced to him. That is to a-y, it was quite out of the question to got together in large meetings. It was impossible to corry on any open profesenda. In Stockhol- I was asked, "Why don't you speak publicly?" Woll, it just when't possible, it was absurd. only whom one considers that situation one can implie how one could work against the Ferty. There were little cells, very tiny groups, where the rank and file an didn't know what the other one was doing, where one group was not allowed to know what the other group was deing.

If one would be discovered it could betray the other. & system of buckhoeds was necessary, if one buckhoed was full the other one had to be water-tight. And this is the only way in which it was possible for a few people, who know one another before 1935, to got together under every calculated circumstances. One always had to know under what protest one had not end what one would say if schology was suddenly to interrupt. How such could the wife know? What would the wife have to testify in case she was asked had she not a cort immuster of these on before? If so, how long had she known than? Under what position ild she know them? After leaving one would have to errance wht the subject of conversetion had been. I think that this is a picture of the atmosphere in which we had to work. At the beginning of the Men regio a large part of the circles and groups upon which one relied were alirinated, they had been put into concentration campo, some of then had been milled, one didn't know how these people in the concentration cause word quarted, and it took years before one could once more establish was really was loft, who kept quiet, and upon whom one could therefore rely. Only after years one could put his hand out of one's on our laged position, and could find out what one could do and how our could nest one's friends. The next difficulty was that some of the political troups could not unless there was a cooperation of parts of the Art od Forces. Histolor cortainly wouldn't have loft if one just talked to him, and upon that depended the slowness of the entire work.

- bunel how you ecoperated with circles that were of your opinion, how you established coptect with them, and to what extent your collaboration was possible with other groups?
- a. Our group maintein/contect and cooperated with Scientists; I mention Dr. Reichwein, Dr. Topf, who was here before, and Dr. Haubach. Then there was the young conservative group of Gred Friedrich von der Schulenburg, the Catholic circles around the Freiherr Friedrich von Lucning, who was one of the most courageous and noble con I ever not to know. There was the Aroup around amount Venig, the military group around Count Stauffenberg, and a master of clergyson.
- . Use there comporation between you and these or ups which you just mentioned?
- A. It would be best to describe how we actually not. I not Freiherr Duening and beer a requireded with him in 1940 because he was the cormander of a reserve bettall n 22-9. He was supposed to help me to recruit a Jowish friend under a felse name into this battalion. At that time I was currently active in the outstine if what was to be done later, and in that connection I was in contact with angust Fonig. It was in the Spring of 1940 when Lucaing told co, "You know Fritz Scholenburg!" I veguely remedered navia seen him in 1932, 1928. A few hours later August Wenig told no the seco thing and both told to thet Schulenburg would come et night when derkness had fellen. he told me, "Y u know who recommended her well how do we kill the pig?" Thereupon I said, "That is a rose mable basis for conversation; how about the Generals?" Then opinions were exchanged on to what Hilitery opinions I saw, what military pinions he saw, and the next question was if the country was ripe enough. Schulenburg, as a vice president r whatever he was, could not travel er and the country very could, that is, apart from his fficial trips, while I was getting ar ung the country, and the question ceme up. "What does the mass if the population think?"

That is just one example. I don't want to tell many such examples 5937

people. Everyone exchanged information about their particular groups; notedy asked where that information came from, notedy mentioned any names, except when it was ascertained in the case of any such information that it must originate from that a urge. Then one communicated only by using a formula, very selder was the real name used. Telephones had to be disconnected and it was necessary to 1 % sutside the window to encertain if anyone was an the street. We found ut through a member of our organization in what manner a hidden microph me could be built into the well, ad

- . What did Sievers do in order to further the activities of your organization?
- A. For instance, he to be care of supplying all information which was of importance. He told us what traces of the Waffer SS were in Germany during the war. He gave us fake official trips and he worked out a plan for an assassination, which was to be carried through by our group in case the generals plan did not once if. We all thought it was not safe to rely on the generals. In march of 1960 Verner Haften told me by craw of Stanfferborn that no vould have to take into account the fact that the contrals would have to be wed into account the fact that the contrals would have to be wed into action by a certain assassitute and an everyone was to see his we preparations, in case he had any, in such a cannot see if he was the only me active. That was the situation in warch of 1940. We writed ut a substantial class to recove, if possible, finaler and Eitler simultaneously, but in case of doubt Himber his solf.

 We were of a completely different opinion there than the other groups.
- 4. What a marate prolitinary work was done for the assessmention in your group?
- a. Slevers was the mly one in our group who cave into question regarding that assessmention because he was the only one so close to Himsler. He was therefore assigned this took and we worked out this matter as far as the detailed plan was a accornei; all that was necessary a woman to press the button.

Court No. 1 15 Apr 47-A-14-3-EHM-Heehen (Int. Bacler) and for what period of time was this assessination intender? A. We started our preparations in the year of 1943 and at the that the cenerals would be ready at as und that period of time. prising that you are telling us do ut? welt until the situati a toose with and the concrete were ready. ti a for such assessingtion? etc. were cincurned. . and wir walt have assassinated Him ler and Hitler?

earliest at the end of 1943 could we have started. Then we finally th ught of the middle of 19-4 because Schulenburg and Luening told me

- . Well, an assessingth a is a matter for quick decision. Is it not true, therefore, that all these long preparations are rather sur-
- a. The fill wing would have to be token into consideration. Around Hi wher and Fither there was a str no word, a strong ring of guards, th with which more could not unloss he was corefully storched and checked. Secondly, and that I clearly emphasized, one did not have to be quite sure that the generals would carry ut that assessinati a but me had tobe sure that a sufficient number of generals were ready to rea we the N.S. system in tediately after the reasoningtion, for a climination of just these two people thesselves would have no political purpose whatneever. to did n t intend to carry at a Putsch but we intended to remove a pulitical system, a pulitical refer, and for that ross a we had to
- . Now, the questi a crops up whether these plane for the assassinotion of Bitler and Fin ler were mly in your fontage, or the fanteny if y ur collab rat ra, r was there any real tasis or concrete propara-
- A. I slreety sels that the properetions had been worked out to the detailed technical point insufer as the assembly location, the shorting,
- A. Sinvers was to to that and a few your new belonging to my reanization.
 - w and why was it in effect m t carried but?
- A. after the Stauffencers cosmedianti a had failed, the Vehrmacht circles that case into questi a vers oliminated by Bis der and theref re

Court No. 1 . 15 apr 47-a-14-4-250-mechan (Int. Rayler)

it was no longer possible to remove that system. The only consequence of any attempted assessination would have been - since the foreign political situation would not have changed, the only consequence would have been here that the people would have seid again, "This is the stab in the back for the victorious front-line."

- A what did Sidvers do to further your activity in addition to what you have clready said?
- A He, for instance, supported by representative, arnold Doutelmosor when he was put on the list of those who were to be removed under the protext of the assasination which took place in Munich at the Buergerbraue. He also protected Bones who was working in the Notherlands. He protected Dr. Schuettelkopf when we had sent into the RSH, and it was possible for him in turn to send me to Sweden. He saved Niels Bor, Professor Soyb of Osla University, and he saved a number of Norwegian students, etc.
- Hinmler's doubleplay in the case of the minister, Popitz, and that as a consequence he saved that entire group against measures by himmler?
- " Yos. The following thing happened. One day Sievers approached no and said that I had just heard Himmler any in a close circle how he ridiculed an attempt on the girt of Popitz. He soid that Minister Popitz with the mediation of the lawyer Lange and approached Highler and trive to persual him to bring about a change of the National Seci list system, perhaps by removing Hitler. He said Himmler thought it was very funny that thuse our had so little sense as to think of him in that connection. Thank God one could entur no rotintions with them because it was sure that nebody was behind these people in the country, but it did seen that these mentlemen had many foreign political relationanins and it would be advisable to find out what in offect was behind it all, and it would, therefore, be advisable to enter into negotiations with them. we were quite

I sent Doutelmoser to Reichwein with whom I know he had connections with Popitz. In that way Popitz was warned. Reichwein was so surprised and hardly wanted to believe that situation.

I was asked to participate in a conformer, and Maichwein after having convinced himself that all of this was true, promised to wern all of the gentlemen concerned in Berlin and then asked Deutelmoser, who was to go to Norway shortly thereafter to notify kelchwein's friend, Stelzer, the present l'inister President of Schleswig-Helstein, in order to see that he, too, took the necessary procedutionary measures. In this way we hoped that a number of those people had notually been aived. Popitz, however, himself was carcless and was captured.

- unless you had the necessary financial means at your disposal. How did you got those means?
- .. Everyone of our people, be it man or woman, had agreed to give up ten percent of their monthly income for that legal work. Many gave a substantially larger sum.
 - How about Sievers?
 - .. Sievers gave more than he had to.
- Norwogian students was on the basis of Sievers' intervention were released from the concentration camp Suchen-
- Yes. Turboven, or some other official in Norway listiked some demonstration which occurred there, and as result arrestor three hundred students. Through some 'rk channels they were brought into the concentration

ot Buchenweld. Sievers found out about that, and if I remember correctly, he was in a position to see to it that those students be released from the concentration camp using Himmler's Nordic ideas.

- In that case you think that Sievers' activity was substantially important for your resistance movement?
- .. You, The twee true of my organization, for he protected and devered me as its chief, and, secondly, as for as I know, he was the only men belonging to any resistance movement who went as close as he to the deichs-Fuchrer-SS. If my other group would have brought any such information as he did, I would have noticed it that it could have only some from the same source.
- NO-975, Prosecution Exhibit 479. It is a letter sent by Sievers to Dr. Hirt. Would you please look at that letter?
 - .. You.
- to indicate that he tried to cover Dr. Hirt's activity.

 Dr. Hirt was working in the anatomical Institute of the Stressburg University. I assume that for reasons which we shall mention later that you know Hirt's name. How do you explain that tone in this letter?
- I would have thought it very foolish of Sievers if he adopted any other tene in any of his official correspondance. It was his task to say "yes" but not in a negative way. There couldn't have appeared any protense of any isapproved an his part. The more active one had to be in a anti-National Socialist.

 1945

I shall now turn to shoth r complex of questions. Stavers is indicted in this trial to have participated in a number of crimes. Did elevers at any time tell you about the so-called resourch assignments of Dr. Mascher and Dr. Hirt, who was just mentioned? The ore experiments carried out in the concentration camps.

.. Siev is, as far as I commoner, some to me in the year 1942 and told me very excitedly that minnler in his desire to extend the character Seciety had embarked on the thought of placing experiments on human beings under the work of the character, he said that he did not succeed in frustrating that. He said that he had no desire whetherever to participate in these herrible nots and asked me what to do. It that time we considered this herrible situation very theroughly and thought of what we could do. It was quite clear to us what the SS intended here, and it was questionable whether responsiblity could be assumed for any such nots, whether it would be advisable to be the instrument of simpler if he embarked on any such sets, measures where human beings were degraded to the level of insects.

The following considerations proved to be decisive for us: if Sievers would leave, not one person, not one object of these experiments would be seved. In case Sievers stayed there as a technical speretary, he could throw sand into thet exchinery and could, perhaps, be in a position to save semebody. In addition, the entire plan and the entire overthrow of the Perty stood or fell with Sievers' staying at his post. The experiments on human beings were only part of this horrible farty system, and one had to concentrate on the decisive points in order to finally remove everything, and, as I have said before,

.pril 15-..-BK-15-5-Putty (Int. Randler) Court No. 1

there was no other way into the staff of the Reichsfuchrer-SS. 10, therefore, summarized in case Sievers resigned a because of that case, it was sure that he would be climinated and probably that would also be true of all the people he had ever entrusted with a research assignment, and everything that we had done so for would be lost in case he left, and if anyone was to be saved at all, he could only be saved by Sievers remaining at his jost.

15 pm - ES-16-1-cook (Int. R = 1er) . If I have understood you correctly, Sicrors at first wonted to resign from his position is Reich business in nagor of the . Intererbe? . . You, thet is correct. 1. Mid Sievers purove of these arguments union you and your friunds out forw rd in favor of his staying with the Beich Puchrer SS as he I tak business meneger of the hinenerboy Did he do it in addataly or onl efter trying to persuade him for some time? is took a number of days, because Sievers, secording to ids nature, we softer team many of us and did not want to agree with us. to fire. I had to copeni to his some of duty and pormunde him that ha hel of do it end to t we the orl, war out. . . one other maters, it was considered that by Slovers randala, this post, ther would be a possibility of siting these Herrible experiments. . In chance wasn't very are tout we were convinced that als would be the only was possible, if at all. Then it emild only be done in on the amor. If I - ye so, tals was such a corrible situation that we drawn had to come back to it and we wore do ned lucky at lout to have the hope to a ve a manber of people. Other opponence of SS western have told me about cimilar dilumnas which were just as difficult, and where the alternative was yet more horrible, and where paragas, according to my belief and knowledge, acted correctly, and if the Pribum I would permit no I could relate a few almost incredicle situ of one which were even worse. DE PRESIDENT: In that commection, witness, ore these narrations, witness? U.T. 555: In the compation as to the quest on whether it was nor My justifiable to arrive at the result of Sievers remaining t da sant. ESTIMAT: Such a tors as that would be so material in his Inchir. 5946

BY DR. MEISGERBER:

- 3. Bid Sievers report to you that it was possible for him to allow to that situation all.
- In Yor, I know of the following cases. He told me about high elititude experiments which he frustrated. He told about his interference in the of the low pressure camber. He told about his interference in the malaria experiments; how he placed Ploetner against Schilling. He told he will be succeeded in gotting a group of thirty lamates for experimental purposes from Bachen to some other place at Todensoe, and, furthermore, that he finely succeeded in subordinating those experimental series simultaneously to the Roich Research Council, so that the additional scenar of paper and red tape which resulted in delays to a considerable extent.
- . Is it correct Slovers unde it possible for you to go to the goods at literangetedt and mave persons there?
- the parameter of a Javier 165y from being grand, a lady wast I could got to 3. Charle with the help of Silvers. I was unfortunately too late in the case of the grant.
- 3. Honor it is beyond all must for you that Slovers rejected the participation in those or oriments which were ordered by Hindler?
 - in You.
- . For you there is no ther any coubt test the entire activity of Sievers from 1933 up to 1945, no matter with what situation he came into a called, was only leved and dictated by his opposition to the national socialist regime.
 - L. That is boyond my doubt.
- Ut. EMISCENSIA: .r. Prosident: I have no further questions of the witness.
- IN THE SIDE T: Let there may further que a one of this witness
 - T . SINING USE (Counsel for the defendant, Professor Beighbook :)

15 for- - 025-16-3-000k (Seeler)

- interiors in German matters. I am only putting those questions because it is my duty to do so as defense counsel. Do you remember that the first German woman who we sent to America after the war, and that was Irou Dr. Streeker, was severaly attacked for saying there was no German resistance movement?
 - . You.
- n. Do you know that she defended herself against that accusation and she said that by seying that she only wented to express that every fight against this powerful that system was those without any negot
 - L You, I know that.
- The have already adjected that the resistance work was made difficult by the energous Costape organization. SD, and you could have added the Pooples' Court, and the Coppentiation Carps. By question is: In Alitica to that police pressure, wasn't there get snother pressure, a psychological pressure, which differed itself in the case of a Corpent resistance sevenent, as compared to the French remistance never must. In order to understood me I want to tall you that a man mased Canvius opened here as a vitness against Souring of al, who was a new of the resistance assemble, and also a leader in the destape. This was a label a leader in the destape. This was a label and the destape of the large police machine, was more yet an added payendonic I pressure, to the would oppose the thouland when opposing the secretary that?
- minute of youghs who from the very buginning, full that the entire nation I socialist regime was an alien body that has some up and with it is elimination one would do great service to me's country. In other words, there were many people in the resistance exponent, who from the very buginning, just as in the case of the Franchia. Determen and Forwarishs, considered has national socialist group as an alien body

and which was spectaing like a black plague. In the other hand, I mgree with you that all of these people who had no proper judgment about notional socialism from the very beginning, were inclined at first the mived by a political neive feeling, as it is so frequent in this column, to consider this regime as German.

- If an entereding to officer had received an order benish train Finder percentily was stanting, the sine could fail to obey this order entity?
- in I depends on whit is order in. It depends to what extent he hid to combility to my year and met no.
- lieve the if this men a neurned had failed to obey that order to carry out experiments on human beings, about which he livened had non-visced himself that they would not constitute a danger to the numer beings, confi you think he would have been placed to mediately before a withing court?
- i. Miturally, a refused to obey an order was a mosability to prevent the execution of that order in any way.
 - DR. SEEKH WER: Thank you. I have no further questions.
- The Figure and further quarties of the witness by forest counself

here being none, the Prosecution my cross agains.

Scort No. 1 15 Apr 47-4-17-1-EPH-Gross (Int. Von Schoen) CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HARDY: . Mitness, you have stated here in direct examination that it was y u who influenced Sievers to join the Nazi Party, is that right? da You. . You have streed that it was you who influenced Sievers to become a merber of the Amenerbe Society, is that correct? A. If you ask about the responsibility, yes, As fer as the technical details are concerned, no. I had left Slevers a free hand as to how he wented to w rk. when he sais, "This is a or d mesition," he took it, and I said he did the right thing. . N v. what information did Sievers cather to further your purpose? A. I said before that, for example, he teld so what Himler reourted about Popits. I wold that he currently kept me and all the other resistance groups informed of the strength of the Waffen-SS within Germeny. I said that he reported whomever Himmler made an attempt to pursue s me perticular political tendency. For instance, the fight evenies other party groups, Rosenberg for instance, could be exploited, etc.

Well, now, were troop novements, number of men in the Waffen-SS capable of fighting for the Nazi purpose, natters which concerned the Ahnemerbe S.ciety?

A. No. As fer as I know, Sievers knew through certain requests for newspapers or proposed or delivery of some eart of document, or something regarding that, or Christmas celebration, or for the Party celebration, that he could suggest certain figures from the Waffen-SS to find out how many peophlets, etc. the Ahnenerte had to Cistribute. I have no idea.

Did you over hear of an order put out by the Fuebrer concorning secreey wherein no can could divulge what information he had obtained in his particular position to another can, regardless of his rank? Did you over hear of that order - the Fuebrer's order regarding secreey?

A. Yes, I churse.

Court St. 1 15 Apr 97-A-17-2-EHM-Gruss (Int. Von Schoeh) . Then a den who was the Reich donager of a cultural society would be able to receive information of a secret nature from another member of the 55 despite this secrecy order of the Fuehrer! A. Une has to make a distinction there. I have stready sold that he got those figures indirectly. He simply said, "I have to know how deny copies of such and such a thing I have to deliver for the Waffen-SS." He concluded the approximate strength of the SS, other information from the Vehronoht which had espionage through Canaria could be used to check it, and ofterwards had a missage in the figures and meed them. And, becruse y a resea the question, it was spiken differently in the group ar and Bir der in Ricoler's presence and thank a dness there was a lot of drinking there and one could get information. . Well, now efter you received this information, Mr. Hielscher, what did you do with it? A. Since I regularly say the leaders or important members of other resistance groups, I exchanged this infraction with their because these other ne plu cles got cortain information. We could exchange what they found out with what we got so there was a constant stream of information, intelligence, political secret data within our field. . Now, wald you kindly give me the names and addresses of the conthats that your resistance movement had with pe ple in France, England, United States, and Russia. A. It was probably not quite correct procedure that we did not before 1983 think of cetablishing such contacts sbroad. If the Mazis should come back seein. I know that I have to establish contacts before hand. Unfortunately we did not do a before 1933 and after 1933 it was to late. But with the help of dievers and Schuettekonf I made an attempt and I g t to Sweden at least. I can mention Dr. B ars, who was a Dutchmen, and we far as I am inf rand I believe there is an efficavit on the subject. There were dore pe ble with him. . Now, when did you first hear if the experiments in human beings in the concentration carps? 5951

Court Mr. 1 15 Apr 47-4-17-3-EEM-Gross (Int. Van Schoen) A. 1942, if I remember correctly. . Well, now, did you understand from Sievers that --. You heard about it from Sievers, I presume? A. Yes. . Did you understand from Sievers that subjects to be used in the course of these experiments were innetes in concentration cames but that said innates had volunteered to be used for the experiments? A. Yes. Of course, they were called volunteers. Of course, that was part of the lieing system. Since we know the system and since we knew the concentration camps, it was a matter, of course, if we go and may you will either be gassed or volunteer. Of course, persons volunteered, That was actually no free will for envone who knew concentration camps. BY JUDGE SEERING: . Deter, did you understand that these volunteers were all German notionals who were professional crisinals who had been sentenced to death with the understanding that if they survived the experiments they would be grented leniency or did you understand scrething else ab ut the matter? A. I don't know what you mean by understand. There was an order which Sievers told to about that they were supposed to be criminals and people condensed to death. On the other hand, we knew the "evalenche" reaction. We know that Himmler liked political people to disappear and that if the order read differently there was still the danger, the great or bebility, that the people in charge f the concentration cames who had something to do with the experiments would use political people ainlessly or even intentionally. This was very unchable; besides, our group was convinced environ that even in the case of people condenned to death for crimes, it does not correspond to dismity of human beings to be used formally as a volunteer but really under compulsion as guines bigs. well, then you considered ony experimentati n upon human beings incarcerated in concentration came a critical set? A. We were o myinced that this was in principle critical action. . Well, new, Stovers, as you say, first told you of these experiments in 1942, is that right?

- A. Yas.
- . Never told you about any negotiations for experimentation in the year 1938?
 - A. M. I do not remember any such thing.
 - In the year 1939?
- A. I should like to ask you something. Do you mean negotiations which leed to experiments or any discussions?
- Let's say, further experiments, but these experiments took place in 1939. Did you ever hear of any?
- A. That experiments to k place in 1939 that Sievers told me, I don't know.
- . Never heard of his having anything to d with experiments in
 - A. No.
 - . 19-17
 - A. 50.

15 april-a-JP-18-1-Karrow (Int. von Schon) Court I Q. and you confirm that the first time he told you - bout experiments was in the year 19-21 A. Not exactly the month, but can say a proximately 1942. Q. how, you have told this Priounal that you had agreed among your colleagues prior to 1933 that the masis could not be allowed to continue may longer. That were you doing between 1933 and 1945? as an agreement between my friends and myself that the Wasis had to be removed existed since 1933. In 1943 - but my group.... Q. (Interrupting) I said 1933. 1933 is the date I stated. at Ch, I beg your pardon, I understood 1943. L How many guns did you purchase in 1933 in tais resistance Tovelent? a. I might say, infortunately, that the question rather by-passes the real methods of resistance. In the year 1933, when sine-tenths of the population considered this swindler a decent German, wo would not shoot him, although, technically, that would, of course, have been possible at that time. To would not have succeeded in destroying the National Socialist system much did not consist of his alone, out of a whole group. We would not have changed the political situation, we were not a Putsch society that wanted to shoot him. . well then, your major interest was ridding yourselves of the two leaders, the big leaders, that is, missier and mitter. Is and correcty A. Yes, If you shoot at a whole group of birds one doesn't it any of them. You have to shoot at an individual, 3. I see. Then your major interest was in getting rid of .im.ler and Hitler and let the rest of the Faris continue? ... The other way around, Repeatedly, since 1940, we waited because it would have been possible to kill himsler and hitler or we of them, but it was necessary, at the same time, to have a 354

15 spril-a-JP-18-2-Karrow (Int. won Schon) Court I before. abnemerbe and a mander of the Circle of Friends. A. Tes. right?

large enough part of the armed forces on our side so that immediately after the assassination we could oradicate the whole system. Otherwise, we would not have needed to wait. That is what I said

- Q. Lell now, how close actually was Sievers to Himler?
- a. I have already said Reion dusiness manager of the
 - 2. He was a member of the Circle of Friends, was no not?
- 1. Sievers quite frequently a " talks with Himder only in Hithler's presence. That is, no other people there, Isn't that
 - a. I don't unierstand. I just neard the shylich.
- Q. Himsler and Sivers quire frequently talked alone, did they not?
- . I really don't know, but I assume so. I really don't know. I wasn't there.
 - 1. How many times have you men heinrich mimiler?
 - a. Not at all.
- Q. Did you ever see him passing by in a parade or any such thinkage through he very cureful not to se out in public. One had to may "Hell hitler" often enough not to go out of one's way to look for the occusion.
- 7. Man! : Sievers actually physically larger than Himder! a bigger want were robust than ni mler!
 - . I don't know, I never thought about that,
- 2. hell then, way didn't you think wont that and instruct Sievers to do away with nimber when he had one of these acetings with him alone, ample opportunity to accomplish your objective Without going up to kill a whiteheaded haw in some mountain.
 - a. The oractical question was this. If some one set Himmler

15 april-a-JF-18-3-Karrow (Int. von Schon) Court I and had weapons with him that was ery noticeable, if one were just going to a conference, and in the second place, it wouldn't do any more than if one of the generals who was willing - for example, Mitaleben gince 1938 - would suddenly draw out his pistol. I should repeatedly like to emphasize that that would merely be an assassination. The decisive thing which was so terrible for all of us - we had to noe to it that the system as a whole was destroyed. Q. Well then, you would say that the July 20th plot was poor strategy? That was an opvious assassination. That was poor strategy in your way of thinking? a. You have to make a distinction between strategy and tactics. I am convinced that the political preparation was good as far as I know. In the second place I am coavinged, from all the information which I got in personal conversation, that the preparation for the military action after the execution of the assassination proper after the coun dietat proper - was also well prepared. The generals had been learning that for conturies, they knew now to do that, but what they did not know how to do was the technique of the coup distat itself because the regulations of 1780 dian't contain that. The technique of the coup dietat itself, they did not know how to do that. The had never studied it. That was the unfortunite thing. I repeat - politically, good; for later strategic execution good; for the technique of the coun distut proper, that was bad. . and now, what did Sievers ever tell you about the Sievers-Hirt skeleton collection? Did ne ever tell you about that? w Yes, he told me that missler had ordered, as far as I mow, they were Jewish commissure who were under this terrible execution order which was valid in the met - tast some of them were to be selected and used for the skeleton collection. The order was from Similar as Sievers reported to me. 2. and did you know what they were going to do with these people? 5956

15 april-a-TP-18-4-Karrow (Int. von Schon) Court I s. Yes, it was the same as in the experiments. There a danger of death was a nossibility, here it was certain, .. You know, of course, that they were going to stund these people up, pick that out, select them according to size, take their anatomical measurements, then ship them to Mateveiler and at Natrweiler will thou, then deflesh then, then send the skeletons to the Strascours University for collection? and you knew that? A. Y08. Q. a fine thing for a resistance ban to be involved in, isn't it? As The situation, as I have said repostedly, was as follows: We made no distinction in the real evultation of the skeleton collection and other eggeriments in which therewas this so-oulled "volunteering" and in which the result was the same - in our eyes, they were the same thing. I should like to emphasize one more thing. Does one have the noral right to telerate . lesser syll in order to provent a greater svill Q. Just a soment. low in connection with the skeleton collection, do you further know that they discensed with the idea of taking Jowish commissurs out selected Jewish innuites of concentration campa? a. Yes, what particular persons were nelected I do not know, of course, but I knew that a number of Jews were to be guesed and wore selected for this anthropological collection. That was the same cuse us on the Ghetto of Lit munestedt. 5957

15 April - GJ-18-la-Marrow-@ int: Von Schon)-Ocort 1

the Jewish com ander of the Ghotte told me, that was Licutement Resenblatt-after he had cained confidence in me because I had come in with a false pass - he told no personally I was picked but by the SS. When of new row of Jows comes into this Ghett Litzmannstadt and crowd the Ghotte I am not to select exactly the same number of Jews, and I knew that they woul! be mase!. That is I was selected by the SS, to determine who was to be massel. Now, I ask you in the name of Go, or. Hiels chor, you are a Christian, what am I to be? I had nothing to do with that. I have aske the Rabbis. I mave asked the old people themselves and we have come to the decisi n that I must stay in this office. At least I can dot mine the persons - I can at least select the oldest people who can't stan! life in a Ghett and perhaps, in this way, parhops I will be able to save the life fone person. These two cla people that I tell at ut, were about accounty years 14. There were five Christain un or the Jone, at least I was able to see that theywere all rasse to other. They asked me to, please tell their 'me hter we were able to mehive that, Tell me, iii I - right or not?" That is oven much herrible because the min could not even refuce the minber "e had no hope as we had to suppress some of them. Nevertheless I . was almost that the people who were in other of this can were called Gormans. But I said: " You have could right and your are justified in the cyus of G L."

all the tousents concerning the skeleton collection. Is that right?

A Yos.

State. In connection with the and account that was presented to you techny on the stand that this was a very preiseworthy act in the part of Sievers in a negative way. Since you are familiar with all the skeleten collection becaments - I had intented to be into each one but I will just so into one. That is, Document No-088, presecution Exhibit 182, found in Document Book 9 .This is a locument which was written by Sievers. You will see that thereon his signature appears

- Q. Yes, but from this letter does it not suggest that be was willing to allow an inaccent Frenchman to answer for the crimer which flowed out of this skeleton collection activity?
 - A If you show me....
- Q I have asked you--does it not appear from this letter, this letter signed by Sievers, that he was willing to allow a Frenchian to suffer for the arises committed during the course of the collection of
 these skulstons?
- A Yes, the letter quite deliberately, I believe, creates this inpression. That was the purpose of it, like all such letters.
 - IR. HARDY: I have no further quastions, Your Honor.

JUDNE SERRING: Doctor, the Tribunal would like to mak you a few quositons, places.

BY JUDGE SERI G:

- Q To white extent was the fact of the existence of concentration camps in Garany known to the people of Garany?
- A The existence of concentration comps, that is the fact that there were any?
- Q The foct that there were concern; tion comps in Germany-was that known to unyone?
 - A In my opinion that was everywhere known since 1933.
 - Q You think then Ins it known to you since 19337
- A The fact that there were concentration camps, that was general knowledge in my opinion.
- Q res it detually known to you that there were concentration camps in G. rowny?
- A Yes. Not only that, but what appeared in them, because since 1933 I and had opportunity to talk to people who had been in concentration comps, and in one case I succeeded in getting semeone out; interestingly amough, I got a very frightened and very short report from this person.
 - ? From your knowledge of the situation, now many concentration 5960.

comps would you say there were in Germany during the year 19397

- A Up to 1939 the number of concentration camps which I know about was 5, 6, purhaps 7, no more.
 - Q What would you say about the year 1940?
- A The number of concentration camps increased rapidly with the baginning of the war. First, because the NSDAP or rather the SS, began to send foreigners to these concentration camps in larger numbers; and secondly because the number of Germans the ware arrested because they were opposed to the war were also added.
 - Q woll, can you estimate how many were here in 1940?
- A No, I do not know my figures. The number increased considerably, however.
 - Q That about 19519
- A I can only may that I did not trouble about those figures specifically. That was outside the range of what was needed, practically.
 - 1 19/29
 - A I can only repeat, I do not know my figures.
 - Q 19437
 - A I can only report the some memor.
 - Q 19147
 - A I can only repeat the same dister.
 - Q 19157
- A The real number of concentration cases I learned from the publications of the Allies.
- Q Those may seem odd questions to you, doctor, but there has been testimony from this witness stand that you now occupy, from certain witnesses who have taken the stand, which would tend to lead the Tribund to believe that during all of this period of time the existence of concentration camps in Germany, in any considerable numbers, was feet not known to the German people and was a feet not known to people within the middle and higher levels of the Maxi government and the Maxi military within a the reason that the Court has addressed that question

to you.

A I can only say the following. In my opinion the existence of several—to speak very carefully—several concentration camps, was generally known to the German population. The fact that these concentration camps increased suidenly after the outbreak of war was not so generally known. It might be assumed, if I think of conversations with harmless citizens or Mational Socialists in railroad trains, they perhaps assumed that the concentration camps increased, but there were iden of the numbers. But the fact that they did exist and that there were several and that there were thousands of people in them, I consider that common knowledge of the whole population. But nobody know what was actually happening there.

Q New you said senething a second ago to the effect that you not only knew of the existence of concentration camps but you knew of the activities which went on in concentration camps. Did I understand you correctly?

A Yuo.

Q Over what period of time have those activities become know to you?

A It began with two pieces of information in 1933 which were the first of this kind and which therefore increased themselves on my memory. I heard the first thing from Socialist friends in the very beginning. I heard that people were put into bightube with anter of 80 degrees contigrade and that their skin was then people off while they were living. At about the same time I received information from my friend, Kerl August it votal, who, through my intervention, had been released from a concentration comp in northwestern form y. What sheeked me must was the fact that this man, who did not agree with me politically but who had a resent confidence in me, and who know from his wife that I and been instrumental in mavin, him released—next this man was extremely werried afraid to tell me my details mithough I was a friend of his. He simily gave me hints about flog ing and other kinds of punish ent; and

from them on I received information constantly.

- Q What type of prisoner was this man, a criminal or a political prisoner?
 - A This can was a political prisoner.
 - 2 A German political prisoner?
 - A A Garman political prisoner.
- Q Now can you say to what extent those activities were generally known among the German people?
- A I can say that these actions—what actually happened in concentration camps—to our interishment, in view of the large number of people who went through the concentration camps, were actually unknown enong the German population. That was true up to 1943. I recall long conversations with Schulenburg and Reichmein, where it was repeatedly said - Wit is terrible we cannot tell the people about these things. As soon as you open your neuth you disappear yourself and nothing gets talked around."
- Q Woll, would those activities be the nort of things that could be carried on as a matter of course in concentration camps without high level officials in the SS or in the government having to have some knowledge of these activities?
- A In my opinion, people of the rank of Ribbontrop, to give an example, or Kaltenbrunner, even before they gained this or that position, they must have known exactly what was going on. But I do not know about this, insofar as our group, as well as the others who had been seriously thinking if an armed uprising since 1931, had to be very careful in contact with directs who were either convinced Namis or, in the unfortunately still more customary way, were without any convictions. Any work could be suicide. And so I am not informed.
- If To must extent, if any, was the fact that concentration came inrates were being used for human modical experiments generally known to the German people?
- A Among the Burman people I perconally heard nothing about it; that is, on my many trips and many talks I heard nothing about it. I do not

15 Apr-A-15-19-5-Lesser (Int. Von Schoen) Court No. I.

imagine that many people knew about it.

- i. ...i I understood you to say that the defendant Slevers told.
 you send the about these things; during the course of any of his converse. I am did he need anyone with was associated in any of these experiences, and he give you the meses of anyone?
- Yes, the first the that he gave me, and this is how our conversall a started, if I remainder, he gave me the name of Dr. Bascher, he is real director. He s id no was a most particularly unpleasant providers.
- Q. I think you said that the valuateering enong concentration camp institute for these experiments was always, in your opinion, under compulsion; that though it appeared upon the surface that an experimental subject were a volunteer; yet if the fact were known he was in truth and in fact acting under co-sulpton; is that what you said?
- institute of functional for such experiments; if someone did not volunteer he unifored from it, but if he did volunteer he was precised advantages. Now, he important thing was toe following: Every man who was in a concentration completes the system; that is, he expected that someon or it for he would have to die. For the crossen he are aged at straws, and the would note to die. For the crossen he are aged at straws, and the would not be apprecised if he assumed in his life he would receive a pardon of send sort, in this provided I not objective compulsion. The people were put into such a situation, lead to a resulution to take advantage of every opportunity. The truntment was so torsible they grasped at straws, and the time they such straws were held out to these.
- Doctor, I think you said stowers discussed with you the various converts of those experiments; let me ask you in detail what do you know that the light altitude experiments which were so posed to have been considered in Dachau from about parch to angust 1942 on concentration may into the for the purpose of investigating the limits of human endurance and extremely high latitudes; did you learn something

of this from Sievers?

- A. I did not ask for any dotails from Sievers about the various experiments. I did not ask him for any details or discussion at the beginning, and when he was particularly excited and again in the course of the whole matter it was on the othical question, whether there was any soral justification for his staying on his post. We did not discuss the ten nicel details. One must remember we generally talked in the manneroe, and even if we were pretty safe there, if a third person heard one word, if a third person heard that Sievers was talking to no about those things that would be enough to have this Jushier Order 10, 2, value the Prescouter mentioned, put into affect, so we study to the row union of limiting our discussions to what was absolutely necessary, and that was the ethical question.
- aone connected with this particular experiment, the high eltitude experiment, other parhaps than Suspend ?
- He will no member.
- talls i. but freezing experiments conducted at Dechau in 1942, is that norrect?
 - ... , I do not re under of any specific det ile.
- Dad he give you me particulars as to much experiments confunction : I chem for two ourpose of investigating immunication for and the trustment of maloris, for a different periods, beginning with Yebru : 1902 and ending about .. ril 19457
- and he connection with lateria, but I may be mistaken.
- t 5 discharges, Metwoiler, and other concentration compare various fines from September 1939 until April 1945 on concentration camp

invotes, to investigate the lost effective treatment for burns coused by Lock [ms?]

- I do not recently anything about the news Musters Gas. I just remember the land a experiments your constantly being carled out. It was all wer general, and be used to say the whole thing was so terrible he didn't know what to do.
- Revousbranck consuntration came from July 1942 to September 1943 on concentration came investigate the affectiveness of sulphysistation wherein wounds was deliberately inflicted on concentration came subjects who were than infected with strepticocci or gas pangrope.

 and than a greatment for that: do you nothing about that from Sievers?
 - .. Wo, I don't know much about the individual experiments.
- at a minu from July 1962 to Spatember 1944 to study verious methods of well as water drinkable wherein concentration cusp immates were deprived of all food and given on chemically processed sea water; did no allegues that with your
 - .. to, not in detail.
- At Secondarius on and Saturoller concentration energy from about June 1963 to hour June 1965 to investigate the causes of and ineculation excluse epiconic jaundice, wherein experimental subjects who were concentration each tion camp into the were deliverately infected with apidemic journice?
 - ... To, he didn't well so may of the details.
- Dif Dr. Slovere discuss with you anything about human experi-Het-o conducted at Enchosed and Matrweller concentration chips from 1975. Indiaber 1941 to about Tebruary 1945 to investigate the effective-5-17 al aposted fever and other veccines, wherein healthy concentration
- Laston were deliberately infected with spotted fever virue?

... To, no details.

JUDGE SEERING: Thank you very much.

- Court cornite me, to put to this wilness.
- BY NO PUSIDE T:
- . Men was it, Witness, that it began to occur to you that Garmany had lost or would lose the Bar?
- A. That the War was lost second to me very probable from the moment it began.
 - thun did you become convinced that the war was lost?
- i. That is hard to say. I should like to any it was after the 20th of July.
- . To you familiar with Garman criminal processes before the Wart
 - N 70%
- be Stor the mentance was imposed before the man would be executed?
- In I must rectify by answer that I gave before. I was a Jurist,

 (and accorded law and I went through all the positions before I left
 the morvious but I know appains about the period between the sentence.

 And execution, at least I don't recell knowing it.
 - . Ble you serve in the Garain army?
- in 1919, plant the Polos, and this was taken over by the Reichswehr. The Trop Jorge unit there wanted to take part in the Deep-Putech, and Sinc: Allast approve of this I left. I left.
- of the Coreign nationals were charged and convicted of the crime of the Coreign nationals were charged and convicted of the crime of state to against the German Army, and condemned a Scott by military or there German Court, in the foreign country, have you any idea how long

concorning some of those various ort inal activities of the Maria?

- ... I'm mean in connection with the experiments, or what do you mean?
- y You, in connection with the experiments?
- amont I discussion which lested some time, he said he would try to carry one of bottom wherever he could as General Secretary, and as always, I left him a completely free hand to carry this out, as I did with all my poor in.
- J. Now then, when take process started, that is, I will go further beat, when the International Alitary Pribural served the indictment on Couring, as all did you at that time volunteer the information you possessed to any investigating authority concerned with those trials here in Purphers?
- as two English willtary Government in 1 rbs or, I described to this N G. as well as two English willtary Government in Joettingen and Hamburg our resistance group. Is that what you man?
- From the did you over tell any military poverment directly concurred with dilitary Tribunals, for instance, do you over write to be Erry, even of 1...7. and tell his you had information concurring modical experiments. During the past two years, since the end of the was like you write to anyone and inform them that you could emighted them to some of the estivities regarding the medical experimental
 - i did not write to ir. E rdy.
 - . Dis ; tu write to employ
- I want to now rel geneics, and I was always given the name of a different office, and they always said they were not competent. Since 1865 I hav been different guy help, and h we been saying Decould live information about Sievers, and ill his actions. I was never able to give this testimony, because as I h we said everyone sent me on to someone offer, and every no said he was not competent. This continued

until I finally found ir. Weisgerber, and this trial was finally opened to our joy.

. I H TY: No further questions.

The SIDEOUS Are there any further questions of the witness of any defense counsely

Me. Tim: Dr. Wolte, counsel for Handloser.

E PRISIDENT: Counsel, how long will you exemination of the wit-

DR. JUZE: Pernage 20 simutor.

TO PR SIPERE: No. Tribunal will now be in recens until 9:30 to morne commune.

(Choroupon Court of Journal until 9:30 m. . pril 16, 1947)

NATIONAL ARCHIVES MICROFILM PUBLICATIONS

Ro11 7

Target 2

Volume 17

Apr. 16-23, 1947

OFFICIAL RECORD

UNITED STATES MILITARY TRIBUNALS NURNBERG

U.S. vs KARL BRANDT et al VOLUME 17

> TRANSCRIPTS (English)

16-23 April 1947 pp. 5972-6356

Official transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the metter of the United States of America against Karl Brandt, et al., defendants, sitting at Eugenberg, Germany, on 15 April 19-7, 0930, Justice Beals presiding.

The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal I. Military Tribunal I is now in session. God save the United States of Merica and this honorable Tribunal. There will be order in the courtrant.

The Passing of the Arranal, will you ascertain that the defendants are all present in court.

THE MARSFAL: May it please Your E-nor, all defendants are present in court.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary General will note for the record the presence of all the defendants in court.

Counsel may priceed with exemination of the witness.

FRIEDRICF BIELSCHER - Resumed

RE-DIRROT EXAMINATION

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is reminded that he is still under outh. BY DR. NELTE (Counsel for the defendent Fandleser):

that witnesses and defendants have declared on the witness stend under with that the terrible things which happened behind the berbed wire had been unknown to them. You will understand that he ale who have not lived in our environment, as they look back after all the atrocities have been revealed, cannot understand how this could be a saible. Yesterday, if my motes are correct, you said, "The existence of concentration camps was known in Germany but nobody knew that happened there," is that right?

the I didn't say nob by. I meant nob by who was not nolitically the against the Neris.

We shall the. The question is: "if the central public, the german people as a phole, or did only a few be she know, or did certain groups know about these things? Now he asked you yesterday snoke of the

Fuchrer Order No. 1. Then you know about it. Would you agree with me if I were to say that this Fuchrer Order No. 1 was the typical expression of Hitler's principle, divide et impera, divisions of the various spheres of work and strictest secrecy? Would you agree with me?

- a. Yes, I would, _I believe, in addition, the actual significance of the Fuehrer's order becomes understandable only if one considers the pressure under which the whole nation was living; that is, the knowledge that if I say anything carelessly I'll disappear and I don't know what will happen to se.
- . You say that was the prerequisite for the effect and it was the consequence of this order, and it was the intention, because in the last analysis the whole nation was not behind the system to achieve by division what could be achieved with a cinority. Were the concentration camps under the 55 am early as 1923?
- A. I know that concentration cause were guarded by SA and that there was a struggle about the control of concentration cause among the higher party agencies. The example that I gave yesterday where coople were thrown into water at 80 degrees Centigrade and then their skin was taken off, that was done by the SA.
- This Hitler order sett/up the basic principle of secrecy was now expended and completed in the SS. Are you aware that aside from this Hitler order there was a general order from Himsler for the SS which provided severe penalties, even neath, for violation of the obligation to secrecy?
 - A. I don't recell the text but I know the general effect.
 - . You know that en order swisted?
 - A. Yes, I know that there were such orders.
- he go to a camp and ask to be let in?

16 April 47-1-75C-2-1-Karrow (Int., You Schoen) Court I . I don't think that any one in Germany would have come upon the idea of simply going to a concentration camp and asking to be let in. 1 Was it possible to visit a carry and what requirements had to be fulfilled? . One had to have commection with the SS and under some pretent any to find a legimate excuse for Wanting to get in. One had to find an excuse that agreed with the SS vecebulary. I have to look at this from the point of view of my own work. Q Yos, but, of course, I have to ak you, in judging these things, to distinguish between your specific cese and the case of the person who ald not have these special connections mich you had. .. A normal German would not dere to think to get into a concentration camp. 1 Could the members of the case administration and the guarde talk about the things which they observed to third persons? A No. of course not. 1 Could the impater tell their relatives or any one else in latters about what they observed? A No. of course not. If some one was fortunate enough to be released could be freely describe what he had seen and experienced? . Thee t for personal convergations with his best friend or with his wife, such people were very reticent. 2 Tas that because of a systematic order, a waiver which they had to sign or some much thin, ? .. It was because of the foreures which this man Bal experienced himself, or and meso, and the knowledge which we had received in the concentration camp of the malevolence of the SS system, and on the probability waich tordered on on tainty that if he said any thing to eny one and was not 100% certain that 5974

that person would be siltent he would be sont back to the camp and would be killed immediately.

- y Wall, let us sum up this system. Primarily, the basic Eitler order of secrecy, the specific orders from Himmler for the SS, the concrete orders for the comps and events in the camps, and the conclusion is it correct that all these orders were carried out with the greatest severity?
 - . Yos.
- A That this systematic secrecy, in effect, had to lead to the general public that is everyone who did not have something to in some official connection or some other connection such as yours, learning nothing about what happoned in concentration camps?
- n I can only repeat what I said yesterday. The concret public knew that the comps existed. There was a ceneral impression that semething very unpleasant happened in the camps. What naturally did happen in the camps was not known to the public up to the end of the war.
- Q You said that there was a general horror of concentration camps - this feeling that something was happening there. For example, medical experiments on prisoners?
- If this hid not refer to any details at all. It had the following mignificance. Everyone knew people distypeared from time to time and were no longer seen. A large number of these people did not return at all. These who did return were extremely retisent, even to their own brother, equain or parents. That was all. And this uncomp feeling that there is sepathing point on, senething that one wouldn't like to get involved in that was the intended effect of the existence of the compo.
- O Did any one of the public get the idea that experiments on human beings might be corried out?

16 April 47-14-750-2-3-Karrow (Int., Von Schoen) Court I A I can only repeat that no one had any idea of any details or had any clear impression of what was going on. Otherwise, cur underground work would have been such easier if we had been a ble to use such general knowledge. I can, of course, imagine that if some one knew some one has been sent to a concentration camp he could imagine that it was rather unpleasant there, as if some one is sent to prison or to a ponitontiary, but what I wan to know is this. Could the idea of horror mean anything so specific to the individual as the experiments which were carried out there? A I can only repeat that the general impression was a stricter form of penitenitery. Nothing specific, just a general unpleasant impression. Q But in a peniteritary one doesn't generally imagine that experiments are conducted on the insates, then this feeling could never arise that human experiments were being corried out in the comp? In that so? A I know nothing of any such specific ingression among the general public. In this system as you have discussed it I should like to ask - did it make any difference among the German population in general, whether some one was in a high position, whether he learned enything specific, enything positive about what went on in concentrotion demps, or can one say that that was generally impossible and did not depend upon the position of the official? MR. HARDY: Your Honor, this ground has been covered rather extensively, both by the defense counsel, the prosecution and the Tribund. I object to any further questioning of this kind. THE RESIDENT: Objection overruled, Counsel may proceed for c few minutes. BY UR. NELTE: Did you understand mo? The Tribunel asked whether any certain groups, bucause of their position, would necessarily have to know

about

- A I can answer the question only generally for the higher or middle officials in the various ministries that was the subject of our conversations what can be done with those government officials; and the impression of all my friends in all the groups, hight or Left, without any distinction, was that this class of government officials, not only since 1933, not only since 1919, not only since Dismarck, were disjustingly unpolitical and had no education outside of their specialized field. They worked in their own field and had no idea about anything class and didn't worry about anything class. That was the whole psychological prerequisite for holding National Socialiss power. Nothing could be done with these people that didn't know anything.
- Now, if a general, for example, says here on the mitness stend in answer to the question "Did you know what happened in the concentration compa?" If he says, under each "No", would you believe that?
- A That is a very difficult question because we all laughed at the stupidity of the generals. It is quite possible that just because he was a general he knew acthing, unless he was related to some one who was connected with the 20th of July.
- with the events and with those compa....."
- A No, no, I meant to say that the generals, who formed a quite distinct class in society, and a large number of people executed after the 20th of July, were executed because this class, insofer as they weren't anti-Nazi, didn't always maintain secrecy. I den't know who you are talking about here, but if a general says he know nothing and he was related to some one connected with the 20th of July, then I den't believe it likely.
- Them, if I make my question more specific: Professor Handloser said that he know nothing of the events in these concentration campe?

A Unfortunately, I don't know Professor Handlsoor's family and social connections.

Q holi, assume that he had no relatives connected with the 20th of July, or with concentration cases, but that he had an energous work as Army physician, as Army medical inspector and as Chief of the behavacht Medical Service, and that he had no contact with the SSY Do you believe that this statement is correct. I assume that you know his new and had some idea of what judgment you have to make of him.

A That's not possible. I can only say that if what you say is true it is not impossible that he would not know anything.

I con't consider it impossible.

In to much dotail. I believe it is one of the problems which is decisive for restoring Germany to a role in international life. As long as other countries believe that the general public impossible and approved such things, we shall not have bessed and that is why it is so important to me to hear your opinion on this matter and to give the Tribunal a dicture that the people in general had no knowledge.

I thank you.

BY JUDGE SERRING:

Q Doctor, the Tribunal has listened with a great deal of interest to the statements you have just made, and as Doctor Nelte has indicated, under the system of government which citizens of the United States have known for one hundred and sixty years, it is difficult to understand the raign of terror under the guise of duly constituted and organized government which you have delineated in your testimony. Now do you mean to say it can be possible that such a system of practice as you have delineated as having occurred in the concentration camps, could exist over a period of 8 to 10 years without such practices becoming generally known to middle and high level officials of the no-called government, the Tehrmeeht, and the SS?

A I must say the following. In the case of the middle and higher government officials, it would not have been possible if we had not, for a very very long time here in Germany, especially in the East Albe area, had had a class grow up, trained to devote themselves exclusively to their own department which they had studied with above-average thoroughness and which they took care of with the necessary thoroughness and, in addition, had the obligation to worry about nothing else. That was a habit of the middle and higher class which had lasted for centuries. For example, in the higher military offices we political people always talked about a dementia militaria maxima. It was not possible to talk to these poorle about anything that was outside of their own field because they did not understand it. That has increased since the beginning of the 19th century. For the middle and higher government officials, Your Honor, I must answer your question with "No" in general. In general they did not know about such things. In our many attempts to approach people there, unless they were actually relatives or friends of ours, we could do nothing. The thing was different if they were high party, Mahrancht or SS authorities; that is, the people immediately around Hitler, Himmler, Frick, Rosenberg, and so on. Then, of course, things were different. They were, of course, definitely informed.

Q Woll, let's drop down in the party level from Hitler, Himmler, Rosenberg, Hoydrich, Funk, and the rest of these men. Let's drop down on lower levels of men who were Party members or still had contacts with Party members officially. For example, let's consider the strata of Party officialdem represented by these defendants in the box. That is your view?

A I would be grateful if the rank of these men could be described to me because I have not followed this trial, with the exception of Sievers. It is difficult for me to give a general judgment because I do not know on what level these gentlemen were.

Q If that be true, then the Tribunal will not press the question, because it would be apparent that such an answer would be simply an opinion of the witness, is that correct?

A You.

JUDIE SEBRING: Thank you.

THE FRESIDENT: Counsel may proceed.

BY DR. SERVATIUS:

Q Witness, with your personal sequaintance of the resistance movement, do you believe that a person who was an active follower of Strosemann and Bruening since June 1933, and was persecuted by the Gestapo, as of the 2nd of May 1935 would have been accepted into the MSDAP?

A Only on condition that such a man had changed suddenly in 1933.

That happened, of course. There were surprising people who changed their allegiance in ediately.

Q Witness, if 3 months before, this man had openly protested against the Farty propagation play at the theater and had been beaten up and injured, do you believe it was likely that shortly after that he would be accepted by the Party?

- A At the same place?
- Q Yes.
- A No, that is very unlikely.
- Q Do you believe that 10 months later this man would be admitted

to a State exemination and receive a State position?

A Again, unless there had been a definite change in the meantime-

Q Do you believe that this man would be kept under arrest only 1 month if, a year later, after this incident, as a government official he sent 8,500 pemphlets to high government officials?

A That he would be under arrest only one month? No, I don't be-

Q Do you believe that the consequence would have been morely that he lost his position and that he could have studied medicine until the beginning of the war?

A This was discovered?

Q Yos, he says it was discovered and he lost his position and studied medicine. That was in 19hl. Do you consider that probable?

A No, I consider it very unlikely. The man would very probably have been sent to a concentration camp.

Q Do you believe that one could sand 230,000 subversive pemphlets through the mail without being discovered?

A I never heard of that happening.

Q Asido from the 230,000 pamphlets, do you believe that this man would be held for 6 weeks in a concentration camp after being arrested the second time if he had been dismissed from the Party, as he says, 2 years before?

A That is even more unlikely.

Q Do you believe that it was possible for the man, in 1941, to receive 2 letters of recommendation from the Sustape, to become a member of the laffen-SS?

A That pro-supposes a political change of allegiance.

Q This can says that he did so in order to get into the Party and to investigate conditions in the extermination comps, to reveal to the world what he discovered. Did you near anything about this-about such a man in an important position working at Austimitz, who wanted to in-

form the world?

A No, I know nothing about it. Of course, that doesn't prove anything. There were so many people who did not know each other. That doesn't prove mything.

Q "itnose, this man has recorded his activities in a document, it is 1553-PS, submitted by the Prosecution, Exhibit 428. I have here an English copy. On page h of this document conditions were described as I have just repeated. This witness is Dr. Nurt Gerstein. Do you know the name?

A No, I never heard it.

A graduate engineer for mine surveying (Bergasessor Diplomingenieur) who studied medicine?

A No, but that doesn't prove anything in itself.

Q lithous, when did you first hear of the execution of the outhernais program?

A I cannot give the year. I know in general that the Ferty wented
it and I know that these intentions were carried out, not us a private
citizen, of course, but that was information which I received and we discussed it frequently.

In July or August 1938, did you snow anything about the extermination of insome, feeble-minded and sick people in the mental institutions? Or was it later?

A I am sorry, I cannot give any dates, but I can say that at the time when it happened we know about it. One of the men, I den't know how it was, said - "The news is the following - "

Q Mail, witness, tell me, was it cofore or after the beginning of the war?

A I am sorry, I cannot tell you. All my disries were burned, It was noted down there in some form.

Q lithess, this Dr. Garatein yave a long report to the occupation troops when they entered Germany. Even his family has not been able to find it since. Don't you believe that the occupation troops failed to

16 Apr-M-18-3-5-Lesser (Int. von Schoen) Court No. I.

believe his statements?

A I can only say that what you have told me so far about the man makes it unlikely that he was in the resistance. But I do not know shough about the methods of the occupation authorities.

DM. SEMMINS: I have no further questions.

15 Danie (You Solven) A. Ho, we kept away from this method, becomes it was very unreliable and dangerous. I can may nothing from my own experience. L From you didn't know Reichsleiter Bouhler personally? ... You, but not in this connection. . Miness, did you see prisoners personally who were not in concentration campe one or two years, but many years, and then got out. Ton. . Yosterday you said that those preisoners made a very disturned impression, and that it was very difficult to get anything out of them, is that right? ___ were extra el reticent, extremel secretive, Taey boro the impression of tre t four, but there were people who managed to minted anesselves to it. . Quid one use the expression "mental wrecks" for these perule? ... I would say a large proportion of thee were . There were not-In jaro a maged to adjust themselves to it, and those were the best unog. . One could say tant in port the psychiatric condition of concontration comp inmates after years of imprisonment was very disturbed, ver, reduced -- how would you express it? In general His der succeeded in bracking these people to a hergo extent. It was a deviliah system. . In it true the a. 1 ree part of these capple, as a witness recently testified in a different trial, were through with their whole 11fe? .. it is difficult for me to answer since I an dependent on the reports of the prisoners with when I worked later, and they are poople win are not broken. If I can rely on their reports, and I am quite mure I can. I can a that a large proportion, as I have already sid, ware broken in their assistion, and so forthe. 1 Do you consider it yearfeld, witness, to I theme originary thouselves lost any respect for the lives of others? 5985

- different reactions which we often discussed. One is that such a person comes out and says from new on I shall never hurt a fly. Now I know what horrible things a numan being is capable of. I know how a numan being lives. I will never hurt anyone class. That is one reaction. The other reaction is, now I don't care about anything, nothing is important anyone, and I will do the same as they do.

 Those are the two typical reactions which follow from human nature.
- . Miness, you had some knowledge about the treatment of the prisoners by the guards in the concentration compa?
- prison, but from reports from non-in-my own group and other groups.
- . Do you know from whit part of squaraty, to spook carefully, those quents were drawn?
 - ... The swards in the concentration campe? You monn the SS?
- 36. They were dressed in 98 uniforms, but I just want to talk about the current tion emps.
- There were two heterogenic elements, so to speak. One was what we colled the typical 58 men, and the best thing to do today if we not then on the street is to shoot them down. Shooting is too good for them. The second group are the ones who are brought in unsuspectingly. That happened often, that is the cont in the foreign gard a settlements the people were colled to meeting and were told we were to carry out a consus or semething, and they had to sign a list. In the next day they were colled in and they had to sign a covered they were quords. For example, I was guarded in the Lahrter prison by seven Saxons, three from Silesia. ...side from the other hind that is the were very very decent and regretted they had been forced into this position, and I as a prisoner had an expertunity to talk to that court supervision, and I as a prisoner had an expertunity to talk to

16 _pr-.- GES-lialoy (You School) 5 kg 1-4 dourt Ho. 1. I'm d to console them. Those people did exist. But aside from them the meris were rather on unpleasant busch of people. . Did you learn anything about the way in which the guards tracted the prisoners in the concentration camps; do you know anythis, no but mistrontment, or anything similar? ... You, of course. into what year did your experience extend? - It expurience began in 1933. Ly reliable reports began in 1933. . In do you believe that this condition of the goards was sup orted from above? A. Ton, I do. They want up to the Commandant office, and went up to Malor and Mittur, too, of course. ". Then for a man the was "gainet this system in the concentration comps, did it involve danger to his own life to offeet the reloace of prisoners from these comps? ... Ity I nek you to report the question. J. 'Se 16 for a mon Fir a wen in this ayr day, you bount In a man outside the Byrnchy, a man was wented to help the poor prisoners to be released from the concentr tion curp; did it norm d by at to his own life to do go? ... Thises the best was extremely well covered he was under extreme draign: . DR. DRESCHEAM: Tweek you. No further quostions. DR. "ZISOZ'BER: Dr. Wiegurour --E PRESIDERT: Before you proceed counsel I have a few questions. BY THE PRESIDENT: . Timess, have you any idea as to the opproximate number of Corners in societies, exclusive of the Jews, who were sent to the concentration camp prior to September 1, 1939? is I cannot given any exact figure, but it is certain that it was 5967

in the 10 thousands, -- 10 thousand, 20 thousand, 30 thousand, 40 thousand, something like that.

- > "are those Garains taken from all levels of society through-
 - . You, those people case from the whole country.
- Is it true, as we have read, that even the German school calliares were instructed to not as informers even against their own p robts as to disloyal at tomants against the Noris, and so forth?
- L. That was not an exception. That was a consel phononomon. at d no from my own family, the murse, children's murse comes to a ledy and mays Typur daughter doosn't may 'Hoil Eitler' as often as she should. " The lady mays "that isn't right. The little ones don't lie. No don't way such nonsonso, and it turned out that the nurse took the lady to one side and said, "lister you are very fortunate. I am an old andiclist. I know you re consurv tive. You must instruct the enild to the to other people. In her class at school there are four or five girls the systematically inform on their parents and their school friends. That was quite comes experience. I talked to my worker friends and to some count. I talked to everyone, and we said "what do we do with the calldrent They must either be traphe at home to lie system tio lly, and the the very head for the child, " and we don't want to muy the children into such conflicting mituation. Those are only children of six or seven, Indicate child is told no sensol it is his duty to report his parents if they don't think as they should.

16 April 47-45-ATD-5-1-Meehan (Int. von Schoen) Court No. 1 Q Now that mystem; was it or was it not well known throughout Garmany that those things were done and the children were so instructed? . To my knowledge, that was a general experience that one had to be careful in the presence of ones children, but I must add, of course, that this was only the experience of people who were not Mational Socialists, people who were Masis or who had no opinion at all had no occasion to have this experience, it was only the growing group within the country who were not National Socialists who had this experience. Q My idea was to mak you whether or not that system was known by all German Maticaal Socialists and if members of all parties knew that much things were going on? A What should I say? a Wall, my question is, witness, whether or not throughout all places or political parties in Gurrany it as not generally known that things were reported by children, by veryone, that everyone was under instructions to report disloyal statements to the Mazi authorities? A In all classes of any political training from right to left, in all circles, who were not definitely National Socialists, it was known that spice were everywhere, children and adults, and one had to be careful even in the most harmless conversations, it was called the German look when two people met as they immediately looked over their shoulder before they could talk; that was quite general. Q Now, when persons were arrested for alleged disloyalty; they were taken from their homes were they not? A Yes. Q Now, no one could be, no adult person could be taken from his home or har home without a good many of the neighbors knowing that that person had disappeared; is that true? 5989

I Yes, that is true unless it was a man who was constantly

Q Of course, I understand that. So, it must have been generally known throughout all limits of German society that many people from time to time simply disappeared from their homes; is that true?

Q Well, was it or was it not also generally known that when those people disappeared from their homes that they had been arrested?

I Well, was it or was it not generally known when such people were arrested where they were sent or where they were taken; I don't mean the definite locality; it was known they were in the custody of the Mari Government; was it not?

A Yos, of course.

Well, would not that around considerable general interest among the population, among their friends, even among their energies who disagreed with them; would it not arouse some general interest as to where those people mere put and where they were kept?

A No, that was not the case. It was generally said if you investigate this thing you will possibly endanger yourself, so don't ask any quastions; that is the best for you, that was the general resettion.

I by question was asto the general reaction; that it would raise interest; not to ask questions I understand that; but it would be a natter of some general interest where these people were kept ever though people would not dare ask?

A Mr. President, that assumes a marmer, a type of thinking which we unfortunately did not levelop in our country for generations. This general interest, what is soing on here, aside from my vague feeling that something is wrong, was missing. Let us not get involved, loave things alone. The average man, up to the war, felt the Government probably does the right thing, those people were possibly criminals, who 5990

knows what they have done? We were not, before 1933, accustomed to the Government arresting people without any legal basis. "e were accustomed to the Government acting according to its best judgment. If people disappeared, as this wassuddenly done, without any legal reason, simply arbitrarily, the average person never thought that way, they felt the other fellow was probably a criminal or the police would not take him away. One has to imagine the lack of political interest among the population.

allowed in any respect to communicate with their families?

A As far as I know there waspermission at certain intervals, to write "I am here, I am well."

Q Now, after September 1, 1939 can you give any estimate as to the number of German Nationals, exclusive of Jess, who were confined in concentration camps after that date?

A 's natured at the time that it was two or three times the number before the war.

not be an accurate estimate, but could you give some figures as to what you think; understanding that is simply your opinion as to the number of Germans after 1939 who were placed in concentration camps?

A I assume that there were several impored thousand.

Q Have you any idea as to the number of Jaws, German Jaws, German citizens, who were confined in concentration camps prior to September 1st, 1939?

A I on sorry I itd not understand; how many there were before?

German citizens, who were confined in concentration camps prior to September 1, 1939?

A I assume that the number of Joss before the outbreak of war, German citizens before the outbreak of war, was not especially large; 5991 I assume that it was ten thousand or twenty thousand at the most.

- Q And after September 1, 1939; have you any idea as to the number?
- A The number increased very mapidly, the idea of extermination was put into effect during the war. It is difficult to say how many were in concentration camps before. We know that they meraly went through the concentration camps, they were transferred for example or wore killed in the ghettoes without being sent to a concentration camp. I don't think half of the six million Jews who were finally killed ever went through the gas chambers in the concentration camps. As we discovered in the East, they were taken directly from the Chetto to the mass graves or goe chambers as at Ghetto Litzmannstadt for example where there was a gas chamber; they were not sent to concentration camps at all and the question is very difficult to answer that is all.
 - Q The coursel may proceed.

HEIGRECT EXACTINATION (Continued)

BY DR. HEISGERBER:

questions. The the first question I want to clarify something.

Yestarday, I showed you Document No. 975, Prosecution Exhibit 179,

this is a letter which the Defendant Slavers wrote on 20 January,

1945 to Dr. Hirt. In cross emmination, the Prosecution showed you

the teletype message of 5 September, 1944 from Slavers to Rudolf

Brandt and asked you the question, at least this was the German trans
Letion that I heard, asked you whether it was admirable for the

resistance movement to deal with such things; either there was a

translation mistake or the Prosecution misunderstood your answer to

my question about the Document, which I showed you. Therefore, I

should like to give you an opportunity briefly to explain what you mean

by praiseworthy?

16 April-M-GJ-6-1-Putty-(int. Von Schoon)Court 1
A I did not mean that Hirt's idea v

A I did not mean that Hirt's idea which he had submitted to Hymler through Sievers to Jostroy this Strasbourg collection and to explain the bolics as belongin to the French Anatomical Institute I didn't think it was preisoworthy for Himmler to order that and I didn't think it was preisoworthy that Hirt was rejoicin, that this would escape from the notice of the Allies, All I meant was that if Sievers could not change the thing and if no one could be saved that it was preisoworthy since the thing had already happened in view of the threat to him personally to speak as in nozi terms about semething which has already becomed to cover himself. Not what Himmler did was preisoworthy but only the reason which he used.

Q I think you should speak a little, slower, witness, Witness, yester by you said that you had repeatedly to brin your knowledge about what hap, and brin the Mazi rejime to the attention of occupation authorities. Can you please tell us when and where you make such attempts?

A I connection with a reject theut the activity of my oreamission, I offered to reject what we had discovered about misdeeds
of the national accimists to the followin offices: the CIC in Marbure,
the ICD in Marbure, Mr. Well and his successor, Mr. Noscamp, the Em light
field accurity in Moettin and in Duesselforf and in Fumbure. I offered
to reject it it the Hernforf camp when I allowed to visit the defenlant Sievers, there broughly. I was referred to an American office
in Nauraber; — the building is next to this building. I was sond
us not outhorized to destroy from there. The Michaelm office send
me to Frankfurt. The Frankfurt office refused to liston to me. If
I had been at all informal which of the proscouture was coing to
work on the matter, I would of course, have more to him.

Q Witness, you said yester by that Sievers ofter ion discussions and consideration Leidei to remain in the office of the Reich Business manager even when the Abnormance came into contact with anperiments on human beings. Dis Sievers say, or did you give him any instructions as to how he was to carry out his a ministrative from then eng

16 April-M-GJ-6-2-Putty-(int.Von Schoon)-Curt 1-A That was a matter, of course, and, basided, emphasimed by both sides that when possin on the administrative orders that went through his secretary's office, he would, of course, pass on only as many as he was unable to provent, and wherever he could prevent anythin, he would do so. In my knowledge of Sievers, it is quite impossible that he fid anythin beyon that. on the othical missibility of Sievers remaining in the Ahmonerbe?

Q by final question now, witness: "id you consider exact knowled o of details in the execution of the execution of the execution of the and the activity of Dr. Hirt necessary in order to form your opinion

A I did not consider exact knowled a accessary because our othical ju ment was formed independently of the details, and the discussions of the details would marely have brought about new danporm. From my fun amontal attitude, which was the attitude of the whole group, and which we discussed before, I repudiated those experiments on principle. Now as is the case in such a roup as we were in a team which has to count on the inecoundance of every man, where I had to rely on the man's takin; up his duties in ependently. I gove Sievers his assi mement an . left him a completely fre hand on to how he carried it out. Otherwise we could not work at all: but, of course, I claim the responsibility since I ave the man his assimment: since I expected the man to take this risk, I slowe claim the responsibility for everythin that he actually did in the spirit of our work.

DR. WEISHOWS at Mr. President, I have no further questions to this witness.

THE PRESIDENT: are there any firther questions to the witness on the part of the defense counsel concernin these metters which have been brought out after previous examinations? There bein no publicus has the Prosecution any firther cross-examination?

> E. SLEDY: No further questions, Your Honor. THE INLEME: The witness may be excused form the stand.

16 April 1947 -M-7-1-PKP- Cook (Int. von Schoon) Court No. 1

DR. WEISGERE: Mr. President to conclude my case I should like to offer a number of documents. I believe that it will not be necessary to read these documents. In some cases I shall merely point out certain etatements.

The first document is Sievers Document No. 6, Exhibit No. 31,

Document Buck I, page 14. This is a letter from the Chief of the SS

personnel min office to the Chief of the Personal Staff of the Reichsfuchrer SS, Obergrappenfuchrer helff. This efficient shows that the

Chief of the SS personnel main office was trying to have Dr. Roscher

taken into the Verion SS. It was a very high authority that was

interested in that.

The next document which I offer is bievers No. 12, on proces 26 and 27 and 28 of Document Book I, which is Sievers Exhibit No. 32. This is an affidavit of Dr. Arno Seesann-Doutelmoser, who collaborated closely with Sievers and Hielscher. On page 27 Dr. Seesann-Doutelmoser makes statements about the planned assassination in which he would have participated. The next document is Sievers No. 16 on page 37 to 40 of Document Book I, Sievers Exhibit 33. This is an affidavit of the archaelogist Alfred Rust, who know Sievers from 1937 to 1939, who concludes this statement by saying: I quote:

"Summing up I would like to express the wish as a party opponent I never belonged to either the NSDAP nor the Sk not SS - and as an
individual, that all members of buman society in their actions may
behave as decent and as fine as Horr Sievers did towards me and my
acquaintences."

The next document is Sievers No. 21 on piges 53 to 55 of document book I, Sievers Exhibit No. 34.

The next document is Sievers No. 22, on page 56 and 57, Sievers Exhibit No. 36, I beg your pardon, No. 35, on affidavit of Professor Dr. Von Lutterotti.

The most document is Sievers No. 23 on p gus 58 and 59, as Sievers Exhibit No. 36, the statement of Dr. .cingortmer.

16 April 1947 -4-7-2-PMP- Cook (Int. von Schoon) Court No. 1

The next document is Sievers No. 24, on pages 60 and 61, document book I, Exhibit No. 37.

The next document is Sievers No. 25, pages 62 to 64, document Book I, Exhibit No. 38, an efficient of Count Dr. Oswald Trapp, and Sievers No. 26, on pages 65 and 66 of Document Book I, an affidavit of Dr. Georg Innerobner, Sievers Exhibit No. 39.

These six affidewits which I have just identified all deal with Sievers activities in the Southern Tyrologn Cultural Commission which he headed from 1940 on. I shall not read those officevits. They speak for themselves.

Then I also submit the affidavit ---THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, the Tribunel will now be in recess.

(A short recess was taken)

THE MAPSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel may proceed.

DR. WEISCHREER: You Honors, as the next document I submit is Sievers No. 27, Document Book I, pages 67 to 70, Sievers Exhibit No. 40. This is an affidavit by University Professor Dr. Gustav Schwantes. On page 69 I refer to the membership in a number of forwign scientific socities, and I should like to read the last puragraph of his statement, to be found on page 69.

"Summarizing up, again and again I had the strong impression that this man Sievers inwardly nothing whatsoever to do with the actual power conscious and distatorial National Socialism."

The next document is Sievers No. 28 on page 71 and 72 of Document Book I which I put in as Sievers Exhibit No. 41.

This is an affidavit of the University Professor R.R. Schmidt.

Next is Sievers No. 31 on pages 78 and 79, Document Book I
Sievers Exhibit No. 42. This is an affidavit of the former
Georg Schruefer of Waischenfold who here describes Sievers'
activity when Sievers at the end of the War, while the American
Forces were approaching, hisself saw to it that the town of
Waischenfold was not defended, thus saving the lives both of
German injubitants and American soldiers.

I come not to a few documents from Document Book 2 end offer next Sievers Document 42, pages 1 to 5. The English translation as it on page 3 to 7. I offer this document as Sivers Exhibit 43. This is an office vit by Dr. Aldolf Lantzel who was the Chief of the Business Committee of the maion Research Council. I point out priofly als statement regarding Sivers' attitude, particularly toward foreign scientists who were in German concentration comps. Professor se p is mentioned, Director of Calo university, professor Brookeer of Uslo, one the case of the Morwagien stadents is mentioned here whom civers saved from the concentration como. The case of the atomic physicist liels sonr is lise mintioned, and 1 should like to want out briefly : prestue to be found on othe 3, page 5 of the English transiction in the idale, I quote: "In 1942 - a a the impression that oldvers intended to devi te from the desert work." and, finally, I wint out the passages in this aftigavit referr no to Sivers' activity in the win eing committee of the maion asserron Council.

B of the inglish translition. This i offer as devers
Exhibit 44. This is an officerit on the part of the same
Dr. Rucolf mentrel. It was arraws up subsequently as
result of the submission of popular hours tion and which
Exhibit 464, which was not in a the prosection and which
was to prove that elevers and something to no with
experiments with poison was, dievers expining this
metter in the direct examination and I and this affidavit
to an down from ur. mentrel in this same connection.

In fer now divers 44, page 7-9, brice will of the English translation, elevers smillit 45. An affidavit on the part of Dr. Folf Funzengraber, who was brisoner in

Decimal, who now tells of Rescher's activities particularly and disvers' testimony that the experimental subject who was used in the fre zing experiment where Dr. hirt was also present was a hardened criminal, condemned to death. The witness Funzengruber can make this statement under oath decade he heard this converention between divers, hirt and Rescher.

The next document, is Slavers 40, on pages 12 to 17, which I put in as pievers 46. This is an efficient from Dr. Gisele Schmitz, was, from 1937 to 1945 was secretary in the ahnemorbs, and as this af ldrvit states, she was never a member of the National Socialist Party or any of ita or mizations. She si a various testimony reparding the internal business a fairs of the abnenerbs and further st tis that slavers told her how implement he found it that the annenerbo came in touch with human being experiments on almalar's orders. She says further that reports on Reschar's experiments in Dachas never appeared before nor. If soon reports and come to the annemerbs sas would certainly have seen it since she saw all incoming mail. I point out further her corroboration of the perliminary history of hirt's being commissioned to collect a Jewish Bolenevist skull collection. Doougent No. 088, put in by the prosecution as axaibit 182, we dictated by Dr. Beger, the same Dr. Beger who enose the innertes in the concentration one of becase and this offidavit testifies to that affidavit. I point out also this affidavit's corroboration of Sievers' membership in the resistence movement. one can testify to this of her own knowledge because clevers raportably spoke with her about all those matters.

The next document, Slevers 47, proces 18 and 19, pages 20 and 21 of the English transl tion, Slevers Exhibit 45-

partion as correction, it is exhibit 47. An affidavit on the p rt of Conra regarding the witness here heard today, Friedrich Hielscher.

The next to last document, Sivers 48, pages 20 to 22 respectively.....

THE FRESIDENT: (interrupting) Counsel, that document nes also dy bean introduced in ovidence as Sievers Exhibit 22.

DR. Wilddinber: I beg your person, that is so. As
the last locument I put in players 51, page 31to 33 of the
German transcript, pages 33 to 35 of the inclish translation.
This will be Sievers Exhibit 48. This is an excerpt from
the periodical "The American Review" of January, 1947. The
article deals with the 20th of July, 1944, and contains a
list of the various groups active within the German
resistance movement, as well as a scrutiny of the question
"How it came about that even clergymen in the Christian
f iths teached thereint of condoning political marder as
the only mone of disposing of the tyronay of Notional
Socialism. The submission of this document concludes my
presentation of evidence.

THE PRISIDENT: Counsel, I note that there are some documents contained in your document books which have not been offered in evidence. It is your intention not to effer those documents, I understand?

DR. 1 SGLEER: Intentionally I omitted Sievers 7 and 8 because, as I subsequently discovered, they had already been put in by the Prosecution.

THE CHESIDENT: I just wanted to be sure there was no emission which would be sought to be corrected later. I understand that Defendant Sievers now rests his case?

DR. MISGERMER: Yes. I shall only permit myself, at a later date, with the permission of the Tribunal, to put in a few more documents which are going to dome to me from Foreign countries but which have not yet been received.

THE MESIDENT: I understand that, counsel. That permission has been arented you.

The Tribunal calls the case of the Defendant Rose.

TR. MANUY: May it please Your Honor, it has been called to my attention that Dr. Servatius will exquire one of the witnesses that is coming here in behalf of Defendant gose and at that time he will use Karl Branch Document Book #3, which has recently been delivered to the Prosecution. Then Dr. Servatius is using it I suggest that the Tribunal have it here also, inasmuch as it has been recently delivered.

THE MUSIDENT: The Secretary Consered will see that the Karl Brandt Document Book 3 is furnished to the Tribunel. I should like it noted down that the Secretary General's Office should see that Kerl Brandt's Document Book 3 is seasonably delivered to the Tribunal.

Counsel may proceed.

DR. FRITZ: (Counsel for the Defendant Rose) Nr. President, I intend to conduct my case for Rose in this way. First I shall call the witness Frau Block and the witness Professor Hoering, and then shall put the defendant himself in the witness box. During the examination of the defendant Rose I propose to offer several documents and at the conclusion of his expination to put in the rost of the documents.

THE FRESHDANT: Counsel may proceed with the defense in the manner indicated.

DR. FRITZ: Then I should like to call the witness, Mrs. Block, to the stand.

THE PRESIDENT: The Mershal will summon the witness, Lotte Block.

LOTE HACK, a witness, took the stand and testified as follows:

BY JUDGE STAIRS:

Please raise your right hand and be sworn, repeating after we:

I swear by God, the Almighty and Comiscient, that I will speak the

pure truth and will withhold and add nothing.

(The mitness repeated the cath.)

You may be seated.

DIRECT EXCENSION

BY DR. FRITZ:

- Q Please state your full name.
- A firm Lotto Block, no. Schuldt.
- 3 then and where were you born?
- A August 7, 1901, in Silosia.
- Q What is your present ad ress?
- A Frankfurt-on-the-Main, rasserwandstrasse 6.
- Q hat is your present profession?
- A Special Service employee in Frankfurt-on-the-Main.
- Q Planes describe briefly to the Tribunal your career.
- A In 1919 I graduated from the Dymnasian and them studied national economy and law in Bonn and Heidelberg. In 1922 I carried Regionungerat Priodrich Block of the Culture Hinistry in Berlin. During my carriage I worked, without salary, for a Combolic charitable organization, Caritas. In 1933 my husband had to resign, because of his Jevish mecestry, from his position as Oberrelinsurgerat. In 1935 I took my State examination as narse. Because of the policic 1 situation in Germany my husband and I wanted to emigrate and I wanted some practical training.

16 Apr-M-M9-10-3-Lesser (Int. Brown) Court No. I. We never succeeded in emigrating and on the 11th of November, 1935, my husband was shot by the SA in Berlin. Q Your husband was Jewish? A Tes. q Did you yourself suffer any disadvantages because you were aarried to a Jar? A On the day my husband was shot I returned from the city to our house and there found 2 Sa men who told is that they had liquidated my husband for acts inimical to the State. I lest control of myself and made statements to the S. non that osused by impodiate arrest. Then for 9 months I was kept in the cellar of the Frinz Albrecht Gestape prison in Borlin, in a dark small coll. At the and of August 1936 I was reheared, with the help of one of my husband's friends. In view of those occurrences I went to England for one year, in November of 1936, to visit relatives. After my return to Germany I lived in Berlin, without taking up any profession at first. At the beginning of 1939 I heard frem a friend that Professor Rose in the Robert Koch Institute in Berlin, was looking for a private secretary. I applied for this position and was hired. Q tos Professor Rose nware of the events that you have just dosorlb d? A. Yos. 2 Did Professor Rose continue to employ you after the police, within the framework of the supervision under shick you found yourself, made regresentations to him? A Yes. Q During your employment under Professor Rose was any pressure exercised on you by the Robert Roch Institute that you should join the German Labor Front? A Yes. The President of the Robert Lock Institute, Professor Dildeneinter, and the managing director, whom I refused to join the German Labor Prost, asked Professor Rose several these to require that I

join the labor Pront or otherwise I should be fired. Professor Rose refused both of those alternatives. In this connection I should like to remark that the competent German authorities, the Main Committee for the Victims of Pascism in Berlin, and a similar office in Prankfurt, have recognized as as a victim of Pascism.

- Q How long were you employed by Professor Rose as private secretary?
- A From 1939 to 1943. I worked in the Robert Koch Institute in the tropical medical department. Professor Hose was frequently absent and I worked in his own office, if only to be able to unswer the two telephones there.
 - Q that alse do you have to say about your activity?
- A Professor Rose wesoften on of icial trips and mostly at his military of time. Consequently I was well informed as to his official and private activities. I opened all his mail, informed his of its contents by phone, took care of the answers by dictation or on his telephonic instructions, and kept his files in the Institute. I also had to take care of his scientific work, take excerpts from scientific periodicals for his regarding his scientific field, etc. Finally, I also had to take care of these matters which concerned Rose's activities as co-aditor of the Handbook for Tropical Diseases.

16 April 17-M-ATD-il-2-Miloy (Int. Srown) Court No. 1 Were patients treated in Professor! Rose's department? A When I was first there Professor Rose did have patients with tropical diseases. Moreover many people came to be vaccinated. These innomiations were subsequently taken care of by the assistants. Patients treated by Professor Rose personally I had to turn away when they reported, and give them the address of the three other tropical medical institutes in Berlin, Professor Wermicke, Professor Maver, and Professor Siemann. Secause he had so little time Professor Rose, when I was there, did not have any private practice. Patients who monded hospital care he turned over to the Virchow Hospital, but from 1943 on he had his own department with 20 beds. This department also he left in the care of two any doctors with apprience. Q De you know whather Profussor Nosa, or his collaborators, carried out human being experiments? A Thut, as far as I know, happened only once. Professor Rose had discovered a new type of worm which caused spidernal discases. When the gentlemen were unable to infect aminals with this discose, Professor Rose and a few of his assistants, infected themselves, and the infection took in a few cases. Also a few of the assistants intentionally infeated themselves with malorie. Moreover, all matters that had to do with melaria, all persons in his department who had to do with mularia fell ill with malaria at one time or another in the course of years. Moreover, mesquitoes infected with melaric were sent for research and therapy to the larger hospitals, but these were not experiments, but infections for other purposes of discovering treatment. I and no to busin being experiments outside the department you heard nothing? A No. Q You said before Professor Rose did not work on typhus? A 160. A Well, then who did work on typhus in the institute? 6006

A Professor Haagen, together with Professor Gildemeister, and later Professor Gildemeister alone. The typhus department was in a separate building of the Institute.

Q Were there connections between Rose's department and the typhus department?

A No. Professor Gildenmister forbade anyone entering the typhus department, because of the danger of infection. Moreover we had no other contact with Professor Gildenmister's co-workers, since we had our own housing, dining room, and so forth, there was no need for any connection between the two departments.

- Q. In September 1943, Wose was in Copenhagen in connection with the question of increasing production of typhus vaccine; do you know anything about thisy
 - A. 108.
 - 4. Please tell us about it in detail?
- A. The General of the dedical Service asked Professor Rose to negotiate alrectly with the serum manufacturer in Copenhagen. This is General Schrieber of the schromoht, he asked to find out if there could not be produced typhus vaccines for the German Wehrmacht. This trip of Home was without any consequences, because the Copenhagen Institute turned his request down. After his return Rene drew up a report on the trip, which he sent among others to Jeheimrat Otto in Frankfurt, to the Sehring werker, and to Professor Gildeneister, as Chief of the Typhus Department in the Robert Koch Institute. Professor Hone brought back from Copenhagun a few samples of the typhus vaccine, or if he did not do so at any rate he received them immediately thereafter. Professor Rose transmitted these samples to the aforementioned pursons, including Professor Wildemeister. Then Professor wose concerned bisself no further about the matter.
- Q. Witness, I show you in this connection Document Book Mo. 12 of the Procedution. On pages 36 to 56, Document 265, Prosecution Exhibit 287, there is a diary by one Dr. Ding regarding his human being experiments in the concontration camp of Buchenwald. Flease turn to page 53 of this document book then under the date of 8 Jarch 1964, yet in the following entry. I muote: "Typhus vaccing, Experimental Series No. VIII. 8 waren 1 mab. On Professor wese's subject the Copenhagen vaccine (pro used from mice livers) was tested for its protective qualities on human beings." what do you have to may shout that?

A. As I have already said, Professor Rose sent all the samples of vaccine that he had brought beck or had received from Copenhagen,—whether he sent them to persons other than the three I mentioned I can no longer say under oath today, but most assuredly he sent no sample to a concentration case or to an SS office or to any Dr. Ding, whose name I heard first in connection with this trial. If I had heard it I should certainly have recalled it. I am inclined to believe on the contrary that Professor Gildereister was the one who sent these samples on, with an indication that he had received them from Rose to be tosted in his laboratory. This is the only way I could explain this entry here, which is made only 9 months after the Copenhagen trip.

THE PRESIDENT: Coursel, what was the date of the entry in the Ding diary to which you referred?

HR. HARDY: Page 19 of the anglish, your Monor.

THE PRESIDENT: we haven't it have.

DR. FUTZ: The date is 8 wareh 19hb, until the 18 of March 19hb.

THE PRESIDENT: In the description sheet of this witness it is stated she worked for the defendant more from 1939 until 1943, not 1944, is that correct?

BY DA. TRITZ:

- T. Yes, now I must say the following in this connection, or. President; first in my copy I connect read the
 Last digit in the identification of the year; it is one
 4; I only assumed it is 1944, my copy being unclear;
 witness, can you clarify this?
- a. Liter I left Professor Rose when he was in Berlin on business, I did work for him thereafter. From seeing the mail, I knew a little, but I never heard mything about this Dr. Ding or any such a tter.
 - . The Goponhagen trip took place whon?
- for nose and at that time the was ine was provide on.
- 2. It occurs to us now that this entry is considerable later then that trip?
- A. Tor; and I know that the Copeningen trip was included in the file and stored and Professor Rose never asked about it again.

THE RESIDENT: I desire that the author be el rified.

- T. Wien did the Copenhagen trip take place; I have it down for September , 1965 in my notes?
 - ... Yos, autumn of 1945 wer the date.
- To Now wore then employed as privite socretary by Professor Nose at thit time?
 - A. Yoz.
- C. and this entry that I have just put be you, but which you had not previously seen, refers to 1944 and I ask you what you, on the basis of your own incowledge of the year 1943, can say about this entry, and the words that interest me are: "On Professor mose's suggestion such and such a sories of experiments?"

Frofessor wose abandoned this wiels matter in 1965 and as long as I was there, he never asked he shout the business nor were any inquiries directed to us from outside on this question. Professor were did not interest rimself in this question and I believe I can say from what I how about the affair that he undertook this Copenhagen trip because he was sked to do so and lacew the set-up in Copenhagen and I do swe special vaccines. We hoped that we could am ment our supply of vaccine but when the trip turned out to I we been in whin, this finished the arther for professor Rose.

that you mentioned which reference hase brought back with him or which were sent to him after the trip, did be pass them on while you were still employed by him?

A. Mos, who there was done in writing or whether one of the assistants simply was asked to carry the samples over, that I do not know.

number of foreign guests were introduced to the methods used; did these foreign guests where the visit Professor Mose?

they had wended a to the typhus described and who wanted to be introduced to Professor Rose. I remainer there was a fort, who kept on so its ouch because he instanted entalking to Professor acts, he aver, he did not speak to him at all, because it that the Professor Rose was on an extended official wrip. We told the other we there was on the dropped in that Professor account at the other we there will did not receive anyone in the institute.

- neister and Rose?
- Lespicions, Professor Mildomeister was a very punctilinus and bedurecratic man and it was of great importance to him that he should receive due respect as the superior, he concerned himself with every trifle. Professor Mose, on the other hand, was a very independent erson, very generous and cornite a great freedom in our work. These differences in character channel frequent friction between the two men. It also must be said if a Professor Mose could become very excited and used very drastic language when to we amonged by red tape and even Men to we stalking to the President, he did not restrain himself.
- 3. Low did you know that; were you present t such evente?
- Dr. Vildamoister and if possible settled everything by prono. Den he is do there plone calls, he did not wint to not of the office, been use I has to take notes, consequently, I frequently hand the conversations of at least his half of them. It was also his custom to have the personal conferences that the hid with the President taken down handletely for the records so that it least their centents mould be recorded. From this also I could see

that there were very frequent differences of spinion between the two contlemen,

- Q. Now, at the beginning of 1943 Professor some became Vice President of the sebert much Institute; is that true?
 - A Yos, on worll lat.
 - . How did this work out?
- a. Practically it had no consequences, Professor Rose joined the air force and could not exercise his function as vice president. It was said around the institute in those days that Professor Gildeneister had strongously opposed cose's appointment as vice president.

 The respectations of a vice president at this tips was in connection with the reorganization of the Pressian institute each for a Reich's institute on april 1, 1942, however, hose's appointment occured only on world 1, 1943. This delay was attributed only to Gildeneister's opposition.
- Q. Here in this trial Professor nose has been characterized by the fribunal as Oildeneister's closest friend and collaborator, particularly in the field of typhus; is that so far as you know correct?
- As Now we I have already stated, the personal relations between the tow men were not good and there mover could have been any—
 thing such as a close friendship between the two, rather the contrary is true. Also there was no agreement in scientific matters
 between them insofar as I could judge as an untrained observer; on
 the contrary the two gentlemen frequently had alterestions.
 Gildenoister did not work with most in the field of typhus, now
 was there any connection between the typhus department

16 April - GJ-12-1b-Acchan-(int.brown)Court 1-

and the department for tropical medicine. I never took down any dietation from which it can be seen that typhus experiments were discussed by the two men with one single exception, about which I will be subsequently examined. There was no connection or collaboration between the two lepartments or men and this shows that neither Professor Rose nor any employee in his department found out, except for the fact that someone felt ill when the laboratory assistants were one after the other injected by Gieldmeister in the typhus laboratory, despite the fact that they had received protective vaccines.

Q The Prosecution assets further and has summitted documents to the effect that "refessor Gildeneister took part in the typhus experiments in concentration campo and that Professor Rose took part in the plannin and carryin out of these experiments; do you have anything to say about that?

A I can say most 'afinitely that I knew nothing about that; if P of osser Rose over worked with from ser Girldoneister on typhus I should have certainly found out anything on that sort.

There was scientific collaboration between the two sen at all.

Professor Rose simply submitted the official reports of his department that was all otherwise he worked completely independently in his department and not in connection with Gildoneister.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will now be in recess until 1:30 this afternoon. I was in error, I for ot the Tribunal recesses until lo:10 temerrow mornin.

(A rucess was taken until 10:10 Hours 17 april, 1947.) Official transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America against Marl Brandt, et al, defendants, sitting at Nuernberg, Germany, on 17 April 1947, 1030, Justice Beals presiding.

Tribunel I is now in session. God save the United States of America and this Honorable Tribunal. There will be order in the courtroom.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Marshal, will you ascertain if all the defendants are present?

The MarSHalt May it please Your Honor, all the defendants are present in court.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary General will note for the record the presence of all the defendants in court.

The Tribunal will sit this morning until 12:30 without any recess.

Counsel may proceed.

LOTTE BLOCK - Lesumed

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY DR. FRITZ (Counsel for the defendant Acce):

Witness, I have a question first of ell regarding your testimony yesterday ----

THE PRESIDENT: I will remind the witness that she is still under onth.

that you held it unlikely that the entry of Earch 1900 was correct,
according to which the series of experiments with the Comenhagen vaccine
Rose,
was supposedly carried out on the suggestion of Professor/ Will you
tell the Tribunal briefly how you came to this conclusion?

A. then in 1943 home returned from his trip to Copenhagen, the stables of twomax vaccine were immediately distributed. Professor Rose tent the documenta to be filed and reparted the whole matter as settled. The documents were out away. If Professor Rose had wanted to work on this matter further or had expected further shipments of the vaccine, he would have made a note to that effect on the documents. That, however,

did not take place. Professor hose never inquired further regarding these filed documents and while I was working there no further documents arrived about this matter.

- and you were employed by him until the end of December 19437
- A. Yes.
- Le I continue now with my exemination. Do you know anything about human being experiments with typhus?
 - A. No.
- Do you know enything about a conversation that Hose had in the Spring of 1943 regarding typhus, or several conversations?
- A. No. with the exception of one between Professor Rose and Professor Gildameister.
- Did Frofessor Rose have a conversation with Startesekreteer Contil
- A. Professor Rose was asked to go on an official trip with Gildoneister and returned from this trip very upset, asked me to arrange for a conversation over the telephone between him and Conti, and then to arrange a date for a conversation with Professor Gilderoister.
 - . Please state this in detail, witness,
- A. When he returned from his talk with frofessor Gildeneister, he dictated to me a memorandum regarding his talks with Conti and Gildeneister. We was very excited at that time and I can still recall that professor hose, along with frofessor Gildeneister, had seen typhus experiments on convicts condermed to death in Euchenweld. Hose characterized these experiments as completely useless and unothical to Conti, and that one could discover no more from them than from sminel experiments. However, he did not succeed in inducing the Stantsekretaer to have such enimal experiments undertaken. In the discussion with Professor Gildeneister there was hardly mention of these experiments. Professor Gildeneister complained to Bose that Professor Eose had soud contrary to discipline and against his orders and beauth his back, when without his knowledge he went to have a conversation with Conti and told him that he

was intervening in metters that did not concern him and which belonged within the sphere of work that pertained to Professor Gildemeister. He should have asked Gildeneister to take part in the talk with Conti. I cannot recall further details today. The memo I mentioned was several togos long. I know also that Professor Rose were very cernest and excited and made no side remarks, such as he often made when he was dictating. after concluding the dictation he werned me, which is another thing he solden did, to observe atrict silence to everyone, and immediately loft the Institute without being seen or talking to his assistants, which is another thing that he did not often do. The conversation between Professor Gildeneleter and Professor Rose must have led to serious altercations between the two men because from then on, whenever there were telephone convergations, Rose was very formal in his behavior. Up until then he had alvays addressed Professor Gildeneister as "Mr. Gildeneister" or "actoined Colleague", and now he used the midress "Mr. Fresident" and confined himself to the absolute minimum. Also his mode of expression became very circumspect and he avoided making any remark of a drastic nature to Frofessor Gildescister, which craviously he had often done in conversation.

- In your last answer you said that Rose was with Gildeneister in Buchenesla. The interpreter said Dacheu.
 - A. Yes, it was nuchervald, and not Dechau.
- carried out?
- A. In the teginning of the war home had militarily little to do.

 to simply took a few brief official journeys, did a great deal of work
 in the Institute, and was dressed almost always in civilian clothing.

 In the Winter of 1939-1940 and in the Autumn of 19-0 Professor Rose resided in Russia for several months working on the transfer of Germans
 to the best. After his return his military work increased in scape. He
 set up a second office in the Air Ministry and there was a direct telethose line laid between the office at the army Institute and the Air

Inspectorate. From 1941 on Professor Rose, because of his time being taken up with military matters, often did not come to the Institute for weeks, even when he was in Berlin. He simply had the mail read to him over the phone, gave instructions by telephone and distated urgent matters, and discussed technical metters with the assistants, whom I had to call to the phone.

Was at the medical Inspectorate and you were in the Robert Koch Institute?

A. As I told you, I had to ettend to suriliary scientific work and to getting extracts of a scientific nature, which I did in the library or in the office. I also discussed the current sail on the telephone and transmitted it when necessary. If schething very pressing came up and Professor Rose was unable to come to the Institute, I went out to the medical Inspectorate. Moreover, Professor Rose dictated to me usually in the evening in his spartment from 7 until 11, dictated his private sail, his scientific work, office correspondence, and sometimes military matters.

his military activity?

A. That demended, sometimes two or three times a week. Sometimes for one or two months he didn't come at all and then there would be weeks. When he appeared more frequently.

Jourt 1. April 17-4-6-1-BD-dechan-Brown. at the end of 1943, way did you terminate your amployment with Professor Rose? a. Professor mose was hardly in Berlin any more and the military office was removed alsowhere. I ayaclf wanted to remain in Berlin and I did not want to work with Professor Gildemeister. -. Now, witness, another a ttor; dia Professor Ross or one of his collaborators work on yellow faver? A. Do, as long as I and anything to do with the den stwent there wis no work on jellow fever. Yellow faver woodne was produced in the downt soon institute, but only in the Virus deportment. and who was in on red of this Virus ep rtment? w. rrofessor has on and after he left, professor oildemelster. w. Now a fuw questions on the meleria problem; With whom aid Professor Rose work on the meloric question! A. On one nena with the resistants in his deportment, also with Obermedizinolrot or. a pel, who was director of s same torium at arnedorf near Drosden. This was in the oldres of collaboration, he when the postal service broke down - the end of the wir is essist ate frequently went thora. Professor nose to a clso fre wently in wronder! hear prosess to discuss problems. From 1942 on no worked also t the Institute Sherswelde near comin. ne and his bwn -s. istent from there come frequently to Berlin and recorted to him of to the assistants on the wor. in Sperswalde. We also corresponded on the question of mod ri with inquestrial firms Bayes in Laverkuseu and alberfeld, but those word s m ly prophylactic means of competing to larie. . Did Professor nose have say a larie wor with the senourg Propinel Institute on selevia?

A. No, he held lectures at the Hamburg Tropical Institute and was a member of the scientific senate of the hamburg academy, and he supplied scientific articles to their papers. He was also a member of the Board of Directors of the Tropical Academic cociety in Hamburg, but re, raing his own work he aid not have correspondence with these gentlemen.

Q. Did Professor Rose have my correspondence with Dr. Schilling on malarie?

a. No, Dr. schilling simply corresponded with the assistants on the malorie question.

2. Did Frofessor Schilling receive my malaria material from Dr. Asse?

A. When Dr. Schilling set up his laboratory in Dachau, he wanted to visit Dr. Hose in the institute, but aid not happen to rem into him as Dr. Hos was on an official journey. no then asked the technical assistant, orna von falkenheyn to give nim anopholes cage and strain mosquitoes for his work in Dechau. This the essistant aid and when Profussor Rose r turned from his trip, miss von Folkenh yn told his that Professor contlling has been there and that she had sent him meterial to Dachau. Thereupon Professor hose asked wise von folkenhoyn not to make any future deliveries to Dr. schilling, since he was not convinced that Dr. Schillings's research would be successful and he di not ant to waste his valu blo m turial for als uscless attempts. I was struck at this time by the recitude on Dr. Rose's port, since the del very and sending of such asterial Wes please taken eare of in routine feation by the assistants. It was sent to hospitals and such places and was usually colled to Dr. Hose's attention efterward.

Dachas emone Dr. Rose's files?

A. . . there were none.

.. You saw nothing in writing that had to do with Senilling's notivities?

a. Once, Dr. Rose, on request of the Ministry of the Interior, draw up an extensive report on Profusior confilling's regards work; I mysulf wrote this report. Professor abou crtasorically repual tod that reserred work and recommended for economic and practical rensums that research work should no longer be supported by state funds in this work. This report was on a trip when the request for it come and he went away on nother trip right away, it adomed the matter was pressing. I, mysulf, took the docabent personelly to the ministry of the Interior.

Q. Do you now when this took place; in what year?

A. At the end of 19:1.

Q. Was there mention in this report of work in

A. No and if there had been such mention it would certainly have occured to me as at that time I had not haerd of work in concentr tion comps and I would have

Q. When did you for the first time near of experiments on human beings in concentration camps?

A. From newspaper reports, after the collegs.

Q. In other words, from Dr. Rose's conversation or in other ways you found out nothing about experiments in

A. No, with the one exception of the conversation batween Conti and Gildomoister.

Q. One last quustion, withess; for what remson did you voluntarily appear as a witness for Professor Rose at thin Triel?

A. at the buginning of this triol I found out through a notice in the Zeitung that Professor Rose was a co-defendant and your name was mentioned as that of his opunsel. Then, on y own initiative, I wrote to you and placed sysolf at your disposal as a witness. I did so bec use, he professor Rose's former priv to secretary, I folt I know so much about him and his work that I hold it to be impostible that Profussor Hose should have onything to do with crimes against numerity or war crimes in any form or could have known of them.

Q. Ar. President, for the moment - nive no further questions to this witness.

BY THE PRESIDENT:

Q. sitness, you stated that you first heard of experiments in the concentration comps from newscapers; 6032

wast nawap pare do you refer to?

- A. After the collapse I was in serlin, the Barliner I geseptical, I believe, was the first paper to mention it, then the Neue Zeitung had an article on the subject. I also now esmething in the owner paper that somehow or other was sold in Berlin, but I cannot tell you what that paper was now.
 - Q. When was that and want time?
 - A. In 1346.
- propound to this withess?

BY DR. FLEWING: (Counsel for Defingent Arugowsky.)

- that Professor does was very upset when he returned from his trip to Suchenwald and he commissioned you to arrange for a conference with Conti, he then diet toda memorandum to you bout this conference with Conti; from this numerandum could it be seen what connection Conti had with the experiments in Bachenwald?
 - A. No.
- totween Dr. Rose and Conti he set down in this generandum?
- A. No, I am only remember that Continue and that he could not entirely three with Professor acc.'s argument, but that is so long ago that I amnot make any statements now under onto about it.
 - Q. No further questions.

THE PARCIDENT: Any further questions to the witness
to may other defense counsel? There being none, the
Prosecution may cross-examine.

17 pr - - (25-7-1-000k (3rmm) Court T .. 1. CHOSS EX .IH.TI N ay LL. H MOY: . . . Black, when did you first enter the a gloy of Professor 3000, the day, the monte and the year, please? ... Jetween one and ten Spotember 1939. hon did you com lote your a ployment with Professor Rose? ... thu and of Door bur 1943.). Now you have outlined for the Tribunal the duties which you had willo working as a private secret ry to Professor Bone, at any time during the course of your duties, did you encounter any secret or top socrat correspondence? - Mover. . If Professor Rose and received correspondence of a secret or tog secret mature, would be have permitted you to handle said external/ ... I bolievo so, yos. b Even top secret meterial? . Too. . Woll now you have at too that Ross's work did not deal with the field of typhus research, is that right? Your You . I'u have further stated that the are ter part of the work in the tropical discuss for report of which Rose was the Chief, th t brooding activities from insquitous, flies and other insects were perame a mojor took? i. You, that is so. . You have stated in t the various toequitoes were handled by Ross at hospital and other research stations, is that right? Yos. . Toll now in connection with those octivities concerning Dr. Schilling, you have stated that or, Schilling was working at Dachou. The lie you know he was at D chan? .. Professor Schillin came to the Robert Den Institute one day,

Professor Hose was not there but Hes You Falkenhays, who hid proviously worked with Professor Schilling, came over to my office and brought Professor Schilling along. Professor Schilling and he would like to have campholes eggs and nesquite eggs and then went into some detail enging he wanted to erect a research institute at Dachau.

- . Mindly tell this Pribunel the worth and the year that Professor Schilling visited the Robert Koch Institute to secure these resquite ergs?
 - ... I boliove it was 1941.
 - 1. 1941? We Professor Schilling working at Dehou in 1941?
- 1. I seem to remember that he said that he had proviously worked in Italy but that because of general difficulties he wanted to work thereafter in Germany, and that the Maistry of the Interior had offered him a place to work at Dachen?
- G. How many latters did Dr. Rose write Dr. Schilling in care of D. Choulf
 - ... Jone at all.
 - . re you cortain?
 - .. I it my rate received none, none were dictated to me.
- the third bear possible for Dr. Rose to write Dr. Schilling at Decima without h wing dictated the letter to you?
 - ... To, because there was no one clas who could write for him.
 - . Did Dr. Rose over write letters himself without dictating them?
- bolicva mo.
-). Now you stated that Dr. Mose for considerable periods of time would be carry from the Robert Moch Institute. The would be dictate his letter to during that time?
- this care of it and a for as I couldn't, and then if something sound very pressing. I sent a letter to the person of his sent the letter to us sering that Professor Bose was on a trip and maked his what to do.

17 - - E8-7-3-000k (Brown) Detail . 0. 1. Moll now you think that Professor Schilling come to the Rebert Del Institute in 1941 and secured those og a for the maleric. strain from one of the laboratory assistants, is that right? .. You, Miss You Felkonhaya. > Did he over come back in 1942? ... I don't know. I can only remember having soon Professor Schilling once in my life. . Did you soo any correspondence in the year 1942 with any one in the Robert Koch Institute concerning Schilling's work at Dechau? ... Ho. Did you see any correspondence in the year 1945? . In other words, the only tains you over heard concerning liv. Schilling's work at Backen was upon his visit in the year 1941? . . You. . Now, concerning Profussor Rosa's trip to Copenhagen in what month in the year 1943 did Professor Rose Journey to Copenhagen? it tist. . what, 1943. The purpose of his visit was to discuss the production of typhus vaccino, is that right? .. You. . We was sent to Copenhagen by Deneral Schreiber, is that correct? in General Schreiber neked him, wisco he had good connections with foreign institutes, I believe to the Ipsen Institute, to go there as a private person in order to get vaccine since we had too little of it, because we needed were vaccines than we could produce. . In a type of vaccines war, they producing in dependencen, do 200 Imou? ... In I dialt. . Did you ever hear what type of waccine they were producing? To it from rebuits! lum s or mice lum w or from mice liver? . I think mice were involved but whether it was lunes or liver

I fon the know.

- . . snit it common to use mice lum s to produce vaccines?
- . I don't know.
- properties that the trip was to no awail; that the people in copenhagen were unwilling to produce the vaccine as desired, therefore, Rose rade a report, and he sent this report to three sources or three authorities, would you kindly tell the Tribunal again to when Professor Rose sent this report?
- Professor Gildencister as director of the typhus department and president of the institute.
- thould you report the second one again? I mm sorry I did not understand?
 - ... Loverkuson or the Behring Works. Loverkuson is a locality.
- It was the Bohring Works and then he went a report to Gildemoister at the Robert Moch Institute and one to Geheiment Otto and he reported no further?
- ... That is right. I commot remember that he reported anywhere else.
 - 5 Go did not report to Professor Conti. did ho?
- is I con't think so, but I . not sure today. I do not soon to recall Contile name in this connection.
- > Yold now you stated that Hose browns back or later received some samples of this vaccine from Copenhagen, and that he transferred those samples to the same people to whom he sent a report, namely, the Bahring Marke, the Hobert Moch Institute, ourself of Gildeneister, and to Galeiuret Otto, is that what you wish to tell us?
- Too, I don't remainer to day any longer, but Dr. Rose either brought scaples with him or they were sent to him very snortly therefor, newver, they were only very will, only for little test
 belos, and he may instruct instant the samples simile accompany the

ra ort.

- to concentration on m, is that correct?
 - ... Y. S.
 - . Did he over send any to the Hygiene Institute of the Moffen SS?
 - Ja 500
 - . Did he ever sord any to Professor Dr. Joseph. I run owsky?
 - L. 200
 -). Die he over send any to Dr. Erwin Dine?
 - -+ 20,
 - . You are positive a at that witness?
- ming which was entirely unknown to me until I heard it now, nor so for the I am remember, the neme of anyowsky, which to be sure I know from acring rold reports in the newspapers, nor did I over have any correspondence with those contlemen.
 - .. You have seen any correspondence with Dr. Hrugowsky?
 - A 500 .
 - . Fo you cortain that Dr. Rose didn't have another Secretary?
 - . To had a typict with the Luftwoffe.
- + Do you consider it possible that he could have carried out these a store with Dr. or owsky and Dr. Schilling through his staff in the Turtwerfo?
- The whit I know if the letter I don't believe to. The German officer of it was very popular and I do not believe that a german officer could be was settled attors to t were not of a impedite military attors to the initiary office.

Well, now you have stated that Rose could not have sent any of these to concentration camps, and he did not send any to an SS office of to Dr. Ding. However, on direct examination you also stated that whether or not Professor Rose sent these vaccines to other than the three people, or the three organizations you mentioned, that is the Behring-Yorke, the Robert Roch Institute in care of Gildansister, and to Gehein-ret Otto, that you could not say. How, I am asking you to be consistent. One you definitely state on oath that Professor Rose never sent vaccines of any type to an SS office, to Dr. Ding, to Dr. Mrugowsky, or to a concentration camp?

A. The Copenhagen vaccines were in the Robert Noch Institute at first. Professor Rose never had then first in his hands nor did he send than off. He didn't send than to Ding or Brugowsky, that I know. They went to the three places that I mentioned before. There was such a small amount no more could have been sent.

Q. Did Professor Rose indicate what happened at the Militery Medical conference at the Borlin Academy in May of 1942 after Dr. Ding had reported on his experiments with typhus at the Phohenweld concentration camp?

- A. No, not to do.
 - . He never mentioned that to you?
 - A. No.
- You have stated that when Professor Rose returned from his visit to Buchenweld that is the time when he accompanied Professor Gildemeister that he was completely discatisfied with what he saw at Buchenweld. Now realizing, as you have stated, that Professor Rose was a very dramatic and drastic fellow, will you kindly tell the Tribunal just wont he said which indicated to you that he was completely dissatisfied with what he saw at Buchenweld?
- A. He said nothing at all. Then he came back, he said that I should get in touch with Conti and Gildemeister. Then, after having this talk with Conti he returned to the Institute. This was the first time he came

to the Institute since returning and without going into enything personally

- . What do you suppose he wrote to Dr. Conti? Were you able to ascertain why he decided to write to Contil
 - A. He wrote at the top something like "conference with Conti".
- . What conference was that? Was that the conference that Rose and Bildemeister and several others had with Conti in Deserter 19e17
 - A. Subsequent to the trip to Bunhenweld.
- . Yes. Do you remember when that conference was that you suggest was subsequent to the trip to Buchenweld? Wes that prior to the establighment of the Buchenwald Institute?
 - A. I know nothing at all about any institute in Buchenvald.
- . Well, now you state that Dr. Ecse was referring to his conferunder which took place before his visit to Buchenwald and when he returned. after being dissatisfied about what he sew at Buchenwold, he wrote to Conti. Now, can you tell us, with your knowledge of the files, your knowledge of the activities of Professor lose, can you tell us just when this conference took blace that Professor Acae had with Conti prior to his trip to Buchenwald?
- A. Before his trip to Buchenwald he didn't talk to Conti at all. he made an official trip after the visit, the murpose of which I was not informed of and I didn't know he was going to Buchenwald. When he came cack, he said that he wanted to have a talk with Conti and then from the menoranda I could subsequently deduce that he had been to Buchenvald.
- . Well, had he ever had any conferences with Conti prior to the trip to Suchenveld? He must have if he had determined that he wanted to see Conti regarding the Buchenvald situation.
 - A. Of that I know nothing.
 - . Don't know anything about thet?
 - A. No.
- . Did Professor mose over go to any conference with Gildensister concerning typhus metters? That is, did Gildensister ever invite him to 5030

attant conferences regarding typhus?

- A. No. That I know for sure.
- . Sow do you know that for sure?
- A. Because Professor Rose and Gildeneister, as I have said here, were not on very good terms.
- Well, now just a noment, witness. How do you recount for the fact that professor Rose and Gildeneister visited Buchenweld together?
 - A. I don't know. They were ordered, I guess.
 - NR. HARDY: I have no further questions, Your Henry.

THE PRESIDENT: Has defense counsel any further questions of the witness?

DE. FRITZ: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: There being no further questions to be propounded to the witness, the witness will be excused.

IR. FRITZ: With the approvel of the Tribunal I should like to call the witness Professor Dr. Hosring.

THE PRESIDENT: The Marshal will summon the witness Felix Hoering.

FREIX HORRING, a witness, took the stand and testified as follows:

JUDGE SEBRING: Flesse hold up your right hand and to sworn.

I sweet by God, the Almighty and Omniecient, that I will speak the truth and will withhold and add nothing.

(The witness repeated the oath.)

DIRECT AXA INATION

BY DR. FRITZ:

- . Professor, won't you please state your full name?
- A. Dr. Felix Otto Hoering.
- w When werd you born?
- A. On the 22nd of July 1908 at Frenkfurt on the Main.
- . What is your present residence?
- A. Tuobingen, Justinus Kernerstrasse 35.
- w Would you please shortly describe your career to the Tribunal.
- A. After having passed my natriculation examination at Stuttgart in 6031

the year of 1920 I studied medicine at Tuebingen, Vienna, Kiel, Berlin, and Munich. I then started to practice at the City Hospital at Mannhein for a period of three years. In the meantime I studied bethology for half a year at the rethological Institute at Rostock, In 1929 I was hygienist for a half year at Heidelberg. Thon for nine years I was assistent for medical clinics at Kiel and Munich. I qualified as a lecturer for internal medicine in the year of 1935 in Munich. In January 1938 I followed a wish which I had long since followed and went abroad. This was due to the fact that as a clinic worker I was slowys interested in the field of infectious diseases and that ever since the early 1930's I had the desire to study infectious diseases outside of Europe personally. I first went to Antwerp where I gained the diploma in tropical medicine. Then for five months I was in London with the Bureau of Scientific Research. From there I went to Brazil and worked with the Rockefoller Institute for the first part of my stay there at Rio de Janeiro under Dr. Fred L. Sucher.

4 And what are you doing now, Professor?

A Ever since the let of April, 1944, I am the chief physician of the University medical clinic and the head of the medical polyclinic at Tuckingen. In addition, I am lecturing on medical polyclinic medicine.

Q So you are now a professor on the medical faculty of the University of Tuebingon?

A Yes. I hold the title as an extraordinary professor since 1941. In the year of 1944 I changed my ranidones from Maich to Tuebingan, after having gained my vanials email in the field of tropical medicine in the year of 1942, which means that I am now a professor for internal and tropical medicine.

You made publications in the tropical medical field, didn't you?

A Yes, some contributions in periodicals. The best known probably is the book which was published in the year 1930 entitled "Clinical Teachin, of Infections". In the tropical medical field I published some work in the field of yellow fever which appeared in English, Brazilian and German periodicals. I further published some work about malaria. A work reparding that subject will soon appear in the journal for tropical medicine in London.

hant did you do during the wer?

Erazil in order to lueve a min for atread after a short vacation.

In the meantless, however, the war had broken out. I was drafted into the army as an assistant physician. I went through the Folish and French compaigns as a physician with the front troops. In July, 1940, I was transferred to the tropical institute of Professor Modenwald at Berlin. From May, 1941, onwards I received a number of special assignments, mainly in connection with the combat of maleria. That was in the Palkans and in Scuthern Russia. In 1943 I became consulting internal medical expert with the army in Greece. On the lat of april, 1944, I was sent to Tuebingen

where I headed the hospital and similtaneously carried on in my civilian espacity as a university professor. In January, 1945, I was again transferred to the army as a consulting expert on internal medicine. On the Th of thy, 1945, I was suptured by the americans in Austria. At the and of May I received the easignment to institute a Mospital for DFs at the reception camp of Hoursching and to head it. I was in charge of this heapital until I was released in July, 1945. I was in charge of this heapital until I was released in July, 1945. I was in charge of this heapital until I was released in July, 1945. I was in charge of this heapital until I was released in July, 1945. I was in charge of this

- . Since when did you know professor head?
- A I knew Professor also since I see his it the international Tropic Conference at address to the full of 1930 where we hold a locture, we the head of the tropical medicine department of the Robert Koch Institute I and -local known his from before.
 - ". Do you know his personally?"

I Test time. On the t occasion I would note for the first time. On the t occasion I would note for the Assumer my life, who is also a physician, could note for the any life them, in effect, worked for him at the heart for the Koch Institute for a period of approximately to years. I may so him there as a few official occasions. There are no social or remaind connections buttons from some I.

Tou class porticipated in the Third Meeting of the Consulting Physicians of the dilltory Zodical Leadury, cadn't you, which took place in the of 1943? Old you, at that time, take part in the sessions of the Scotion By decounted Trade By deno?

- A. Yes, at that time I was mainly active as an internist with the army. At this meeting I was supposed to hold a lecture about yellow fever. This is cture had been included on the program of the tropic hygiens section where yellow fever vaccine was also to be discussed. For that reason I participated in that session on that murning from beginning on and went to the section of this meeting which dealt with hygiens.
- Q. Did you, at that time, hear the lecture given by Dr. Ding shout the testing of typhus vaccine?
- A. Yes, he was supposed to speak pefore the subject of yellow fewer was discussed and, morely by accident, I was already present at that thee. As a role, I had nothing to do with the typhus vaccine questions.
 - Q. Can you still remounder the contents of that lecture?
- A. As far as I remainer the lucturer spoke about the influence of various typhus vocaines on the course of an illness and proved that a number of vaccines could be used, whereas other vaccines had less effect.
- Q. Could it as concluded from has lecture that these reports

 Word based on experiments on human beings? In other words, intentitional
 infections:
- A. another that became apparent from Dr. Ding's lecture or whether it only became apparent as a result of the subsequent discussion I can no lon or say today with any amount of certainty.
- . Would you plines describe to the Tribunul in detail what copposed after Ding's Learning
- A. Alter Dr. Ding's lecture to the follower a discussion. The discussion was opened by Professor acco. He, it first, referred oriestly to the esterial substance of the I cture which he, by and her o, recognized. He emphasized, however, that this was a question I experiments on human beings and that a number of people had lost their lives as a requisit. Using return strong words, he pointed out

that any such procedure was a deviation of procedures used for decades in the restarch of it unity. He said that this was an extremely serious savter and that the hygienists would have to maintain their ald principles. Professor Some spoke for a long time and spoke in sharp words. Naturally, I can no longer recall his words in detail, but I me sure that he voiced the substance of what I have just said. In a coordance with his temperament, he did this in strong words which went beyond the customery exphange of words used during such discussions. At any rate, every participant in this meeting was well aware that this was an incident of almost semmational character. For that reason, after the end of that sension and during the subsequent days, this incident was discussed among small circles and I can well remember that.

- Q. What happened as a result of this speech by Professor Meso? I am now referring to the time of the discussion.
- A. The lecturer, Dr. Mine, replied to real sear Mose and defended his experiments. We admitted that this was a question of
 experiments on human beings but he sold that the experimental subjourn were or minels who had all been condensed to death. Professor
 note thereupon once more replied, coming that this didn't change
 anything in his criticism. We said that we were here concerned with
 a basical quantion. Toursupon the discussion was return as deally
 stopped by Professor Schreiber. Generalized Schreiber sold that if
 the gentle an wanted to discuss basical, athical quantions, then they
 would have ample opportunity to do that after the meeting. In the
 printed report of the mosting which I read these discussion remarks
 were not granted.
- Q. Didn't you notice that woon reading the printed report? Didn't it nows to your attention?
- A. No, I would have thought it very surprising if any such dis-

6036

Q Now this discussion took place buring a military meeting, did it not? In your opinion, how could Professor Rose's objections be judged from a military and disciplinary point of view?

in Well, from a military point of view, his objection constituted an offense against discipline, for he criticised the attitude
of persons who held the leading positions and he advocated that
other people would resist any such procedure. Considering the
situation in Germany at that time, this alone would have sufficed
to get him into a rather awkward position, that is, if any
official steps had been taken against him in this natter.

? 'hy didn't you yoursalf adopt any attitude in this catter?

A I was only a guest at that contine. This whole incident came as a complete surprise to me. I did not know of all those events. At any rate I could not have said smything more than that I was of the same opinion as Professor Mose. Beyond that, Professor Schreiber had already stopped the discussion.

If The Priturel has a document before it which bears the signature of Dr. Ding. It is a so-called Diary of Dr. Ding. It says there, means other things, that one of the vaccines which was discussed during that meeting was furnished by Preference Ruge and Professor Rose, for the purpose of conducting experiments on human beings. Was anything like that mentioned during that meeting? Did Dr. Ding mention it, or was it brought up during the discussion?

A I cannot remoder enything like that, when replying to Rose's objections the lecturer ands no mention of it at all. Such a contradiction would have created attention considering the severity with which Rose expressed himself against the experiments.

In the same document it may further, under a different date, that approximately one year later a vaccine was tested in the concentration camp of Buchenwald, which originated from Copenhagen and that this was done upon the initiation of Professor Rose. Do you know about that, Professor?

A No. I know nothing at all about any Copenhagen typhus wacaine, nor do I know anything about tests carried out in concentration camps. I can hardly imagine that Professor Rose had much to do with typhus vaccine. In professional circles Professor Rose was not connected with questions of typhus vaccines. A small circle of other names were used in that connection and I can mention Otto, Eyer, Oildemeister, Haagen, Wohlrab, all of whom I knew were doing that type of work; perhaps I know a number of other gentlement from the industry and people who were assisting the people whom I just wentioned.

Q According to your knowledge of inttors, do you think that the assertion is probable that Professor Rose instigated vaccines being tested in concentration emps?

described, I must consider this as highly improbable. It would have constituted the exact opposite of what he stated during that coeting. For that reason I was so surprised that Professor Pose is indicted here. Mean I read that in a newspaper I said to friends of mine that I could not understand that in the least — that I could not understand that in the least — that I could not understand why Professor Rose was indicted— for he is the only person who, in my experience, had courage, at a time when Himser reigned, to appear in public in the manner I described.

I Did you have acout other amariments on human toings which were discussed at that very same continu? I me now speaking of a lecture hold by Professor Gebbardt and Professor Rischer about sulfamilianides. That was presumably done at the surgical section of what meeting.

- A No, I did not participate in the surgical session, and during conversations I heard nothing at all of any such experiments.
- A Did you participate in the 4th mosting of the consulting physicians in the year of 1944, at Hohamlychon?

A Yes, I was also present during the rth meeting of consulting physicians because I had to hold a lecture there too. The subject was to deal with the clinical treatment of the sand fly

Q Do you know what Professor Rose discussed during that

A He held a very interesting lecture in the hypione section about the application of DDT proparations in the combatting of epideraics. In addition, he hold a lecture during the general neeting, about damages to health connected with the air war. This lecture, too, demonstrated a great skill is that field which was rather surprising because one assumed that Professor Rose was a little distant to that subject.

Q During that moeting of 19lds was there any mention made of experiments on human beings?

According to my knowledge, no. In the year of 1913 I heard about typhus op riments for the only time and it was my opinion that this was just a single event.

Q You were saying before, Professor, that in the year 1943, you hold a lecture about the climical treatment of yellow fever. Yellow fever is also a subject of this trial. Did you, during the war, hear anything about experiments on human beings with yellow Tovor?

A No.

Q During the very same morning you discussed yellow fever, Professor Haagen also hald a lecture about yellow fever vaccines. During that Lecture of Professor Haagen, was there my mention made of experiments on human beings?

A No. I naturally heard that lecture. Professor Haugen gave us a general survey about the development of protective vaccination igninst yellow fever and he subsequently reported on the faccine which be had produced. As for as I remember, he said that he had carried

out a number of vaccinations with the vaccina that he had produced, in order to designate the sorum during the mouse protection test. He said that on this occasion he had found similar results as they are generally known in literature. His lecture brought nothing new of general importance. It was wrely a survey of the current state of research, which was also the case during my lecture. Both lectures were only designed for general orientation for the purposes of those people who only know a little about yellow fover. In Germany there had been no previous opportunity to study yellow fover personally.

- 1 Q You, yourself, however, did you study yellow fever and were active in that field, woran't you?
- A Tes. During my stay abroad in the years 1938 and 1939, I directed my attention in particular to that interesting illness and worked on yellow fever questions in antwerp, londer, and Rio de Janiero.
- O What living Corpan people have gained a reputation in international yellow fover literature?
- A Only Professor Maagen. He was the first one to brood the yellow fever strain, He succeeded in thing that in New York, while he was working there with a Rockefeller Foundation. Then there is Professor Hoffmann, of Cuba, but he has been living in America now for decades.
 - Q So Professor Hose does not apply to that group, does ho?
- A No. I never heard his mane or never read his name in connection with yellow fever.
- I You were saying before that Professor Hangen had made reports about blood tests after yellow fever vaccinations. What kind of blood tests were they, Professor?
- A We are here concerned with the mouse protection tests which I already mentioned.

2 What wimi of a test is that?

A A vectinated person or anyons who had survived the illness is used and blood is drawn from his person, from that a serum is created. This corum is mixed with yellow fever virus, and this mixture, after half an hour, is injected into the brain of a mouse, or rather is injected into the brain of a number of mice. If the serum contains enough protection against yellow fever than the mouse concerned will survive that injection. If on the other hand it does not than the mouse will die after a period of a few days. It is probably not necessary to describe this in great detail.

3 Are you yourself vaccinated a minut yellow fover?

A No, in the year 1936 I had a yellow fover infection which occurred at the laboratory. Fortheately, the illness took a good course, and I managed to survive it, and for that reason it was no longer necessary for me to be vaccitated as ain.

Q Could you describe to the Tribunal the substance of the yellow fever vaccine; as I understood you before, you were speaking of a living vaccine?

A Yes, as in the case of all vaccines against virus discases, the yellow fever vaccine is based on the living of a living wonkened yollow fever virus to a person, attornated wirus. This attornation can be attained in many different ways. For that reason a number of different vaccions are in use in different countries. From my knowledge I am bost acquainted with the sorthed which was developed with the Rockerfoller Foundation. Dis nothed proved itself in provide already before the Wer in millions of cases. In that method the virus is bred with the chicken of, and then the vaccine is gained through caroful working on the chicken embryo. In France the breeding is carried on by using the train of living mice. This vaccination with living vaccines is based on the fact that every person vaccinated is going through a very light but gasuine yellow fever disease. This is true also in the case of vaccine assisst smallyon, where the Vaccination has to be considered the some as though the person were 6041

going through a light but genuine discase of smallpor.

- 2 I shall now have the Dorument Book 12 of the Prosecution shown to you, and you will find Document NC-205, which is the Prosecution 3thibit 287, the so-called Ding Diary, which can be found on pages 36 to 56. Professor, will you please look at page 42 of this book, and you will find entries concerning yellow fever vaccines. Do you know anything about this mat ter?
 - A Mo, I know nothing about this matter?
- O Did you know at all Professor, that yellow fever vaccines were projected in Germany?
 - A You, I know that as a result of Professor Hangon's lecture.
- Q That can you, on the basis of your general knowledge of the yellow fever question imagine about the tests that are described in this document; let us assume that these entries are in accordance with the factor
- A Tell, it is always necessary to test a vaccine, and require here, -- that living virus is underlined, -- I am just saying here that living virus is underlined. This toos not quite follow that only one simils test in being suggested, for the question of whether a vaccine is alive or dead depends on whether that vaccine is durable and durability seamed be ascertained by one simils injection. The damages which would occur when injection such a vaccine cannot be determined exactly when using the method as is suggested here. As the most, the direct primary damage could be accordance, but that is sensitive that could hardly be expected. Of course, the effectiveness sould be accordined, with reference to the protection against yellow fover which the vaccine offered, but I can only make very seneral accordings after regain, this short document.

Q Professor, would you please turn to Page 109 in the same Document Book which is before you; you will find the mambers in the right-hard corner. There you will find the Document of the Prosecution NO-30%, which is Prosecution Exhibit 315. It is a letter by Professor Heagen to the Inspector of the Redical Service of the Ruftwaffe in Berlin, and it beers the date of 22 October 19h2. On the next two pages you find directions for use of the yellow fever vaccine of the University of Strassbourg. Tould you please look at that Document, and give to your opinion as to whether yellow fever vaccination constitutes deager. According to the direction of use, it is here said in detail that any infections which might arise would have to be reported immediately; that is at the end of that Document.

A Two different types of dampes must be distinguished in the case of yellow fever vaccinations. There can be very direct reactions, which at the most last a half a day and have a rather light course. However, when using the American method of yellow form fuccinations and using the English method, one has round in various contries that rather undesirable incidents had occured. A rather high percentage of people vaccinated in the case of certain vaccines did not full all of yellow fever, but of jaundice. This is called the co-called serve hepatitis. As I have learned from American periodicals, this hap ened to a large extent during this war in the case of American soldiers. It was found out that the reason was the virus of this hepatible accidentally had sotion into the strain of the yellow fever virus and that is quite possible for certain technical reasons. I read in an American periodical that they succeeded in changing their technique so that this incident can be avoided with certainty. According to these experiences, it was correct that all infections would have to be reported in addately.

Q Thank you, and now one lest question; Professor, could you tell the Tribunal wast reputation Professor Rose hold as a scientist and as I human being?

A Professor Rose, on the basis of his numerous and valuable contri-

nutions in the field of tropical medicine has a wide and good reputation. His work has always excelled in its exactitude in professional circles. He was furthermore known as a man who could exercise valuable and objective criticism and was often feared as such. He exercised this criticism during discussions by putting forward purely material points of view. That we becomes easily apparent when considering the incident which happened during Ding's lecture in the year of 1763, where in spite of the personal danger which may well have resulted for him, he protested as first the experiments on human beings, which were reported during that meeting. It was he who spoke in the same sense as we, the German scientifical field, who were present during that meeting, and he therefore maintained the good, old tradition of the German medical profession.

Q Thank you, Professor, I have no further question to put to the witness for the moment.

THE PRESIDENT: Are there any questions to be propounded to this witness by any Defense Counsel?

BY DR. FLEY (NO: (Counsel for the Defendant Progowsky.)

9 Professor, you have just spoken shout yellow fever; is yellow fever found in Africa?

A You.

A To what extent does the some in Africa extend toward the north where tropical yellow fever provails?

A In the east it goes as far as Sudan and in the west up to the territory of Dakar.

The territory around Dakar belongs to the territory which is un-

A Dakar is an old yellow fever nev.

Q Is it correct that in the home of reliew fever, this discuse is especially feered because of its danger, and that such persons suffer particularly heavily as a result of this discuse who are new arrivals in such territories?

A From a lay-man's point of view, that is correct; scientifically,

however, it is not quite true.

Q On the basis of your tropical medical activities, do you know that in the year of 1943 an advance of the German African Corps was planned on from Tunis to Dakar?

A No, I did not know that, but from the fact that yellow fever vaccine was produced, I could perhaps assume that this may be the case.

In that case, you know at that time yellow fever vaccine was produced in Germany, and you concluded therefore that such an advance was in effect planned?

A Yes, but that advance could also have been directed to the Sudan area.

Q In the case of any such advance of the troops into a yellow fever endangered territory, would a vaccimation of the soldiers become a necessity?

A Undoubtedly.

Q Professor, during your direct exterination, you mentioned that in the case of the Americans and English yellow fever vaccines, in the form in which it was produced earlier, many cases of Jaundice occurred; were such or similar complications known when using the vaccine, according to the procedure of say for instance Feltier, which was produced from mice brains?

A I can only say semething cased on foltier's work and the work of his collaborators, and in these cases no such incidents occured.

Q Do you know according to what procedure these protective vecsines were produced in Germany; we the Polither's procedure used?

A I have just learned from the Doomsont book, where mention is made that the Peltier procedure was applied.

The prosecution asserts that immates were injected with yellow fever in a concentration camp; according to our natural however we were only concerned with protective vaccinations using the Peltier method.

Tould an artificial infection of human beings after such a protective vaccination have been necessary?

A Do you mean would it have become necessary in order to prove the affectiveness of the vaccine?

Q Yes.

A No, it would not have been necessary because in the case of yellow fever one can find out the immunity by using the mice test without
infection.

Q Ir. President, I am being told that an error occured during the translation. I asked the witness smether it was correct that in the case of vaccinations, jaundice had occured in the case of these Emplish and American vaccinations, that is hepetitis. The translation is yollow fever and such yellow fever cannot occur after this protective vaccination.

A No, that never did occur.

Q I now return to the case of artificial infection of yellow fover; do you know whether in Germany there accountly could have been diseases of tropical fever or at any rate, do you know whether any virus strain, which could act pathogene to a human being, was evaluable in any imboratory in Germany?

A I know nothing about that, and I think this is highly impossible that a virulent yellow fever strain was available in Germany during the war.

Q Did I understand you correctly before, when you said that, when using the Feltier vaccine in vaccinating human beings, it is out of the question that any serious damages to health or even fatalities could secur?

A According to Politier publication and the publications of his collaborators, may such incident was never observed.

Q You have seen the entry in the so-called Ding Diary regarding relies fever; is it your opinion that in the case of the protective faccinations used only on small groups of persons was applied according to the Feltier method; we were concerned with a not permissable experiment or rather a matter where the consequences would have been damage access.

ing to the health or purhaps even life to the people involved?

A This experiment, as you say, could have been carried out on volunteer persons.

Q I wanted to ask you whether there was any danger to life, or any serious danger to bealth?

A As this experiment was already examined by using animal experiments, then according to medical convictions there was no appreciable danger any more.

Q In a latter of the Behring works at larburg, addressed to the Defendant Progressly, which was submitted by the Prosecution, it is stated that the yellow fever vectime we to be tested in Buchanwald on hearn beings in order to find out its paralessness. The Prosecution interprate that in such a manner that there must therefore have been a dumper to health when carrying out these vectinations, but according to the answer which you just gave, one can conclude that this word "harm-lessness" contained in the letter of the Behring works is to be symonimous for a test of its telerance, because it is a fact that any vaccines amenating from the Sehring works were tested very carefully by using animals before any such vaccines were sent any from the Dehring works and that is generally known in medical circles?

A I assume that the word "narmlesswees" is here to be understood in the same sense as telerance.

The FRESTREAM: The Tribunal vill be in recess until 1:30 o'clock.

(A recess was taken until 1300 hours)

AFTERMOON SESSION

OTHE Hearing reconvened at 1330 hours, 17 April 1947.)
THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.
Professor DR. FELIX HOERING * Resumed

The PRESIDENT: any further examination of the witness by defense counsel?

BY DR. TIPP (For the Defendants Senroeder and Becker-fraysens):

- Witness, this morning you mentioned a locture which Professor Hangen delivered in 1943 at the Consulting Conference on Yellow Fever Vaccine. Did I understand you to say that neither directly nor indirectly was there any mention in this lecture of human being experiments which Professor Hangen carried out in this connection?
- A. You you understood me correctly, that there was no mention of it in the lecture.
 - Q. Witness, do you know Professor Hangen well?
 - s. I mot him only at this conference.
- . But as an export you are familiar with his adjointific reputation?
- A. His scientific reputation as an expert in virus
- G. and hangen was gonerally considered an expert in this field of virus?
 - A. You.

THE DEL

Presupposes detailed knowledge of all questions?

that is so. It requires many years in that field.

The third of the control of collection with the regular physicians training would not have that special knowledge?

A. No, no more than he would nove special knowledge

about becteriology in general.

- a normally trained doctor to supervise specialized work in
 - A. Only an expert could have done so.
- Thenk you. Now, witness, I should like to discuss two documents with you. I show you becament Book 12 on Inchas Experimentation. Any I ask you to turn once again to page 100. This is the same document that Dr. Fritz put to ou this morning—page 100, written in pencil. This is the accument NO-304, Exhibit 315, a letter by Dr. Hasgen to the Inspectorate of the modical pervise. The letter is very brief—perhaps you could glance trhough it briefly, professor. Can you, a an expert, see in this letter anyting indicating whether or not mangen in this yellow fever whether production carried out any experiments on human beings, or what can you neduce from the letter?
- n. There is mention here of enimal eages but no attent of human sein experiments.
- Then you con deduce nothing from this letter about plans being experiments. Now the next page of this accument "Direction for use of the Yellow Fever Vacine of the Aydunic Institute of Strasbourg University. This incoment was but in by the prosecution in connection with much being experiments which magned is alleged to have carried out. I don't know just what conclusions the Procedution areas from this accusent, but the connection walles it was submitted seems to instruct that the procedution sees in this document proof of the experiments in number occurrent is. Will you bluss tell us, professor, just that these decument is.
 - a. These are the directions for usu suon as accompany

ent the physician will know how to carry out the vaccination. Asserding those on whom the vaccinations was carried out, there is no mention in the document.

- I draw your attention to the last sentence of the last p r graph, I wante: "any serious reactions especially innificatations of jaundice, Etc., with mention of operation tamber must be reported immediately to the Medical Inspectorate of the air force through official channels." Now, to when is this directive director?
- A. This morning I sintioned the incidents that had arrison, through of suran hapatitis, and the sentioning of journaice indicates that it was considered possible that that could arise here also. The persons here mentioned to those to be vaccinated.
- a. What I mean is and should a ply to the dealth inspectorate of the Wehrs ont -- to t could only be a troop tootor?
 - s. You, that la so.
- in the Transfer of the formula of the follows:

 Instructions for dec for troop doctors when they were to sening to sening yellow fever.
 - A. Yas.
- From the page 120. This is document to 130, prosecution exhibit 319. Let be quote from it oriefly: "Oberstabinto Professor Dr. Amagen, consulting physician to the air fleet Physician Holen, etrassiourg, "the Amaget 1944.

 **Color—" That doesn't interest us. meeting, "Raport the successes with Tab unol. vaccine." This hocusent is not signed but it is clear that it originates with Dr.

so that the physician will know how to carry out the vaccination. Asserding those on whom the vaccinations was berrick out, there is no mention in the document.

- Inst p r graph, I whote: "Any serious reactions especially cantilestations of jauniles, Etc., with montion of operation number must be reported inacdistely to the Redical Inspector—to of the air Force through official enamous." Now, to whom is this directive directed?
- A. This morning I mistioned the incidents that had arrisen, through of serum hapatitis, and the mentioning of joundles indicates that it was considered possible that that exall arise here also. The persons here mentioned has those to be veccinated.
- . Aim t I seen is who should a ply to the dealth Inspectorate of the Wehrmicht-that could only be a troop dector?
 - M. Yos, that is so.
- These are directions for use for troop doctors when they vector to merinat yellow fover.
 - s. Yos.
- W. Thenk you. Now, in the same document book please turn to page 120. This is document NO 130, prosecution exhibit 319. Let be quote from it oriefly: "Oborstab-same Professor Dr. mangen, consulting physician to the "Air Fleet Physician Reich, otrassoourg, eth mighst 1944. oubject—" That doesn't interest was sending, "Report on the successes with TaB uncl. vaccine." This accument is not signed but it is clear that it originated with Dr.

Court 1 April 17-A-15-4-HD-Foster-Brown.

Heagen. This letter also was submitted in connection with num n being experiments and I essume that the prosecution facts that this is a report on experiments on human beings which either Hasten nimself or some other persons cerried out with this TAB Chol. vaccine. The letter is three pages long. I shall not ask you to read the whole letter now and a shall spare the Tribunal the nuisance of reading it, but I shall site a few sentences that are portional in the first third of the first page.

"The following TaB Chol: wrecines were used."
And then follows the numbers:

"It appears that no strong reactions were produced by "os. 05, 05,10 and 15. One unit reported 1-2 days absence from tor as a result of 05, which would seem to indicate a stronger general reaction."

ond in the last purper on on this proct

"Nos. Co, C7, Co resulted throughout in each strong remotions that their esobility is questionable. No. Co: in one unit a loss of 1 day by 5-7% on account of fever; in one unit 30% fever up to 36.5 C, and loss of 1-2 days; in one unit Ox very strong local and general relations, lover 38.5 C, loss of 1-2 days. Unly one unit reported took didurance, without particularly trong or numerous practions."

Now I believe that is sefficient. From these few citations, witness, our you permaps tell the Tribunal was tonis report is Enegen is describing, what seturily is note being described?

- and the a report on the teleprines for a newly mound exercise of vaccine squinet typned fever, not, twomas, provityphus and cholore. Thenever a new veccine is mound exared such reports sust be colleged and to due, from the short citations that you read it must be one of these regular reports such as are always published when a newly minufictured a coincits first used.
- s. You mone to say then, witness, that this is a sollective report that is compiled from various single reports, is that correct?
- a. That can so seen from the text where it is pointed out that individual units reported such and such. These

- witness, do you consider experiments on human coings permissible or for research purposes without the voluntary permission of the subject?
- a. Since I had a feeling that this question might of the mail made a few notes on the subject. First of all, I should like to remark in this connection that this is a matter concerning professional medical athics. In this very generally phr sed question I can only emphasize a few sides of it. I should like first of all to emphasize it is the hi nost principle of the medical refession to serve life in a sense set forth in the hippocratic outn, and a second important principle is the "mil necess", to do no here.
- consent, if the subject does not consent to be experimented on, is the experiment parmissible?
- a. In inticipation of my answer I should like to say something about the concept of experiments so that we will enderstand one another. For this concept is by no means along precisely and to me there is always the danger that I may be misunderstood, because in the case of desperate illness and occasionally could take recourse to a means in order to meal the person which is not—

THE PRESIDENT: I'd or aid not the question watch you propounded to the witness cover the crae in which the patient minsulf was desperately ill and something might be necessary to be done on senulf of the patient nimself, was that covered by your question?

INT REALTH: He didn't hear the beginning of your question broads the switch was wrong. Counsel did not near the question because the switch was wrong.

DR. SERVATIUS: No, I believe we do not mave to go

. Witness, I-ask you not to deliver a lecture on the subject. Ferhaps let r you will have an oc ortunity to be into it at greater length but not please ansver the question as briefly as possible. Later you can so into it, as I said, at greater longth.

now please answer my question: Do you consider vo untery consent a necessary prorequisits for experiments on name coincer.

- a. Yes, but chould like to caphacize that despite the yoldntory aspect, the subject not trained in medicine cannot enticip to all of the consequences and the responsibility lies besically with the physician.
 - . Then co would say the person has to consent?
 - A. Yes.
- w. Do you hold that to be necessary even if the er oriment is printees?
 - A. us, if it is a clentific experiment, cortainly.
- . Do you consider the consent of the pirents necassery if it is a matter of children on whom it o n be expected in that would very shortly dis?
 - a. In this case the consent of the parent is necessary.
- w. Do you anow from the history of m dicine that for reserrch purioses that apperiments we cerried out on means persons or incurably ill marsons and on childred

C u+5 1 april 10-x-14-5-HD-Cook-Brown.

who commot be expected to live?

A. I mow no such cases. I know only that where such thinks were done they could contribute to the healing of the retient.

I to not want to have this word experiment brought into association with the idea of healing. I want to keep those two concepts separate. Now I shall bring a few cases to your attention from Document Book III., which is Document KE LS on page 106. There are quotations from a book from the 19th century entitled "A Doctor's Confessions" by W. heresarjum, a Russian doctor. The following cases are mentioned on page 137 in the text. This is page 107.

SCH, with the cultures. In the case of the ideat SCH, a 'fairly purulent projection was still found too months ofter the inoculation'."

Then follows the identifiertion of where this occurred or where the citation is from: "The Geneecceum Colston on the Culturing Plate and in pure Culture, Surlin. Clinical cutty 1892."

It you on to state: "The cethod forthein was tested by other scientists. Gebhard successfully inoculated haven beings with these worth in cultures."

"R. HARDE: The Tribunel has ruled that procentation and discussion of any ovidence relative to experiments which are not at issue in this case shall be diayed until later on derive the trial and at such time all evidence of this nature will be at one time offered and then the Tribunal, at that time, will rule on its resiscability. Hence, consistent with the ruling of the Tribunal, I object to Dr. Servation at this time offering any evidence of this nature or discussing it in as much as the Tribunal was par fit to delay it until a future date.

DR. SERVATION: It. Provident, I am not asking you to admit this as a document in evidence no., but I as using it in the course of interregating this witness to find out that his point of view is.

The purpose of this trial was ombined by General Taylor at the bocinning as that of accortaining in general that Sarbaric methods were here being used for the first time in the case of the defendants in the dock and that affenses against principles of law of all countries of the world. In this Document Book of size I have shown a few short but 17 M/r-A- 3-15-2-Gross (Int. Brown) Court No. I.

pres a at excerpts to prove the contrary.

I am simply putting this document to the witness to have his opinion of it and I ask permission to do so.

THE PROSEDUAT: Submit the document to the Tribunal from which you were reading.

Dit. SERVATUS: Document Book Int of which I said pesterday that I would bring up today, the same as the prosecution was mentioned yester-day.

IR. Hadre Your Honor, in this connection the Litness has already stated he document know anything about matters in other countries.

THE INCOME. Extending counsel propounded to the witness cortain questions. The witness enswered the questions. Counsel may now, if he desires, tak the witness if the witness is familiar with medical othics in general, if he has studied that entter, and is familiar with general rules in connection with the governing of medical othics in such cases.

DR. S.RV.TDS: Do I understond that you mean I can put this pass-

THE FRESTDENT: No, not on this time. I simply outlined to counsel questions he might ask at this time. This other matter may be considered later on today.

BY DR. SERVICETUS:

A lithesa, you have occupied an influential position as a professor at Tuebingon. Do you think you are in a position to express an opinion on the otheral paraissability of experiments on human beings in this trial?

A I con't enswer that question in such percent terms. Apparently so many questions are being here debated. I could perhaps compotently answer a few of them but not all of them.

Q Now let me put a process question to you in reference to this passage. Do you think an experiment on an idiot is permissible.

A I should desend in this case also the consent of the porson in

q ould you consider it permissible if experiments on certain seriously ill persons or epileptics whose refusal to consent is to be considered, that experiments be carried out on them?

A No.

- Q Do you think that experiments should be carried out on moribund children, for instance, providing these are children whose death on be counted on as certain unless something is done?
- A I must ask a counter-quescion. Just what do you mean by moribund children on whom one could carry out experiments?
- Q I have a quotation here that reads to the effect that experiments were carried out on merinand children and it says they lived for another ten days. There was purulent eye infection as a result. In other words, the children died but lived ten days longer.
- A The control sense of the word moribund does not apply to children who lived mother ten days. In such cases one must be very very aure of all the danger in order to attempt an expert opinion toward it. "We know he look in medicine but only rules.
- Q Mitness, if such experiments are carried out on children or sick porcons, why aren't they carried out on healthy persons? Wouldn't one around that these experiments are very dangerous?
- A Thir, too, is a question which I cannot answer in such general terms.
- q itmes, if these experiments are carried out not on Nationals of one's one country but on foreigners, is that not proof that they are designers experiments?
- a In moderal professional atmics there can be no difference between citizens of one's own country and foreigners.
- I However, if it does happen, cannot one draw a deduction that it is scrething that would not be expected of a citizen of one!s own country but a foreigner?
 - A Trut is a question of the psychology of the person who carries 6059

not the experiment and has nothing to do with the general question of modical ethics.

- Q Do you think such experiments can be carried out for private re-
 - A This again is such a general question that I cannot answer it.
- Q Than you say that you feel that a researcher if he feels it necessary can carry out experiments if he thinks it important?
- A Of course, but it depends on whom and the question of concent plays a part here.
 - And how about on a person who does not consent?
- A From the point of view of medical ethics I do not consider experiments on people who do not consent permissible.
 - Q Can such experiments be ordered in the interest of the State?
- A I collises that has happened in the course of history. That I know. I personally would disapprove of that. I personally should always grafts to forego scientific progress sather than take such a reseponsibility upon cysulf.
- A Tour you consider such experiments not permissible even when the State orders them?
 - A From the point of view of medical othics you.
- Q Thun, Professor, you have with the Prosecution that such ex-
- the question of professional medical others and the other are the laws that may exist in the country in question for which the doctor is not responsible.

17 _m - ES-16-1- Marrow (Int. Brown) Sur: 3. 1. . "Itness, if today such an experiment were ordered by the erormone, an experiment on human beings, then over doctor would have to office that ? ... I che say that I myself would not carry out the experiment and would profer to run the danger of suffering personal harm. Moreover, the tie a ideals ical question and who to se to be said from the point of view of medical ethics so far as I am concerned in t I have already said haro. 5 We that always your opinion, witness, or did you just arrive at it here new? . That he law a boon in opinion. . Didn't you chan e this orinion during the course of time. Bis It is boom out-moded? ... I a rdly boliove b . , Di, witness, I should like to put another document to you. I to in 3 93 and, for the purpose of identification, it will be in post if 23. I am II ford the decement to your 00000 "The Provident of : | both ro-white Province | 632 - III- C 727/3. "Tissularri, June 25ta. 1946. was the Prosidents of the Severamental Districts in Ax-la-Chapello, Duvecoloref and Colormy. "Si jost: Ledic | Nor. rea Co Attoo. Thelesed you will find the text and translation of a writing of tis. (Manay Bover went of June 22, 1946 - 12/21/2057. La I have the Remoral Reserved Deportment wents to take exceptionthis bout the functi Man of the Mineys. I se therefore the no mi, la concerned, to the the reports. I Toproscat tive si mod: "h. G. rfoldt." 100000 our mal cion. " Accepture Militian Government Morte Being Serion. 1051

17 pr. - 25-16-1-Eurrow (Inc. Eroen) Court 10. 1,

- Titness, if today such an experiment were ordered by the overmions, an experiment on human beings, then every doctor would have to expense that?
- I on say that I mysulf would not carry out the experiment and walf profer to run the danger of suffering personal harm. Moreover, that is a idealocical question and what is to be said from the point of view of medical ethics so for as I am concerned in I I have already said here.
- Is that always your opinion, witness, or did you just arrive at it here new?
 - . The the slare boon my opinion.
- Didn't you change this opinion during the course of time. But it not been out-moded?
 - ... I herdly boltovo e .
- I have without, I should like to put mather document to you. I dw do IE 93 and, for the purpose of identification, it will be IE Smill to 25. I so il reed the document to you:

MODEON.

"Discolatorf, June 25th, 1946.

The to Providents of the Government of Districts in ix-la-Chapello, Duospulfort and Colorno.

Top Just: .. wife I der red Co. ittou.

the literap Government of June 22, 1946 - 12/P1/2057.

is I have the Resident describe Doperturns wants to take exceptiontions bout the functional of the Memory. I is therefore the sourt is encouned, to the the reports.

.s represent tive si mod: Tr. G. rfoldt. "

tignere.

Agr and plon.

We distore william Government Torth Milne Swips.

"Subject: Nodic 1 Bescarch Committee

" the Prosident of the corthern Rhine Province.

DU Thouse what to be informed, if and when children are born in language homes or women's words in compitals offseted with Moningoccole or vinilar abnormalities, which will make it unlikely or in-

W2. Professor legent and his describent wish so take some experitions on those children, which will give them no sort of poins, but they feel not entitled to the those experiments on normal, healthy emildren. then the birth of these children cause to be known Professor Fee no is to be informed to once by telephone. Mannertal Fo. 36665.

> at mod: Signature for Doyaty Negional Considerationer Worth Enine Ruden

> > (It. Col. R.J.G. Wallace, RUC).

#30 the President of the Governmental District 2 G od 500

Fo logge, July 9, 1986.

His the Raise Office of

HI bun' the copy with the request to inform the birthwards of the non-it-is in your district.

by order:

si not ber Savols

c relfied: Mater, Replanum a inspector".

- Object of I would like to see the original of t is consent.
- The is any that we must be not in referring to refer to compute the original.

 This is any that we must be no. I mereparate to refer to receive a compute to refer to a most trial procedure a second in the match if I subside a compute the particle by a state of the process of the compute thick has been issued by an allied overmunt. This is such a document. This, reachy, is

torus all a matica be a ken of it.

contille in of authorities of this document by a me one of the matter of the little Government. That is, suthenticity of the copy of the document.

o y in the but subditted but not a certifical comp, and it is not possible to the subditted but not a certifical comp, and it is not possible to the subditted but not a reflect I document because I compt not up needs on it. I have the company and has every remain to believe that it is not maken the lift of Soverment in Colomo to send to be a company and it may be made in the copy soon, but I compt modified it but. The telegram number of the dector is here. I su wone the weak made it but. The telegram number of the dector is here. I su wone

Government, where did no receive it from the most have received it from numerous.

both of red in ovidence, serely being regred for identification.

inculifie tion I chould think it would logically follow that you would not to consolish that it is a focusent, and take how not been one blished as a focusent.

Super amario: .r. I rdy, will you hand that thing up here so we send that thing up here so we

(Locument is he had to Tribunt).

Tribun 1, where, and have not under wast circumstances the text a tter of the property of the

The ST W. TUS: Durin by information trip to Cologne, which is my theire town, I was told that this directive had been issued, and it we rod to de over the telephone. Thereumon

D . . J TIUS: Issued by the British Ilitary Sovernment and tr and tod by the for-president to min ration I presidents, who droct the reports have to be made by phone. Thereupon, I visited the houlth office of the City of Cologno and nested the to tell me something bout it; but I couldn't spork to the gostleman in question, so I wrote the the would do so communicate it to no. Then, my informthis contor sont he this copy and I have now submitted it because I have no doubt of its outhenticity. I also nemured gradf the t such directivou is d been ment to other institutes so I believed I could rely on it.

JUNE TRIED: You made inquiry by letter?

Die S. W. TIUS: You.

JUNE STATES: I MANT

Die 5 V. TIUS: To the numberont houlth office in Colomno which is an close for tale, but I'm vo received no master . I could muc as a Times to this the) . were trimblest Dr. Schole who signed this thing.

JUNE MENTIO: You a to inquiry by lettur?

J. L T TIUS: You.

out a SERIES: You are not no jet received a cony or you have not yet received a rooly to the t letter?

.... 5 T Tirs: Not you, at.

JU E SERVIC: I need that the letter won midne; inquiry concorning the subject a toor or text meter of this document. Is the Dormont?

I'. I VIIIIS: Yes, I maked about it and requested a comy so that I could mustit it to the Triburni.

JUCE SERRING: You did not receive a rolly to your letter and consimulating and receive the cony of the document was requested?

IL. MINISTERS: No. I do not how on official c y. I simply have

the root conviction sail tis is a true document.

Then SERRICS: Then, if you did not receive this document or its consents from the office, I don't quite understand where it did come from.

I'm a Wall's: I received it from person who is very close to my silent. I maked prefer not to mention the mane. I believe this close within a profusalment oblication to person.

THE SERVICE: And it came to you as bold on mathematic compt

JUDGE SERRIFG: Of a Assessment works had been only issued? Is that

20. ST 7. 2008: Yes, and toproster I tell granned to emother office the I I my and corresponded the fact that they also had received a many of this same directive.

JUSTE SEBRICE For them, what is your pur one in directing its content to this withdra?

If you was to saw this to the citaces to have from him, a rejectment tive decrease of present day for any, how such experiments are over a view of the terminants as are not a related today. It is no plant of view that are ariments as are not a related are arminable, and I have report and that the vitness is a top plant of view represented by the proceeding, and I submit to to not set or contents as a remainship if the state orders have

THUS SHERIYOR IN A De you understand the experiment to be.

Do S TOURS I we ose that those are experiments that are a relief out without the commont of the person concerned. That they are function to become no one it told about them, but, on the other hand, experiments that are necessary. It is a further intention to brow that outle models should be practised on children who are been with such tiscenses and eyets because authomatic is cortainly an easy death,

death efter the experiment. Thus, all the directives on the part of the Roich Committee for the Investigation of Heriditary Diseases should be counidared permissible.

Mr. Abray: Your monor, if the Tribunal sees fit to accept this as an authentic document, then I object to the admissibility of the document inasmuch as it has no be ring in this case; it is immaterial; furthermore, the contents of the accument is not at issue here and this does not state whether or not permission of the parents or survival of these children to be experimented upon will be obtained. Furthermore, this is an expression of intention and there ien't any evidence of an overt act to substantiate the document.

JUDGE SERBRING: Dr. Servatius, if you are of the view that this withous enterteins come othical views concerning the extent to thich human experiments may be conducted, if to any extent at all, it would sprear to me that having heard his atstement on the matter you might propound a hypothetical question to him as to whether or not he is. of the view that experiments concorning the functioning of the kidneys to be carried out on certain abnormal children which will give them no sort of pain, is, in his opinion, within the limits of ethical consideration of the complex involved. I think you might be able to propound to him purnips a selected range of hypothetical questions which would probe the witness for his views of what, in him opinion, would come within or without the limits of what he considers to be an ethical approach to the concept of experimentation. It would seem to me that that could be done without any reference whatever to this document and that it would be a proper source of inquiry.

DR. SERVATIUS: The hypothetical questions I have already put to the witness-whether he considers experiments permissible if they are pointess, whether they should the carried out on children without the consent of their parants—he rejected. I caked him if they were permissible if the State ordered them and he said that he would oppose that himself. I asked him if his point of view was not rather out of date and when a State that rules a great part of the earth considers it permissible to order such things, then perhaps the expert who is here to testify as a withess will arrive at a different conclusion and will correct his point of view, will admit that it is out of date. That is the very core of my defense of my client.

JUDGE SEBRING: I think it might be entirely proper if you produced other withesaue to show that the view entertained by this men on the witness stend is hopelessly out of date, as you say, but I am unable to see where, assuming that this document speaks of semothing that

that this document speaks of such an experiment does not in end of itself serve to upset this mon's point of view, he was in the hopeless minority in the matter and it would then be for the Tribunal to consider, from all of the evidence before it, what it considered a proper appropriate the matter. But personally I fail to see the probative value of the inquiry as it is circumscribed by the text of the document which you have presented to him.

In failing to find any materiality in mindin this document to the witness. Counsel may ask the witness if the witness is award that other physicians of reduce may hold other opinions, but this is not counsel's witness, nor is no an opposing witness. He is witness called by snother defendant. Counsel has the privilege of interrogating him --did interrogate him, asked him questions, and the witness answered him. The witness is not subject to ordes-examination or examination. He is not an adverse witness. We do not know of his position in regard to any other defendant. Counsel may ask the witness if the witness is aware that other physicians hold ideas which do not coincide with his.

DR. SERVATIUS: Mr. President, I assumed that since the witness has a different point of view than that of the defense, that no was an inimical witness and that I could put everything to him necessary to refute his point of view. We I am cretona from the President that I should put no further questions to the witness?

called by another defendant. You are now trying to cross-examine the witness as to questions which you yourself asked him. But counsel may ask the witness if the witness is aware that other physicians of repute in other communities, hold contrary ideas to his own.

BY DR. SERVATIUS:

- Q. Witness, are you sware that other physicians do not share your opinion but consider such experiments permissible?
- A. I believe without any further question that all doctors do not share my point of view; but if I may make a romark about this document, it is a question. The question is whether children with Animgococle are to be operated on or not, but may I tell you that the lives of a part of these children could be saved.

JUDGE SEBRING: Witness, suppose you start over. I don't think the interpreter set that. Will you start over please.

defense counsel?

The Prosecution may cross-examine.

CROSS*EXAMINATION

SY MR. HARDY:

- eppreciate your answering my questions briefly so that we may finish this afternoon. First of all, I want to discuss with you the events which took place at the meeting of the consulting physicians at the Hilltary Medical academy in May 1943, more specifically the lecture given by Dr. Jing. Now was it possible for a listener, such as yourself, a man who was an expert on tropical medicine, to have readily ascertained after hearing Dr. Ding's lecture, that the experimental subjects used by Dr. Jing were insetes of a concentration emmp?
- a. I connot remember now whether it only became apparent from the discussion.
- a discussion took place and the discussion was opened by Professor Rose, is that correct? Did I understand you correctly that the first one to speak after Ding's lecture was completed was Professor Rose?
- A. As far as I remember, Professor Rose was the first out to speak.
- Q. and then first of all spoke on the subject itself, that is, typnus research, and then he proceeded to chastise wing for the manner in which no carried out the experiments, is that correct.?
 - A. Yos.
- 2. Woll, now, will you kindly tell the Tribunal as well as you can remember, that is, verbatim or substantially, just what Dr. Rose said?

- a. During my tostimony this morning I endeavored to report his speech as well as I am in a position to do so today after a period of 4 years has elepsed.
 - Q. Woll, will you kindly repeat it again for me, doctor?

Court 1 April 17-a-18-2-HD-Moloy-Rammler. 4. Well, do you know that Professor Rose visited Suchanuali with Professor Gildemeister and saw the operation in worll 1942 a year before? a. I am only hearing that now. . And then a year later Rose atrendously objected at this meeting before the consulting physicians; and now is it clearer for you to understand way Rose objected, or was it clear from the lecture of wing that experimental subjects used were numer beings? a. I don't understand your question. what I am tring to make clear, Doctor, is whether or not you were able to recertain at that meeting that concentration c mo inmetes were used in these experiments? s. YJa. Q. Now, is Hose and not vivited Suchemweld one year orior to tast time would no still have been able to appertain from the lecture of Dr. Ding that experiments and boon conducted on human beings in the concentration camp? a. I connot remember that, because I no longer know whether that clearly became prevent from the lecture. w. Well, then did the objection by Professor Hose come as a complete surprise? B. Yss, for ma. . Well, now would you consider it possible that Professor Rose would later on have sent vaccines to Buchemacla to be tested there? A. NO. .. Then in summation you felt assured that Rose considered this work at Bichenweld to te more or less a sclentific method of murder? A. Yes.

A. I bolieve, yes. One could do that in the mentioned

. You would have to first ortificially infect the wice, wouldn't you?

a. Not the mouse that is used in the test alone, out sice would have to be available where yellow fever virus is present in their brain. This brain containing virus has been mixed with the serum of the human deing to be examined, and then to in injected into other mice.

. Well, now, poctor, if we were soing to have experiments on human beings here in Murnberg, we would a vo to first ertificially infect the numen beings with the yellow favor virus in order to test the efficacy of the vaccine, and beer in mind now, Doctor, I am not speaking of computability tests?

A. Do you mean compatability tests?

. I mean to test the efficacy of the vaccine, not e competibility test.

A. Efficacy, -not it is not necessary at all. One on asscertain the officed of the vaccine by using the mice test. Here is the case of yellow fever there is one exceptaon. 8575

Court 1 April 17-A-18-4-HD-Maloy-Rannler.

only in the case of yellow fever can one decide by using the serum of a human being to what extent he would be immune.

Court No. 1 17 apr 47-A-19-2-AHA-Rechen (Int. Ranler) . Sell now, Doctor, is hepetitis a rether serious discase? A. On the overage, no. . hell, let's take it in itself. Summose we go to the Orient: would hopetitis be considered a serious disease? A. I know that in the Belkens hepatitis is spread among the population and nostly is considered as a bernless child disease. . 'het I am getting at, Doctor, would you consider heartitis to be in the same extegory as a ted cold, a little more serious then that, isn't it? A. It takes longer, but I can see from having read an inglish thesis on the subject that it is not a very serious disease, where artificial infection with hopetitis was used in order to treat rhousetism of the limbs, in the same way as melaris is used in order to treat persiysis. However, there was no success in that method, that is, trying to influence the rhomnetism of the limbs. . Doctor, you have stated or centioned here the Oath of Hippocrates. Now from hearing your testimony I can readily understand that you abide the Cath of Figurerates to the letter. Is the Coth of Hippocrates recumired re the guiding steff in the medical profession here in Germany like in all other countries? A. I don't know to what extent the onth is known sbroad. To be sure, it is often quoted in literature abroad. It is the only written guiding directive which exists about the professional ethical fundamentals of hedicine; that is, generally spenking. . Thene you, Doctor, I have no further quastions. THE PRESIDE I: any further operations to the witness? If not, the without ment to excused. (The witness is excused.) DR. FRITZ (Counsel for the defendant Rose): Mr. President, with the enproved of the Tribunel I should now like to call the defendent Rose to the witness stand. THE PARSITENT: Hee counsel any documents which he could introduce 6078

within the next helf hour without putting the defendent Rose on the stend right now?

DR. FRITZ: Mr. President, it was my intention to read the documents and introduce then during the examination of the defendant Rose. This is now I have prepared my presentation.

THE PRESIDENT: Vell, the Tribunel does not have any desire to interfore with the orderly presentation of the case by counsel, so the Tribunel will now recess until 9:30 tomorrow norming.

(The Tribunal adjourned until 0930 hours, 18 April 1947.)

10 April-M-DJG-1-1-Fostor (Int. harder) Court No. 1

Official transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America against Kerl Brendt, et al, defendants, sitting at Nurmberg, Germany, on 18 April 1947, 0930, Justice Beals procedure.

THE MASSAL: Persons in the court room will places find their seats.

The Henorable, the Judges of Military Tribunel I.

Military Tribunel I is not in session. God save the United

Status of America and this henorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the courtroom.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Wrahel, you ascertain that the defendents are all present in court.

THE MUNSHALT May it please your Monor, all defendants are present in the court.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretory-Teneral vill note for the record the presence of all the deferments in court.

is. Hoself May it please your Monor, the Defendant Rose to this date is charged by the presecution on Count No. 1 with the conspiracy, in Count No. 2 with participation in the yellow doundate experiments, typhus experiments and importments involving relies fever, suclipex, paratyphus a and I, challers and diphaboris. In exhibit therete, the Defendant base has been charged with participation in the or univation of Slitzableiter, also the relation experiments. In Count No. 3 it follows that he is charged with the same.

the Designant hose sive the charge of conspiracy in Count No. 1;
the charge of perticipation in the typhus aperim nor in Count
No. 2 and the perticipation in the maloric concritants in Count
To. 2; and in Jount No. 3, participation in the sens, as not forth
5080

16 April-16-DJG-1-2-Fester (Int. Ecoler) Court No. 1

in Count No. 2.

THE PRESIDENT: Will counsel for the prosecution prepare a written statement to that effect.

MR. HARDY: Yes, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: and file it with the Tritunal in the office of the Secretary-General.

Mr. H.RDY: Yes, your Honor.

DB. HEINZ FRITZ (Counsel for Defendant Rese): Nr. President, with the approval of the Tribunal, I am now calling the Defendant Rese to the witness stem!.

THE PRESIDENT: The Defendant Rose will take the witness stand. The witness will be sworn.

DR. GERHARD ROSE, a defendant, took the stand and testified as follows:

BY JUDGE SEERING:

Q Relea your right hand, places, repositing after me before the Tribunal:

I swear by God, the similarly and Commissiont, that I will speak the pure truth and will withhold and add nothing.

(The witness repeated the oath.)

THE PRESEDENT: You may sit down.

DIRECT ELAMBETICS

BY DR. HEINZ PRITZ (Counsel for the Defendant Hose):

- G Professor Mose, at first a few questions as to your personality. Will you state your full mano.
 - A Gerhard August Heinrich Lose.
 - Q When and where were you born?
- A I was born on the 30th of Mevember 1896 at Densig in Mostern Pressis as a German. I have always retained German citizen-ship, although my home was supersted from Germany in the year of 1920.
- Q Would you plosse very briefly describe your professional cersor, and, at first, up to the time you completed your medical 6081

studies.

- A Gymnasium at Stottin, Dussaldorf, Bromen and then Breslau. These changes came about owing to my father's transfers who was a senior civil servant. I made my matriculation on the 9th of Morch 1914, and from 1914 until 1921 I studied medicine. I did my military service at the Second Guard Regiment with the Infantry, and I want through the war with that regiment from 1914 until 1910. My medical State examination I passed at the University of Breslau on the 15th of Nevember 1921. My medical approbation I received on the 16th of May 1922 by the acieh Ministry of the Interior at Berlin.
- Q That success did you have in terminating your medical exemination?
- A My State examination was very good, doctor degree "magna cum laude".
- O Would you plusse describe to the Tribench very shortly your career as a physician?
- A I worked in Breeleu as an interme with the Pathelogical Institute of the hespital there, and I was also at the Medical Pelyelinic and the Hygiene Institute of the university. I started my years as assistant at the Hydicaic Institute of the University at Breslau. In the year of 1922 I want to the Robert Koob Institute at Berlin and, finally, in the year of 1923, I went to the Hygienic Institute of the University at Easle in Switzerland. During that time I studied for two menths as a guest at the Prussian Institute for water, with and air hygiene in Berlin, Dahlen,

In crose to supplement my education I decided to do a few years of clinical work and receme assistant at the surjical clinic at the University of Heidelberg. Upon the wish of my clinical chief there I had spent one winter before that with the anatomical Institute of the University at Heidelberg. During my Heidelberg

years I had a place for work with the Hygicaic Institute in order to carry out my scientific work there. In the year of 1929, upon recumendation of the Berlin tropical disease Professor Ziemann, I was assigned to China to the Government of Cheking. There I crosted the State Institute for Public Health of Cheking. Two years later, I was appointed consultant for public health with the Countesianer for Civil Affairs at Cheltiang. In addition, in the year 1934 the Chincon National Government offered me the job as a director in the Schistosomlasis control. I stayed officially at Hangahow, during my stay in China, which is the capitol of Cheklang. Eangehow has half a million inhabitants, and Chegiang more than twenty million. During that time I had a number of subsidiary offices such as are usually had in case of any such hydienic position. I was a member of the City Planning Committee, of the Unter Works Committee, of the Committee for Pelice Reform. with the Ednistry of the Interior at Chekian. I was an honorary member of the Hygienic Committee of the Chinese Medical Association. Then in the year 1934 I became chairmen of the Perasitelegical Section of the Far Eastern Association for Tropical Medicine. Although the Commissioner for Livil Affaire had changed five times during that period, I stayed in that position for a period of 7 years until I myself asked to resign in order to accept an offer which was made to me by the Robert Koch Institute of Barlin as a professor.

DR. HEINZ PRINZ: Mr. Frueident, with reference to Professor Resets activity in China, I should like to submit to the Tribunal the Decument Rose Number I under Rose Exhibit No. 1. This is a contification of the Chinese Education Minister Chu Chia-hua, and it is deted 26 September 1946. The document can be found in Document Volume Rose Mumber 1, page 1. I do not intend Mr. President, to read that document, I morely attach value to the fact that the High Tribunal take notice of that document since it represents a characterization of the Defendant Rose.

18 April 1947 -M-2-1-PRP- Lesser (Int. Remler) Court No. 1

im. HAPDY: May it plans: Your Honor, this document contains no jurat, hence these not meet with the regulations prescribed by the Tribunal in that it is a duly authorized affidavit, certified, and in good form; honce the Prosecution objects to the admission of this document in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Coursel, have you the original document?

(Original document handed to the Tribural)

Objection will be marruled. The document will be admitted for such probative value as at may have.

BY DR. PRITZ:

Q. Did you receive any special assistments during those years in China?

A. In 1993 I received in mantenment from the Hygiene Section of the League of Mations in rior to stuly the Schistoscainsis problem in Egypt and to make a report, which was to constitute a commarison between that problem in stypt as a opered to Chine. In 1935 I participated in an Intern time! Inf reation C urse for Seni r Public Health Officers of the Far East at Singapore, Maleria questions were Coult with there one toon, with the support of the Far Eastern Bureau of the Hygiene Section of the League of Nations, I visited the most important molarin territorius of British Malays and Java and studied the clagos control at Control Java. In 1935, the Public Health Arindstration of the Sathern Chinece Province, Kwangsi, invited and to edvise them in planue contril questions. Before my return to Gormony, I visite 'the most important parasitological institutes at Japan and then, upon recommend tion of the Rockefeller Foundation, I visited a number of important parasitelogical institutions in the United States of North America. On these accesions I also hel' bectures.

Q. After your roturn to Cormany did you i mediately assume your office at the Robert Rich Institute?

A. No, I only entered farmlly my new resition with the Robert Koch Institute. I immediately to k a vacation in order to take a 6084

18 April 1947 -H-2-2-FKP- Lesser (Int. Remaler) Court No. 1

trip to Africe for purposes of study. I wanted to fin' out what the tropical medical special problems were as they prevailed in Central Africa. With the agreement of the Colonial Office in Landon, and the Colonial Ministries in Feris and Brussels, I traveled through africe. I visited the most important plague territories of Africa. This journey lasted for approximately one year and went through the Union of South Africa, Portuguese East Africa, the Tanganika territory, the Colony Kenya, the Protectorate of Ugazda, Uranda-Urundi, Murabat Mandate, Belgien Congo, French Equatorial africa, French Camoro m, Migorin, Gold Const, Sierra Leone and Franch Sense 1. On the basis of an invitation which I received while on my travels, I terminated my journey by wisiting French Morocco. Then, in the year of 1957, I assumed the Lendersonin of the Trade-1 Department at the Robert Koch Institute in Berlin. In the year 1939 I received a short special assignment in order to investigate a nupititie opleanie in Smin. In the year 1943 I become the vice president of the Robert Koch Institute but could not or ctically exercise that office since my service with the Commencht tok Il my time.

Q. In addition to the activities which you just describe!, did you have any instruction assignments?

A. In the year 1938 I received a lecture assistment for tropical modicine and trapical hygiene for the modical faculty of Borlin. In the year 1940 I received a similar assignment for the faculty of Foreign Sciences. In addition, I held lectures with the meademies for post graduate medical training.

Q. Did you hold any ther ectentific subsidiary offices?

A. I was a mumber of the Scientific Senate of the Lewleny for Post Graduate Medical Training for Mayal and Trapical Medicine at Hamburg. I was a member of the Bard of the German Association for Tropical Madicine there. I was co-publisher of the handbook entitled "Tropic: 1 Mudicine." I was a member of the committee of the German Tr icil Medical periodicial. I was a member of the Tarking Committee for disinfectants and chasical for insect-past control.

16 April 1947 -19-2-3-FAP- Lesser (Int. Remaier) Court No. 1

- Q. To what Gormon scientific associations did you belong?
- A. To the German Micro-Biological Association the Berlin Micro-Biological Association I belonged to the Berlin Medical Association, the German Tropical Medicine Association; and the Association for Applied Entomology.
 - Q. 'ere you also a member of foreign modical associations?
- A. I was a member for life of the Chinese Medical association, and in 1934 I was elected a Follow of the Rayal Society for Tropical Medicine. I was a member of the For Enstern Association for Tropical Medicine. I was chairman of the Perasit Lagy Section at the Eighth International Congress for Tropical Agriculture at Tripole in the year 1939. In addition, I was the official Calegate for Germany at the International Congress for Tropical Medicine on the Third International Congress for Tropical Medicine on the Third
- Q. Mr. Problems, in order to prive the Pellowship of Professor Rose at the Royal Society, I offer as knee Document No. 2, which will become Rose Exhibit No. 3, the original certification of the Royal Society in London. This can be found in Document Back Rose No. 1, on page 2.

Did you publish may mulicul scientific work?

- by you. Since all my a terrial was lost foring the war, this list is probably not quite complete but only a few relatively unimportant papers may be missing. In addition to that, there are the yearly work reports about the activity of the Institute for Public Scalth at Chekiang during the 7 years in which I horded that institute; also there are the mark reports of the tradecine department at the Robert Koch Institute from the years of 1937 up to 1944.

 They are printed in the rearly reports of that institute and there you can also find all the work published by my collaborators.
- Q. Mr. President, with reference to the activity concerning writing for technical literature by Prifessor Reso, I offer into avidence the efficient by the Defendant Rose dated the 11 of February

18 April 1947 -15-2-4-FKF- Lessor (Int. Harmler) Court No. 1

1947, as Rose Document No. 3, Rose Exhibit No. 3. The document can be found in Document Book Rose No. 1, on pages 3 to 7. I to not intend to read that document.

Were you a member of the MSDAP?

- A. Tes, since 1950.
- Q. But you were in China, weren't you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Well, how fid it came fout that you become member of the NSDAP when you were in China?
- A. A number of Mational Socialists in Nanking triod to recruit people into the RSDAP ord also asked me. I needed that invitation.
- Q. Did you at any time h ld an office in the Party or did you over receive any Party swards?
- A. No. I hold no office in the Party or in any of its affiliations, although such office was offered to me on two occasions. I received no Party america not did I get only homorery ranks.
 - Q. Dir you at may rice belong to the 5% or the 58?
- 4. No. Under-Secretary of State, Canti, asked as an one occasion to enter the SS but I did not do so.
- Q. Did you have any disnoventages by reas a f your refusal to join those furnations?
- A. You, at the end of 1939 I med been asked to participate in the Health Service at the occasion of the resuttlement of the recipil germans. This was done by reason of the German-Servict Phot. I was to head this Public Boulth Service outside the Reich Borders and that by collaboration with the Service, Recoming Yearslev and Hamparian authorities. After the conclusion of this work I remained the hyperenic consultant to the Chief of this public health service. The actual resuttlement on the German side was needed by Riemler and one agency, which was affiliated to the SS, the so-colled Velkedeutsche Mittelstelle.

18 april-M-GJ-3-1-Taley-(intrapal.r)Court 1

The public health service at first was independent of that a ency, and was suber limited to the Understeretary of State, Conti. Physicians of the Seich Chamber of Physicians worked in that service, or physicians that had been leaned to that or animation by the Wehrmacht such as iny case. The Works Soutsche Mittelstelle on the other hand on eavered to transfer these physicians that participated in the r settlement work to the SS. Since I refused my entry to the SS an dience in addition I had a number of scraps with them come rain sens fundament 1 interests, and that with a number of richer SS leaders I was asked to resign and be replaced by an SS physician. In the year 1944 I we invited to be a prosident for a new reich institution which was yet to be founded, an institution for insect posts dan crows to bushn health, and for professional reasons was sun; rist by Conti, The SS at that time tried to get the field of insect central under their authority, since Wirmler personally was into relate in that subject. This fo et can also be seen from Slowers Mary which contained in the filer of the Pritural. In the Committee for desinfection I had already opposed any that may special place Do reserved for the SS in that Field. I'm a Miti a so that I from the resottlement I was knew as a troublescene collaborator and it was not liffigult for the enthemon of the SS who were interrested to dissuale H malor from requestin my appointment, she in the mantine hall become Minister of the Interior.

DB. Fille: Mr. President, with reference to the difficulties which Rose suffered in that repart, I offer Hose Dycument, NO h, as Exhibit, no h, an afficavit made by Dr. Serhard Paters, on the 28th of February 1947. This can be found in the Document, pages 8 to 10, Document Book, no 1, I should like to read the most essential parts of that "bourent, and I quote on page 1, part righ 2:

There known Professor Dr. Bose since the early years of the war, for, as a consultant by ionist of the Inftwarfe, Scalt closely with all questions of insect control and engage his department in respostive scientific work. Independent of this, he was easi me' in May

18 April-M-GJ-3-2-Maloy-(int.hammler) Court 1

1942 by the Reich heelth Leaser as a comber to the workin Committee on De-virginization and epidemic control, including the supply of equipment, mapower and eneral enlightment. Professor Rese here ave valueble and ener atic assistance, I came to know his personally furin this workened loarned to estimate him for his incorruptible objectivity.

" It had been intented to appoint Professor Res. president of a special Reich I'stitute for vermin control which was to be established in 1914, an appointment which was concrelly approved in expert circles. This proposal had the be the special support of the under Secretary Dr. Conti. Home, however, was turned from in SS circles, of Himalor's entourage for the reason that he was " to difficult" Sturmbannfuchrer Pflaum, who had been appointed ! Special Commissioner for Vermin Control' by the Reichsfuchrer SS was a particular opponent of Rese, and constatly criticized the work of the Committee for its lack of compliande with the Party and the SS. Finally instead of rese, on SS candidato was considered. Rese it not even than change his often expressed hostile attitude consumning the wishes of the 35, nor his critic 1 rejustion of the Machinetions of the then personal physician professor Freil "(Russic F wor") ut rather further auto emist the opposition through his support of my work in the Commoittee and by his intercession on my bohnif - I was throatened with agreet by the SS-,"

Now comes the signature of Dr. Gerhard Feters. This afficavit was cortified.

Q New, Professor, Dr. Poters, in the officient I have just read speaks of difficulties which you hat with the SS in the field of insect control. Coul. you please lescribe these natter in greater detail, so that they become nore univestiminable?

A It was at first a fun amontal clash amon the working com mittee was founded. These committees had been founded by il mister Speer, as independent administrative or the of the injustry. I was to represent in this committee the interest of the civilian a posturers, namely the civilian healt authorities the private persons. The committee was todacide what means for insect control could yet be promoted, what firms were

Court No 1

those meens, that factories had to be sto to and that personnel of those factories had to be transferred to other fact ries. Furthercore, it was to be decided how the products were to be distributed erong the various meeting groups. During the first meeting there all the interested parties were invited, the representative of the OS rejected dwing in to decisions of that condition. He reid whomever Himber was interested in producing my morns for the combatting of insect control it would have to be controlled anyway. He further said the SS did not think of submitting to the besiden of that committee regarding the Matribution of quotes to the various partios. At that time I rejected may independent position of the 88, including the Roichs Fuchror, because of that the resident hositate in voicin his winim, this win it was his tusiness to make this rejection. This of course was stormer's clarge held against re. For receins unich I boult book, Mi mlor and a resmally intorusto: in condettin insects.

That was always mintaine to his re resent tive. They even tried to get the professional or animati as and insect e atrol unfor their super vision. One of its her s a certain in Deter was prosted without our over known the rate no why. So to a ant of the SC tto coto lished as a trustee. Similar plans were as to against the Problem. of Mir committee. He could make but into that because of the interforence of Government or institutions, who were incorrected in the smooth working of the committee, I personally was only concerned in assuch as it was worked a minute of appointment as resident I would playly accupted that position in or or to became the grasident of on independent institute. Missilar, of cau so, was in position to provent such an appoint ant since he the a Rich Indister. I personally mover know why the 35 har such a greatel interest in the field of insect central, The conflicts with Micher's personal Physician, Dr. Horrell, came about for the reason that he was to hoing on inactive lice means in his cam factory. This was ordered by Hitler for

18.pril-14-15-3-20-kloy(Resolur)
Court No 1

the any, since terrell member to represent this drug in a wring light. Dr. Extrell was been in this matter as neterious. Since he was using the same row materials that enother firm could have used that was using a more effective drug, conflicts with the committee arose. Finally, I started to be very interested in the production of DDT proparations, according which was conflicted by Extrell, because it had a tendency to limit his own production of his like partler. It was all a very poor chapter.

O At any rate, thring the outbreak of the war you were professor with the Rebert Mech Institute in Berlin and slav Breater of My jone of that Institute?

A You, that same my position.

O Did you also have cortain mesignments -broad?

A Yes, in 1945 the colder director of the Reste University of Basic out to on the proposal list as an expert in hydene at their university at Basic, and then in the year 1944 I, including the conditation, was intended to be selected for the Turkish Public Health Office in ankara. Both resignments for political reasons did not come about.

DR. FRITZ: In order to substantiate the reposel to past a professor Rose to the University of Basic, I offer a document which was sent to no by the Security of Basic, which can be found on edge 11, up to page 14, of a locatest book. This is hose Document 5, and hose Exhibit No. 5. This is a contified among tof the equation of the faculty insofar as Professor Rose is continued therein. This demont is rather interesting, since it compares itself with the year 1943. Not-weelly, it was very difficult at that part 1 of time to get a person in estion of a locater at a brise University, and here in this document is explained thy. In spite of these considerations Professor Rose was suggested. I ask you to take notice of that because, and I do not intend to read it.

THE PRESENT: (The Court will now recess:

18 april-1-GJ-5-1-Cook-(int, Von Schon)-Court 1

> THE MASSALE The Tribunal is again insession. BY DR. FRITZ:

Q Professor, two short questions. The Porsecutor, for reasons unknown to me, has made the subject of your ovidence, the fact that you wore a board and dies Schmidt said you lidn't have a board when she saw you, is that right, or did you always were a board?

A For twenty-one years, from 1922 until 19h2, I were a moustache. From 1929 until 1936 I wore a full one which was famous on the whole chinese coast and if a caricature was made of me than the mustache was the main point. In 1912 I reserved it. Now in the Macrober; prison I have let it grow a min bue use when I came here the regor is taken sway from the prisoners to prevent suicide and we were shave! every ten hys nt that time and if I have to run around with a beard mine days out of tan, I thought I mi ht as well grow a board.

Q another que tion, the Prosceution when exeminin the witness, Schmidt, ha! her tell him how you rento her furing an exhibition in Strassbourg. has that customary at all times?

a No, only when I was in unit rm. what the witness described rather accurately was the prescribe select for military personnel in the Gorman Wohrmacht in closed rooms without head covering. The witness no Youht saw that solute frequently Jurin the German occupation in Strasbour .

- Q When the war broke out mare you wrafted into the Johnmacht?
- A Yes, the buftmaffe.

Q Roul you please describe the sevelopment of your disciul work after being brefted into the army?

A Itmoliately after I was impfted on the 26th Au ust, 1939 I was offered the position of a consulting by ignist under the modical inspectorate of the luftwaffe. I remained in this position until the end of the war, only formally a for changes took place eria; the war at a time which I no lenger rocall. The name " consultin by ionist? was chan-"of and made " consulting by ionist on tropic I by ionist." When the po18 April-M-GJ-5-2-Crok-(int.VonSchon)-

Court 1

Service of the Luftwaffe, my title was also change. Also when the roup of consulting physicians was age abandoned in 19hh, a second consulting physicians was age abandoned in 19hh, a second consulting my ionist under the chief of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe was appointed.

- . What was the military rank you held?
- I I was drafted as Oberarzt of the Reserve, equivelent to 1st Lieutenant; in 1940 I became Stabsarzt, equivalent to Captain; in 1941 Oberstabsarzt, equivalent to Major; in 1942 Oberfeldarzt, equivalent to Lt. Colonel; in 1943 Oberstart, equivalent to Colonel; and finally about eight days before the collapse, 1 May 1945, I become Generalarzt which is equivalent to Brig. Jeneral, Medical Reserve Corps.
 - a How much time did your military activities take upy
- In the beginning of 1939 I was only claimed for a very minor period of time. Went of my time I could still devote to work in the Institute and to my lectures...t the request of State Secretary Conti I was assigned as Hygienist to Resettlement in the winter of 1939-1940. During the campaign in France in 1940 I had no military duties but after this campaign it was assigned at the end of 1940 for three ments to be assigned to resettlement to Desserable and Sukowine and in 1941 there was a decisive change. By duties for the Luftwaffe became so demending that I had to work all day at my Luftwaffe office.
 - 1 Why was this on n e?
- the Hygiene pervise of the Lurtweffe. Originally the practice had been hygiene as territorial affair and territorial affairs were to be settled for the army by the Luftweffe at the same time. During the ver this practice proved to be unfeasible. The Hygiene Service of the army was so over-burdened that it could take care only of its own interests. The Thieter of war kept expand-

- . What was the military rank you held?
- I I was drafted as Oberarzt of the Heserve, equivalent ont to lat Lieutenant; in 1940 I became Stabsarzt, equivalent to Captain; in 1941 Oberstabsarzt, equivalent to Lajor; in 1942 Oberfelderzt, equivalent to Lt. Colonel; in 1943 Oberstart, equivalent to Colonel; and finally about eight days before the collapse, 1 May 1945, I became Generalarzt which is equivalent to Brig. General, Medical Reserve Corps.
 - a Bow much time did your military activities take up?
- In the beginning of 1939 I was only claimed for a very minor period of time. Nest of my time I could still devote to work in the Institute and to my lectures...t the request of State Sec etary Conti I was assigned as Hygienist to Resettlement in the winter of 1939-1940. Buring the campaign in France in 1940 I had no military duties but after this campaign it was again proposed at the end of 1940 for three months to be assigned to resettlement to Besserabia and Bukowin, and in 1941 phore was a decisive change. My duties for the Luftwarfe became so demending that I had to work all day at my Luftwarfe office.
 - by was this chan o?
- the Hygiene Service of the Luftwarfe. Originally the practice had been hydione as territorial affair and territorial affairs were to be settled for the army by the Luftwarfe at the same time. During the war this practice proved to be unfensible. The Hygiene Service of the army was so over-burdened that it could take care only of its own interests. The Theater of war kept expand-

april 18-M-BK-6-2-Gross (Int. Ven Schoen & Kammler) Court No. 1

ing. Africa, Italy, Bolkan, Crete, Greece were added. In the summer of 1941 there was added the Russian front too. The Luftwaffe was often commissioned in cross where it was alone or whore it was preponderunt. The extent of the hygione duties increased. The Luftgay physicians had offices for hydionists under them which had existed only under air Flact physicians. There was the necessity of having our own bacteriological laboratories for the Luftwaffe set up - the se-called motor field imboratories. also, measures against lice in the Laftwaffe were assigned to the Medical dervice. Tropical hygiene waten in 1941 had been insignificant suddenly became important. Since the Luftwerfo did not have old regular hygione offices this Whole organization had to be built up during the war with reserve officers. Of course, that made a great deal of basic work for the central office. In addition questions of Tropical mudleing word completely new for the troop physicians. That hade a great many official trips necessary, to hold loctures and to instruct the officers or to investigate conditions among the troops.

How could you combine your strong military duties with your chyllian activity?

A During my absonce for several months in 1939 to 1940 for Maciel Jordan Assettlement I assigned work in my section in the Robert Keeh Institute to my assistants who had to work more or less independently according to my long range instructions. In the lectures I had had my assistants organized to represent me. I had dictated manuscripts of all my lectures. Of course, there were individual difficulties but during the var one had to make concessions in many fields. Other offices, too, were unable to go on a peace time basis. Besides, I had the

april 18- M-BK-6-3-Gross (Int. Von Schoen & dammler) Court No. 1

special good fortune to have two experience tropical doctors as my assistants in my section who were not obligated to the Militery service. That made things a little easier. In addition I had to increase the amount of work which I did myself. I had to subordinate all personal matters. I had to work in the evening and at night. Very many other people did the same thing during the war. We allknow that we were fighting for our existence.

- o Woll, how was your work and practice?
- . as the name of my office shows my activity was that of an edvisor. I gave edvice or lly and in writing. This was not limited to the wedical ins actor himself, he was also savisor for the whole office. .. ayone who wanted to have my opinion on a question the inspector, his Chief of Staff, and Section Chiefs could call me in for parsonal discussion. The referenten could come to see me. The questions were presented and I gave my epinion. Simple motters were sometimes obtiled by telephone, or decuments, reports from two doctors of other egonoies were sent to no with a request for a written opinion or for a comprehenaivo opinion to be worked out. Or, I was called upon to propero drafts and memorandum for instructions concorning my apocialized field. I was also sont drafts ando by other consulting physicians, perhaps from consulting internists or consultants for skin and venerial diseases to supplement such points in them which referred to hygione. In addition I was also sent important reports for my attention even if no opinion was required from me so that I would be informed about the natters discussed in thom.
 - , what questions were worked on by you?
 - .. In principle all the scientific side of the Field

April 18-M-8K-6-4-Gross (Int. Von Schoon & Hammler) Court No. 1

of hygiene and tropical hygiene, unless in individual cases other specialists were called in for consultation by the Inspectorate. Also from 1943 on the field of causes of death in air war and the prevention and reduction of moeth damages and death among the civilian population in air raids. Some time from the middle of 1943 to the middle of 1944 on that was the field which took most of my time. After basic clarification of the most important points in this field had been schioved, as my lecture in 1944 in Hohenlychen indicates, the amount of work in this field was lessened for me so that I could devote more time to hygione questions.

- of In addition to working on such assignments old you have any other tasks?
- according to special instructions for post graduate courses for Luftwerfe Doctors in Lerlin. Also, training courses organized by the Air Flast or Argan physicians where I was asked to hold lectures. I also gave a sories of the lectures to stadents of the Medical Academy of the Luftworfe after they concluded their studies. I often had to hold lectures to non-military addiences on non-air raid measures. On tropical medicine I also betured for training courses of the Army at special request. I have only mentioned the important fields and there were quite a number of others.
- your field of notivity in that you were dealing with the entire field of hygione and tropical hygiene. It must be said then, as for as you know, other specialists were used. What does this limitation mean?
 - .. That moons that in limited fields the modical

april 18-M-BK-6-5-Gross (Int. Von Schoen & Hammler) Court No. 1

I spectorate fid not call upon me but another specialist for advice. That could be done for three reasons, first that I had too much work and myself asked that the work be given to some one class. That was, for example the reason a second hygienist was appointed in the beginning of 1944 because I had too much to do with the problem of damage from air warfare and my DDT work.

18 April 47-M-SW-7-1-Aprrox-Int: Receive & Von Schon Court No. 1

Secondly, that might begon if the Insactor was of the opinion thet, for .. cortain question, he had a better specialist working under him or if I mysolf s in the t in sortai fields I had no practical experiunco and the t some one else would be better. In the third place, that happened if the Inspectorate did not torso with my opinion but did not went to get on its oun initi tive contact my dvice but writed a second opinion.

2. Can you did any on plus for thit?

A. For any pla, the training of the Luiturile medical scryico in accontamination q mestions. I was climinated from the the reason was the t when this arrangement was created in questions of the accort minition I had represented a bacically different point of view then the Innector Professor Pipake who aid not marge with mo. Therefore, all there courses fore turned ever to the consulting hygienist of ir Plout 3 of a agreed with the Inspector, another example, in the creation of our bacturiological la cor torios for the Luftwoffe, I had opposed thom. I h d said we should rely on the Army labor tories. Ion the decision fell out against me because sudical Inspector Pipple wanted to sake himself independent to a large extent, I continued to held the point of view that one needed at loast the normal equipment of an army laboratory. For a rain the Inspector wanted a solution for the fuftwaffe alone. Since I made difficulties, this duty was also assigned to mother consulting hygionist.

1. In sucl cases you could no longer influence those mettors, could you?

5099

18 - gril 47-1-87-7-2-Kurrow-Int: Raimler & Von Schon Court No. 1

A. No, I did not stor thy receive reports front them for my knowledge, but no longer offered my device in this field. One scientific advisor is normally sufficient. Hen it requires normally the enceutive decision. Only by enception, when there are devicts, does one seek for a second opinion. I was, correctly, so everworked that I did not know my subtion to have in absolute enceptly on device in my field.

Generally, I was very happy if the work was done by some other spect list even if it was done differently than I would have done it. I amount of the know that he would have done it. I amount of the know that he would have done in the second of the same of t

- Inspector? Did he wide there of necessity?
- The examples which I have mentioned show it to there were differences of epinion on hasis questions where sometimes menetly the a posite was done from which had advised. Of source, there were exceptions. In general, my advise was accepted and sydrate, brings they were revised and adjusted to the meads of the treeps. If he had not considered at work in general useful, in spate of asol had cases of differences of printer, I would have received in the same position during the whole was

torked on after you submitted them?

... After the commandiar officer of the from Science and Reserved 1. d billion matter of them and remain them,

6100

they went in writing to the edical Inspectorate.

I and they were trived like incoming and from outside; if the, a secretary to significance and contents
they were rest to the Chief of Staff or to one of the
section of lofe and was dealt with by the referent
streamed according to instructions.

- of our bitteds in these startings
- that on the opinion or if the Blef of Shall or the continuous continuous description of the translated descriptions in the consult to the inspector largest. Letter that the consult is to the inspector largest. Letter that the consult is a first or in individual occurs or I to immed it only it there were incorrect or the Inspector called to for an oral recept.
- Depresons to Twick to Hose Indiana Po. 0, who will the of Posteror Dr. Attr Schmill, a ted the last of ref. 1947. The can be remain an accordant one of the look to. I on a not I to 19. I should like to red to be first of the Pfin vit. I meanting to grote from the third paragraph of a so 15 of this accordant book.

"Concerning I, some of the consultation of the outformer, it we no send to owned a range I is old to a consultant hymentation of the floor, we though lowers, being the some of the working the service regard thems for something, with insidentially, more also we include in grant. To consultante a re, in the bodie, I has notice to a south to in the worker of a south that the worker in the floor, purely seightful compared the field of powers of could be upon themselves as south.

18 April 47-11-80-7-4-10 rrow-Int: Resultar & Von Schon Court No. 1

"as for a I know, to officed preition of the consultants in the ledical Inspectorate was emetly the same. They were not, say, superiors of the consultimbs of the virius mir wings; in fact, they had not even any direct contact with them. If any important senitary events in an air floot had to be reported, the congulting hygienist maio lis report to the wing phreisian who, in turn, presed the report on to the Medieral anapactor .: a. hether the Chief of the medieral solvice -- or his clief of still decided to include the consulting hysionist of the odical Inspector to in the enguin discus ions or set, was loft to his own judgment. For the constiting by gionist we ancluded in the discussions, he opinion we by no wone decisive but it wrely run esented meant opinionbefore the odical inguator oa.

"My on or once-reports and special reports for the sedical Inspector to which is d to be present od at fixed periods, had be a routed via the wint objection and more possed on to the Which of the edical Service, and not to the consulting hygienist of the 'edical Inspector to.

Some operation of on I want does carry out 1 rgosome a strong to combat maluring from accoplance in
the territory perturbately reduced to maluring I first
contracted from sever horo directly by telephone to win
his acceptance for the work lanned by us. I did that
altitude in I now the them accepted division of
functions within the mainth displacements, and it was
invedictally obvious that even though reduced Rose
agreed with me on the position for the matter, he could
not help us, for did to how my ing hout my reports

18 April 47-M-ST-Marrow-Int: Bar Ber & Von Schon 7-5-

the official elements via the Air Wing physician and the official elements via the Air Wing physician and the ordered Inspector to. This can be explained by the fact that there we a sharp division between the administrative field and the field of the scientific consultants, within the medical inspector to .

n hygionics export who, is the execution from of the clief of stir or of the leg ptment hand, don't with the day by a y flow of hygionic autors, whereas the consulting hygionict only wir a liked upon to don't with special scientific assignments, executions, etc.

There were no official channels between the consulting hygionist of the calculations and the consulting hygionist of the calculations.

Professor Nose, and other 1944 the Chief Threlein Dr. the lart -- the in no way at superiors, and is a net their subordinate, although both hold lather rank than I. They had no right to direct or supervise to in any way. The consultant of the air wang was subordinated sololy to its kir wing physician.

personally to , and I d discussions with, the chief of the Medical Service, the department had and the subdect ment hear, we thout the consulting hygienists of the Inspectorate, reference were or Dr. Publish, taking part. If possible, however, I visited reference Rose also in order to each age views with him. It was not my duty to report to him. I regarded the visits as a matter of preference heart sy."

18 April 47-M-S.-7-6- ... row-Int: Der der & Von Selon Court No. 1

In. President, I shall revert, at a 1 ter period, to the next of contents of this affid vit. Howe ver, the same time I should like to offer the next deciment in the document book of Hose with is Rose No. 7 which willbecome Rose Exhibit No. 7. This is an affid wit of Dr. Ferdinand Muhlert who was repeatedly mentioned in the affid wit which I I we just rad. This affidivit boars the date of February, 1.47. You can find it on pages 80 to 23 of my decorant book 1. The date is the 25th of Pobruary, 1947. Considerant the importance of this matter I should also like to read this affidavit in part.

MR. HEADY: May it please Your Henor, this question is to the duties and functions of a consulting physician in either the schrmacht or the Luftwaffe, has been most elaborately explained to the Tribunal by Professor Handloser and Professor Schroeder, and it seems to me that it is unnecessary for any burdening of the record with reading the fact that a consulting physician did not have the authority to issue orders as such, this his position was morely one of suggestion, etc. That is the whole point of taking up the time here now with discussion of consulting physicians and I feel certain that the Tribunal is well means of the position of the consulting physician. Therefore I object to any further details concerning the copacity of a consulting physician.

The PARSIDEAT: The Tribunel has had no opportunity to read the affidavit. If counsel for the presecution agrees with these statements taken by Defendant Wese as the facts stated in this effidavit, there would be no use in reading it.

Mr. H. MDY: The Prosecution has no objection to the affidavit, as such, Your Honor.

JUDGA SEBRING: Do you agroe, Mr. Hardy, that the position taken by this defendant and prior defendants in regard to the seeps and authority of consultants is as maintained by them?

ink. H.RDY: No, Your Honor, the Presudution does not agree. However, the Presedution submits that those details have been fully explained to the Tribunal at great length and, as a matter of fact, Dr. Handloser submitted nearly a treatise explaining the position of consulting physicians.

JUDGE SERRIG: which you say you do not agree with?

April 18-M-8K-8-2-Jesser (Int. Runl r) Court No. 1

Your Honor.

Counsel may read such portions of the affidavit as he dooms particularly relevant with due regard to the matter of time.

DR. FRITZ: Mr. Prosident, may I make one fundamental remark regarding this problem, because we shall, in the future, often revert to this matter of a consultant. He is not quite in agreement with the statements made by a number of the co-defendants or witnesses and especially since he is also responsible with Heagen in Hangen's notions, who was also a consulting physician with the Luftwoffe, he has to speak about these matters.

Counsel. That is the reason for the overruling of the objection made by Prosecution. Counsel may proceed and road such portions of this affidavit as he dooms important to his defense.

BY DR. PRITZ:

I shall at first quote from P or 1 of thatdooument, reading the might sentence of Paragraph 2:

"From the outbrook of war until 1944 I was consulting hydionist with the mir Floot Physician of mir Floot No. 2. In 1941 I become consulting hydionist with the Coief of the Leftweffe abdical Survices in addition to Professor Rose and remained in this position until the end of the war."

I shall now road from Page 2 of that decomment, starting from the third paragraph:

"Professor Rose was never my superior, neither in the modical service nor with the troops. I was therefore not 6108

his subordinate. As consulting hygienist of Air Floot No. 2 I was subordinate to the Air Floot Physician. Nother did Professor Rose have any supervisory power over my activity with the Laftwaffe.

When I became consulting hygienist with the Chief of the Luftweffe Medical Services, I also had no supervisory powers over other consultants, and was not their superior. There was no Luftwaffe service regulation which obliged or entitled the consulting physicians of the Madieal I specturate to supervise their colleagues amongst the .. ir Floot physicians. The consulting physicians of the Maionl Laguetorate were entitled to report personally to the Calif of the Last. Tro belie 1 3 rvices. They had to give their expert opinions on questions about which they were consulted. They had no power to issue orders and no right to give directives; they were also not ontitled to ask for reports. They could not carry out any inspections without a special order, because such inspections would necessarily operanch on the sphere of command of an wir Ploot physician, who had his own conaulting physicians.

The military rank bustowed on the consulting physicians was to increase their authority in scientific madical matters in contrast to army doctors and other offices. For this reason, special regulations existed for the reason of consulting physicians.

My own reports, which I had to make as consulting aygionist of hir Plact 2, want through the hir Plact Physician, even if they were designed for the Inspector of the Medical Services. In the Laftwarfe no reports were made to the consulting physicians with the Chief of the Medical Services.

Wit can be assumed that my reports from his Flact 2 were also directed to Professor Rose for his expert opinion, for information, and for exploitation. When I became consulting hygicalist - in addition to Professor Rose - with the Chief of the Luftwaffe Medical Services, I also received reports from consulting physicians and other medical effices sent by the office of the Chief of the Buftwaffe Medical Services, with a request to express my opinion, to make suggestions, or to compile the experiences from a large number of such reports from various sources into a critical conclute report. ...s

"I do not know how my views and reports were further utilized.

"while I was consulting physician with hir Floot 2,
Professor west reputably made official visits into my
sphere. They were made an special orders from the Medical
Inspectorate and the hir Floot physician was notified.
The object of these visits was not to supervise my
notivities but either to lecture to post graduate courses
for physicians, or to see personally the conditions
theorems the troops, and therefore not to be completely
dependent on written reports.

"I cocompanied Professor West on only one of these trips, because at that the he was particularly occupied with the malaria problem in the Mediterranean, and as a specialist for tropical medicine he had greater experience in this special apher, than I." End of quote.

in. President, the Defendant dose pointed out to me that in the afridavit Schnell, Rose Socument Ro. 5, Which I read before, there is a mistake in the English copy, since the word "Lartflotte" which often appears, Was

6108

april 18-W-BK-8-5-Lessur (Int. Ramelur) Gourt No. 1

translated with "ding". In order to be correct one should substitute "Floot" for "ding" - mir Floot - Luftflotte- mir Floot. In the same affidavit, the rank "Oberstarzt" was translated with "Chief Physician" whereas it should be "Colonel, sedical Corps." This is of some considerable importance.

This PhissideNT: It would be of assistance to the Tribunal if a corrected anglish translation of this document be furnished to each member of the Tribunal for this document book; also for the reserve copies in the offices of the Secretary General.

10 April-19-DJG-9-1-Meloy (Int. Armier and von Schoon) Court No. 1

SY DR. FRITZ:

Did you perticipate in the meetings of the consulting physicians?

A May I remark that the difference between him; and Fleet is competent, because a Min; is in German, Gaschwader, and is under a Gelenel, where Fleet is under a four or five star general. The renk and authority of the work in this office rensequently differ considerably too.

New, about the mostings, since the neutings of consulting physicians were held as joint meetings of the three branches of the tehrancht, I was also appointed to actend these meetings.

The second in 1982, the third in 1943, and the fourth in 1944.

I also visited the meetings of civilian selectific societies, insofer as matters leading with my specialized field were discussed there. At the Atla meeting of consulting physicians in 1944 in Hebenlychen I was the chairman of the action for hydrone and tropical hydrone.

- Q Did you have to aska any official trips?
- orate, and I would do them on my can initiative bee, I would make applied then for them. The purpose of the trips was to investigate conditions among the troops in order to avoid passing judgment on the lasts morely of written information. These crips were often connected with luctures. In the first years of the war those trips were mostly to the theories of mor cutside the Reich. From the middle of 1943 the hemoloud problem was in the foreground, because I was primarily dealing with the armore resulting from air warfare.
- Q Did you have one other solitary duties or any further rights?
- A No, my position was the same as that of ther consulting physicians. I could not issue any orders or instructions, only \$110

from the end of 1945 on was there and exception. The section for fever therapy of the Luftweffe was expressly under my command, and I could issue orders and instructions for it.

O Now, if you found any deficiencies during your official trips as a result of it, in your opinion the troops were in danger, wouldn't you issue orders right there and then in order to help reserve these deficiencies?

A No, that was not possible. If it were something very urgent I could inform the locally competent medical officer of my opinion. Thether he issued corresponding instructions was up to him. If they were basic questions I could report to the medical inspector after my return and I could make suggestions which were then worked out as I have already assembled.

Q Did you have to exercise supervision over the consulting systemist in the airflest?

A No. I did not xureise may such supervision. If the Medical Inspectorate considered it necessary I was morely given the written reports wither morely for my information or for my knowledge.

In that case you were not the superior of the other hyricalsts of the Duftweffe?

A No, I was in no way their superior.

O Professor, the question regarding your supervisory right or your duty to supervise Professor Heagen was the subject of reported examination of Professor Schreeder on the port of the Prosecution. I now here you the transcript of the 26 of Pebruary 1947, which is is with that point. New, if you will be good on uch to look at the afternoon session you will find an page 3635, and this is the German transcript, a question propounded by Mr. McHaney: "It was Rese's duty that he would have to be continually informed about experiments in this field, isn't that right?" and Professor Schreeder's answer read, "Rose, according to the directives, thich his Chief Flippke gave him probably emaurned himself

with those research assignments," and then the end of Schroeder's ensurer reads, and that is in answer to the next question of Mr. Melianey: "It could only be done in the way that he only exercised this inspection by order of his separior." and I am new asking you, was it your duty to exercise supervision of research assignments which don't with your field of work, and furthermore did you at any time receive an order, a special order in order to carry out an inspection of the research assignments either by Schroeder or dippke?

A To the first question, no. I did not even heve the right or the duty to supervise the sativities of the hygienists or consult hygienists in substringte positions of the Luftweffe. Of course, I did not have any such sity of supervision of activity which we not in the service of the Luftweffe, but in their civilian positions, for which they received no instructions from the Luftweffe, but scroly financial support. To the second question I must also say, no. Neither from Professor Hippie, nor from professor Schronder iid I over receive any assignment to inform sysulf as to the value of wark at a research assignment and to report on it, and therefore I never aid so. These was hed the research assignments scale their own reports. If they reported orally I was not called in. If they sent in a written report, this report was, in most cases, no doubt, sent to so either for my ottention or for my occasion.

Trofessor, will you please lost at the transcript of the mornin cosmich of the very same day and turn to page 3572, and the following sentence can be found in an enswer made by Professor Schreeder, and I quoter "The consulting physicians had a right to obtain information." What do you know about this right to obtain information?

A This expression I heard for the first time in Professor Schropler's testimony. I do not know what Wright to obtain information" means, Informations such ; I do not know any service regulations a nearming any such right of the consulting physicians, and at loast I never exercised any such right.

C What was your relationship to the other consulting hygionists, I mean coccreting to their rank and ego?

A lit the techning of the war the consulting hyderists with the diffect, with one exception were all older than I and also my seniors in service. In most cases they had a higher rank than I did. I began as Oberarat d. Reserve, which is equivalent to a first blustement. The others were all Stabsecrate, and Oberatabasarate, or captains and anjors. During the war this was consecut insect a with the increase of a number of hyderists younger collectures were appointed to such positions. That changed nothing in our official relationships, however. I did not become their superior. Only when I was prome ted to Generalarat, brigadier General, on the lat of My 1945, that is a week before the collapse, did I become a general hyderist of the Leftweffe, but that does not been that on the lat of May 1945 I became the superior of the other hyderists.

I that staff was at your disposal in your position as consulting physician?

A I had no staff. I had one and accordance two clorical assistants, and I did not have any deputy. The position of our-sulting physician in the Luftwaffe was limited to the person of the consulting physician and his professional knowledge.

U Did you have to lest with ony quantions of aviation modicine?

A Mr. I had nothing to do with aviation medicine. This specialized field was given special attention in the Luftwaffe, of course. There were quite a number of specialists in that field.

As a special consultant for eviation solicine there were special deputies and also a consultant physiologist. These people were

competent for such questions, and had to divide the various fields named themselves. I was not concerned in this as a hydrorist.

Since I was working for the Luftwaffe, of a cross from personal interest, I read Dr. Ruff's book on aviation medicine, and I regularly looked at the angazine journal for aviation medicine, but I personally was never called an officially for this work.

- 2 Did you yourself write any easilys for the portedical for eviation medicine?
 - A No, 'thruse I know a thing about it.
- I but you enterwrite in commy as at the sprind of spidemics on the basis of air traffic; the Coldatt have anything to do with aviation modicine?
- A I did write such an article in a tentorck on hydens, but this does not belong to eviction societies. It is a specialized field of traffic hydens. This is a special of opicions by ships or railroads. Hydendata and public health officers everywhere and a number of eviction modical experts deal with this question.

Did research essignments given by the Medical Inspectorate of the Air Force in the hygenic field come under your supervision? I mean the hygenic field now.

A. No, the hygenic research assignments were not under my supervision either. Insofar as the persons holding such assignments submitted
working reports, I was generally sent these reports for my attention or
comment, at least in the later years of the war, from 1941 on. There
were very few hygenic assignments in the Luftwoffs and the execution of
the assignments was in the hands of the research workers given such
assignments. I am not aware that any kind of supervision was carried
out over the execution.

. If any such essignments were given, somebody must have had to supervise their execution.

A. I believe that the word resign and the misused considerably. It is therefore advisable to elerify what such on easignment assumed to and what its practical offocts word. First of all, at least in the oppoof the hygiene sesign unts which I know about, without exception the initiative ence from the person who received the assignment. That is, the director of the Expenie Institute water on application that he be given - en-orlied sesignment about a certain problem. In pasce time I prooff and the Gerran research association give me such an assignment. The only repron was that I did not want to be dependent on the approval if my promident for every miner detail, but needed certain means which I could dispose of by sysulf without any becuremucretic restrictions. The approved of the research essignment was necessary to accure a certain sun of money, as supervision was exercised over the use of this maney so for an accounts had to be subsitted, which were also checked from time to tine, and were reports were derended. If anyone had even imagined that through approval of such finehois! support he was undertaking senal and legal resulpsibility for all of the activities of the men to when he was diving assistance, then there would cortainly have been no one who would a vo underfoken to distribute this nozey. To stick to my two example, in

1928 I received a research assignment by the establishment and maintenance of an anopheles colony at the Robert Loch Institute. The assignment was signed and suproved by Professor Saperbruch. Later he became head of the specialized department for medicine in the Reich Research Council. I am firmly convinced that Ochemnat Sauerbruch even today has no idea what an anonholes colony is, or what was done with it, but he knows who I am, we knows that I wrote successful popers or literature; he no doubt essured so because I held this position in the Robert Koch Instituto. I do not believe that Samerbruch ever read enything which I had written; therefore, he relied completely on the fact that the applicant personally offered edequate querantee for the sincere use of this money. In any case I, so the recipient of such on assignment, was always convisced that by secenting this money I did not in any way become subordinate and that the verson giving no the money acquired no other rights than that of regular recounting about the use of the money with a remort, and that he essured no other duties toward no then to supply the noney furnished at the time promised. I have considered nyself responsible for what I did.

wife in the hygenic field?

A. I was not called upon to assist in giving research assignments and I never unde any suggestions for such research assignments.

We have that really honolog? How were these research assignments really given out?

A. I cannot say for sure in the Luftwaffe since I had nothing to do with it. I myself never received may such passingent from the Medical Inspectorate of the Luftwaffe. From my own general knowledge of the way business was conducted there I assure that the applicant presented his application in writing and no doubt orally at the same time.

Aithor he could have taken it to the competent section chief, which would have been the best way, but of course he could also express his wishes

to the Chief of Steff or to the Inspectoret personally, but that would have been a detour because the thing had to reach the section chief eventually. The section chief passed the matter on to the referent, if the latter had not already been called to the conference. It was actually not necessary for the referent to carry the matter through, that could only follow knowledge of the suggestions, otherwise there was nothing to work on. Then, after the approval of the application, it was sent to the Department 11-F for formal issuance and then to the budget group, which had to take come of distributing the noney. Becker-Freysing no doubt knows more about these events but this is merely an assumption on my part. He will probably be able to make more exact state ents.

- we Were you not at all participating in those negativitions?
- A. No, that is obvious. To decide whether influenze research is important, the section chief, after the matter has been presented to him by an export, does not need an export opinion from anyone also. From 1941 on, I no doubt generally received information after an assignment had been issued; then, as I have said, I received the work reports; if any were received, I no doubt generally received them.
- Did you not have to make any uttervaces about the value of that work?
- A. In some cases I, no doubt, made a conventery on it when I handed a report back but the matter was as full war If, for exemple, Professor Enert received a subsidy for such reserved for work on a mobile drinking water apparatus, he somiled for and received this assignment because for twenty years he had worked very specifically with drinking water measured than, water filters, and veter disinfection. He was the expert on this question and of uninion was of secondary value. If Professor Hargen recorded in his work on yell a fever vaccines or typhus vaccines, then his report was justly a re important in the eyes of the Medical Inspection that then any comment I might have made. Professor Hearing testified here yesterday that in respect to yellow fever Professor Hangen was the

only internetically recognized expert in Germany in that field. Heagen was the nost important virus specialist in Germany and for this reason received the subsidies which he emplied for. These conditions resulted from the nature of the case.

Fut in your letter to Professor Hargen, dated 9 June 1943, you are telling Hargen about his research assignment, are you not? The sesignment to which he objected - this is Document of the Prosecution NO206, to be f und on page 77 of Document Book No. 12, Prosecution Exhibit
296. How about that?

- as the previous document 305, page 76 of accument book 12 of the Prosection shows, Professor and and given no some information out of friendliness; since no was not in sorlin but in strussbourg ne obviously took anventage of this opportunity to ingaire how his business was coming along. Buch brief inquiries bent official nations are prohibited everywher, but they are equally oussmary everywhere, as doubt. I needed merely to call up the particular doctor, chief or referent and mare the information in one nimite. all I said in the letter was that the competent referent was on a trip, and that no would make to whit. Inst was just a matter of court cay which hamens ever det. That i mount limit every weak in Matters involving personnel if ire, that one get a letter from some acquaintance to investigate a me mater or other, or to put in a god word with the referent, such requests, of scanible, I, of course, took ours of Attough I a d nothing to do with personnel nuttors. I discussed the matter briefly by telegrape with the referent or collect on him pore on hir if I and something to do at the impororate any aw and then I sent the required information to the could tango.
- 2. Professor, the Prosecutive accusus you of compair by for constituting or ow of a principal acture together with the root of of the defendants. How were you connected with the other defendants?
- A. Seven of my co-defendants were unknown to me until the beginning of the trial, either or name, position, or namen. Those to Redelf ar adt. Perpendice, Sievers, Remore, ar ex, Koven, Beightneck, Personay, Obernauser, and Fiscaur. At a see and sight I know three of them, Gensken, Geon rat and Purr. I and nothing to do with them either affect My or otherwise and I did not talk them or any any correspond acc with them. That leaves eight.

 I have Marl Bright, of course. He is a well known person Mit; in the resisting as Spices Consissioner. Price I and something to do Gills

15 -pril-M-JP-11-2-Cook (Int. Von Schon and rapler)
Court I

with his officially. There is an afficient on the subject. Then I saw him twice at public lectures, but did not speak to him. Professor Handloser I knew occurse of his office. I had official connection with his and his office, especially from 1964 on, I had to get opinions when then were required but these relations were not very extensive. It has ened yor furely. Professor mostock was known to me as the De a of the medical Faculty of the University of Serlin. I had correst ordence with him in matters dealing with the faculty and with loctures. I mnew he was the office culef with the Reichs Commissioner, I had correst ondesce with his pace in this caracity on questions of electronic microscopy, and I am sure I saw his at medical lectures. but saids from exchanging correspondence we did not talk because generally he stayed with the surgeons and I stayed with the hygienists. Professor scarcedor sat Chief of Staff from 939-1940 and later from 19- on Chief of the hedical Service of the Luftwaffe, and as audo was my superior. as consulting h simist, I had official as well as personal relations with him. Moverthology, the questions un or indictment here were never discussed setwo n us before the collaise. After the collapse we were in the same inversaent camp for sometime and there we discussed this on the basis of reports from the arene. I not Professor slope during the war. as far as I recall I talked to six upont three times personally, and sector Proyeing I know in his official position as auxliar, heferent under Professor authory, and inter as our successor, Professor waits I saw twice in ar life, once at the glider contect at the Rhoen in 1927, the second ti d at the Gold meeting in surnourg, in 19.2, when as held a lost re on admai experiments. As far as I know I sav m. elevers trice. I know that he was studying the problem of removing salt from sea water by comical means, but I, myself, and no of icial connection with this Work and knew no details about his work. Our acquaintance was so super isful that, for example, I did not recognize his when I act

15 april-A-VP-11-S-Cook (Int. You Schon and Ramler)
Court I

nin here and he had termind no that I had seen him before. Professor aregowsky I knew as a lecturer for hygiene from the perlin medical Faculty. Then I met hid at large Hygiene Conferences. I was at his imstitute once when a delousing around a was to be demonstrated which he had developed. Professor aregowsky is the only one of the co-defendants with when, during the war, I discussed the problem of emperiments on human coings. That was following the lecture of that on the experiments at huchenwald, but I shall come back to that when we discuss the typhus experiments.

- The Prosecution described the meeting of the consulting physicians as a typical gathering of constitutors who were going to plan crimes. As you have testified, you yourself participated in three of such meetings. That was your impression of these meetings?
- a. They were advolutely typical medical meetings of medical societies only in two respects, furst, because the number of participants was limited, and that purely for reasons of space, and numerous specialized groups were called together for one meeting, where during peace time generally only two or three specialities had to coordinate their se tings. Otherwise, in contents and in form I saw no difference between there and the usual medical scientific congresses.
 - Q. But directives were set up, weren't they?
- A. That is also done in civilian modical mostings. There too it has sone that such directives are set up and accented by scientific societies as official, and then they are passed in to the authorities and to the logislative bodies and then similar regulations are made from such directives of the Congress.
- Q. During such accitings there were lectures held on experiments on numer beings in the case of two sections of such meetings?
- a. That is done in exactly the same by as at public meetings of sadical societies. I myself heard lectures about experiments on

18 april-M-JP-11-4-Cook (Int. Von schon and master)
Court I

numer beings at international meetings. That is a matter of course, at congresses, especially important matters are reported, and experiments on human setogs are in general made only in case of most vital problems.

- T. But here we are concerned with experiments on numan beings who were condemned to death?
- a. I advise you that only at the resert of the meeting of the manifeld hedged association of manifeld, there you will find a number of similar lectures on similar experiments on persons condemned to death who were not volunteers and also an se-called volunteers, where from a purely ethical standardate one could have different opinions about the degree of volunteering in which the audience were of different opinions.
 - Q. Holdid you know this judgment of the listeners in that case?
- A. In my many years in East asia I attended a number of international meetings and Congresses. In the Far East I was on Chairman at one of them, since the work of the empirican Health administration is a mills was for all of us of the greatest importance eclentifically. It was, or course, discussed in detail in circles of specialists, and or course the question of the experimental subject is discussed. For example, the question how it cause wout that at a certain time 29 people condemned to death are certainly available for an experiment.
- Q. That part did you retractf old in these meeting of the consulting physicians at the military medical of flag?
- a. The role of a marticipant and additor, as well as that of a locturer. My reports on malaria at these meetings, has already seen taken into the evidence summitted by the Prescoution.

Dd. FRITZ: hr. Prosident, in my document back hose No. 1, I have re-produced some of the printed escerpts from meetings of the consulting who sicious which have been duly certified and simultaneously

18 .pril-1.-JF-11-5-Cook (Int. Von Schon and Amler)
Court I

for the convenience of the friendal I have also reported the Exhibit to. 922 of the Presidentian, or rather document to, 922 of the Presidentian which is amibit 435, which is an excerpt from the second world meeting of 1942. The excerpts which I made were given the maders have document to. 36, which is less exhibit so. 8; then have document to. 37, which will be seen Exhibit so. 9. I beg your marden, in. President. These excerpts are to be found in my document book Rose to. 3, I was your parton.

18 April 1947 -M-12-1-PKP- Grass (von Schon) (January) Court No. 1

counsel. Will you repeat those Cocument numbers and Exhibit numbers? DR. FRITZ: We are concerned with Rose Decument No. 36, which is to be found on prov 21 and 22 of Document Book Rose III which

THE PASSIDENT: Will you give us prain those document numbers,

will be Rose Exhibit No. 8. Then follows in the same Document Book the following document of the Prosecution NO-922 which already has an exhibit number and I should, therefore, not like to give it another.

THE FRESIDENT: What is the Prosecution Exhibit No., counsel?

DR. FRITZ: It is Exhibit No. 435, Mr. President. Then follows the excerpt from the Second Conference of the Consulting Specialists Rose No. 37, wideh will be Exhibit Rose No. 9, to be found on pages 36 to 38 in Arms Document Book III. Then, is Rica No. 38 I submit the excerpt from the Report of the Third Conference East of the Consulting Specialists of 24-26 May 1943, to be found on pages 39 to 52, which will become Rose Exhibit No. 10, and, finally I offer as Pass Droument No. 39 the excerpts from the report about a fourth conference of the Consulting Specialists which is to be found on pages 53 to 77 of the Document Sook Rose No. III. This document will receive Rose Excitit No. 11.

IR. HARDY: May I inquire as to whether or not those four exhibite, 8-9-10-11, are from the report introduced by the Prosecution?

DR. PRITZ: Mr. President, the German Document Book III has not yet been mimocaraphed, at any rate it is not available to us as yet. I should, therefore, like to tek paralesion that I should hand them first to Professor Hose before giving them to the Secretary General. This is the only core I have. No further copies have been missographed but he soon as Professor Rose is finished with it I shall hand it to the Secretary Conorsi.

THE PRESIDE To You may use your copy in that minner. DR. FRITZ: Mr. Proglacht ---

THE PRESIDENT: Of course, in using this Document Book in this monner at this time it is understood later on that complete copies will be furnished to the Trionnal, counsel, and the Secretary

18 April 1947 -M-12-2-FFF- Gross (von Schoen-Enumber) Court Mr. 1

Goneral.

ER. FRITZ: Mr. Fresident, the Secretary Ceneral already has them, I think. They are already in German. The Secretary General has three German e gives for the Tribunal. Unfortunately, I haven't as yet received them.

BY DR. FEITZ:

- Q. I have just sont these excerpts to you and I shall have Document No-922 of the Prosecution sont to you.
 - w. Thank you. It isn't nocessary I have it.
- Q. Now would you plande describe these excerpts to the Tribunal very briefly, in particularly, the exhibit submitted by the Prosecution.
- A. Purhape I can change the order. This accord meeting the first meeting was not very appreant, the first conspiracy in May 19A2, I was not present person by but my work was mentioned on page 77 by Mr. Klauberg who refers to the work my work on the transfer of bacterial dynamicry by flies. I may remark that this Mr. Klauberg is not identical with, nor loss he have may connective with Gynecologist Elamberg who was reportedly mentioned in the storilization documents. This Professor Elamberg is a bacteriologist and is still in office today with the approval of the Military Government, about the second conspiracy the Prosecution has submitted my malaric reports. They are on page 30 of this document. No, I beg your marken, 30 of the Document Book, page 3 of the document. I spoke about the effectiveness of stabrine prophylaxis.
- q. Mr. President, this is Comment of Presecution No-922 to be found on press 23-35 of Document Book Rose III. Please continue, Professor.
- A. In my lasture on pure 30 of the Document Book I spoke about atabrine arconylaxis. Then I spoke of malaria treatment doses, malaria relapses, then I discuss the scientific question of provocations in the course of treatment. Then I spoke of the significance of malaria in blood conserves and a self experiment is 6125

18 April 1947 -W-12-3-PKP- Gross (von Schoen-Razmler)

referred to which one of my assistants carried out which has a certain significance in literature. And, then I does with mosquite central. Mr second lecture is this meeting dealing with the combeting of anotheles by planes. Other participants in this meeting comment on my lecture. Mr. Jonk speaks of what I said about attrine design and is kind unough to confirm the correctness of my st tements. Then Professor Reference to my lecture and he says, " that Mr. Rose has stated about prophylaxis and therepy of undering will be fully confirmed by everyone who has experience in trapical mericine, etc.," That was very friendly of Mr. Redemmaldt to support me with his authority.

Then in Document 37 on page 37 of thisDocument Book another participant on the meeting, Professor Manor, refers to my statements and adds sesething. Then further down the bacterial gist Elauborg again, who again refers to my work in flies and bacterial dysentery. The third conspiracy in May 1943 is on page 40 of the Document Book. There are discussion remarks by me - first the experiments of the Robert Koch Institute is reported compared to what Mr. Doctzer had providually reported. That is important for a document in this trial. Yesterday Professor Hagen was mentioned by the lawyer for Backer-Proysong.

Q. You mean Professor Hoering?

A. You, Professor Hooring, I may your pardon, and Pecker-Freyeseng's counsel asked Professor Hooring about a report which Professor Hangen had hed prepared on vaccine for typhoid and cholers, Mr. Hooring had consilered this report a model or a compliancy report of troop physicians but he said expressly that the vaccine used in that case was something quite old. Here it says, black on white, that several years before at the meeting of consulting physicians I said that for six years this method had been used at the Robert Koch Institute and that it was generally used throughout the world. Then the last remark to Mr. Ruge — the question whether with one single insculation one can be we the same successors with repeated insculptions.

18 april 1947 -M-12-4-PKP- Gross (von Schoen-amerilar) Court No. 1

Then I report in scarlet fever and diphtheria whecher I answer the question from Professor Schreiber as to whether passive in culation is advisable in diphtheria. I say, "No." And I point out that it is forbidden in the Luftwaffe while it was recommended in the army on my request - proof of the difference in technical regulations in the various branches of the Vehrmacht. Then there cames another speaker and it says my objection to a certain vaccine was arong, he says he had good experience with it. Then comes another speaker who says "Rose is right." Then comes my remark on Ding's typhus report. This printed report of the meeting emission only and I said about the scientific mighlicance of Mr. Ding's experiments. Since I intend to Discuss Ding's experiments in another connection I should like to so back to this at that time, and also the remark of spicesor Unionbut.

THE PRESIDENT: "Micross, at this time the Tribunal will be in recess until 2 o'clock. You say then continue.

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The hearing reconvened at 1400 hours, 18 april 1947)
THE LARSHAL: Persons in the court room will please
find their sents. The Tribunal is again in session.

GERHARD ROSE - Resumed

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continued)

BY DR. FRITZ:

- explanation of the excerpts from the meetings which are before you?
- Exhibit #10, Document #39, which is contained in Document Volume #3.

JUDGE SESRING: Doctor, is it possible, when you refer to the number in the German document book, to also give the Tribunal the number in the English document book, or do you have before you the English document book?

WITNESS: No, I only have the Gorman document book. The pages are nearly identical.

SY DR. FRITZ:

- and the pages correspond exactly with the German text.

 In Document Book 3 neve not enecked it yet.
- p go 45 in Document Soon #3 in the German as well as in the English. (The interpreter just kindly gave me an English copy.)

On percents, there is a remark about disinfection of carriers of protozon in the intestines and my experience regarding its treatment.

Then, on the next page, there are a few remarks about the danger of maleria 6128

to the German population from returning molaris infected soldiers. This continues for a number of population from the soldiers. There are some remarks made coout field latrines.

I skip pages 49,50,51, and 52. These are not romarks made by me. We shall I ter use these excerpts men doubt ing with the cound of the indictment of maleria.

This brings me to Rose exhibit #11 which is nose Jonument #39, to be found in Jorument Book #3 on p je 53 in the Gorden se well as the inglish. We are here dealing with the Fourth ting of the Consulting Physicians. at first, there is a very detail a lecture by me about the equads of dengar to hoolth originating from narial w prore. This was the Leture to water Professor mooring liverary referr a to in his to theony yenterany. On pice 17 of that original document, or 70 of the document book in th. English as well as in the Garann, the discussion of the professional groups of hygions one propical nytiene . De storting in which I, at that time, was chairman. At first, there are by introductory remarks real raing reserved and Gix. Those were the two most important DOT prus retions water were avolimble t us in Germany. Then Where ore a number of lectures by other scientists on to t some subject. Un page 71 there is - further discussion remark on that subject by me. On page 72 there is a discussion of the lectures on delousing with DuT prep rations. On page 75 there is a discussion of the importance of DDT praparations when combutting the flee plague and " few rem ras which I ande regarding the lectures by other actantists with reference to the importance of DUT over r tions when compatting bugs. On page 7- there are Teatris reporting diphtheria and scarlet lever vaccination, and on p ges 75 and 76 there is a short report about the

tions. On page 77 there is another discussion remark on the same subject and, at the end of my introductory remarks, you find remarks about pappathol fever which is sand fly fever. Then there is a remark made by another lecturar who refers to my reports.

- a. Do these four documents, Professor, contain everything that you ever remorted yourself at these meetings of consulting physicians or everything that you remarked during discussions about lucturers or everything that was said about you or your lectures at those meetings?
- page after page and " made excerpts in every case where I said something person lly during these meetings, or whenever other people made any remarks bout my utterances during these meetings. I can hardly imagine that I missed in thing when going through those documents.
- Q. At the Fourth meeting of Consulting Physicians you yourself were the Chairman in the Section for tygions and Tropical Hygiene? Did you have anything to do with setting up the program?
- At the Chief of the Vehrmacht Medical Service, and in collaboration with a number of specialists known to me with the competent Wehrmacht "gencies, I drafted a program for my section. This program later had to unuergo a few alterations by the Wehrmacht Medical Service which, in effect, meant that a few subjects to be dealt with were stricken out. In addition, after the meeting, I compiled the excerpts and the draft for printing purposes and then transmitted it to the referent with the Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service for further working.

Jourt 1 april 18-4-15-4-40-Karrow-Admiler-von Schon.

- very priefly. You mean, of course, that these excerpts into nod everything with the exception of your remarks to were not printed made after single locture:
- a. Yes, that is wint + said when discussing the orinted part very briefly.
- eatting up the program?
- A. No, in the case of the three former mentings I w s not asked to porticipate in working out the program. I am in no position to give may informet on as to how the procedure was applied at that time. That is, when I was Chairman it the Fourth meeting t the my lone contion. Then I propred the program as I considered it to be expedient. I received no directives, there were ... Pomoletions. As a .helrman I worked it out as I would have done in the case of civilian meeting. The assignment for this lecture on aclaric in the case of the actional meeting I received airectly from the medic 1 inapostorate of the Luftwaffe. I don't know who at the tribe initi that my being collect upon to lecture and before to t leating I did not know who else would speak roout aclorin. .. y atterances during that meeting had to se santted to want other contlemen said about melaria Tring that meeting. These gentlemen partly made similar remorks which consed me to change my lecture in dicer not to make repetitions.

Court I

18 apr 47-a - 16-1 - Luig - Losser (Int Resser & Van Schoen)

- Q. Now please explain briefly the contents of wa t went on in the Hygione Group under your chairmannip at the 4th meeting.
- a. This groun book containing the reports of the 4th westing was nade available here by the Presocution and I am therefore in a position to say that the record of the Section Hygione and Tropical Hygiano are in poses 159 to 205 of the printed dully ruport. The three main subjects of this section dealt with, first of all, the conhetting of insects, specially by using DDT preparations whom combatting insects. These were records unde on the basis of laboratory experiences and or ctical an unionees. Lectures held by those various scientists are found a coss 159 up to 169. The second subject dealt with the results of physicians and rat diphtheria and scarlet fever. Only practical experiences and the application and results of thuse vaccinations on troops were reported on. None of the luctururs was a number of the SS, tourefore no physician of any concentration camp was participatin, so that no experiences bould have been reported about wechnitions curried out in concentration camps. The third waln subject was the Papateci fever (sond fly fover.) In the green book this is found in pages 197 to 205. Hore only climical experiences on the sick bad were reported on its proceeded crebatting. Here again the new of DDT propuration was put into the foregraphic. One of the lectorard was Professor Rouring.
- Q. at this 4th mosting you wise hold a lacture at the general section for all participants in the mosting. Must did this lacture dual with?
- a. When discussing the document I already mentioned it briefly. This leature was the results of a year's mork about damages to health resulting from derial arrive. It contains my own observations. It further one speaks about the evaluation of the experience reports from various groups of physicians who were assigned to the various laftgams in order to study these quantions. Furthermore, therein is \$183

18 nor 47-4 - 16-2 - LJG Lesser (Int. Rammler & Von Schoen)

contained the results of scientific papers written by specialists on the subject the were working on single problems in this entire complex.

- q. and what did you hear at these sections about experiments on human beings?
- A. I only heard the lecture by Dr. Ding, which was repeatedly contioned here, which cave rise to my protest. However, I think it would be more expedient to discuss this matter when dealing with typhus.
- Q. Did you near that other participants spoke about the lectures of Professor Subhardt and Dr. Fischer?
- not been it discussed afterwards. Probably I must have read it afterwards, in the form as it is printed in the report of the conting. At that time, however, I did not notice that take was a question of intentional infections on human beings. Of course today, after knowing the entire conduct on, one could parasps conclude screething like that from reading the diary. At that time, however, I did not notice it or at least I everlooked the entire lecture because, perhaps for reasons of time, I did not read all the lectures which were held in the surgices section. I can be leaven say that today with any amount of certainty.
 - Q. Did you harr Professor Holalcohner at the second meeting of consulting physicians?
- meeting of consulting physicians. I only ment to the Hygion. Section when participating in the meeting of consulting physicians and was not always present during the poweral lesting. These ceneral cetings I sometimes failed to whit in cases when the subjects did not interest me in particular and I had more arrest work to do electhers. For instance, I sometimes used that appartunity in order to speak to a number of hygionists who had just none from the Front to participate

in this meeting.

- Q. Now I came to the subject of typhus. You are charged with special responsibility for typhus experiments in the concentration camps Buchenwald and Noteweiler. Please tell the Tribunal first of all, to what extent, in your professional training, you came into contact with typhus at all.
- a. During the war of 1914 to 1918 I sow no typhus whotsoever since I was only completed in the west where typhus did not prevail. After the war, hemovar, I had apportunity, during my studies and as an intern at Breslau, to see a few cases of typhus which, as a result of the war, appeared in Silssia. In the institute where I received my first training in by ione, typhus was wither not dealt with at all, apart from the execution of the so-called seil-Felix reaction, or I was busy in another depart ont, as in the case of the Robert Soch Institute. During my years in China I received practical controt with typhus, because on many occasions I was asked to help in the combat of typhus, in cases of smaller opicanies which broke out in prisons, in the case of soldiers, or refuse caps. These, however, were very rere occasions. Chekian is south of the Jangtee and in the south of China typhus does not occur frequently. At any rate, it sufficed to get washi infected. At that time I spent he wasks in a hospital, suffering from typhus. From a scientific point of view not much was done on typhus in my institute because it played no particular part in the province in which I was residing and where we had many more important parasitological problems.
 - Q. Then during this time you were connected only with the practical combatting of it?
 - s. I just had to deal with the compatting and not with the scientific work connected with it.
 - Q. Did you become acquainted with any typhus institutes on your trips?

18 apr 47-4 - 16- 4 - 139 - Lesser (Int. Bameler & von Schoen)

A. In Paking a Typhus Varcine Institute was founded during the time I was in China whose the weigh procedure was adopted. However, I had no opportunity to visit that institute. During my stay in the South Soas, that is, in Britash Malaya, I got into contact with the apacialists there about their work and about the tropical typhus. When traveling through Northern amarican, upon recommendation of the Rockefeller Foundation, I visited the Rocky Mountain Spotted Foundation of the Unititude which is in Hamilton, Montana. There I was vaccinated with the vaccine greatest to ro. I looked at the imberatories; I looked at patients located in a neighboring hospital; and I controlly had the local situations shown to be where such infections occurred. During my journey through africa I visited the specialists at Protoria and Johannasburg was had to deal with tick-bite fever, which belongs to the group of typhus.

Court No. 1 18 Apr 47-A-17-1-EM-Maloy (Int. herler & Von Schoen) . here you especially interested in typhus at that time because you visited such typhus research workers especially? A. The ricketsin, the typhus ricketsin, are the most important epidemics that exist. During my journeys I took every opportunity to inform myself of the work done by important researchers. In that way, for instance, I visited important animal enidemical institutes although I am not a veterimerian and in practice never got into contact with anidel epide des. after your return t Germany did you work with typhus? A. Not in the laboratory. When in the year 1937 I took over the trupical department of the Robert & ch Institute, gabody in that institute was working on typhus. Fir decades such work was carried out there by Otten, who was the only person in Ger env wh dorlt with typhus research during the period between the two great wers. Otten in the meantime had left for Frenkfurt shere he continued his work. Then in the year 1939 centr versy arese between Gildeseleter and Happen on the one hand and dysolf on the ther. I had accented Professor haeller's request to write a few chapters about typhus for a new edition of a hygiene textbook. The other two gentlemen accessed no of thereby interfering in their op petency end they said that, even if at the time they were not working in typhus, typhus at the same time belonged in their aphere of work, vir., belonged in virus research, and they said I did not have the right to ecount any much assignment and for reasons of a cradeship should have coded it t thuse tw gentlemen who were known to be the virus research workers in that institute. w Why did Pr fess r hoollers, who should have known that, come to you and not to me of the other two gentlemen? A. Moellers was a nersonal friend of time and often had no tell his of my journeys. He wented that the ax tic hicketsia be given some consideration in that new edition, whereas per only the European lice typhus had been coelt with. Does no have to be a specialist to write such an essay for a 6136

medical textbook?

- a. One doesn't have to be such a specialist for that. A textbook intended for students is, as a rule, quite brief, end it iften becomes necessary that one write merely on the basis of the study of literature in fields where personally one is not a specialist. For instance, I wrote articles in textbooks hereby on the basis of literature regarding South American illnesses although I never actually saw than and never in my life had any apportunity to travel in South America. This is a phonomenum which occurs in the case of all scientists who are writing textbooks. At any rate, in a textbook of hydiens for students the contacting of epidemics is the most important thing there and I had considerable experience in the case of typhus.
 - Then during the lest war did you have emything to do with typhue?
- A. Yes. By first centect came about when the racial Germans were resettled on the basis of the German-S vist Pect in the year 1939. At that time I was entrusted with heading the hoelth survice in the Soviet controlled territory since the resettlement started from the emidanic typhus areas in eastern Poland and because there was great suffering for refugees in these territories as a result of the war in 1939, and there-fore the combatting of typhus constituted at that time our main wary.
- Were no specialists evailable on that subject?
- A. Maturally there were a few typhus specialists from the First world war who were still alive but these gentlemen usually were if 60 to 60 years of egg can in addition, with the exception if Otten, had not dealt with typhus for a period of twenty years. It could not be assumed that these old gentlemen could spend two months on the road in Bussian in a cold of 40 degrees. The young gentlemen like Eyer, Whirst, end Hassen knew very much about the laboratory problem but they had no experience in practical contest. In the final analysis we were not only concerned with typhus alone as to that resettlement, but we were a normed with a migration of population with all their medical problems. I was

I had much to do with such ranges problems and camp questions. In Chine I had much to do with such mass problems in case of floods and famines, at the same time I had the advantage, of course, of having been afflicted with typing once and therefore not being in so much danger. These were probably the reasons it was eaked I be relived from the Luftwaffe for those two nonths. I am a general hygienist from the start and only later did I study tropical hygiens without giving un general hygiene work. In the Luftwaffe I was the consultant for general hygiene and in t tropical hygiene alone.

- Q. Did you work in the lat tatory on typhus questions?
- A. No. I never dealt with typhus research in the laboratory. During my entire scientific cereer I never had one ricketsis culture in my leboratory and I never us duced one contineter of typhus veccine mysolf.
 - Q. Did you have enything to do with testing typhus vaccines?
- a. Never in my life did I myself test typhus vaccine or have it tested by one of my collaborators or any other person.
- the testing of typhus vectime. Did you have snything to do with working out those regulations?
- A. No, nothing whatever. I never perticipated in conferences concerning those regulations, nor was I invited to participate. I never gave any expert opinion about them. I know such regulations existed but to this date I have not seen them. Since I never participated in these conferences, I do not know for certain she it was that worked out these regulations although I could make some accumptions since I know who in Germany soundly dealt with typing.
- Gildeneister in connecti a with typhus vaccine, on page 1175 of the German transcript.
- A. That is a very erroneous assumption on the part of Mr. McEeney.

 It is entirely incorrect.

DR. FRITZ: Nr. President, I would like to offer two efficavits from

Rose Document Book No. 2. That is Document 16 on page 1 to 3 as Rose Lymbbit 12. This is an affidevit dated February 3, 1947, by the present director of the Robert Each Institute, Geheiner Obermedizinalrat Professor Dr. Otto Lentz, Berlin. I should like to read this affidevit beginning with paragraph 2 of page 1:

"Professor Rose was not the 'typhus expert' of the Robert Moch Institute nor did he work on typhus there, but he was the chief of the Department of Tropical Medicine and was in this capacity, with the exception of one research job about the transmission of dysentery and typhus baccillity insects, exclusively concerned with tropical diseases and health parasités (insects). The typhus expert of the institute was rather Professor Hasgen, the shief of the Virus Department. After his departure following his appointment to the Chair of Hystens at Stressbourg University, Professor Gildensister, then proceedent of the Institute, continued the research on typhus.

"Thus various physicians, among them Dr. Ding, received instruction on typhus from Professor Hangen in the Virus Department, but not from Professor Rose.

"Owing to the destruction by sir raids of many of the files of the hotert Econ Institute, I can no longer secertain whether Profess r Rose was associated with regulations for testing typhus. Several of the men who were at that time departmental chiefs, however, assured me unanimously that this had not been the case.

Professor hose was never engaged in the preparation of yell w fever vectime at the Robert Moon Institute, either. For, although yellow fever is a tropical disease, it was dealt with in the virue department, as it is caused by a virus. The special installations necessary for modern virus research, such as, for instance, a high vacuum pump and an intense cooling installation, did not exist at all in the Department of Tropical Medicine. Research work on yellow fever too at the Robert Moch Institute was in the hands of Professor Heagen until 1941 and afterwards in the hands of Professor Heagen until 1941 and afterwards in the

"The research on hepatitis at the Robert Moch Institute was also exclusively carried out by the Virus Department (Hasgen-Gildensister). Thus Stabsarzt Dr. Domen was detailed to Gildensister's department and he is not known to have had any connecti as with Professor Rose or with peoplers of the Department of Tropical Medicine.

"Finally, nothing is known of Professor Rose's having had the opportunity to be aware of Geheinrat L okenann's chang-therapeutical work.

(Chemothorapy of abdominal typhold with Otrnomin.) The only research on abdominal typhus carried on in lesse's apportment consisted of the experiments on the rele of the house fly in the transmission of dysontory caused by braterin and of abdominal typhus.

"I am the present Director of the Hebert Noch Institute in Borlin."

Borlin 5 February 1947

Then follows the si neture and certification. Dector -

A May I shortly interrupt? On Page 2 of this Document in the English Document Book, it says and this is exactly how it was read by the interpreter: "Whether Professor Rose was associated with the decisions taken on typhus experiment." I say point out to you that it says in the Dorman original Document, "Whether Professor Rose had senathing to do with the re-ulations for testing typhus." Regulations for testing refers to the state regulation for the testing of typhus vaccines, shout which you saked me a few measure age, wherein the English translation here awakens the impression as if the Robert Roch Institute carried on negotiations about typhus experiments. In the English translations of the Document Books there are really very surprisingly few errors, but unfortunately there is a mistake here on a very decisive question and I think an efficial correction will have to be made.

THE PRESIDENT: Report that slowly, will you?

THE VITNESS: This is Pare 2, fifth and sixth line in the English book and in the Gursen oc k it is the second page and the sixth and seventh line.

JUDGE SERRING: Road, if you pluese, what you think the correct English translation speud be.

THE LITHESS: According to my knowledge of English it should read, "Thother Professor Rese took part in actting up regulations for testing, regarding byphus, regulations for testing regarding byphus, regulations for testing regarding

typhus.

BY DR. FRITZ:

IM. H.SDY: Your Honor, may I request whether the Interpretation Department in the court room concur with Dr. Accors interpretation of this sentence?

THE HATTAPRETER: Your Honor, if I could look at the German Document book I could tell you.

THE LITHESS: I give no translation: "Whether Professor Rose took part in setting up regulations for testing regarding typhus."

THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, I think this same to be a correct translation.

JUNCE SPERING: The one suggested by Professor hose or the one appearing in the book.

THE INTERPRETED: The one suggested by Professor Mose, Your Honer.

JUDGE SESRING: Will the witness rend once more his version of the translation?

THE WITNESS: "Whother Professor Rese took part in sutting up reguntions for testing regarding typhus."

Q In this consection, I am offering the following Document,
Document Book Rose No. 2, No. 17, as Rose Exhibit No.13. This is
an affidavit by the Regionary Medizinal at, Dr. Emil Mehlrab,
deted 11 Fabruary 1947 to be found on pages 4 and 5 of the Document
Took Rose No. 2. I should like to read this affidavit into the
record; on page one starting from the ascend pars raph:

Institute for Experimental Therapy (Paul Ebrlich Institute) Frankfurt on Main, and I am at present in the especity of epidemiclorist as keplerungs-Medizinalret (Government Medical Counsel) in the Ministry of Public Health and Walfare of the Prevince of Lawer Saxway, Hanever.

"Frofessor Rese was the department shief of the department 6142

for tropical medicine of the Robert Moch Institute and was never recerded among Gorman scientists who worked on experimental typhus research in the laboratory, as a typhus expert of the Robert Koch Institute. I was myself a scientific assistant at the Mobert Much Institute in the year 1935 and after that I was a scientific senior assistant at the Ehrlich Institute at Frankfurt on Main, and I know that in both institutes, for remachs of good collaboration, special research was in each particular case undertaken by one department along, unless there were special working erups. Typhus research at the Rebert Rech Institute was carried out by Prefessor Gildemediator, who started the research together with Professor Hangen at the beginning of the wer. M. experimental scientific work by Professor hose shout typhus problems has occe to my knowledge. This statement does not refer to Professor Mese's sativities in the field of the general compaign against typhus, to which he most probably had to attend within the framework of his cotivities no a medical officer. " It is dated Honover, 11 Pebruary 1947, then follows the si nature and certification.

of 29 December 1941 is montioned to the montion from which the Suchemwald experiments originated. In that mosting you are not mentioned as a participant; but the proscution has submitted an affidavit of Kape Diotson, who was repeatedly upsoribed in the Documents as a marderer and who was working in Slock 46 at Buch-unwald, wherein you are mentioned as a participant in that moting. Then Kape Dietsch says, however, this moting took place in November of 1941. Did you ever take part in any such meeting in November or December of 1941 and if not, did you near about any such meeting taking place?

A at first, the question of my perticipation: I neither was present during this meeting of 29 December 1941 for can I remember ever having perticipated in a meeting which foolt with typhus at

all, that is one during which the Under Secretary of State Centiand Generalcherstabsorat Handleser participated together. Any such meeting where these two highly played chiefs were present would have been a big effect, which I could hardly forget, beoruse as that time I was only an Charatabsarat. At any rate, the record of the meeting of 29 December 1941 is available as Exbiblt No. 454 for the Presecution and it becomes apparent from that Document that I was not present.

It mays there that the Robert Kuch Institute was represented by Prefessor Dr. Gilmemoister. Naturally during the course of the years I took part in many meetings where typhus was discussed, but I was quite sure that I mover took part in a meeting which had the alleged contents of the meeting which is mentioned here.

In addition, Gillumoister when at first welling me about the plans for Duchermal's, and that was at the beginning of March 1942, ne never told me enything about some such meetin . He only said that Conti was the one that was representing that plan. In the some way, Conti, during my lecture after the visit to Fuchenwald, did not say anything about any such meeting or about any participation of Professor Hamiltoner. At that time I considered the whole metter semothing to be least with by the civilian seater and this can also be seen from the fact to whom I turned with my objection. If at that time I had board of any participation of the army, I e righty would have gone to Generaleburstabarret Dr. Handlesor with my objections; even though I was not subordinated to him, this matter would have been important enough to me to go to the chief of an ther section of the armed forces, and that I did not the this, which proves very clearly at that time I was of the opinion that the army was not all participating.

Ferlage I may now point out that the assess of the participants during that masting in both lists were obviously compiled by persons who did not have sufficient knowledge of the Berlin Ministerial compotencies and personnel relations as they prevailed at the end of 1941. I know the situation as it existed on the civilian as well as the military side, and looking at these lists, I notice a number of discrepancies as follower Schreiber at that time was not at all with the army Medical Inspectorate, that is, on the 29th of December, 1941. He at that time was the hygienist with the army Physician. In this capacity he represented Handloser as a "Heeros-crat" whenever he went to Berlin from hendquarters. Therefore, during the entire time in question, it never occurred that Handloser and Schreiber apported in Berlin at the same time.

In Dr. Professor Handlosor's testimony I was quite surprised that he didn't refer to this fact of which he must be quite well award. At longt one of those two gentlemen was at all times in headquarters. A Berlin mosting at the end of 1941 with Schreiber and Handlover together as participants is quite impossible. In addition to that, I may point out that, in particular, at that particular time in December 1941, the terrible plight provailed in the 'iddical Survice in Russia, to which reposted reference was made in this courtroom, and it follows from that that the hygienist with the Heards-arat cortainly had other worries than interferring in the business of the Arry logical Inspectorate, which was competent for any such question ducling with typhus vaccines. Schroiber only inter because the Chief of the Department I. G., and it was the matter of this Chief to accompany the army I dical Inspectorate when dealing with such matters. Generalerst ! waller was the Chief of I. G. in the year of 1961. The hygione referent who also should have been present was Oburstabsarst Schmidt. His representative was Oberstabsarst Scholtz who is also mentioned in the record of the meeting which actually took place on the 29th of December, 1941. The most capable typhus expert of the army was Professor Eyer. The consulting hydienist of the Army at that

6145

could have been expected to participate in such a ceeting on the 29th of December, 19k1, not one was mentioned. Of course, it is quite thinkable that anyone who knows of the important position which Professor Schreiber hold one year after that would make that mistake if reconstructing any such meeting and would, therefore, list him as a particle pant.

The second point I want to mention with reference to the civilian sector is the following: Undersecretary of State Conti, naturally, would never have visited any such meeting without being accompanied by one of the three referents. These referents, however, are left out in the case of both lists.

por Raiter as well askildereister did not belong to the Ministry but rather belonged to Reich Institutions, or Reich agencies, which were outside the Ministry, agencies which had no executive duties but gave only scientific opinions for the benefit of the highest Reich authorities. In that connection it may be pointed but that Professor Reiter waspresident of the Reich Realth Office and had nothing whatsoever to do with vaccines. For vaccines and infectious discress experts of the Rebert Koch Institutes were always used. If, however, these experts were used for such a meeting, always at least one of these three referents was present, as during the actual conference on 29th December 1941 Professor higher is centioned as being in charge and he was the oldest one of these three referents for epidenic questions.

Thirdly, referring to my own person, Gereral-Oberstabsarat Handlower at the end of 1941 had not yet been the Chief of the Jehrdacht
Ledical Service. Therefore, he could only represent the Army and not
the Lehrmocht. Naturally, a conference of the Ministry of the Interior
without the participation of the other branches of the Mehrmacht is quite
assumable. If, however, you invite the highest level of the health
authorities of the military and civilian sectors to any such meetings,
and if, in addition to that, you invite the Luftweffe, then certainly one

5145

does not inwite the consulting hygienist of the inftwoffe but the medical chief of the inftwoffe. He would either appear in person or he would sould his chief of staff as his representative, if he for some reason a suld not come.

It is possible that he would bring his consulting man along, but he certainly wouldn't send him along alone, in particular since he only holds the rank of an Oberstabsarst.

For the other participants in this meeting know very well that this consulting wan has no executive functions whatsoever and, therefore, is not at all in a position to make any binding statements during any such meeting where responsible chiefs are deseting; firstly, because he has no executive functions; secondly, because as a scientist cannot overlook the possibilities as they refer to executive questions. In addition, in asking the laftwaffs to participate elengate with the way, it was always customary to invite the Newy, too. In the case of both lists, there is no pention at all made of the Newy. Considering these discrepancies, both entries received a stamp of improbability.

In may onso, you heard nothing about a meeting where the testing f vaccines at Buchenwald was supposedly decided upon?

A No. Noither did I pursonally take part in any such mosting, nor did I at any time hear of any such mosting. The mosting dated the 29th of Documber, 1941, a record of which was submitted here, only came to my knowledge owing to the document of the Prosecution.

Q Then how did you come into contact at all with the testing of typhus vaccines on human beings in Bucheswald? You were in Bucheswald, verent you?

A Yes. On one occasion I westbure together with Professor Gildemeister. This visit came about in the following namer: When visiting
the Rebert Kech Institute, probably in early Erch, 1942, I speke to
Professor Gildensister. When mentioning what period of time it is, I
have to state that this is a more estimation on my part which is given
support by the statements made in the Ding Diary. I know the difference
6147

Suchemmeld, but I maither know the exact date when I was in Buchemwald nor do I know the exact date when I had my conversation with Gildenoistor; and if I am now saying "early in March", I am only doing that because I assume that this date is correct, namely, the 17th of March. However, I don't know.

Professor Gildersister on the occasion of this visit told me that upon investigation of Dr. Conti, the protective value of the various typhus vaccines was to be tested by asking experiments on human beings at Suchemmeld in the vicinity of Joinar. He haid that the reason for these experiments was the difference of opinions on the part of the experts dealing with that question which could not be everbridged regarding the value of the various procedures of production.

dildereister and that the experiments are to be carried out on criminals who had been condermed to death. I was very surprised at hearing that, and impulsively rejected that plan. I offered my opinion to the effect that this plan was not in accordance with what should be used in the case of vaccine procedure. It was not in accordance with the tradition of vaccine procedure and in addition to that I thought that animal experiments were completely sufficient in the case of typhus vaccine investigation.

18 April-4-0J-20-1-Cook-(int. Racmler, Von Schon)-Bourt 1

I was rather indiment and I said if this procedure was to to courter we could have ever to an executioner all of the immunity sciones or a department for executions at our institute. Gildeneister deviously was rather indiment about the severety of my reaction since our personal relationship was not friendly. He told me that he had been invited to look at how these experiments were processing at Buchenwal , and he said that before makin any utterances of that nature I : should at first ecovice myself exactly what was happening there and how it was her onin there; and he said he would see to it that I would get permission to enter the camp in or er to look at it, a few days later he telephone on and tel on the cate of the journey, as well as that he has at permission for me be enter the camp. Gildemeister neither at that time, ner on any ther occasion, teld me that he was actively participating in the planning and execution of the experiments.

Q Did you recept this invitation?

A Yes, a few mys after this telephone conversation I took a train to limit to thir with ir fessor william ister an from there want to Euch need by car. Fore we were los' thru h the comp by a hysician into a hospital which was separated by some borbut wire. There we were love through a number of stations. I con't know the name of the physician or the names of any other persons who were introduced to me at Sucherwal , I cannot remember. I as sure however it was not Dr. Dinbecause it was said that he had infected himself while carrying out these experiments an had fallen ill of typhus. The accompanying physicions a tated that the in'ividual brough had been treated with a number ": typhus vaccines in . were later injecte" with typhus virus. It said that one roup was infected without previously bein v accimated. The petiants of the roug that was not vaccinate as a very ill impression The entire station represented a picture of a typical station with pationts who were suf erin fr a severe typhus. The paral impression in the case of other stations that of less ill patients. In the laboratory - mr. shown the fever charts of the in ividual stient. There the life

6149

16 april-a-GJ-20-2-Co k-(int, Rumaler, Ven Schon)-Court 1

forence of the course of the illness with or without vaccination could casily be recognized from looking at these favor charts. Since it is extrially ifficult to commore 140 fever charts with one another, the inditi tial charts of the various proups were summarized in order to, report the aware to charts of the various groups at might. Next morning these summarized charts were shown to us, and lookin at those average charts the difference came to light in even much elearer way. We stayed ever at ht in a barracks cutaide the camp, we were once more shown the patient on the next day. During that visit the physician of the hespital intro-'used two inmates who below ut to the roup that was not vaccinated but who had not follow ill. This was at first a rout surprise for the physicione who participate: in the experiments, for 120 or however many were whose nated, and all fallen ill. The renewed examination of the case history of these tim , thents and shown, however that they during their stay in prison in Borlin, had suffered tyrnus, that is while they were in Berlin and before they were sentence . They however had said, that they fell ill of influence then questioned before. The physician added that overy one wis quite upact about that at first because one intentionally only use German criminals for that experiments, for in case of priminals of the East one always had to take into account that at some carlier time they had already one thrown typhus. In the case of Gormans, however one thou ht ther, was no such peasibility. In evaluating the experiments these two patients who had not fallen ill begins specially isy reant because it was preven throught them that the patural insumity protected a minot the infection technique, while none of the vaccines actually protected any on gainst the infection. The vaccines ally miti ate" the course of the illness and provented any fatalities. I to k the no n train from winer t Burlin, or fessor Gildenesiter on the ther ham use a later train.

Q In his empontary on Din-'s dary on the 17 March, 1912 T. McHaney's id, pare 1179 of the Jorgan transcript+I shall quote this

Then or

18 april-a-GJ-20-3-Cook-(int.Harmler, V a Schon)-Court I

" Here we find that the defendant Rose with his friend and superior, Professor Gildemoistor, visited Euchenwal: and was present at the experiments, including injections performed on the insates of concentration camps."

Now, I ask you, were you present when concentration camp immicos word injectur?

A Mo, it becomes clearly apparent from the entry in the diary that my visit accurd at a period of time when all experimental subjusts already had fever, according to Din 's dary, the infections were carried out on the 5 January 1942, for the first time, an' on the 7 Jeanuary 19h2 for the second time, and on the 3 march 19h2 for the thrid time the latter time, allowedly in the Presence forefessor Gillameister on that was the lith day before my only visit to Buch nowald.

Q Mr. WeHaney sai further in the same commentary, and I quoto: " The defendant Hose will not wish to dony that he visited Buchemwal', He will a mit that he was there." New I ask you did you ever long that you winited Suchenwald or that y u had knowled o of that first typhus unuriments?

a No, I never did. I never denke it. On the ther hand, urin by Lucture in Bast on the 17 February 1964, I said that I myself s w Din 's experimental subjects. The lucture will be subgitted here as I document. I said that too furing the third conting of the consulting hysicians on the decasion of Ding's Lecture. In admition I said that when I was interregated at letisers in June 1945, and I stated that when interregate for the only time here by the er secution in Muernberg of ro I had any knowledge whatsoever about the existance of Ding's tinry, or any other sewents f the erasceution.

Q According to the transcript of the same session for HeHaney went on to say:

" We saw that the Rebert Wich Institute Colivered the virus thru h the Josenlant Hose, with which these uni rtunite victims were inficted." I ask you, 'it you cliver typhus virus for the infection of excrimental subjects in Buchenwal!?

18 April 47-A-FjC-21-1-Gross (Int., Remler & Von Schoon)
Court I

A You obviously mean the comment to the entry of the Mary of the 26 January 1943, don't you?

o Yes, I bed your pardon, page 1182 of the Gorman transcript.

A 10. I novor delivered any such virus. I wouldn't have been in a position to do so because maithur myself nor my department were in the possession of typhus virus. I never had typhus vaccine at my disposal and for that reason I mover could have delivered any such virus to anyone else. The entry in the diary upon which Mr. McHaney commented roads as relieves: "36 January 1945 ertificial infection with egg yolk virus, operation No. \$25 and \$25, Ricketsia Provazocki strain from the Robert Koch Institute." The addition of my same to this entry obviously is based upon Mr. McHaney's knowledge. There he get this knowledge from, however, I den't know. At any rate this knowledge crimet be reliable because this stratement is incorrect.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunch new will be in recess until

Official transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America against Karl Brandt, et al, defendants, sitting at Nurnberg, Germany, on 21 April 1947, 1015, Justice Beels presiding.

THE MARSHAL: Persons in the court room will be seated. The Honorable Judges of Military Tribunal I.

of America and this Honorable Tribumal.

There will be order in the court.

THE MESIDENT: Mr. Marshal, will you ascertain if all the defendants are present?

THE MARSHAL: May it please Your Honor, ill the defendants are pro-

THE TRESIDENT: The Sucretary General will note for the record the presumes of all the defendants in court.

The Secretary General will also note for the record that Tribunal I convened this morning at 10:15 stelock due to delay in the breadcasting system.

Counsel may proceed.

SEDIOS

GERHARD ROSS - Resumed

BIRECT EXAMINATION (continued)

BY DR. FRITZ (Counsel for the defendant Rose):

Q Professor, at the end of the session on Friday I had read you some consentaries which Mr. McHaney had made on entries in the Ding Diary. The last one was about an entry of 25 January 1943. I have two brief questions on this subject. On the 26th of January, 1943, were you then benefitest of the Report Each Institute?

Vice reident of the Robert Noch Institute?

A No. of posintment as Vice resident was either on the 1st of Warch or the 1st of April, 19hj. I myself was informed of it only later because at that time I was with the debracht and, in effect, this appointment never was in operation because my service with the Webrancht

took all my time.

Q Did you learn that the Robert Koch Institute delivered typhus virus for infection?

A No. I did not learn that. Therefore, I cannot even say whother the entry is correct or not, and, therefore, I do not know whether the head of the typhus department, Professor Gildscalater, was informed for what purpose the virus was to be used which he delivered, assuming that by did deliver any and that the entry is correct.

Q Professor, on Friday you had already told the Tribunal your experionce when you visited Buchemmald. Now, I should like to come back to this visit. I ask you want conclusions did you draw from this visit of yours to Buchanwald and what you saw thore?

A That was in part described by the testimony of the witness Fors. Block. After my return to Berlin I had State Secretary Conti's office called up and informed that I had been in Suchemmild, and, at the suggestion of Professor Gildamsister I had watched the typhus experiments. I saked State Secretary Conti for an appointment for a personal consultation. Dr. Conti had my private secretary given an appointment. I went to see the State Secretary and I told him briefly what I had seen, but then I said that I had not come about the details of these experiments but about the fundamental problems connected with this question. Mildemister had teld me, I said, that the experiments were made as the instigation of State Scoretary Conti. Now, harmin experiments in infectious discress were nothing pow, of course, but to make the admissibility of cortain vaccious dependent on their and in human experiments doviated from all tradition of testing vaccious if the experiment was a matter of life and death. For four decides hygiene know the problem of testing vaccines and the traditional procedure was to test the compabilility and protective effect of a vaccine by amiral experiments. If these experiments were natisfactory, then the tolerance in human beings was tested and the dose to be used determined in those experiments. Then, with serological and cutameous reactions, an attempt is made to determine took all my time.

Q Did you learn that the Robert Koch Institute delivered typhus virus for infection?

A No, I did not learn that. Therefore, I cannot even say whether the entry is correct or not, and, therefore, I do not know whether the head of the typhus department, Professor Gildenbister, was informed for what purpose the virus was to be used which he delivered, assuming that he did deliver any and that the entry is correct.

Q Professor, on Friday you had already told the Tribunal your experionce when you visited Buchommid. Now, I should like to come back to this visit. I ask you what conclusions did you draw from this visit of yours to Buchemmid and what you saw thore?

A That was in part described by the testimony of the witness Mrs. Block. After my return to Berlin I and State Secretary Conti's office called up and informed that I had been in Euchenwald, and, at the suggestion of Professor Gildemeister I had natched the typhus experiments. I asked State Secretary Conti for an appointment for a personal consultation. Dr. Conti had my private secretary given an appointment. I went to see the State Secretary and I told him briefly what I had seen, but then I said that I had not come about the details of those experiments but about the fundamental problems consected with this question. Cildemeister had told mo, I said, that the expuriments were ande at the instigation of State Secretary Conti. No, human experiments in infectious discusses were nothing new, of course, but to make the admissibility of certain vaccines dependant on their nee in human experiments deviated from all tradition of testing vaccines if the experiment was a matter of life and death. For your decades hygiene know the problem of testing vaccines and the traditional procedure was to test the compatibility and protective effect of a vaccine by animal experiments. If these experiments were satisfactory, then the telerance in human beings was tusted and the dose to be used determined in these experiments. Then, with serological and cutaneous reactions, an attempt is ende to determine what change has cocurred. Although one knows exactly that these reactions are not a reliable measurement of immunity, then one waits for a
natural exposure of the vaccinated persons to determine the epidemiologiccal success, and this epidemiological success is measured by commaring
the effects on vaccinated and unvaccinated groups. Earlier, artificial
infections may have occurred here and there may have been undertaken
here and there exceptions, but not in dispases which might be fatal. In
such a definite change from provious procedure, I would have considered
it necessary that at least the authorities of the field in question that is, in this case typhus - Gildonelster, Otco, Eyer, Haagen, Bickhardt, Bialing and Wohlrab - that they should be asked first whether
they considered such a very significant step absolutely essential.

Q Now, what was State Socretary Contils answer?

A First, Centi saled to whether Gildo eister know that I was coming or whether Gildenoister had sont mo. I said "no". Then Conti said in answer that he too had had misgivings before he had decided to take this stop. The seriousness of the danger of typhus, however, ande extraordinary measures necessary. In the Government General, that is, in Decupied Poland a serious typhus agidenic had already broken out. The Russian prisoners of war had, to a considerable extent, brought typhus into the Reich territory. In all posts, camps and prisons within the Reich there had already been local spidenics. How it was in the Wehrmucht I had to know better than he, he said, but he had had rather extrumply disturbing news from that source too. According to the experience of the Ministry, hundreds of thousands of human lives were at stake and he, the State Secretary, and not the scientists had the responsibilility for the measures which had to be taken. In view of this emergency, he had bed to subordinate his misgivings which he had had just as I had. fit could not wait for epidemiological statistics which might give a clear inner only after years or perhaps only after decades according to experioneg. He could not wait so long if there was a possibility, with a small price of human lives, to learn the correct measures to protect.

man life just as highly as I, but in wartime, when millions of the best and completely innocent must sacrifice their lives, the parasite of society must also be required to make his contribution to the general welfare. Now, as for my siggestion that the specialists be consulted, he had considered it sufficient to have his staff inform his about the whole matter but, in the future, he would be glad to remember my suggestion in suitable cases.

Q Did you say mything more?

A I emphasized again that I was interested in the fundamental question and that I could not change my views on that, and I added that I did not believe that the results at Suchemmald would be very different than the results of the animal experiments. I cust say expressly that I said this to be. Contil at that time but that the later course of events proved clearly that I was wrong in this assumption. The Suchemmald experiments gave considerable information in adultion to what we know at the time on the basis of animal experiments. The State Secretary then dismissed we with the words that even though he could not agree with my views, he wanted to thank me for having came to him. He much preferred bearing objections than always to be surrounded by "yes-men".

2 Did you report to Professor Gildenwister about your discussion with Conti?

A Yes, that was my official obligation. I had to report regularly to my immediate superior about every conference which I had with higher offices. Therefore, I reported this conference subsequently to Provident Gildemeister. He was very much ennoyed because it was about a fundamental question and a question which was his special field. He said that I had everstepped my authority and that I had intervened in things which were none of my ousiness. He also made further rather violent represents at the time and said that I was presumptuous, that I thought I had better ethics them my colleagues and that my discipline and my understanding for authority in general questions were not satisfied my understanding for authority in general questions were not satisfied.

factory. I had no sense for authority or for colleaguality.

Q Why didn't you discuss your visit to Conti beforehand with Frofessor Gildomoistor?

A That Prosident Gildemeister and a different view than I was covious from our first discussions on the subject and also from the discussions in the evening on the visit to Suckementd. It was clear to me that if I told Gildemeister of my intended visit to Conti that he would formed it. He had the right to do so. He was my superior. I was only a department director at the institute at the time. From the point of view of civil service, Gildemeister was quite correct. It was true that I had gone ever his head and that I had interferred with my criticism in a field with which I had nothing to do in the institute. Typhus was dildemeister's field, not mine, but in the whole matter, as I told Conti, I was not interested in the problem of typhus especially, but the fundamental problem of experiments on human beings and I felt that I was concerned and I felt justified in speaking.

Q Did you not have to expect Conti to Represent you for going ever Gildennister's head and talking to him?

A No, this danger didn't exist. I had not Conti for the first time during the resettlement. The health service of the resettlement project was directly under him, and in individual cases I had seen clearly that Conti placed great value on being in direct contact with subordinate dectors and definitely was not interested in whether the interesdiate authorities liked it or not. Conti was anything but a bureaucrat. He was in definite apposition to dildemeister on such questions. Bildensister was very much concerned with bearing the correct form and going through channels. Moreover, it was such an important matter that I would not have cared much for a violation of form. I know from the beginning that dildemeister would object.

factory. I had no sense for authority or for colleaguality.

Q Why didn't you discuss your visit to Conti beforehand with Professor Gildonnistor?

A That President Gildemeister and a different view than I was abvious from our first discussions on the subject and also from the discussions in the evening on the visit to Suchemnald. It was alway to me that if I told Gildemeister of my intended visit to Conti that he would forbid it. He had the right to do so. He was my superior. I was only a department director at the institute at the time. From the point of view of civil service, Gildemeister was quite correct. It was true that I had gone over his need and that I had interferred with my oriticism in a field with which I had nothing to do in the institute. Typhus was field with which I had nothing to do in the institute. Typhus was field with which I had nothing to do in the institute. Typhus was field with which I had nothing to do in the institute. Typhus was content problem of experiments on human beings and I felt that I was concurred and I felt justified in speaking.

q Did you not have to expect Conti to Reproach you for going over Gildemeister's head and talking to him?

A No, this danger didn't exist. I had not Conti for the first time during the resottlement. The health service of the resottlement project was directly under him, and in individual cases I had seen clearly that Conti placed great value on being in direct contact with sub-relinate destors and definitely was not interested in whether the interesdista authorities liked it or not. Conti was anything but a bureausrat. He was in definite apposition to Gildernister on such questions. Gildernister was very much concerned with phosphing the correct form and going through channels. Moreover, it was such an important matter that I would not have cared such for a vicintion of form. I know from the beginning that Gildernister would object.

Thave enother question about your visit to Bickenwald. At that time didn't you wonder where such a large number of orlainals coimed to death came from; there were nearly 150 people?

.. Now, of course, this question sooms quite justified, but at that time I had been even the information by an. authority I considered obselutely trustworthy. I lad no occasion to doubt it at the time. As for the number, one must consider that we were living under mortial law at the time; and in Gerrany so many infractions ware punishable by death under the special laws that I me not it ill supprised. I can give so mayora ples: crimes taking forante o of a black-out; viel tions of the retirning laws; block rhoting; plundering during mir raids; refusal to serve in the are, espionage, and a great many other things, and not a word we said in Buckenwild . t the time that the experiental subjects ward old prisoners of a'd comp. The only two whose porgon 1 . fairs were discussed were the two people who come from the prison at forbit, whose they but law typhus, and for the others I assumed also that they had boon taken to Buckenwald for the experience. One must conduct such experiments secondere in a come under guird. In a n ordi ary hospital people who are condensed to do the would implicately brook out, and in a namual rison, a ponitonti ry with its colls, one connet carry out such in apportment. That the amora onts were conducted in a concentration carp second quite reasonmble to re, because the block which I had seen we arranged just like a lospital. It was astrong building with large rooms. It was quite sint of from the rost of the comp as for as I could judge.

C. and then later did you talk to Professor Gildemeister about the experiments in Buchenwold?

a. I cannot remember that, as for as I know after our dispute about my visit to Conti on my own initi tive, the visit to -uclemend and my a periments were not discussed . min. . fter that time until the time whom my section was permanently discissed from the institute and turned into a buftwaffe Unit I now Mr. Uthomospher only quite revoly. These fow meetings wire always about matters of my dop remont, the question of the transfer of my nep remort for reasons of air security, also complaints that I could not give enough supervision to my depirtment. - Gille olster w : of the opinion that in my dop remont ov ryone did what he winted to. "e tanted to repoint : per maint represantative for me or attached my personnel to me ther descriptions. Description all the differences which finally occusioned no to turn my dop rt out into Lastwiffe Unit in order toget rid of the unpleas atness. My unlk with Preferent Gilamoister turned into a querrel since I beerne vice- resident sminet his wish.

MR. Hardy: May I ploane four honors, the prescrition has not objected to the definition using notes to assist him in the course of his exerter tion. Powever, it has become a parent Professor has each and every question enswored in detail before him in writing. It souls to to he could use notes to refresh his memory to some extent. However, if he has such question enswored in metail in writing beforetent it becomes a parent that professor was could sign these answers and turn them in in the form of an affidavit and dispense with the longthy examination, because he has the inswers with the

before him in detail , and is wrely reading thom.

The Presence of the second of the witness is correct rule black only be asked him; but if a witness is correly reading answers, it would seen to the Tribunal those matters might be filed as included in a afficient. Counsel of the Presecution with cross-examine the witness as to who wrote the note, or the paper from which he is reading, but if the wrone is is merely reading these enswers the Tribunal is of the opinion on affiducit would to just as good as the tertimony of the witness from the stand.

the notes the defendant is using, and I think that he will see out question numbered and offerwards in German the word inswer!, and a rather elaborate answer given to each question, which appears to be just what the defendant is reading. If the Tribunal will peruse the shoots of the defendant is referring to you might see that they are in the form of an a finevit and is could sign them and use them here in lieu of this patientsion.

The President is will ask counsel for the defendant what he thinks about what the Tribunal has said, whether or not an affidivit would not be just as good as reading from the written paper, which amounts practically to an affidivit, simply all the statements under eath, instead of a written statement.

DR. MITZ: Nr. Prosident, as for the answers which the defendent has given, I fully agree that he should render them more freely. As for the questions, of course, in view of the difficulty of the material and since I

myself om a layman in medical matters, I have had to discuse the quotions, which I ask the defendant Rose, with the defendant beforehand.

THE PRESEDENT: That is perfectly natural, but if the witness has written out each word of his enswer why would not the filing of that writing in the form of an effidivit be just as good as his eral testimeny from the stand where he simply reads what he has already written?

DR. FRITZ: I shall then a sk the Defendant Rese only to consult his notes which he has hed to make been so of dates, etc, and to enswer my questions that way.

THE PRESIDENT: If the witness simply uses notes for that purpose he may continue testifying. If he is ging to read pase after pase of what he has written the Tribunal sees no adventage in all the testimony ever an affidavit.

DR. PRITZ: way I continue the examination of the defe adant, wr. President?

THE RESIDENT: You, if the witness will simply use what he has in the ferm of notes, such as dates and places, and so forth, the examination may continue.

n. Did you never visit to to has section of the Rebert Kech Instituto?

and outple in what I am doing. I have quite a turnly thought over very carefully the questions what I made worked out. For each question I compiled what I need for the enswer, but I am not reading what I have written down here. I am not reading it werd for word. I am using the notes to formulate my enswers, but of course from time to the I look down at the notes in middle to

your question to soo what I have noted down as important for the enswer which I must give to this questions. How, as to your quostion about my visits to the Typhus Donartmonts of the Institute. In the time when work wis done on all typhus during the wer, I have never entored this deportment, because it was ferbilden for cople who did not belong to thedep remont to enter it. This prohibition oxisted occause of the Arngor of infection, and that is quite reason ble because in the course of time all the persons who were of in this department foll fill of ty hus. In all such institutes people who have no business in the department re not allowed to enter it. The tells with Professor Galachelster took place in his office, not in the dep rtment. He was called there from the typhus department, and generally in order to avoid westing time, as are. Block has clready stated, I had an appointment made by her beforehand, and then he was in his office at that time. The offices were for discussion, not the liberatories. I light lot visitors into my inborntery either. I received them in my office.

- Q Did Professor Gildensister never discuss his work with you?
- I know Gildsmeister's work only from his publication, from the annual reports of the institute. It is not customary in such large research institutes for the heads of the various departments to discuss their work of the one another unless they happen to be working together. Scientists normally do not make their work and plans public before publication. His thou has are his only scientific possession and he is generally over-amxious to protect them, thus he preserves secreey even toward people working in anotherfield than he inseelf, because these people too might by carelessness betray his secrets to others and thus do have to him.
- Q Then you heart nothin; more about any work of Professor Gildemaister at Buchemmal! after the one visit which you paid to the
- I Me not learn that Professor Gildeneister had any part in the proliminary experiments, as the Ding Diary assets, and when we visited
 Buchenwold, Gildeneister did not tell me be had been there before and
 his conduct during this visit did not lead me to believe be had. It
 was surprising to me to see in Dr. Ding's dary how often Ding says what
 frequent connections he had with Professor Gildeneister and the Robert
 Koch Institute; furtherwore if these statements are actually true, I
 cannot judge for sure and for parely technical reasons I think that is
 extremely unlikely.

Q Why?

I must come back to Document Book No. 12, in the Din- Diary,
Document No. 265 on Pages 39 to 47 of the German Document Book, there is
a discovery of revolutionary importance for typhus research, and
expecially for the question of vaccine. It says in the German text on
fine No. 39, for 30 November, 1942 that infection did not progress in
the control persons in the experimental series of 26 January to 20 October,
1943, that is on Pages 44 and 42; according to the Diary, one fourth of

those infected did not fall ill at all, the others only fairly severely. In the group of 80 persons, on the 31st of March, 1943 of the 80 infected no orn fell ill. That is pages 45 and 47. For the hyderist these dates show that a event had occurred for which many typhus research workers throughout the world were waiting, a typhus strain, a strain highly virulent for the laboratory amount, as Ding's work in 1943 shows, which is Mru owaky Document, I believe, No. 9, here this typhus strain was obviously completely avirulent for men. One hundred people were infected with this strain without a sincle one of them falling ill. The event had occurred for which artificial creation Professor Hangen in Strassbourg worked with all the facilities of modern virus research. This event might have had the same importance as the Miscovery by Jenner of the hardesaness of small-pox vaccines, or the discovery of living avirulent places strains by Koller and Otto, or the discovery of avirulent tubercular bacterine by Calmotte, I immediately realized the significance of this discovery when I looked at the Ding Diary here for the first time. It did not take a minute to realize that, and we are to believe this Mary of Mr. Mine that a man like Olldemoistor, who in the last four years of his life, had worked on nothing whatever but typhus vaccino, that such a man failed to realize the importance of this event, that he did not follow up this question. In the Ming Diary there is not a sin lo notation to the effect whether these persons who is not fall ill; although they were infected; developed irrunity. For the lay-can this ratter may not appear to be so importants for the hydianist, however, it is an absolutely sensational thin . It is quite incredible to me that a man like Gildeneister could everlook such an important fact an that he failed to realize its importance if he was tol! of it as the Din Diary says on Pare 47 un! the entry of 11 April 1963, where it says: "Report for SS Standartenfuencer Prof. iru cwaky. Professor Cil lameister says the birthly virulent strains of the Robert Noch Institute seem no longer

percente for human beines." That a young man like Ding might overlook such a thing is possible, but what with the knowledge and suggestion of Professor Gildensister these terrible passage series should be started and that no one takes any interest in this important 'iscovery,' I cannot imagine as a specialist, I must deny if anyone says that I know it.

Then another question: ir. Gilleneister and ir. Haspan became oneries when Haspan left the institute, but, at least, I assume, that they had some some contacts, and that Gil emeister teld Professor Haspan that this Natolaka strain had become completely aviralent, and that one hundred people had been infected with it already without one of them getting a fever. That is quite unlikely, Professor Response worked for nonths on the fever reactions in his living dry vaccine to weaken them, as he marely had to get some of the Natolaka strain from the Rebert Koch Institute and then he would have what he was looking for.

Q You heard the lecture which Dr. Ding cave on his experiments at the third meeting of compulting physicians in the Section for Hygiens and Tropical Hydene?

A Yes, that was the time when I protected openly a mainst this whole method.

q what happened?

A. Dr. Ding gave his lecture in the canouflaged way as in his sublication for the Journal of Hygiens and Infectious Diseases, but the sudience could not tell that this concerned experiments on human beings.

When the discussion began, I commonted on the results of these experiments. That part of my statement is contained in the record of the neeting. That is in Rose Document Book No. 3, Document 38, which has already been submitted, on page 45 of Document Book 3. I do not intend to read these remarks but I simply refer to it. I still want to point out one can find here what I said about the technical aspect of the experiments and about the results.

Then I spoke of the ethical side of the whole thing and this part of my statement has been atricken from the record. I cannot, of course, today reproduce the exect wording but only the sence of what I said. I said more or less as follows: As important and as their as the results may have been, they were nevertheless echieved at the orice of a number of human lives. That we as hygienists must bject that a life and death experiment be nade as the represuisite for the introduction if a vaccine. So far, testing with animal experiments and subsequent determination of telerance by busan beings and spideriological exploitation have been the oustonery procedure. This procedure had proved its value. We had to stick to it and we couldn't let other political and state authorities force us to conduct human experiments. I sauke much I meer at the time. I stoke for at least ten nimites. Ding enswered that he could calm my conscionce. The exerimental subjects had been criminals condenned to deeth. My enewer was: I know that mysulf, I was not interested in the individuals concerned but in the principle of human experiments in testing veccines. At this comment Pr feeser Schreiber interrupted the discussion. He said he protested against my criticism and if we wanted to discuss basic ethical questions we could do that during the recess. He would have this part of the discussion stricken from the record and that was done. After the meeting veri us perticipants came to me and discussed the whole thing with me. Some

human experiments were justified. Of course, these pecule wh agreed believed Ding's assurance that the subjects were criminals condenned to death. I no longer remember the individual gentlemen with when I talked during the recess and I den't know who was in favor and who was against it. The only one I remember is Professor Brugowsky because he stoke as an SS nember and the experiments had been a nametad by an SS dector, and because I thought that are govern was Ding's superior in every way. Of course, I remember that Brugowsky was Ding's superior in every way. Of course, I remember that Brugowsky cane if all people and said that in principle he agreed with me and that he had expressed similar misgivings to Gravitz and that Drawitz had rejected his misgivings and then I also learned from Krugowsky that Himmler was behind all of these emperiments.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunel will now be in recess.

(A short recess was taken.)

GERHARD ROSE - Resument

DIRECT EXAMINATION - Continuod

DB. TRITZ (Counsel for the defendant E-se): Mr. President, reparting hase's attendance at the consultants' conference, I should like to reed Rose Document Mc. 5. Rose Arbibit No. 5. in/D cument Book N. 1. Deges 15 to 19. This is an affidavit by Professor Dr. Schnell. I have already read the first part of this and should like to read from name 17 the last paragraph and thereafter:

"I took part in the session of the section Rygiene in the Conference of Consultants held in 19-2 in the Military Medical Academy in Berlin, and I can remember the full wing about the discussion between Bose and Ding. As I had talked to o'lleagues in the cerrid r. I was late for the locture in question and therefore heard only a small part of it. An SS medical officer, perhaps Dr. Ding, - n t Mr. Mrugewaky - had spoken on the subject if typhus vaccine and menti med in the course of the lecture that the various vaccines and their occuparative value had been tested in human beings and that their effectiveness was more in less count. I cannot remember any more whether I heard this part f the lecture ownelf or whether I asked others who had heard it to tell to about it, wing to the ensuing sherp attacks by Reso. It is sure, however, that the lecturer did not mention where and in what way experisents on humans were carried ut. After that Buse desented the floor and said in an excited and aggressive way that both from a humane and medical viewpoint he had to bject to human beings being sacrificed in rder to secure certain facts. He voiced discorroval f such experiments. In this protest Bose had the vivid sympathy of us all, as apparent in the ensuing whispered nutual questi nines an ac the perticipants of the neeting where it was nurmured that these were or bably experiments in concentration camps. The discussion between Ding and A se was, before others could participate , interrupted by the chairman - probably Professir Schreiber - with the remark that a discussion of this matter was n t the subject of our conference but that we were here a lely to discuss 6168

questions of hygiene. He continued that the persons used for these syneriments had exclusively been criminals legally sentenced to death, anyway."

In this same metter I should like to but in another afficavit. The afficavit in Rose D cument Book No. 2, Rose Document Book No. 18, an afficavit in the part of Professor Nauck on page 5-7. It will be but in as Rose Exhibit No. 14. This, as I said, is an afficavit in the part of the present director of the Institute for Ship and Tropical Discusses in Hemburg, Professor Nauck, dated 5 February 1947. In the second paragraph it reads:

"In May 1943 I took part in the Congress of Consulting Physicians of the Military Medical Academy in Berlin. At one of these assistant Prefessor Rose, during the discussion, or tested against arroriments in human beings for the nurvous of testing typhus vaccine. The exact words of the opinion he expressed I do not recall. I know, however, that Prifess r Rose onite unmistaketly opposed such experiments on human beings.

"I am the present direct r of the Institute for Shin and Tromical Diseases. Hamburg, 5 February 1997."

and there follows the signature and certificati n.

1 0 W E L

THE PRESIDENT: Just a penent, counsel. Is that the decument on game 6 of B & 27

DR. FRITZ: Yee. That is here inhibit N. 14. I read from this second paragraph. In the same document box I now but in hose Document 19. mages 8 and 9, as hose Exhibit 15. This is an efficient by Prifose'r Walter Blumenberg of 22 February 1947. I should like to read from the second paragraph to make 8.

The present in will stimulate that free has objected at that meeting

as he has stated on the stand. I see no reason for reading these further documents. We are not objecting to admitting them into evidence but reading them into the record seems to be bursly repetitious and unnecessary.

THE PRISIDENT: The record day show that counsel for the prosecution has attrulated for the record that at this meeting of hygienists and consultants Dr. Buse objected to the experimentation upon human beings and made the objection as shown by the evidence, both oral and by afficavit. There is no objection to the admission of these documents in evidence but in view of the attrulation by counsel the Tribunal seas no necessity for taking up the time in reading afficavits unless counsel can show some occasion for reading them.

OR. FRIEZ: In that case I should like the any regarding the contents of this affidavit, I should like simply to maint out that in paragraph 2 he states that Profess r Rose was regarded as an expert in the contenting of typhus and a this an expert in the product of typhus vaccines or in typhus research. There is a great difference here. It can be seen from this affidavit on make 9 that Professor Schreiber was to it that this protest was not set down in the minutes of the meeting. An , this same matter I submit D current Rose No. 21 in the same document book as Rose Exhibit 16, pages 12 the . This also concerns Rose's protest at this conference. This witness describes in his affidavit with particular perspicuity the way in which Dr. Rose expressed himself at that time and that it was tried the alley his miscivings with the statement that the experiments were carried but only, a criminals condermed to does the

Professor, do you have enything to sed in this matter?

A Lit the conclusion of this affidavit by Mr. Ather an incident is montioned, where he speaks of my alleged by the SS, and that there was some rumor to this affect at the comference. This was a misunderstanding, but a misunderstanding which was rather characteristic of the situation at that time. I have already said that for reasons of air security, and on that protext, I attempted to transfer my department from Borlin. At that time I spoke with the gentlemen of the Volksdoutsche Mittelstelle who were in charge of the resettlement camps. They offered me a camp which they no longer needed. The Volksiautecho Mittelstello was menned solely, or olicet exclusively, by SS non at that time, and in order to take a look at this camp'I proposed to the gentlemen tint they should fetch us on the afternoon after the meeting. I told then to come at 5 ofclock. The conference lasted longer than that. The men became impatient and they made their way to the room where I was. When the conference was ended and I came out the two men who were waiting, SS majore in uniforms took no between them and we went down the stairs together, and left the cuilding in their SS vehicle. That evening I did not come to the social gathering that took place at that time, because I was visiting this comp which lay outside Berlin. This event had been observed by severak people, and the rumer spread that I bed been arrested because of my protest. In reality there was not a word of truth in this, and I turned up the next day, but that throwed a certain light on the whole situation.

Q Did you not offend against military discipling in uttering your protests; Professor Hoering and statements on that matter?

1 1 100

A I believe the expression "offense against discipline" which
Professor Hoering used was a rather unhappy shosen phrase, because
it was always my idea at such a consulting conference everyone could
say during the discussion what he wished, but from the purely factual
point of view what I said was an offense from the military point of
view, on the one hand, an offense against the creers to maintain

secrecy because it was completely clear that the lecturer and his spences intended that the audience, should not find out that those were experiments on human beings. I had found out, after being told to maintain secrecy, that these were experiments on human beings, and made this fact intentionally known to a group of persons who should not have found out about it. Moreover in what I said I attacked my own superior, namely dildereister and conti, and other leading personages in the future, for instance Himmler and Grawitz, and I asked to be disobedient to the directions of those high personages, and said what I did say in a rether excited tone of voice. I said "turn this matter over to a court martial." I said, and I said, "If you do so you will have a fine case on your hands in the matter of maintaining secrecy."

a Did you later discuss the matter of human being experiments before a large group of people?

A Yes, that happened once more before a large number of people, but that was not about typhus experiments. That must have been about October 1944. The question at hand then was grippe. There was a meeting, a rather large meeting at which grippe vaccine was discussed. A number of sentlemen reported on the vaccines that they had theretofore been developed in the laboratory. Among others, Professor Heraberg on a vaccine made from dead grippe virus, and Professor Haagen on a vaccine ande from living avirulent grippe virus, which so had already tested on personnel at the Strasbourg clinic. Someone in the meeting suggested, I don't remarker who now, that the Hungen tests had not been sufficient, and that this voccine should bu tested on a larger number of persons. There was no mention of concentration camps then but of student companies. I had comiderable missivings about such experimental vaccination am expressed them. I said that I considered the experimental basis for this insufficient for those vaccines tobe used on human beings. I was not convinced that tha virus had been sufficiently attemuated. There was the denger that the vaccine would lead to infection, and one could not take that

responsibility on one's self. It was first of all intended to observe the effectiveness of the protection by observing whether people foll ill of grippe in natural ways after being vaccinated. Then semeone else made the suggestion that that would take too long, and we did not know whother there would be an influenza opideric during that tirm, and therefore after the vaccines the subject should be infected with a virulent virus. Since I had already expressed objections to the vaccimation I opposed this proposal, even nore strongly, and the result of this discussion was that infections were not perried out, but it was decided to carry out the vaccinations. Waother these vaccinations were carried out or not I do not know. At any rate I road no order to the affect that someone should halte the vaccingtions nor did I ever read a report that the vaccinations were carried out. Only later in imprisonment did I hear that similar experiments, such as were then discussed, and which I disapproved of, were carried out by the English Service on German Hi's. Genzion probably had personally to do with this, but I had heard about this in interment hospital Karlsruhe where there were people who had experienced.

DR. FRITZ: Mr. President, regarding Professor Rese's protest against experiments on human beings at the conference, I should like, because of the importance of this point, to offer two further documents. First of all in Boursant Book II, Rese Document 23, Rose Exhibit 17, page 20 to 24, affidavit by University Professor Dr. Bisling on 11 December 1946 which concerns itself with Rose's protest regarding human being experiments in testing grippe vaccine. I should like to have read this into the record, Mr. President, because it can be seen from this that the defendant Rose himself————

THE TRESIDENT: If this efficient refers to enother meetin, other than the meeting with which we were concerned before, the Counsel may read this stride wit or the pertinent portions thereof into the record.

IF. HANDY: I wish to point out this discussion concerns information on influence vaccine and rippe; we have not charged the defendant with any participation in experiments or tests as to influence vaccine; therefore I object to the introduction of this documentary ovidence as being inseterful to mitters concerning this Tribunch.

THE THE THE Pffidevit in question proposed, Exhibit

17, shows the attitude of the Defendant hose on experiments on

human tain a thu of jection is over-ruled and counsel may proceed.

BY DR. FRITZ:

I reed from the first power of this efficient, the second percoreph:

Mon 30 October 19An I ettensed a mostin of the military modical according in Berlin, at which I had to state the result of my research into the production of influence vaccine at Marian. After I, and the other contlemen, had reported a out the results of later tory research and entant experiments, it was proposed at the subsequent discussion that the vaccine should not to tried out on human oin a as well. It was considered advisable that the first inoculations should be tested on students of the same a colliving in joint billions under schember similar conditions. I remember well that these loss stron by and forcefully discussed with this proposal.

"I remain or this particularly well, since for technical reasons I could not unjuretend his point of view end namened that it was besed on a misconception. I did a real with him behaver, that should investigations on human below should only be andoby specialist physicians, and then only efter thereon a preliminary

enimal tests. If these conditions were, however, fulfilled and the hermlessness and affectiveness of the preparations were thus proved, there should be, in my opinion, no objection to make the step new from snimal to human being, which always had to be made in such cases. From the experiences rathered in my laboratory, it seemed out of the question to me that considerable injuries or any infections could be expected, and my point of view was proved right by the many thousand experiences wheel with influence vaccinations carried but, in recent years, e. . in the emerican ermy.

"As fer as I remember, it was only proposed to see the reaction of the insculated persons to a natural infection inter on. The effect of the insculation should to assertained immediately after the insculation, by the examination of their serum. A useful procedure for these screte examinations was known in which a couple of cos of blood are train in the ordinary may from the insculated person. This procedure was claimented by the american Rivet.

During the first menths of 1945 I tested the serum according to this procedure here in Marker, on marked the serum according to the procedure here in Marker, on marked the serum according to the lactures and the had been immuniced with different influence vectors, proviously tested in smissil experiments. In this way I ascertained the effect of the inequalation.

Made that this serum research should not be considered adequate but that these volunt my experimental surjects, immediately the different influence veccines, should be imported inter on with living influence virus. This was not decided on. But no eljection which such decision, could be raised in principle, as it corresponds completely with the views of responsible physicians. We now know from present publications in the scientific periodicals of america, the effectiveness of influence vaccine on human being a has been tested according to this procedure, in the United St tes, and as I heard, also in Russia. Meanwhile, I have also had the opportunity to speak with American specialist collections, who were

carried out these investigations. In their scientific papers a clear description was even to the specialist world, as to how they should inject inoculated persons and the non-inoculated control persons with living virus, via. By attraiging the virus and making them inhalo it. A comparison was then made as to how many of the inoculated and non-inoculated persons fall ill after the voluntary infection, and from this, the strenth of the inoculated lation is taken in injections.

When the information iven here, it follows, that no matter whether only the first or the second surestion as well, were made, no further objections existed in principle. But, as to how far this was the case was evidently not quite clear to Dr. hose, from the statements of the specialists, and this explains why he reised a marning voice and and a remarks about the principle of justification. It also shows clearly and distinctly dr. hose's root sense of responsibility, when be expressed in the most impressive was his soljective objections before a wide circle of people.

If he did this here in exposition to see estions which are not controdictory to the energl medical othics or the inws of humanity, it is quite out of the question to consider that he had approved of tota which did not conform to any prestor do not with those demands which he had so strantly supported."

There follows the si nature and the cortification.

Now further I would like to put in here Decument No. 20, here Exhibit No. I, on pless 10 and 11 in the same document book.

This is an efficient by Dr. George Fin er of 6 Petrury 1947. In view of the fact that this efficient also concerns the commutating conference, I shall disputes with reading it, but I point out that precisely Dr. Fing your clearly remember the incident, which arose as a result of Dr. Less's protest.

Dr. Schnoll says at the conclusion of his efficient that Trefessor Schreiber stated that the experimental subjects of Dr. Ding were criminals condemned to do th. Professor Nouck, who was also a witness to this occurence, says nothing about that. Dr. Elumenterg also says nothing on this point, but he does mention that your protests were enswered in the conference. Dr. Finger says simply you maintained your point of view despite the fact that they were criminals condemned to dorth, but he does not say the made this statement. Dr. Atmer speaks of the possibility that enother St physician and not the man reading the paper had enswered your protest, and which of these various statements are correct and the did make that statement?

A It is of course comprehensible, after the four years that new have present, that there men eve no longer remember what happened werd for more, but do remember the assential frate, and it is understandable that there are individual discrepancies in the testimony of Just the did what. This matter, as I said, was stricken from the record and no one can refresh their a many with the help of the minutes. I resum or very clarity that what enamered me. Koven also described here in his testim my as a vitaces that Din had told him that he had a show-down with me. That is the way I remove or it, and, re for as I know, Schruiter anic that it is women originals condenned to meth; no, Dim on his coswer and that they were criminals confirmed to double. Scanthing which I know about but which the others attending the confurence did not been shout. Then, Schridbur interferret, when I spake for the month's in, in order to put un und to this discussion. It is also puntilla, though I remont awear to it today, that he said senethin to the effect that Dinsaid that they we a criminals condemned to death as we would not have to not excited about it. That is possible and I den't know today, he Dr. .. ther was under the impression that this was another SS doctor, he said that t lost that was passille. I am say that I remember that several SS officers more present, at I can only rement or that they stayed entirely in the tack round and did not participate in the discussion to 11.

- Prom the evidence submitted, it can be seen that you were porticularly excited at the time; even Keyen, who had only heard of the matter, speke of a particularly violent discussion here; now why were you so excited as to make such an impression in the witness.
- A The su ject of this whole discussion was a metter of absolute fundamental principle to me in my profession, of course, this excited me greatly.
- What did you know a out the type of the experimental sulfactor
- . I know that Gildercister had tell me at our first mosting and what Genti had corrected, what Din a min fentioned, in this public around I the majest in that these were criminals condensed to donth. Then I was also teld by these in Tuckers ald, who had not follow sick, that they had been thrown highway, to which they attributed their immunity, in ten'it before they had been condensed.
- prison in Durlin, a gricon known to every purson in turing. There is a section of Berlin canada models and if schoons says that he was sent to Markit, that of course mores he was sent to this protected prison.

Why did you make this such a principle prolim; you as a doctor and as a restored man know that solicel experiments not without innor had proviously can carried out on criminals conformed to doubt?

ance regarding my motives in this whole matter; and General Taylor in his opening statement on page 55 of the German record seconded, and I queto:

"It is our duty to expose with crystal clarity the ideas and motives that load the defendants to do what they did."

I agree with the Chief of Counsel. This is one of the most important questions, and consequently I should like to answer this question here in some detail.

I shall state my own apinions and also what I know of my own experience about the motives of atter scientists who are beday dead and cornet enswer for thomselves.

You asked why I wotested against the experiments on human beings. Of course, I know that such experiments had been expried out but several clear considerations helped to determine my attitude.

First of all the concept of the criminal condensed to death. This, of course, in the last analysis is a purely emotional reaction. For the jurist and many people who are accustomed to thinking in ferrial terms this may perhaps be a more simple question. Shen a man is condensed to death by Law, then for them this is an order, and that is the way it must be. The jurist concerns himself with the legal phases. He has a heavy responsibility to every in paking existens. But the matter is settled and the judgment must be carried out. I has not a jurist, and my stitude is seatchest different. I have been round for enough in the world to know how extracrdinarily severing

and relative concepts of law are. They differ not only from country to country and from people to people, but within the sense country they can change disputrically in a short time. This is true in normal penceful those and all the more true in politically forenting times or wer times. Often times a man is punished for a crime which other thousands or persons regard as an act of horois; and there is sound ing also to be added bore, and I said when I answered that these word criminals o addited to donth, namely, that it was a fundamental professional question. For no in my profession as a hygionist and researcher into the question of traumity, I have already said here how we negrally proceed in order to test a new vaccine. The telerance is tested on human beings because that is something that simply cannot be ascertained in the animal experiment. Of course, you e'r find exceptions. Bioling's Tidavit states such en exception, whore the protective value of the weeing is tested by infacting the bunch being, but these to only exceptions; and so far as I could survey the whole field, there were exceptions which happened exclusively abroad and I , as a hygionist and immunity researcher did not want that this protice to been a comen in Gormany. I foured such would astablish _ procedent. Of course, I also was perfectly aware of the erectal position in which we found ourselves with regard to typhus vaccines, but I shall clarify this whom I liceuse the technical side of the experients, whereas now I am discussing the ethical aspects. I was afreid that if this sethed were undertaken in the question of typhus vaccines, that very soon there would be a dearn't

to apply it also to other waccines or other problems. I am = specialist and know this field well enough, and I know what energous advantages a research worker would have if we were perfectly at liberty to earry out lauran boing experiments, but here, as the dwmtago was ovident to my reasoning, nevertheless my enotions revolted against it. I was acting on the principle of 'principe is chatat', and if one does not combat such tendencies in the very beginning they got out of hand. That was the secons paint, and then thirdly there was a purely processed consideration. From 1921 I worked in opportunited redicine in many countries and I know with what projudices my profession is bosot. In wide public circles we are cursed out us torturors of univels, because our field of irrunclogy has to work in asimil experiments, Otherwise. we cannot work at 11. If then in . Mittion to this prejudice there should be brought also the met were serious charge of human being experiments then that would throw in profession oven douper into the sediment. Then there is : fourth psychological point. Hr. Melinney, in discussing Professor Nip No to attitude toward the breathing experiments, sid and I quote:

"If hippke know that those were criminals concerned to death then everything was in order and to need have no scruples."

I believe that this sectionant of Mr. McManoy completly misunderstands the psychological factors that play a role in this problem. I have already said that perhaps the jurist's attitude toward this is different. The jurist has the heavy profess enal responsibility of putting into execution the double version, or as

prosecutor, he has the responsibility of requesting such a verdict, but once that has happened, the matter is closed so far as he is concerned, and then the inttor applies, fint justitin. The very othical researcher who is interested only in scientific knewlodge, night also e me to the conclusion that once a sontonce has been passed, he ary feel indifferent as to whother the men is banged or whether he is killed in a medical experiment, but for a ran who is not a research functic, very essential considerations of quother sort play a rele. In Bichemiald I agreelf saw the serious state of illness of the central persons who had not been vaccinated, and I was under that impression. After Holzlookner prased on the frausing experiments, I happened to a peak with Professor Helslachner, at Murnberg. From his paper I could not clearly see the connection. Consequently, after his lecture I checked into this matter and saw what an energies spiritual burton was placed on Professor Helzlechner by having to 30 through this omperionee, because even a person condermed to leath is a hurry being. He can suffer pain and new to return to Hippka. I know his personality and completely understand his attitude. Now I can quite unlerstand that if he found out the details from Hippke's report and from the other reports as to how such experiments took place, that that could have bee n quite enough for him to withdraw the pormission he had proviously given, not browing what was going to go on.

And then there is the fifth point, and you can say about this; This is prefessional egoisa and has nothing to do with othics, but as a active it also plays a role. Only the most important of experiments are carried

6182

the State has given it's perivission for them, will attempt to evade corrying them out, simply because they are not willing to take the spiritual burden upon themselves of doing such experimentation. Thus the danger prises at the most important port of the research, that it should fell into the hamls of the purely research familie, and he is not the hampiest representative of our prefession. You could say that this is not an otheral consideration but it is a consideration nevertheless, low old this problem of the research familie is in research medicine can be seen from Mallis book which the witness Liebranit quoted from here, a presecution witness, and on page 557 it says, and I quote:

"If a deeter devetes limself to research he is more or less inclined to regard the patients from this point of view. To is all too prince to use a patient who is trusted to him for the solution of a scientific problem and thus it happens that he puts the interest of the sick person in the second place. This conflict between the medical profession and the requirements of science has already been treated in French hiterature where the dector sacrificed his patient to the interest of science."

Thus we see that, the problem of the research fanatic is by no mount a new one because these words I have just quoted were written in 1900.

THE PRESIDENT: At this time the Pribunch will be in recess. Counsel may finish after the recess.

(The Court adjourned for the neen recess)

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The hearing reconvened at 1830 hours, 21 April 1947.)
THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

GERHARD ROSE - Regumed

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY DR. HAINZ FRITZ (Counsel for the defendant Rose):

by a by woll. Nould you please continue to ensure this important ques-

A. I spoke stove all of the considerations which influenced the doctor in the problem of experimentation and I have mentioned the problem of the research fenatic and the danger which he implies for our profession. In consideration of this entire problem, saids from the doctor performing the experiment, there is a well-known historical evaluate. When the Oriental method of variolation was introduced in aurope, not a veccination with cownex, him; George the First ordered that the procedure be tested on six principals who had been condemned to death. The leastion Doctor meitlend, who was in charge of carrying out this experiment, refused to perform the operation, not for any ethical considerations for the face of the experimental subjects, but for the personal consideration that he might be considered an essistant of the heaven if this experiment should be unamposesful. The refused was brought about by fear of demand to his own rematation. Maitland fit is to to got into touch with the heaveness.

I shoke of the research fanatic tefore but I to not went to be misunderstood. I no not went you to this the maintain every doctor who eccepts an assignment for human extermate an assignment for human extermate an assignment for human extermate an assignment workers associated that would be unjust. I know too many research workers in various actions rereseably who conducted exteriments on volunteers and on non-volunteers. I, therefore, know quite well what mental conflicts result from such work for such a man and understand what an anomaly burden he feels nimsulf when he takes on such a task. The

6202

The 3

prosecution and the Tribunel as jurors are in the fortunate position of nover having been in touch with such a conflict, although their profession too involves serious responsibility. To them I must explain this whole senect of the problem of medical experiments on human beings. It would be useless to take examples from this trial. An impartial explanation of the real state of effairs would be very difficult with the persons involved in this trial. I shall take as an example an emeriment which must be discussed snyway because it force the scientific besis and the immediate predecessor of the one for which I am held reamonable here. This is the first experiment for the development of veccination with living tectoris, living please bectorie. If you leter read the corresconding document you will see that these experiments were conducted on cripinals, on cripinals conderned to death who were not volunteers. You will be able to read how the worker, the ecientist, proceeded, step by sten, in this experiment with very small countities of living bacteria, radually increased the sucunt until he had reached the amount necessary for vaccination.

A (continued) If he than concludes the series of experiments with the conditions as astomishin; as it may seen, with these large doses, caused no serious consequences. And, if the fact that his experimental subjects had fever up to 40 he does not call that a serious reaction. That may seem to laymen a cynical remark but whoover who knows this field of sork and whoever knows this scientist personally knows what amount of bitter worry and concern was borne by this ran through weeks and menths that his theory might be proved false and that the persons might fall ill of placue and the of it. Now this experiment which was conjucted forty years and is justified by its success today. On that is lace! modern protective vaccine against places with livin avisulent place beailla. But, there is another series of experiments conducted by the same man, also on originals condemned to death. This time the experimental subjects had had to sign an agreement that they submitted to the experiments. These were experiments to determine the cause of beri-beri. For this purpose the disease had to be enused artificially by inadequate mitrition. It was possible to produce the disease an' it is lescribed in root lotail how through lon weeks the symptoms of this serious Hease developed - paralysis, minful neuritis, seriousheart milmonts appeared which finally led to but to the coath of one apprimental subject and the person was dissected a half an hour after death. For the person who done not know the disease Seri-Beri it is difficult to immine the spiritual burden on the doctor who for weeks must observe and murse those concrimental subjects and must record all the details of the symptoms of the disease which he misself has caused by his own measures. This experiment was successful in so fur as it was possible to produce a typical disease in a large number of experimental subjects, but the experiment did not have scientific success. The ensur as to what factor was the real cause of the disease was not found because the experiment was uneven in its course. Even the consolation of justification by success was lacking in this experiment to the factors who carried it out,

to the Coverment authorities who approved it, and to the persons sho word subjected to it. That burden this experience is on the loctor a layean cannot un lerstan . The question i mediately results, "What is the reason for a person voluntarily assuming this burden or accepting it when it is given by order of the Government authority?" It would be a chean answer to find the motive or ambition for research fanatism, I enow the real reason in this case. I know the man and the conditions under which he worked. The driving force was alone a feeling of duty and responsibility - the the feeling of responsibility to the millions of nativos for whose health he was responsible that were dieing by hundreds and thousands from this terrible planue and the hundreds and thousands that were sufferin terrible pain from this Beri-Bord disease. The knowledge of having to help these people and still with the state of knowledge at the time being powerless. That was the reason to look for new means to take such a burden on iduself and expecting other people to submit to such sufferin . The experiments which I have been appaking about were carried out by Professor Richard F. Strong. He was Public Health officer in anilla at that time, later professor at Harvard University in Boston and Chairman of the Medical Society for Tropical "odicine. I hoped that the prosecution would not attempt to Mandas the work of this hi-hly respected research worker with the words, "Thore are criminals everywhere". I ask you to believe me that Strong was a man of greatest feeling of buty and responsibility and of the highest othics an' if the Prosecution bes not believe that he can consult his expert, Professor .lexamier because he is a doctor from Boston and he pro'ably knows Strong even better them I to, But, I ask you to believe no - that in othics an' notives of most German doctors who consented to take over the collaboration in such experiments were not so enermously "ifferent from those of their forei n collem was in the same situation, There are three dead German professors in the dock today - President Mil tendister, Eppin er of Vienna, an Professor Holalochner of Kiel. Poccuse they are lead they are even more exposed to the defense and

21 April 47-A-ATD-11-3-Gross (Int. von Schoen)
Court No. 1

oriticism before the Tribunal than we who at least have the opportunity to before ourselves. For that very reason I feel obligated to speak for these gentlemen today although at the time when they were doing their work I was definitely opposed to them. From two of these man I know from their own mouths that they - that is Gildeneister and Holzhoelmur - were influenced by feeling as loctors their duty to prevent disease and distress that they lid not accept their part lightly and without responsibility. But personally Professor Gildeneister said that to me in our conversation. I do not know inscover about Professor Eppin or from this own mouth since I never spoke to him but I know his personality enough so that I may include the in this statement as well.

outside of the scope of this case before the Bar and the exemination described along those lines and come back to the issue of this case. I don't object to him iving the example of Professor Strong even though the Tribunal has ruled that such ovi eace will be taken up at a later late, I think that we have one for enough along these lines and request the Tribunal to instruct defense coursel to continue his examination on the issues.

THE PRESIDENT: The defendant has taken the stand as a witness in his own defense. He is entitled to testify to any facts which he believes should be placed before the Tribuml for that defense which he has. But, matters of argument should not be introduced by the witness. His counsel may argue the case and draw knowledge from the examination which be thinks would be particulated in facts which in his opinion look upon his laters.

In lay I remark that I believe that more I was fring absolutely factual testimony on behalf of these non because Chief of Counsel in his introduction spoke - expressly lemnaled that the notives of the lefanishes be presented here in all clarity. 'y notives were influenced by an experiences and work abroat. In I wanted to explain here to what

extent my considerations, my decisions, in this question were influenced by my own personal approval.

MN. HARDY: Your Honors, the Prosecution true is interested in finding out the motives of the Refense. To are more interested in finding out the motives of Professor Rose had in tein implicated in these matters but we are not interested in the motives of Oil lengister and Epplacer and others. We are only interested in the motives of the 23 defaulants in the loct at this time.

far but as to satters of extranoous argument by analogy to what other lectors he has known have lone is not particularly pertinent to this case and counsel may argue in brief any matters which are located pertinent as legal or unent but the witness should limit himself more to facts with his own defense.

intend in my statements to include Dr. Pascher and Din. If he didn't say that I am in the diary - that seems the statements were interrupted, they sight be distributed to that affect. Then formulated list of various reasons which occasioned me to protest experiments even on criminals condesmed to death. I would not want this energous charge to be layed on our profession. In addition to all that we have to hear without it. As hydienists we spend our lives amone human misery and when experimentation. We are sont to places from where other people flow. It is a matter of course for us to risk our own lives from being exposed.

I don't know now many doctors and essistants in the more than fifty years of the Robert Kach Institute have died there from infections acquired in the laboratory. Cortainly more than twenty. There is no memorial tablet in their honor. Such a sacrifice is given silently. It is simply among the ethics of our profession and therefore the will probably understind my desire to preserve at least my honor.

Now, to conclude this whole consideration of the ethical aspect a few words court the volunterring of the experimental subjects, one "n on experiment dangerous" to life wast this means to the aboter performing the experiment. I am by no moone so one-sided researcher the t I would not reclize that the question plays a very important role from the legal point of view, but from the medical point of view I may emphasize that there is n very definite group of experiments which includes, for exemple, the typnus experiments in Suchemwald, the yellow fever experiments in Cube, the subcooling experiments of Bolzlochner in which the doctor in general, including myself, must consider it immoral to take volunteers. The spiritual burden on the abstir consucting the experiment is, in each a c.se, intilerable. no does not h ve the right to accept an outer of suicide. Such experiments, in my opinion, or almissible only if the person who holds the etate nuthority leterain a the corsons from manne the group of persons who have for the in lives through committing deeds mealnet society. Int I, for my person, reject this method altogether I sire by stated publicly years ago. The prosecution has already admitted this "s a fact. I am not saying this for the first time here in the court room. In the interests of my profession as

a essaren worker and for the secie I profession I desire for all future that numer society and those who hold state sovereignt; shall not impose this bitter duty on us. I know that that is merely my personal opinion, and mistory tenenes that at all times and in many states the addiston was different and that there have always been norally high doctors who believed they were fulfilling their duty by partiting out suon experiments. On various op ortunities when I wealt with problem I presented these naider tions which I have presented here during helf an nour ere, in effect, contained in the one sentence with water I involunterly roucted when bildemoister, for the first tile, teld we, and I therefore repent this sentance. "If this method occ mes the fashin, then We turn aver all immuhology to the honeson and open a socci 1 execution depertment here in the Institute." This felling was the accisive one in this problem. In ay statements I compansized the spirituri curies on the Couter was gust conduct this experiment. I have epoken very little of the victim of the experiment, for the sight repson that the whole problem like with the ductor nd "rises from the surering of the victim to whom the notice conduction the experiment is nearest, and this symmetry with the victim of the experiment is such a antior of a was for a amount worth that + would not even mention it is I will not see the danger that, because of the fallery to contion this coint of view, one wight l ter distort by state water

- a. Is the view of the problem of Apheerous experients on numer beings which you have just propounded the energl opinion of all actors:
 - a. Certainly not. Otherwise experiments on numan

the control purpose of this trial Moll does not deal with hi mil. The question of whether the state has the right to force individuals to submit to medical experiments and to order acctors to conduct such experiments. One might bring one sentence from this pook into this connection. On place 500, woll says that the research scientist mes no ri ht for executive such as the government claims. At this time he rejects experiments by a doctor on his own initiative, but he obviously permits ox erlments with at te approval. In his long statements, woll repeatedly objects to experiments on patients, perticularly emperiments in appelessly ill potionts which, in the post contury, was so common, so wice-spread that there was a special tecnnical expression for it. It w s o 11cd "the experiment on the corpus vile". Even toda this experiment has not disappeared completely Tyon literature. From the document which Dr. corvetius submitted here we n ve seen that the Military Government itself superently still considers this exeriment parmissible. At only one coint made Woll approve of a overnment approved experiment. That is the well-known lever experiment by the american Dr. Arning on a nurderer condemned to desta, and Woll rabits that others could have a different opinion. Thether it is worthy of a doctor to cerform such an experiment - here the point of view of medical athics of a doctor is remetadly the rewriting one, not that of the victio, and of the volunt riness. Moll comes to the conclusion that volunteriness under such conditions could not be ethically recognized. That is on mage 538 of the book which Leiborandt quoted. Also Moll objects to the walldity of such declarations of voluntariness which

Court 1 april 21-x-15-5-HD-Kerrow-Von Schon.

are often included in sublications of madical work. He proves that they are quite concretly untrue. First of all, technose of the incapacity of the experimental subjects for coming to any judgment because of the influence exerted by the authority of the doctor and fear of consequences of refusal. But the decisive point is that well is spending only of experiments on p tients and not on experimental subjects determined by the covernment. to the testant the quotation of Ar. Leibbrandt was not specimental to this trial.

Actually Woll knew about state experiments. The smallpox vaccines on criminals, orphans, soldiers, were known, Moll deals with the transfer of veneral disease to patients, and knew the experiments conducted by order of the French Government. He must have known of Ruffron's experiments with places vaccine carried out in prison in Bombay. That all happened before the publication of his book, If he does not comment on this problem at all I can only conclude that he approved State approved experiments. He explanated repeatedly that the for loss not have the right of execution, that is up to the state.

Q What do you know about thtopinions of the doctors who consider state approved experiments on bushn beings avelagible?

A I have alresty said in my protest against Ding's lecture I 11 not find any uniform approval agreement. If you will look at the record of this meeting you will find a sentence there which escaped the attention of the Prosecutor, but which clearly shows, for a participant in the meeting, that he was opposed to those experiments, and it proves that there was "senseion a out it. On page Ill there is a mentance, "Such apparlments are necessary." This mentance refers to the discussion and this statement is proof that the listeners, the suditors, believed since Dir had issued then this that the experimental subjects were criminals conformed to leath. Otherwise, there would not have been shyone in public meeting who would have expressly approved such experiments. Certainly not the person responsible for this sentence, whose life achievement in the service of humanity and his international reputation could protect him from openly participating in any action not in the interest of humanity. Besides I have answered this question already in my fundamental statement.

DR. FHITZ: 'r. Prosident, this remark was made by Professor Uhlenbuth. This is in Bose Document 38, in Volume III. I have already substitted this document as Rose Exhibit 10. They are the copies of the report of the third meeting on page 44 of the Document book, last paragraph, page 111 of the original. Professor Uhlenbuth is speaking of

the typins experiments, on page 15, first paragraph, the second line from the end there is the sentence which the defendant Rose has emphasized, "Such experiments are necessary." This sentence shows that admissibility was discussed at the meeting on the basis of Professor Rose's protest.
All these statements about admissibility were stricken out of the statement of Schreiber, but this statement of Professor Uhlenhuth was forgotten.

I that to you know about the reasons for this protest being ignored, and the typhus experiments being carried out in spite of it?

. They are in part contained in the explanation which Conti gave, but the result is the experiment from the situation provailing at the time. In the dister of 1941-1942 we were at the beginning of an empressus typhus agidemic. It was clearly to 'o soon that it would be serious. That typhus means furing wartime or how many human victims it means, every loctor knows, not only had mists, and every layman knows that who looks at Cincer's book, the assrican typhus works, "Men. Mes and Hatory." That is typhus experiments furing the last war. In view of the new type of warfare it was not interrupted in the winter, where obvious at that time the use of vaccines therefore was of importance. Recomizing value, there was only one vaccine, the waid vaccins from lice intestines, and with this experiment in Buchemould proved that our ju brent which seemed based on the experience of 10 to 15 years was mistaken. To all believed that this vaccina protected against infection. In Bucherwald we saw that this was not true. But one thing was cortain, in the case of this lice viccine that it would be impossible ever to produce it in an adequate amount. In the Tei I proce here every louse must receive an injection superately in the rectum. The intestine of each lease must be separated separately. For one doze of vaccine you must get from 50 toil25 louse intestinos. One louse needs several scale of blood daily. The louse can o fed only on human being, Thorn is no other means of feeding lice in the laboratory. Even an infected louse can only feed on human beings. We therefore need in the laboratory hundreds of people who do nothing except

let themselves be bit by lice. That calms it alear even to laymen what difficulties there are in this procedure. Naturally, there are other difficulties. If one broods millions of lice then there are spidenics mone the lice. Then suddenly the millions of lice are lead. But I don't want to go into details. In reality it is even more difficult than I have togeribed. But now at the very beginning of the war we have new procompress that have been developed among vaccine specialists, and were leveloping our opinion about the value of those procedures, different meanings like black and white, but in the concentration of these vaccines there was no clarity at all. The responsible men of the health service, like Conti, for example, were makin a difficult drest, effecting the life of thousands and tons of thousan's of human beings, and the decision had to be made instantly. Typiss would not wide. The opicate was there and was calling for victims, hundreds every day. The opinions of the specialists did not give any help to the definite authorities. The specialists disputed each other and did not know that was right, but one thing was certain if a false decision was reached, if a wrong decision was reached this mistake meant the death of thousan's or tens of thousands of human beings. It was said here once on the witness stand that experiments on human beings to determine the value of typhus vaccine was not necessary, because on energous epidenic would have offered the best opportunity to test the vaccines in practice without my experiment. Such a statement can be made only by a person who has no idea whatever about what testing of vaccine and epidemiological avaluating of vaccines means. First of all, what is testing? In practice it means nothing but that large groups of pools are vaccinated with Various vaccines, and then another group is 1.ft athout vaccination, un' then one waits to see how many people fall ill, and how many die in the various groups. That is the normal procedure in normal times. It cumpt be done without death. On the contrary one need merely look at the statistics on such epiteriological evaluations in order to know what Dipors of deaths there are. If after some period of time, after several

years perhaps, the statistics are set up, then I can determine Vaccine-1 was excellent. Only a few people fell ill from "A", and only a very small number Med. Vaccine-8 was considerably worse, and there were many illnesses and many died, and Vaccine-C was no good at all. People died like flies in that group. The whole thing has cost a few thousand lives. The man at the task can console himself if he had not vaccinated at all they would have died anyhow, but it is a higher power I have nothing to do with. I salected the various vaccines on the basis of laboratory results, that Vaccine-C which was so promising according to animal experiments would be a complete failure on human beings nobody could predict. I am not responsible for the death, That is how the thing looks in theory, but how alout practice? .. theatro of war is not a laboratory where every mines pla has his number. Floring masses of people rush back and forth, The statements which individuals make when they are accepted into hospitals are nore than questionable. They don't have their shot record any more. You are forced to rely on oral infornation. 'e have experienced here that in the third menth of the trial typhoid and typhas and the vaccines are confused, although it has been repeatedly pointed out an' although the interpreters are no doubt shove average in intelligence. One can inagine what happened, questioning patients about their vaccination, especially if they were maked what type of vaccines were use, whether lies or lung vaccine or egy vaccines. No doubt may in the ohrment, the different vaccines are recorded on the paper of the soldler. One need only to issue an order that the nature of the vaccines be recorded correctly, Of course such an order is given, but how is it carros ic.t. In a different section I looked at the record book of sol were of the German Johnwicht for record of vaccinations, and I looked at the papers of captured lied coldiers for vaccination entries, when I could get a hold of wich papers.

I can only assure you if one courts to set up medical statistics of such asterial, that is a hopoloss task. Tro opidamiological evaluati n is a usoful procedure in times of peace with a more or less resident population. Under wer conditions, the comparative evaluation of various vaccines is practically impossible. If that wire not so, then we would not have bid the dispute lasting for docades a mag exports ab ut typhus and cholory veccines and that is quite an adventageous construction for this trial and is shown by the following fact. The interial of typhus vaccinations was e-neidered by vertous experts in Germany; for the Army by the Institute at Eracew and the Luftwaffe also sent their experial there and did not set up its own instituto, for the civilian population of Gor any. Gildomointor enliceted this unturial for the Robert Koch Instituto; Otto in Frankfort collected this natorial. We have heard from Erugewally that he collected such inturial for the Academy for the General Government, and it was Budocke who a Blacked tils anterial at the Wirald Institute. Up to 1945 not a single no of those authorities was in any position to rake even a prolinincry report about the value of the various vaccines, not to montion while any publication. In all world literature, up to to ay, with the exception of the work of wing, I amet new a single successful publication on this subject. It may of course be, since I 'ave been in custray for two years, that sweething has been published in the countime.

These precised difficulties of epidemiological evaluation of vaccine procedures has been long known.

The German health leader, Centi, fixed the decision 6199

of whether he was simply to take the part of his untested vaccines, produced in large quantities and use them or whother he wanted to take the Government for approval, in view of the great urganey of the problem, to obtain an inswer birough human experiments. State Secretary Court took this way. He decided that a number of poole, leterained by the state, were to rick theirlives and in eart to sacrifice their lives in order to obtain this answer on which depended the lives of thousands of there. The state authorities at the tind took the responsibility for this, as in all states it takes the responsibility in war time for humands of thousands of human lives being a crificed for much less important alms. The results have justified intellectually Mr. Contils decision.

Purely intellectually I seed, the "uchenwald experlements had four only results, "fret of all they showed
that belief in the protective effect of beight vaccine
was a metales, with up this belief seemed to be
based in long observation. Secondly, they above that
the useful vaccines aid not protect from infection,
into the st cortainly from death, under the conditions
of the Section and experiments, third, they showed that
the objection of the biological experts of the egg yelk
vaccines to the lice vaccines were unjustified, but
one yelk, rabbit longs, and lice intestines were of
equal value. We learned this only through the Buckenwill experiments. This had the my open to make production
of typhus vaccines.

The Duchenwald experiments slowed in time that several vaccines were useless. First, the procedure according to the first, the force according to Fex, the

procedure of Bickettsi provinceti, and Rickettsin nuring, that is weeding from erg cultures.

Secondly the vaccines of the Behring works which were produced's coording to Otto procedure, but with ther concentrations. Finally the Ipsen vaccine from muse liver. The vaccine of the John ing works were in actual use at that time in thousands of deses. They always represented a trend to ; oldr. Without those experiments the vaccine, which were rue (ritsed as uselese, would have been produced in 1 res in milities, because they all had one thing in comer, that their technical production was much simpler at much charper that that of the useful vections. In thy onse, one thing is corporing that the victims I this typhus Buchewell test did not suffer in vain and that not die in vain. There was only one choice, bigger sacrifice of human lives of gors ne lotor and for to t purpose or to lot things run their course, to ordenger the lives of innumberable human beings wh would be a lected not by the Reich Original Police Price but by blind fate.

How cany people were endified to am figure out today; how many people were a ved by these exper ments, we can of course not prove. To individual who eves his life to these experients does not know it and he perhaps is one of the accusers of the deters, who assumed this difficult task.

stated that in 'is opinion human being experiments were no good who tower in the investigation of typhus vaccines; what is you held on this question?

A. Bornh rdt Schridt's bestimony is clear proof to me what sort of nonsonse a witness can say when he is under 6201

the pressure of fear and if afraid he will express Himself to publicity and to the public oye by his testimony. I really don't think you have to be a specialist hygionist, but I think overy layrun will soo im edictoly what on energous advantage it would be if human being experiments were available for testing the officery of vaccines, whother it be typhus vaccines or any other. And, then the authorous human being experiments would not have been ande, which were made through ut the world, if they had been so nemeonsionl to Scivilit hore stated. Effort would have been ap red. many lives would have been saved, if it were not that the fundamental othical principles stock in apposition to this and made then the exception rather than the rule. School t's testimeny was a sensoloss shot I even detected the presecutor, ir. Helinney, suiling.

Q. Can you give us numbers by which we can have some standard to which we can no sure the extent of typhus infection?

A. Of course, I do not investible Decements here that
I will have if I had freeden. But I collected during
the war was either taken into custody or burned. There
is a number in Heller's diary for Petruary, 1942 only
for the Army; ten the users cases of typhus and 1,300
fotalities, but that is just the beginning of the entratrophy. Then I remainer mether reason by the year
of 1944, at that time I sample of a sour my in order
to justify the entent of the most for DDT proparations.
On the basis of the Decements that I had in 1944, I
ascertain that there were 80,000 to 90,000 cases of
typhus during that period, but I do not know for certain
which circle of persons is concerned. German Webray.cht

was included in the number; Priseners of Var were cortainly not included, because the number of cases among them was always kept secret from me. The terrible entertrophe in Sussia mang the Sussian Priseners of War in 1940 and 1941 in the winter was to a large extent a typhus extestrophe. In December of 1940, I was in a field hospital for typhus victims in the cast - a typhus hospital for Prisoners of Car; in this one hospital, which took care of only a very limited area, there were humarote of bussians sick with typhus, just on this one visit, at another place I was told that even energy the German parts, there were 140 cases of typhus. That was just the beginning, because I ando this observation in December of 1941.

In the Germa home country, clousing consures in the a st provided sere beet n; however, by the one of the war, he for as I know, there were 16,000 onsus of typhus coons the civilian population, to whom It was the locat tangerous of all. The really large numbers in conembration camps and in the camps of foreign wirkers and in the prisons. I never ascertained or mayor found out, because there statistics were never published. Daspite the protest of the solless service they were kept secret from us in orders of the police. Thuse comps were re by the main storag of infoction and must fatalities tok place there. The witness from the carp at a twoiler assertal that in his camp alone there were more than . Il usere enses. The 1944 opidemic in Polson in 1965 was to a Largo extent a typhus catastrophy. That can closely be soon from the Bolson trink.

In the summer of 1942 I spoke with the Director of the Houlth Service and the Governor General. There were known 150,000 cases of typhus, despite a very in dequate information service and the peak had not been reached by any means. Though I cannot give any precise figures I do know that the responsible officers were affected 'y the numbers. . Iter the wer of 1914-1918 and the lessons that had Foun trackt us, we believed in Germany that we had no need to further four typhus and we could effectively dispose of typhus by the methods which had been developed so for and we thou int that the resettlement in the years 1939 and 1940 seemed to correborate that view, at that time 500,000 persons word transferred from a typhus ware, and we had only mine cream of typhus and no sin le death, then come entirely different conditions, inter ourfore in hussis, and no one had counted on this extestrophic development which I have droppy doseri od. I harri often amoun the desperate complaint on the part of dectors in the Sast int that they did not have encu h vaccine, and then there was the difficulty of delousin . In 1943 we were this to levelop useful DDT preprations, which despite wer conditions, we introduced. Then her'ly had no pre rested so fir but production difficulties crose, destruction of rew unterial and of menufacturing centure ty 'on'in attacks and the dustruction of our transports on the way. We one one incline today with what 's oter disappointment this effort to common epidesies was leset.

So for as your document than is concurred, and with your comparisonce hards the first world war, how could thin cottestraphic development have been untidipoted to any one workin with this documentation and with this experience?

In the dicument statistics and made it possible to see as early as 1940-1941 that this development as coing to take place here as follower on the one hand there were the experiences in the Galician camps in charter, the Galician evectuess, where in the weeks thirty the main's people fell ill of typing; and then there was the terrible

typhus outbreek among the Serbian and Albanian refucees, where there were 210,000 fatelities. Since these 210,000 fatalities are proved it must be that the number of actual cases was estimated auch too low, because that would be a fatality of seventy per-cent and the fatelity is never more than thirty. Since the year 1915 the number of people who fell sick of Typhus in Russia before the wer amounted to 40,000 engually. This number rese during the winter of 1910-1919 to 1,700,000. In the year 1920 it rose to 3,000,000 and the Ukreinenians and Turkest as are not included in this number, nor the Siberians. In Russia in 1917-1920 it was 15 million, and Terrasowitch, the Russian Ry ionist, said it was 25 million. These numbers were known to every by ionist and ern to found in my hygionic text book. It cost is said in addition, that even under the most frivorable conditions, the fetalities from typhus are at locat five per-cent of these infected and under unfavorable circumstances thirty per-cent. Now, despite the improvement in the health service in Russia, after the aussian kovolution, neverthaluss in 1936, 42,000 cases of typhus occurred. Those were the I at official statistics that we received from Russis. Mrunowsky has clrudly mentioned the fact that Napolean's catastrophe in 1312 was a typhus catastrophe. We in the Luftwoffe, as early as 1941, before the typhus cathatrophe le an, we now the reports of the German doctors on the typhus entratrophe in Mapolean's campai n. This was passed from hand to hand and could not have been issued publicly because that would have been construed as defeatism.

I and despite the fact that you I of these things you protested at first to Dilemmister a minut these experiments, and then efter you came tack from Buchemwald and had a talk with Conti, you had another show fown with Gildemmister. Then again despite the fact that you know these facts and despite the knowledge of the importance of the results at Buchemwald you made a formal protest.

[&]quot; The fact cannot be disputed, I put the othical consideration 6205

typhus outbreek amon; the Serbian and Abanian refuces, where there were 210,000 fatalities. Since these 210,000 fatalities are proved it must be that the number of actual cases was estimated such too low, because that would be a fatality of seventy per-nent and the fatality is never more than thirty. Since the year 1915 the number of people who fell sick of Typhus in Russia before the wer amounted to 40,000 amoully. This number reso during the winter of 191 -1919 to 1,700,000. In the year 1920 it rose to 3,000,000 and the Ukrainanians and Durkost as are not included in this number, nor the Siberians. In Russia in 1910-1920 it was 15 million, and Terrasowitch, the Russian My lumist, said it was 25 million. Thuse numbers were known to every by lienist and can be found in my hyglenic text book. It must be said in addition, that even under the most f vorable conditions, the fat littles from typhus are at least five per-cent of those infected and under unfavorable direumstances thirty per-cent. Now, despite the improvement in the health service in Russia, after the america Revolution, nevertheless in 1936, 42,000 cases of typhus occurred. Those were the last official statistics that we received from Russia, dru owsky has already montioned the fact that Mapoluan's catastrophe in 1312 was a typhus enteratrophe. We in the Luftwoffe, as early as 1941, before the typhus catostrophe bagan, we ask the reports of the German doctors on the typhus entratrophe in Napeluan's exapel n. This was passed from hand to hand and could not have been issued ou liely because that would have 'e n construct as defeatism.

And despite the fact that you — It of these things you protested at first to dildemnister a minst these experiments, and then after you came back from Buchemenid and had a talk with Conti, you had enother show down with Gildemnister. Then again despite the fact that you know these facts and despite the knowled a of the importance of the results at Buchemenid you made a formal protest.

[.] The fact connect to disputed, I put the ethical consideration

in the foreground in this whole matter, although I saw, of course, her strong the arguments were that the other persons had, namely those who actually did the experiments.

The Now there are the entries in Ding's diary that seem to controdict your attitude at certain times, first of all the entry on page 38 of document book 12, that you received a Sucharest vaccine from Trefessor Rays, which was made available by you. The Trese-cuter commented on this on page 1170 of the German record. He said: "From this we can deduce that professor hose was impressed by what he saw on 17 March, and now by providing vaccines which were to be tested, he contributed to the criminal conspirray."

a a large number of vaccines were sent to the medical inspectorate during the course of the war, including typhus vaccines. They were mont in from mosters outside who watned to 'rin our attention to semething new. The inspectorate could do nothing With these vaccines either. They simply sont them on to me. after all of these years it is difficult to remember all of the details ns to just what waccines did reach my hands, because I couldn't do enything with those vaccines either. I simply had a deak at my disposal and no laboratory facilities. In my civilian laboratory no work on typhus was dim done. If they were vaccines which were clretty known, I stated that the vaccines could 'a used without any misriving, and if they were new veccines that I did not know about, then depending on the size of the sazgle, I sent this sample on to one or several typhus resurch men and asked them to concurn themsolves with this problem and I concerned myself about the matter me further comuse I and dome want was expected of me as the specialist. I can remember one specific shiptent of hur a from Ducherest. That was not a vencine from the institute Conto wiesenn, as Ding stated in his diary, but that was a vaccine from the Frankfurt institute, which was sunt to the inspectorate, because the reactions were too severa. Hure even asserted that this veccine contained live virus

21 April - DJG-1 - A-Cock (Int. Brown - von Schoen) Court No. 1

because the reaction to it was so severe. It could be seen from the label that this was a Frankfurt vaccine which with many people had been vaccineted. In 1939 I have myself three injections of this vaccine. That was a double dose and nothing unusual happened so I sent it to Frankfurt and a perfectly normal report was sent back and then the vaccine was used. Whether over and above that refessor have sent a second shipment to me or to the medical inspectorate which then Ruge sent to me, with the lest will in the world, I can neither effirm nor long today.

A. (continued) I can only say for certain one thing. I sent no such secole to Dr. Ding because I had no connections with Ding and I did not think of him as one of the research men to whom I sent such samples. nor did I issue any order that this Ducharest vaccine or any other vaccine should be tested on human beings. This diary entry strikes me as very poculier. It is stated - 29-8-42 - now Ding twice reported on the testing of this vaccine, once at the Consulting Conference in 1943 and then in 1943 in the "Zeitschrift fuer Hygiene und Infektionskrankheiten" (Journal for Infectious Disesses and Hygiene). This is Mrugowsky Document No. 9, pages 81 to 85. Both Gines Diag had occasion to express his thanks for the aurourt he had received. If he had carried out this testin; on my suggestion, then he would have been obligated, according to accountific custom, to state that that was so. However, he did not do this in either case. His publication is available to the Tribunal. And, finally, it was at this seme conference at which he reported on this vectino that we had our soughtle and that would have been the greatout excuse he could have found to say, "I don't understand you, Dr. Rose, Send me vencine to be tested and then all of a sudden you protest." He wight have said that he didn't have presence of mind to do this. But, we heard from the witness Moron that Ding concerned himself about this matter for days on and and dunt as he was, if he thought about it long onough, this orgument would have occurred to him. I can only exwhein this entry by the fact he received the veccine from a third source which knew simply it had come through my hands. All this based on assumption that I really did have the veccine in my possession which I really do not know. Or it could be show I am found out with corresbundence with Euge that have had sent to this bucherest vaccine and elso this is besed on resumption. That he really did this I do not know. From the documents it can be seen that there was frequently correspondence between Ding and huge because both the witness Kogon mentioned Ruse as one of Ding's correspondents on page 1192 of the German record end in Document 484, which is Belechowsky's affidavit, on name 72 of the

6208

German Dictment Book. Here the recole are listed with whom Ding corresrended and muce is mentioned among them. But neither Mogon nor Belachowsky's mames are given among those who had contact or connections with Ding. At any rate I never transmitted mail between Ding and Ruge. That the two men had connections I did not know until the beginning of this trial.

well, according to Ding in the periodical for Eygiene Ding says in Structurally Document No. 9, and from what he said at the consulting conference, this bucharest veccine turned but to be useful and was used by the German Luftwaffe.

A. I saw no report on the testing of this vaccine which I should have bed to see if he had wanted it to be tested. According to Ding's diary the reports on the testing-of this vaccine were sent on - 20 November 19-2 to Berlin and on hance 29 of the German Document Book XII it says that on 18 Tetruary 19-2 - in other words a marter of a year later - there was a directive on the part of the Medical Inspector of the Luftweffe in which the typhus vaccines are listed which are permissible in the Luftweffe.

have in Bose Document Book II. This is hose Document No. 26 which I but in as hose Exhibit No. 19. This is the instructions for troop doctors from the medical Inspectorate of the Luftwaffe and is on mage 55 and 55 of Document Book Rose II, Cated 18 February 1943. I have out in the original of this as swidence and inclosed in my document book a comp of it. Flense continue, professor.

A. In illucidation of this document let be draw your attention to the date - 15 February 1943 - name 55 of the document book. Under number 7 you fine there the directive reporting typhus vaccines. From "a" to "J" ten different vaccines are listed, vaccines both from Sermany and foreign institutes. The Bucharest vaccine is not among those ten. Had I show at that this that this vaccine had been tested and proved valuable in "unharest, then, of course, I should have recommended its use

just as I recommended the use of Fasteur vaccines from Paris and Tunis, moreover, this directive clearly shows that the Medical Inspectorate of the Duftweffe, who was advised by me, did not allow itself to be notivated in his views by what had been used in Buchenweld. In this directive a number of vaccines are permitted which were not tosted in Fundamental at all; that is, if one can assume that Ding's diary is correct, which I do not know. Other vaccines which Ding, according to his diary, did test have here been permitted before Ding did test them. In the third group is the Fundament vaccine which Ding tested and found useful and it was not permitted for use in the Euftwaffe because the furtwaffe didn't know the results of the testing.

- Q. What other types of vaccines went through your hands during the war!
- A. It is, of course, very difficult for me to recall all that went, First of all, vaccines from great institutes - CRE in Cracov, Behring-Worke, Robert Koch Institute, Pastour Institute in Tunis and Paris, and an Italian institute, Ipaon - Copunhagen Institute. Then I almost forgot the diagost thing that went through my hands during the wer. That was the 5,000 doses from Weich Institute at Leaburg at the time that this institute was stril in Sussian hands, in the Winter of 1939-1940. and particularly in the case of this vaccine I must be particularly herry that none had hit on the ides of testing it in fuchenvald because I turned this vaccine over to the Robort Koch Institute and it was parried on for a long time under my mana because I had said for it and it took a long while defore the coney was nede evailable to remay me. Consequently this went under the name of the and if Dinc had known about this then there would have been twee saccines mentioned in his diary and that would have teen a little too namy. God be praised nobody hit on that idea.

Q. When listing the vaccines that went through you hands during the war, you mentioned also the Copenhagen vaccine. Ding's Diary says hant this vaccine, specifically as page 53 of Document Book 12, that it was tested as your incentive and the witness Rogen said the same thing on the basis of statements that no alleges Ding made to him.

The prosecutor them construed this incentive on your part as a concrete proposal on your part which lead to the death of six persons.

The remark of the prosecutor is as page 1330 and 1331 of the Gorann record. Now, what did you have to do with this Copenhagen vaccine?

a. The situation, as I remember it, was as follows. Our noods for typhus vaccine could not be covered no cutter what efforts were a do. Therefore, all sorte of offices were in search of new production mothods. In this connection Professor Schroiber called by up about buis one day - I can't remember exactly when, but it was at a time when he was already the deputy for the combatting of spidenies and consequently concerned minself with such general questions as this. This Was L. Suptember of 1945 as we have found out later. Schreiber said that of all the veccine institutor that were under German influence by now the Copenhagen Institute had not participated at all in the prometion of veccine so far, although it was one of the best institutes there were. He would like to have this Institute uncertake the production if typhus vaccines. The political mood in Denmark, because of the achavior of the German Voormacht there, was nost inquapicious. Consequently, there was no point in sending a Commun medical officer there, Therefore, Schreiber asked se whether I would not went to carry on those negotiations as Vice Procident of the Robert Zoch Institute; the prospects would then be amount cetter, Moreover, he show that I had previously worked in that Institute and know the local sotur, which was a great advantage in conducting such asgotiations, I stated in willingness. I got leave from the Euftwaffe, asked for dilacieistor's pennission to speak in the name of the Arbert Loch Institute and then flow, via Buftansa, to Comenhagen. This, do we found out later, was on the 23rd of September 1948. Then I visited the

director of the Institute, Dr. Octakov whom I knew porsonally from before the war. I maked him if he could and would produce typhus vaccine. Gerskov rejected this request oscause, as he said, it was impossible to prevent peoples! falling ill from typhus if you undertook such production and such an occurrence would arouse had blood in Donmork, which was completely free of typhus. Oerskey's hisgivings were brought about because typhus was unknown in Demmark, and mationalistic elements would thus find out that the Institute was producing vaccine for the German government, because there was no need for typhus vaccine in Demark, since there was no typhus in Demark; and he was afraid of repurcusations from such muti-ralistic elements; and he, as director of the Institute, had to think not only of the danger to typhus but of the tasks as a whole that the Enstitute had. I finally had to admit that this was so. Then I was shown Dr. Ipson's department where he was producing a vaccine from murine virus, not from rickettsis prowazek, which is a lice wirds. He explained to me the details of his technique but they are set forth in my report on this trip,

gaked a few questions of the director of this Copenhagen Institute.
The answers are Rose Decision 46, a supplementary Rose document, which I believe the Tribunal has just received. This is nose Exhibit 420.
Regarding the external form of this document, Wr. President, I regret that it does not have the introductory formula requested by the Triconal, but it has been certified and also certified by the American Bronsey. I have also the original document here and it is also specifically stated here that Dr. Oerszov certifies the corectness of the copy. I believe, therefore, that the restricted about accepting this document in evidence.

ha. H.RDY: Your honor, I haven't received a copy yot. I would like to so a copy of the English.

THE P ESIDEM: Will you exhibit a copy to couns I for the prescention?

MR. HARDY: No objections, Your Honor.

DS. FRITZ: Mr. Prosident, the interpreters have no copy either.

THE PRISIDENT: The Tribunal will now recess. You can have the document before the interpreters in the corning.

The Tribunal will now recess until 9:30 o'clock tonorrow norning.

(A recess was taken until 0930 hours, 22 april 1947)

22 April-M-DUG-1-1-Foster (Int. Brown) Court No. 1

> Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America, against Karl Brandt, et al, defendants, sitting at Murnberg, Germany, on 22 April 1947, 0930, Justice Beals presiding.

THE MESHAL: Persons in the court room will please find their seats.

The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal 1.

Military Tribunal 1 is now in session. God save the United States of America and this homorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the courtroom.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Marshal, you ascertain if the defendants are all present in court.

THE MARSHAL: May it please your Honor, all defendants are present in the courtroom.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary-General will note for the record the presence of all the defendants in court.

Counsel may proceed.

GHAMAD ROSE - Hosused

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

DR. FRITZ (Counsel for the Defendant Rose): Yesterday I discussed with the Defendant Rose his trip to Copenhagen and was about to put in Rose Document 46 swong supplementary documents which will be Rose Exhibit No. 20. I quote:

"STATENS SERUMINSTITUT, Robenhavn, S. & Morch 1947
"In answer to questions asked us about the visit of Professor Rose, I can say the following:

the end of September 1940, request that the Copenhagen
Institute take up the production of the typhus
vaccine from Rickettsia Promazeki in order to help
overcome the great shortage of typhus vaccine?

to 2) has this request refused by Director Derskov for valid reasons?

Yes.

to 3) Was R. then taken visit Dr. Ipsen's section?

I do not remember this, but it is apparent from Dr. Ipsen's experiment records that Professor Rose actually was in Dr. Ipsen's laboratory on 24 September and probably discussed these problems with him. Unfortunately Dr. Ipsen is at present in America on a study trip and will not return before June or July. It is, however, apparent from our records that if Professor Rose over received samples of our vaccine it could only have 'one a samil quantity, and neither I nor Dr. Ipsen's colleagues have ever heard anything of the possible affects of our vaccine.

Through the Danish and Green we sent our vaccine to Danish as well as Norwegian prisoner-of-war camps, but so that the vaccine was given only to Danish or Norwegian colleagues. We heard from Danish colleagues that the affect of these vaccinations was good.

I can add that I am restoful to Professor Rose, because he probably helped to provent our Institute's long compelled to take over the production of typhus vaccine. It is entirely unpredictable what calculates might have arisen if we had been forced to take up the production of this vaccine.

signod J. Orakov

Dr. mid. J. Orekov"

That is certified by a notary public and the American Legation.

BY DR. FRITZ (Counsel for the Defendant nose):

- Q Frofessor, what did you do now after this failure when you returned to Berlin?
- A I informed Professor Schreiber briefly by phone, and then sent him an extensive written report. This report was in two

parts, the first part described the negetiations, the failure, and the reasons why the institute was not willing to undertake projects this vaccine, and my statement that I held these grounds to be substantial. Then, the second part, which I had written separately as an annex, stated what I had heard from Dr. Ipsen accidentally regarding his new surine vaccine. This annex I had typed in several copies and I sent it to the various typhus specialists when I considered important in Germany in order to inform them also of what I had found out in Copenhagen. A fraction of this report has been found and you can see in that what I proposed.

Oh. Halfil: 16. Provident, we were able to find this report on Rese's official trip to Copenhagen, and I put it in an Rose Document 22, this is in hear Document Book No. 2, pages 15 to 19. This is near Exhibit 21 — the Document number is 22, the Exhibit No. is 21. As the Defendant Rose has just described, he sent this report of the tour to about six different offices that were concurred with typhus in Germany, including the Johring Borks in Martury, and from them, I have received this communication of hose which I should like to read.

DR. PRITZ:

"Cterstarzt Frof. Hose 29 September 1943 (place: unknown)
"To: Behring - Works
Warburg/Lahn

"I take the liberty of sending herewith for your information a file memo regarding reports by Dr. Ipsen on his experience in the production of typhus vaccine.

"signed: Rose

"Oberstarzt of the heserve"

It is cortified by the Mayor of Markech. Then there is namezed to this letter the report of the trip and I should like you to explain briefly the contents of this report.

Mr. HUDY: Your Honors, this document is cortified to be a true copy by the Eurgemeister. Hight I inquire where the original document is located?

Dit. FRITZ: The original is in the files of the Behring-Works.

It could be obtained. It is certified by the Mayor of Marbach,

near Marburg.

IR. HARDY: Innamuch as the original or a photostatic copy thereof could be obtained, your Honors, I object to its introduction into evidence in this form.

THE PRESIDENT: On what grounds do you have your objection, counsel?

Mr. HohDY: This is morely a copy which is herein certified and it is customery most original Germany documents introduced here in this trial have either certified photostatic copies or the original German document.

DR. FRITZ: If I recall correctly, Mr. Fresident, the Tribunal rules that the certification should be made either by a German Notary Public or a Mayor and this document has been certified by a Mayor.

M. H.A.DY: That applied, as I recall, only to affidevits

wherein they were certifying the signature of affiants or taking outh of an affiant. I don't believe that ruling applied to making copies of German original documents.

Ther. HOSE: Ferhaps this difficulty could be evereene in the following manner. Since these are letters and reports from me and since I am testifying under eath, I am ready to testify here that they correspond to the letters and reports which I sent cut.

THE PRESIDENT: Defense counsel may interrogate the witness upon that subject.

BY DR. PAITZ:

you sent to the various offices? I ask you please to briefly dilete on the mentants.

A Yos, this is the report that I sent.

THE IMPSIDENT: Objection is over-ruled,

Whole report but I do sak the Tri unal to take notice of the contents of the report. This is an extensive description of the precodure such as Ipsen described to as which seems to offer reversi technical sivantages over provious procedures. Above all the main advantage that the yield of this procedure seemed to be greater. It was possible to produce two and one-half times as much vaccine with the same number of animals; and, normover, this vaccine seemed to be agreed to the made of this procedure seemed to be effices of this puthed and new I point out paragraph 4 on page 3 of the seement, on page 18 in the Document Pook:

"I proposed, and Dr. Ipsen primised that a number of samples of his liver vaccine should be sent to me with the object of testing, when the opportunity arcse, its protective efficacy on humans who were in especial danger."

This is the passage which Ding characterized in his diary as my incentive to experiments on human beings. I further point

out that at the foot of this page 18 and on page 19 there is the list of those to whom this report was sent. Neither Hrugowsky's office, nor heichsarzt-SS Grawitz, nor Dr. Ding are included in this list of distribution.

Q Professor, from the text of this report one could have the impression that you were pretty well acquainted with the production of the typhus vaccine although you say yourself that you never concerned yourself scientifically with it. Could you make a statement on this?

A I believe this would be a misconception. The report merely proves I am in a position when the specialists express something to take notice and reproduce. And, I believe that is something my degree of intelligence makes possible without being a specialist in the matter.

Q What did you do with the sample of typhus vaccine that is mentioned in this report?

A If I had been asked about this before the witness Block testified, then I should have said that I had sent this sample to Professor Schreiber because I had assumed that he had given me the order and that I should send everything to him. But, Fram Block said here that she had sent the vaccines to a number of other offices. I cannot corroborate that from my own memory but, in general, it is more probably that the secretary's memory, since she was the one who sent these things cut, is more reliable than the memory of the Chief who simply said briefly, "Send these things on."

I You, in explaining this report, just drew the attention of the Tribunal to the fact that you make the proposal here that the protective efficacy should, if the opportunity areas, be tested on human beings in especial danger. Now, what does this phrase "humans in especial danger" mean?

A "Humans in especial danger" is in the matter of typhus vaccination a technical phrase which is generally current in German medical services. We did not have enough vaccine to vaccinate every one and could only vaccinate certain persons. In other words, those in especial danger. These were, first of all, particularly old people because old people die more readily when they get typhus than young persons. Secondly, various occupational classes. For instance, doctors and medical personnel, and particularly personnel of delousing agencies, or transport flyers who brought back the sick with them, or a number of occupational groups. This was a pretty wall fixed term clearly explained by medical regulations.

Q Was the evidence you received from Ipsen enough so that you could undertake testing on humans in especial danger?

A Cortainly. Ipon told me that in animal experiments the liver vaccine was better then the lung vaccine and lung vaccine was already being used by the behavacht and was generally permitted. Moreover, the report says that in the imborstory two assistants had been subsequently infected - persons who had been vaccinated by the vaccine, the infection having taken place by accident - and the degree to which they fell sick was only very minor. The evidence had to be sufficient to justify such a proposal.

Q Why did you not undertake this testing yourself, for apparently you considered it important.

A Of course, I considered it important and that is why I made it generally known to the specialists. I did not undertake it myself because I didn't undertake testing of vaccines at all; and then with respect to human beings in especial danger, our position in the Luftwaffe was not advantageous in this effect. We had few such people because in the may the Luftwaffe was used the danger of becoming infected with typhus was much smaller. The number of people in the Luftwaffe who fell ill with typhus was never more than one to two percent of typhus in the whole Wehrmacht and the Army bore the main brunt. Thereas, if the sickness typhus infection had been evenly distributed numerically we would have had 15 to 20 percent of the

conters and several transient camps in which for certain people would fall ill of typhus and there were typhus laboratories. All these we did not have in the Luftwaffe but the specialists knew of these centers and had accesste them.

c Did you peruse this matter any further after you had passed on the Ipsen typhus vaccine.

A No that had been an unique order - a fever that I did for Professor Schreiber. and when I found out accidentially that this had nothing to do with Schreiber's assignment that Ipsen had developed a new method - this I passed on to the specialists and thereafter it was in their mands and as far as I was concerned the matter was settled. Perhaps I can make some remarks about the Document No. 22 which was not rend. But, in the English translation on properly, in this paregraph where there is mention of human beings in especial danger. Then it says here "its protective efficiency on humans whose liver were in especially encangared humans. In other words, no mention is made in the Gorman text of the liver. I should like to suggest that the Tribunal change the translation accordingly.

16. FRITZ: It must be a typographical error. Dr. Rose's correction is justified.

THE PRESIDENT: Will the witness please state that correction again.

WITHESS: Your Honor, It is on page 18. "On humans whose livers

were in aspecial dancer," and it should read "on humans who are especially endangered".

INTERPORTER: Your Ronor, I think the word "liver" is a tynographical error for the word "lives". Then it would read "on humans whose lives are in especial denser". The witness just stated that that also would be a good translation.

THE PRESIDENT: The correction has been unde in the document book.
BY DR. FRITZ:

The Dr. Gerskov's emplanations it can be seen that you were in the laboratory on 36 Sentember 1963 in Iosen's laboratory in Comenhagen, Now, in Buchenwald, according to Ding's diary, the testing of these vaccines took place from between the 6th of March and the 13th of June, 1964. This can be seen from Ding's diary, Document Book 12, page 53.

Now, how do you emplain this large of time between the 24th of Sentember, 1963, and the beginning of the testing in Buchenwald on the 8th of March, 1966?

A. That I cannot explain from my own knowledge. You always have to count in a certain varied of time for the business to be attended to, but in a matter so processing and important as this one that is not sufficient to explain a large of 50 months. We didn't work as slowly as that. I can a miseture what happened in that interin. Among the German typhus specialists there were friends and enemies of the nurine vaccine. It is possible that the vaccine was discussed by them and that my report was passed or much and in this way it apparently fell into the hands of some office which had some influence at Buchenwald. Now, whether that was Count or Gravity or Gildeneister or Himmler I do not know. Just what devicus paths this whole matter followed I do not know. The direct path from Schreiber to Ding would not have taken 50

Q. Do you feel yourself in any way responsible for the experiments which were corried out in "uchenweld because you recommended that the losse vaccine should be tested?

A. No, I feel myself in no way responsible for that. What I mropused is set down here in black and white before the Tribunal and these
are no proposals for experiments on human beings. If some other office,
on the basis of the fact that I draw its attention to a vaccine, then
hits on the notion of carrying out experiments on human beings with this
vaccine, that really cannot be charged against me.

Q. Witness, returning for a negent to care 18. To a layean, vaccination usually means a protective step.

A. Surtainly.

BY THE FRESIDENT:

that is, here he who are well are vencinated to brevent their contracting a disease. Now, I notice in the paragraph which you have corrected "its or tective efficiency on human beings whose lives were in uspecial danger". Now, is that persons whose lives - bersons who were exposed to infection? Is that the theory of this baragraph?

A. Your Honor, in the German text it is written "endangered" (ge-fachrdat).

. I understand that.

A. And that meens "ornused to typhus".

a Amposed to infection?

A. Exposed to infection.

- The night have the meaning that the persons were already ill, but it meens mersons who were exposed to infection?
- A. Exposed to infection, Your Honor. This was a technical term which was corninly used throughout the war. "Resonders gefeehrdete rersoner" (Persons especially endangered).

BY DR. FRITZ:

- . At any rate you challenge the correctness of Ding's disry untry; to wit, that you provided the incentive for these experiments on human beings?
- A. That I challenge most emphatically. Perhaps, however, I might add, since the prosecution makes Professor Schroeder responsible for my activities in this respect, perhaps I could noint out that my letter or rather my report is dated 29 September 1993. At that time Schroeder was not Chief of the medical Service of the Luftwaffe. In other words, whatever deductions can be drawn from this entry regarding me, certainly none can be deducted against Horr Schroeder.

The Prisibell: Vitness, in my document book the last numeral of the year is missing. It just reads "194". What was the date of your letter?

1271388; I am just main; to look at the German document. The date is given on page 15 - 29 September 1943.

THE STANDART: That enswers my question, witness. Dr Dh. FRITS:

- ouestin, let me sak a concluding question. The prosecution asserts

 it states that you and McHaney calls you the closest friend and coworker of Gildensister had the closest knowledge of everything that
 went on in Fuchenyeld. Please explain what you really know of what
 went on in Fuchenyeld?
- A. I have already described my visit and what I saw there. One assect of it I know, that Gildeneister had told me that the whole business of testing this veccine on human beings was to be traced back to 6224

Conti. Conti did not dony that when he talked to me, although I said what I did in introductory to explain why I had come to him, and then, through Dr. Ding's lecture at the consulting conference, which was often continued. I found out what I have told you; namely, that in addition there had also teen carried out a second experiment in Buchenwald. Of the entire activities in Puchenweld I heard nothing. I did not even know that the SS had its special installations there for experimenting in typhis vaccines. During the whole war I never saw one single sample of this vaccine. How little I knew of this can be seen from the one point that I discussed yesterday; namely, the important occurrence of the Materizza etraine becoming avirulent. I shall later but in as a document my lecture on 17 February 19-4 in Besel and in which there is stated, one year after these events took place, that we had never yet succeeded in making the prownzeki Materian avirulent and that this was one of the music of research, and just as I knew nothing about the typhus experiments that were going on in Suchenweld, just as little did I know of enything else that cont on there.

from the important evente in Buchenwald which you just mentioned; namely, remarding the detecks strain?

A. I said yesterday that I could only understand this in view of his rank as a typhus specialist by bolisving that he simply was not informed of what went on at Suchenwald. Ferhaps he made strains available. That I do not know, surhaps he was ordered to do so by Conti. That I do not know, either. But otherwise I had the impression that he was not intimately participation in this muestion. According to Dinn's diary he is alleged to have been in Buchenweld only twice.

22 April-M-GJ-L-1-Maloy-(int. Brown)-26 et 1

a rrofessor, would you like to make a brief statement in answer to the Prosecution's contention that you were the closest 'riend and collaborate, Block has already made a statement on that subject?

A It is not very pleasant for a person to have to speak openly about his superiors, particularly after they are death and can no longar defend themselves, and if the relations with the superiors were not good. One thinh, however, I must say in introduction that I always held him to be a perfectly decent and upright person. He was particularly conscious of his obligations and duties, and had a high sense of responsibility. Scientifically speaking he was a leading bacteriologist, and extraordinarily reliable research man with a prodigiously large knowledge of literature. My personal : relations with him: mun in 1923 I went to the Robert Koch instituto I did not make his acquaintance, yet, because at that time Mr. Gildemeister was still with the Heich Health office. Then in 1925 an unioriumate event occured. I had published a work on Herbin. Professor Gildomeister had criticized my findings in an open recting, and then at a meeting of the German-biological Society I brought my experimental animals along and new histological preparations which proved that I was completely in the right. At that time, I was still very young, and I made nimrather ridiculous in this meeting. That was not to nice of me, and he took it ill and never forget it. Then when I was called to the Robert Each institute in 1936 he spoke inopposition to that and r communied in my stead a Dr. Kunnert, who at that time was Schilling's oldest assistant. However the tropical medicine expert of the University and other scientists spoke in my behalf, and I was appointed by the Ministry. When I was at the institute the following situationeroso: Professor Gildemeister was a pre-eminent administrator, and had the knowledge of laws and administrative regulations, such a scientist seldom has. Not only did he knew them, but

22 April-M-GJ-L-2-Maloy-(int. Brown)-Court 1

it was very important to him that they should be applied,
and that others should observe them. We also had the
disavantage which too often adheres to the administrator, mainly
that he was an outright bureaucrat. I have never had administrative
training nor have I had military training, and have spent most of
my life overseas. The old colonial with their in spendence are always
the terror of administrators throughout the World, and on the basis
of this there were very shortly developed between us frictions.
I had Dr. Rannen of the U iversity work for me, but Professor
Coldensister did not want any students in the institute. I accepted
teaching appointments at the University, but Professor Gildensister
did not want any ins ruction to be carried on outside the institute.

Q frofessor, I think that sufficies regarding your difficulties with frofessor Gildemelster. I however have a question in this connection; how is it that despite this tension and the misunderstanding that you two had that you then became vicepresident of the Robert Koch Institute, where Gildemeister as president certainly had acceptaingto say about this?

A The person concerned generally known least of all about the procedure, that goes on behind the curtains in making such an appointment. If they wanted to choose a vice-president from among the Professors of the Institute, then insofar as seniority was concerned three people could be considered, one of whom was myself. Professor Gildemainter did not get on much better with the other two then he aid with me, so they had to choose between the devil and the Doep Slue den. I do know that he expressed opposition to me to the Winistry, but he had apparently no better proposal to make and so I was named. I took a year until the appointment was finally approved. Apparently there was considerable exchange of corespondence and discussion in this matter. In addition to this, professor Gildemaister was 5h years old and it was known that he was to resign at the and of the war, and

6227

22 April-M-GJ-4-3-Maloy-(int, Erown)Court 1
the important thing to the Ministry was when it appointed me,
vice-president that this should establish my seniority for the
presidency. It was clear that I could not occupy that office

during the war, since I was with the Luftwaffe.

Q In other words, you never really for practical purposes practiced, you never really did anything as vice-president of the institute?

A No, I never signed as Vice-president and I never represented refessor Gildeseister even for one day.

DR. FRITZ: Mr. President, I should like to offer a few documents in this connection. First of all from Ress Document book No I, Document No. 13, Rose Exhibit 22, pages 80 and 81 of the document book. This is an affidavit by Professor Dr. Boocker, who is still active in the Robert Institute in Berlin, the affidavit being dated 3 February 1967. I should like to read from Paragraph 2 on page 50-

THE PRESIDENT: That Exhibit mumber are you giving this document?

DR. FRITZ: Number 22, Mr. President.

From From Formary 1943 vice-president of, and professor at the Pobert Koch institute, while he was in the Wehrmacht. Owing to his engrossment by his service in the Wehrmacht, From Fore did not actually officiate he Wice-president. He was hardly ever available for the tasks of the Institute and for the tasks of his department. The late President of the institute, from From Fore Eugen Gildeneister, occasion-nally delegated to look offer the pratically orphaned department of from Foresor Rose (Tropical diseases.)

Relations between President Gildeneister and Professor Rose, were, as far as I know rather strained on the whole.

" I do not know wither Professor Rose was informed about the thyphus research done by Professor Gildemeister. Judging by the

5:28

22 April-M-GJ-A-Maloy-(int. From)-

habits of President Fildemeister and the relationship between him and Professor Rose, I do not think it likely that he informed Professor Rose about it.

Borlin, h Fobruary 19h7."

and then follows the signature and certification.

IN this connection let me put in the following document,
Rose Document No. 14 Rose Exhibit No. 23 This is Porfessor Dr.
Gins affidavit on 4 February 1947. I should like to read the lust
two paragraphs into the record. Professor Gins stated:

" Secause of my activity in the chromacht from 26 August 1939, I only went occasionally to the Robert Noon Institute and only worked there irregularly until spring 1953.

" Within that time and after I was deferred for work at the Institute from Spring 1943 on, I did not see Professor Rose acting in his espacity as Vice-president of the Institute. I only heard Professor Roseker mentioned as deputy of Professor Gildemeister.

" Serlin-Charlottenburg 9,

" b Fobruary 1957."

Then folions the signature and cortification. I should like to state that "refessor Gins is still working today in the Robert Koch I stitute.

I should like to put in the next document, pages 54 and 85, Rose Document 15, Rose Exhibit No. 24 offidavit by Dr. Worner Christianson, on 12 February 1987, Rose Exhibit No. 24. I should like to read two paragraphs. First of all the second:

"From 1940 until the capitulation in 1945, I was one of the three officials in charge of the Department for Epidemics of the Reich Ministry of the Interior."

I shall skip the next paragraph in view of the statements that the Prosecution has made regarding the Rose case and I shall continue - skipping paragraph:

"It is not known to me that Professor Rose, who had been appointed Vige President of the Robert Koch Institute in 1943, actually over held this office. Professor Rose was registered in the Ministry as 'drafted to active service with the Wehrmacht'. If it became necessary for sockbody to not as deputy for the President Glidemeister, because he was on leave or sick, for instance while Glidemeister suffered from typhus, Professor Boocker, one of the directors of the Robert Koch Institute, would not as his deputy, as far as I know.

"Nurenberg, 12 February 1947."

Then follows the signature and certification.

And finally I should like to offer Rose Document No. 9 on Fages No. 28 to 30 of the same Document Book. This will be Rose Exhibit No. 25, an efficient of are. Ilse Lundberg of 14 February 1947. I should like to reed from nerograph 2:

Reich Air Ministry from 31 January 1941 until 1 November 1944. I first worked for Obersterat Professor Luxenburger, the psychiatric specialist, and from March 1941 who for Professor Dr. Rose, then Oberstabsarzt, later Oberstarzt of the Luftwaffe, who was consultant hygienist for scientific consultation of the Luftwaffe, who was consultant in the sphere of hygiene and tropical medicine. Wy plane of work was in the office, from summer 1941 on, and later on in the anto-room of Professor Rose. My work with him was terminated when I had myself assigned to a Luftwaffen hospital in Italy on 15 February 1944.

occupied in his military duties. He was in the office from C815 in the morning until 1730 in the afternoon, except for his frequent official military trips, during which he was supposed to get acquainted with the actual conditions prevailing among the troops. He was also a university lecturer for hygiene, as well as at the Faculty for foreign science, and as such he had to give lectures. Moreover, he frequently attended scientific meetings and congresses, as for instance with the Society for Tropical Edicine, the Reich Committee for Tuberculosis and other scientific associations, the names of which I no longer remainer. I always knew exactly the whoreabouts of Professor Bose, in order to to able to switch over the telephone calls and to pass on important nows. I know therefore that Professor Rose's activity to the Robert Koch Institute was limited to occasional telephone calls and short visits (about once every 2 or 3 weeks). According to his own statements, he charged his female technical assistants who had long years of experience and the assistant Dr. E mel with the work, rustricted himself to checking the work end order of the department (only the department for tropical modicine was under his supervision).

"The appointment of Professor Rose as vice-president of the Robert Koch Institute aid not take place until the and of 1942 or 1943 so far as I remember, and was in my opinion only of a purely representative character. As far as I remember, Professor Rose did not make any use of his position as vice-president, such less so as he had the intention of Laving the Institute."

Q Profussor, the direction of your department, namely the Tropical Department you kept also during . : #?

A I kept my department going throughout the war. At the beginning of the war 19 departments at the institute were closed, their directors having been drafted, and almost all the assistants were drafted, however: I kept my department open.

During the first year of the war I worked there myself, as long as I was in Berlin; but at that time I was already half a year or more in Russia and the Balkans, and during those periods of absunce my assistants had to work according to my policy directions.

At the beginning of 1941, work with the Loftwaffe increased in scope so that I had to work at my Luftwaffe office the whole day through. In order to facilitate communications with my department I had a direct telephone line put in which made it possible that from my Luftwaffe office I could communicate directly with my laboratory without going through the office or through the central telephone switch board of the various offices. Then in the evening my private secretary came to my house, bringing the matters from the institute that had arrived that day, and I dictated my private correspondence on matters concerning the institute, scientific essays and scientific correspondence.

Q Did not the work in your Department suffer greatly because of your absence.

A Thit was Professir Gilaszeister's opinion; namely that my department was entirely without supervision and that I was not in a position to check on the work of my assistants, and once as Professor Boecker also says, he attempted to commission one of the other professors with the direction of my department. This interference with my rights, of course, lid to another alternation between me. Taking was conditions into consideration, it certainly can be seen that my department was well and efficiently conducted. I simply have to draw your attention to my essay on the DDT preparations, which are also mentioned in this lecture at Basel and which were even translated into French in 1944.

C what deductions did you draw when the effort was made to transfer the supervision of your department to other hands?

A On the pretent of socking security from mir-attacks, I made the effort to transfer by department out of Berlin. Gildemeister porsonally did not wish to leave Berlin and did stay in Berlin to the very last moment with his Typhus Department. Since Gildemeister would not give his approval for this transfer of my department, I took the very necessal step of turning my whole department into a Luftwaffe unit and with the same personnel and equipment I set it up as a department for Fever Thorapy of the Luftwaffe.

Q Was this agreeable to Professor Gildemeister?

A Of course he made difficulties at first, finally I had the impression that he was on the whole happy that in this monner all difficulties with each other were alleviated.

Q The Prosecution calls you a collaborator in Gildemeister's typhus experiments?

A I can only reiterate that never in my whole life did I work in the Typhus laboratory. When I was an assistant at the Robert Koch Institute Otto was working there an typhus, but in the two menths that I was with him unother assistant took core of that matter and I worked in therelogy. When I came back to the Institute in 1937, Otto had left but the Typhus department was taken up in the Virus department, namely by Professors Gildeseister and Hangen. I never worked with these men, never carried out joint experiments and never planned anything jointly with them. This separation can very clearly be seen in the printed annual reports of the Robert Koch Institute.

Q Mr. Prosident, the whole report that the Defendant Just mentioned I have in my Decement Seck No. 1. These are Decements No. 10 on pages Nos. 31 to 43, which I put in as Rose Exhibit No. 26, also Rose Decements No. 11, which will be Rose Exhibit 27 on Pages Nos. 44 to 50. Finally there is Decement No. 12, which will be Rose Exhibit No. 28, this is on Pages Nos. 61 . Those, as I said, are the annual reports on the activities of the Rosert Koch Institute from the period from 1 April 1939 to 31 December 1943.

I have a few questions to ask the defendant about these documents. Frofessor, in Rose Document No. 10 on page 34, in the second paragraph, perhaps it would be best if I quote this brief paragraph:

"In infection experiments on human beings a rapid development of rather high essinophilia was observed as early as a few hours after the infection of the skin with a few bilhermia cercarioe."

Now, who are those experimental subjects, Professor?

- A. These experimental subjects in the case of the first experiment were explusively the members of my assistants in the department and in the laboratory, and in the second experiment they were dectors who had attended a lecture on tropical medicine in which I spoke about these experiments, and who declared their readiness to be experimented on also.
 - 4. Did you also infect yourself?
- A. Yes, I infected appeals in the first series and had the etrongout reaction of all the experimental subjects.
 - . Did you carry out other experiments on yourself?
- A. Yes, other experiments I undertook on myself or had others undertake on me, and they were experiments with dysentery toxin, infections with intestinal flu, and experiments on maining denotes.
 - w. Did you also test vaccines on yourself?
- A. Once I nade myself evaluation as an experimental subject in the testing of a vaccine, namely, a new pollow fever vaccine from living yellow fever virue. That was in New York in 1936 and at the time I was inyself producing vaccines in China. I tested the tolerability of a large mapper of vaccines by innoculating speelf with a double dose.
- w. Within the fresework of your professional or other official activities did you becase infected any other ways?
- A. With the greatest care in the world it is still impossible in my profession to evoid infection. I have been infected with dysentery and with skin tuberculosis, and then cholers, typhus dengue, malaria complicated with black water fever, honetitus evidences, and finally in the Mark I got a chronic infection from which I am still suffering.

O. Professor, in hose Document No. 10, namely, the annual report of the Robert such Institute from 1939 to 1941, there is on mage 43 to be seen from the paragraph headed roman numeral III, "Practical Work", it can be seen that typhus vaccinations in the tropical department were undertaken.

A. These inoculations were carried out in practical work not under the scientific department. There was a port of a poly clinic attached to the tropical department and when any one came to the institute and wented to be innoculated against a southing then he was immoulated there. It can be seen there from this list he was innoculated against smallbox, cholors, typhoid, paratyphoid, and typhus. These were murely practical innoculations or vaccinations that had nothing to do with scientific research. You can find the same list in the next year's report on pages 58-59 of Document No. 11. There also of the 4,000 innoculations the number of typhoid inneculations is only 33. Then in the annual report for 1943 there is no mention of innoculations because the limitation that the war placed on the work of the department ande it necessary for no to stop giving these wescinetions. These vaccinations were never evaluated. In any way the scientific work on vaccines didn't stop in 1943 in the institute. On the contrary, it became larger and larger and it rested there until the end of the war.

would like on the contents of these reports so far as they concern typhus and to explain the contents briefly. These are keep Documents Res. 10, 11, and 12.

A. Perhaps I may point out first of - - translation error on page 41 of Document No. 10. Opposite the words, on the third peragraph, page 30, there is the word "joundice" in the English text and in the German text there is the word "Golbfieber", which means "wellow fever".

THE PRESIDENT: What is the meaning of the German word in English? WITNESS: Yellow fever.

INTEGRALIER: The interpretor corretorates that.

A. Now I shall explain these documents. The report from 1 April 1939 to 31 March 1941 contains under No. 7 on pages 32 to 40 a report on the work of the tropical medicine department. Since there is no mention at all of work on typhus, it is not my intention to go into that here, but I should be grateful if the Tribunel would take a look at this bassays in order to ascertain that I and my assistants concerned ourselves with a great number of things but not with typhus. On page 41 of the dicurent book, page 10 of the document, there is a short excernt from the report of the department of cell and virus research under no. 8. Only that persprach has here been mutted which refers to the case here at ber. And it says in the report of the next year that yellow fever was the new subject of work. Experiments were made primerily on the broading of virus, its preservation, and on the production of vaccine cultures. This department was at that time under the direction of Professor Reagen. On the same page there comes under No. 10 the report on the work of the laboratory of the general managing director, namely, professor Dr. Gildeneister. I shan't road this in detail but here there is a description of the collaboration with Professor Hangen, an indication of the work the detert ent did in typhus, and it can be clearly seen from the report that the collectricion with other departments is here being described but that there was no collaboration with the tropical department or with Professor Rose. This report continues on page 11 respectively 42, the nine typhus veccines which were cerried out at the tropicel medicino department I have already explained.

22April-11-AK-7-1-Gross(Brown)
Court I

THE PRESIDENT: Witness, on page 42 after "the following number was inoculated against small pox, cholora, ots." there is first a total number followed by two other numbers. That do the two following numbers indicate?

A. Mr. President, if you turn to page 31 you will see that this report is a report for two years - 1 April 1939 until 31 March 1941.

THE FRESIDENT: Those two numbers then simply indicate the number of ineculations in two years, with the total?

A. It is the total for two years and it separates the numbers, giving the number for the first year and the second year.

THE PRESIDENT: Vory woll.

A. If you add up those two humbers you reach the sum that corresponds to the first number. Now on page 42 are publications that appeared from the Robert Kech Institute. Those works have been extracted which concern the ease before this Tribunal. First of all, a paper by Gildmeister and Hangen on applies. Then a paper of Hangen's on yellow fever, and then a series of text book essays by myself. And under No. 5 there is the word "rickettsine" which is typhus virus. This is a little text book essay of four pages in length. I so not to Decument 11, Report for Period 1 April 1941 to 31 December 1942.

THE PRESIDENT: Before you begin the discussion of that, witness, the Tribunal will be in recess.

22April-M-AK-9-1-Moloy(Ramler)
Court No.1

THE MARSHAL! The Tribunal is agains in session. BY DR. PRITZ:

Q Professor, you were going to start referring to Rose Document 11 before the recess, which is to be found on pages 44 to 60, Document Book 17

A The are here concerned with the first yearly report after the reorganization of the Robert Roch Institute into into a Roich Institution: It the beginning of this yearly report there ere to be found the speeches which were made on the occasion of this reorganization. On Page 45 there is to be found an executi from Professor Gildemeister's speech where he gives you his survey about the work of the Institute. On Page 45 the development of his typhus work is being described. One ern see here that neither my nemo her the name of anyone of my colimborators la being montioned. On the next yage of the decement, which ordinarily would be three pages further on, in the printed report, but we find it here on the next page, since there are only excerpts, to find a description of the work at the Proplact Department, the maleria work, and the work about the transfer of bacterio as being transmitted by flies is being emphasized. I skip the pages 47 to 57. In these Il pages the work of the Tropical Budical Department is being described under Paragraph 7. 7 was the number under which these reports appeared yearly. With reference to Department 9, the department regarding cell and virus resorreh, there is a mention in this yunrly report, since this department, owing to the departure of Professor Hangon, had become vacant, and Professor Hongon obviously no longer sent in reports. Then on Page 50, under Paragraph 10, there is a report about work of the head of the Institute, which is again Professor

22% pril-M-AK-9-2-Maloy (Rossaler)
Court Nol

Gildemoister. His participation in typhus is being describod in this report. Professor Gildomoistor, Professor Potor, and a certain Dr. Passor are mentioned as collaborators. On page 59, 33 typhus vaccinations are montioned at the top of the page, second line, which were given under the practical work of the Tropical Department. I have already explained the significance of that entry. There follows an excerpt from the list of publications which appeared from the Institute during the time this report covered. I only included such publications in this excerpt which either originate from me or my collaborators, or those which don't with typhus. Work publications which boar the title of "Typhus" are found on Page 60, the publications there by Frefessor Gildemoister and Professor Poter. Then under my name we find two papers about typhus. They are "Typhus Problems at Home," and underneath that "Typhus Problems arising from the Rosottlement of Racial Germans from 1939 to 1940." Those two publications about typhus written by me doal oxclusively with typhus combat as an opidemoslogical problem, and are mostly based upon my work during the resettlement. In onec the Presecution should contest the authorbicity of this statement, it is naturally possible to produce dopies of these two papers. Naturally, we did not want to increese the size of our document books to an unreasonable extent. I now turn to Rose Document No. 12. This is the annual report of my department covering the year of 1943. T his annual report was printed for the ontire Institute, but unfortunately it was not possible to find a copy of this printed report, However, the Robort Roch Instituto made the menuscript available. It was still available in the files of the Institute.

22April-M-AK-9-3-Meloy (Resentor)
Court No.1

For two year of 1945 we have not the report on typhus work of the Institute, which naturally could be found in the printed report. I regret that in perticular, because this would show clearly that I did not participate in that work nor did my collaborators participate in it. I have not gone into the contents of the annual report of my department at this point, but I shall morely limit myself to stating the fact that there is no mention in this report about typhus or yellow fever. A short survey of this decement will confirm that statement.

Would you ploase turn to page 77, which is page 17 of the document, and you find work by no and my collaboretors. That is up to pogo 79. On page 77 you find the titlo "Rickottaiosia (typinua)." This is under Figure 3. It is a new edition of the textbook, Article 16 already mentioned before. On Page 78 there are again a number of motters mentioned which refer to typhus. There is an aretiele written in the Reich Health Periodical, "Progress in Combatting of the Clothed Lice," It again refers to my DDT work. Then in the center of the page you will find the other "Typhus Vaccines." This refers to the discussion remark made during a meeting of the Consulting Physicians, which is already available here in its original. This is the technical introduction with referonce to my protest et Ding's work. Then as the one but last publication, a book written in a in collaboration with a number of other genelowen, reading procedures for decentamination, which again deals with typhus, of course, but only from a combat and epidemiological point of view. I think that this describes the essential points I wish to prove by submitting these documents. I think that you have already made available the printed original 22April-M-AK-9-4-Maloy(Rammler)

Court No 1

q Yos.

A But we made excorpts of emything which is in any way essential for this trial.

DR. FRITZ: I offered the original printed report as avidence, and I handed it to the General Secretary.

22 April-M-DJC-10-1-Meshan (Int. Remler) Court Mc. 1 Q I now turn to another subject, Professor; the Tribunal put the question to Professor Restock during his exemination whether immunity could be discovered by an examination of the blood. Professor Restock answers this question in the affirm tive, but added the limitation by saying he did not consider himself an expert in that field; was Professor Rostock's answer correct? A I think that this question put by the Tribunal is very important and I would like to answer it as a question of the Tribunal, because I think that the answer given by Professor Rastock was not correct. It is not possible to end or the question whether a person or an animal is issume against a discusse by exterior tion of the blood; but this question cannot be ammored by a simple "yes" or "no." In order to understand the real situation, which is of great importance in order to clarify a number of Documents, a more detailed explanation becomes necessary. The fact alone that Professor Gildemeister knew about the series of experiments at Buchenesla, and perhaps participated no a collaborator, was to have been a proof that something was to be ascertained there, which could not easily be escertained by an examination of the blood. Hobbdy would start may such tests if by a simple examination of the blood one could arrive at the results. Very shortly, I went to illustrate the definition of the word "immunity." Immunity is the capacity not to have to fall ill in spite of infection with virulent germs. One has to praicelly differentiat total or two forms, the naturally inborn immunity: this bemedity as an ill cases absolute and in spite of the severant infuction so illness results. Thus human beings paturally Limme against magana and cattle plague; and the dog is of course imune against the human plague. On the other hand there is the sequired immunity. This immunity can either be acquired by a disease or by a protective vaccination, and it is only with this

problem that we are dealing with during this trial here.

Q How can immunity be ascertained at all.

A Only through the recognition of the fact of somebody not falling ill in spite of an infection. This can be ascertained in many ways. It can be discovered at an occasion when one could assume that during a large scale epidemic every person was subject to infection, or at least all numbers of certain groups were subject to infection, and that in spite of that, a certain number of people did not fall ill. That is the usual method used in order to find out the effectiveness of protective vaccination.

Subsequently, one tried to find out how many of the people who had fallen ill were veccinated and how many were not, then those two figures are compared.

Another possibility is the one of artificial infection. This method is being used on animals and in exceptional cases on experiments on human beings.

Q Considering a designrous illness such as typhus; were such artificial injections corried out in order to find out whether a person was immune after having been given a protective vaccination?

A That repeatedly happened before the war outside of Germany. The artificial infections in the case of typhus fall into two groups. I shall now leave out the time before 1900, and then we come to the classical experiments conducted by Jassil, one of the two discoverers of the plague bacilli. Jassil found out on experimenting on coolies that typhus can be transferred by transmitting blood from one person to another. Then, Sergean, in his work on natives, proved that the typhus wires can be found in the louse; and finally in the case of the hecky Muntain Spotted fever, which is related to the louse fever, the transmission of that disease by ticks was found in experiments on human beings. These are the three great classical experiments, which are generally known, because they constitute cornerstones in typhus resperch.

The second group covers the experiments in order to test the value of typhus vaccines. These are the experiments of the two Frenchmen, Blan and Balthasar, who were vaccinating people with a living a-virulent mickettein strain, and afterwards by an infection with a virulent strain, tested the immunity.

Next is the work of Ven we Millas for the Journal of Immunity in the year of 1939, who was testing the essential vaccine by artificial injection on twelve pursons.

Pinally we have the American experiments with typhus on immates of prisons that were excluded out during this war.

Here, I am only citing the best known acceptos which brought about results of basic importance.

Q How, considering the situation as you tescribe it, would it be possible that Professor Restock answered the question in the affirmative as to whether immunity can be ascertained to the examination of the blood?

A besteck himself unphasized that he himself was not an expert in this field and criminally wented to reject the responsibility.

When giving his answer, he obviously mixed up insunity and immunity reactions. The immunity is a property of the living organism of either the human being or the animals, and the bearers of immunity are the cells, the tissues and the blood. We know quite a number of things about the immunity in the tissues, but technically there is very little proof. It is much easier to observe the changes of the blood.

we are here concerned with the following matter. After surviving an infectious disease a number of the arms in the blood, or in the serum either newly or to an increased extent. Channels of these symptoms are the so-called enti-bodies. Aglutinin, praccipations, bacterioligenes, bacteriolidenes, anti-bodies, complement fixation anti-bodies, etc. The presence and amount of these anti-bodies out he preven in the test tube. The group of these reactions

are designated immunity reactions, because it occurs simultaneously with immunity. But, it is one of the fundamental teachings of immunity research that not one of these numerous often highly complicated reactions offer a measure or proof of immunity. All of these reactions play a large part in labor tory diagnosis and in saiontific work, but as I said immunity cannot be measured by them.

- Do such reactions play any part during this trial here?
- A. Yes, in the case of typhus and especially in Hangen's complex of work the so-called Weigl Felix raction has been repeatedly mentioned, which is an aglutination reaction with Protons X-19.
 - 4. Would you please describe briefly what this situation is?
- A. The Weigh Felix reaction is the most important reaction referring to the diagnosis of typhus. A few days after the beginning of the illness the acrus assumes the property to agalutinate those special bacteria Proteus X-19. As the illness progressors and takes tipe, this capacity increases in its strength so that finally the serum can agalutinate diluted one to ten thousand. After surviving this illness the applications decrease in their amount and finally disappear completely. However, the immunity remains, and that this applications in, although it is an immunity reaction, has nothing to do with immunity is best shown by this Weigh Felix reaction; the proteus bacteria, with which it is being carried out, are not at all the virus of typhus, and as I said before, the applications disappear within one year but the immunity remains for decades and generally for a lifetime.
- why did Professor Hangen after his protective vaccination work on this Weigl Felix reaction if it does not give any proof of immunity?
- A. The remewh is the following. Four facts are known, After surviving infectious discases an impunity erises. We know that efter protective vaccination immunity can also someer to a weak extent. We know on the other hand that after infectious discases are lutining often emper together with other anti-bodies. We also know that after vaccination applications appear. Since the only reliable method in order to examine immunity material, a subsequent infection, is mostly and generally not available, one takes recourse to such serological reactions. This is an energency aid and the emert knows that this is very unreliable and can easily lead to erroneous results, and this is no of the assential weaknesses in immunization research. For that reason one starts out on these very expensive and tedious statistics in immunity research, which

in spite of that always brings very questionable results, and for that reason experiments on human beings are again and again suggested, and in this connection I may perhaps point to a document which Professor Blone is going to submit here. In a paper by an American cuthor the natter is described in the very same manner as I have just done. Now, my entire testinony could be parhens in contradiction to what professor Hoering has said. Professor Rosring said that as only exception in the case of yellow fever is munity can be catablished by blood examination. He seid first of all that this was the only exception and since he did not know the subject of the triel this testimony needs brief correction. It is correct that in the nouse experiment one can establish that the serus of a vaccinated person kills the typhus in the test tube and the conclusion is justified that if the serum can do that in the test tube whose the blood has the same ability in the body, but professor Evering forgot to say that this serum reaction can have a negotive result, namely, after the vaccination. Approximately two years afterwards the serum reaction becomes positive but that is not at all woof that lorunity has coased, Incunity is a collaboration of the properties of blood and tissues and takes longer.

. Is the description as it is given by you generally recognized or is that merely your personal opinion?

A. It is generally recognized and professor El me, who is interested in this question on account of his places vaccines, will submit
an american document; and if professor Ecotock made a different state—
nent here, it was due to the fact that the immunity science telongs to
the most difficult questions of medicine and generally is only treated
by specialists. The non-specialist will slveys try to evade a question
in this field.

Frofessor Heagen of Stressbourg. I should like for you to tell no what your connection to Mr. Heagen was and what you know about his civilian and military position.

5217

A. I heard of trufessor Heagen for the first time by reason of his yellow fever work which he was carrying out at the Rockefeller Institute in New York. Then in the year 1936 I made his personal accountntonce whom I came to the Robert Koch Institute. During the following years we, of course, met frequently. Hearen was a reserve officer with the Luftwiffe, just as I, and at the beginning of the war because the consulting hygienist with Air Fleet I. At the Robert Koch Institute he had the department for cell and virus research. he worked closely with professor Gildeneister. He worked closer with him than was usually customery at the institute. These two contlemen had already been together at the Reich Health Office and together and a de to the Robert Koch Institute. They published an important handlook in virus research together. Headen was considered to be one of the most important Gernan. researchers and was sent to the Rockefeller Institute in order to work there on yellow fever. Later he was orlied - I am serry, I made a mistake. I meant the Rockefeller Institute in New York, because at that time already he was considered to be a virus specialist.

- t. Did you collaborate with Mr. Hackant
- A. No. I enecialised on parasithological questions during the last decade and since my Herbes work was concluded in the year of 1936. I no longer parried out any virus work.
 - Q. What did Hangen do during the wer!
- A. He became consulting physician with air Floot Wo. 1. He norticionted in the Polish campaign in the pear 1939. After the end of that campaign, in addition to his consulting campaity, he resumed his work at the Embert Euch Institute once more and when the Air Floot No. 1 was transferred to the East he was transferred as the consulting physician to Air Floot Center in order to enable him to continue his work at the institute. In the Fall of 1941 he became director of the Eyglenic Institute at Stressbourg and thus ceased work with the Embert Moch Institute. At the same time he ceased his work as consulting physician with the Air Floot because he had to devote his time exclusively to his insti-

tute, which was to be newly instituted. In addition, frafessor Raegen up to that time had not yet been active as a university lecturer and he had to get used to this field of work. Since there was a lack of good hygienists at the Inftweffe, I-was approximately two years later, in the middle of 19-3, assigned to so on an official trip to Toul in France and at the same time interrupt my journey at Strassbours in order to discuss the question with Professor Raegen as to whether he could not, as a side position, participate in a consulting carecity.

A (Continued) I received this order from Professor Hipka, informed him accordingly. When I visited Strasbourg in the year of 1943, Hangon declared himself ready to assume such side activity with a great deal of reservation. However, he refused work with any other air Fleet but one stationed at home. Accordingly, he reported to the Inspector, Professor Hipke, and Haagen in the second half of 1943 became compulting physician of the dir Fleet Genter stationed in the Reich. He remained in this position until the end of the War. I don't know the extent of his official sativity but I assume that Haagen went to his Air Fleet physician once or twice a month and, in addition, made a number of official trips in the case of special assignments. Heagen, as in the case of all other hydienists, sont half yearly experimental reports from which no rest deal of activity became apparent. I remember a summarizing report particularly shout experience gained with cholera and typhoid vaccines, about deficiencies in the building of barracks, and deficiencies in Housing and Settlement of youthful air men. Since the fact that so little is reported about activity with the Air Fleet. does not exclude that he did a lot of useful work for the Air Fleet but all that werk probably did not concern any fundamental matter which could even be mentioned in any report to the Air Fleet.

C What do you know about Professor Hangen's fate after the collapse?

A I merely heard that he was kept here in the Murnberg mail for a long time. But, since as a result of the interrogations the accusations made against him were found to be unjustifiable, he was released by the CIC and released from Prisoners of har by the American authorities as a man without guilt.

Q that do you know shout the research assignment which professor Hangen received from the Medical Inspectorate of the Luftwaffe?

A I am hardly informed about the biginning of these assignmonts and my statements will therefore not be reliable because this goes back to a time when I learned nothing whatsoever about these matters. Around the center of 1940 on the occasion of the compaign in France he probably got himself a research assignment with reference to yellow fever when one counted on the possibility that the battle field would extend to Africa. This assignment Hangen received from the Robert Eoch Institute. I heard of this assignment when he was transferred from the Robert Eoch Institute to the Hygiene Institute in Strasbourg. This became necessary because these assignments were not only given to the parain individually but to the entire institute and when a parson transferred, the assignment was also transferred to the institute. Obviously in the year 1943 he also received typhus assignment from the Luftwaffe and, I think, at the same time he must have gotten himself on influenza assignment. However, I can not remember that because at no time did I see a report from him on influenza.

Q How was it possible that he could have research assignments in the field of hygiene without you gaining knowledge of it as the consulting hygienist?

A Well, that is very natural. The research assignments did not originate from the medical inspectorate. The researchers maked for these assignments on their own initiative. That has already been explained here by witnesses and the procedure illustrated. Owing to regulations of the Ear it became difficult for civilian institutes to get row material because civilian research work was completely neglected at first and if anyone received priority on basis of an order by the behavior only then could no receive row material and personnel. This situation changed when the Reich Research Council was created which could even use higher priority numbers and the result was that everybe y then and elected to get research assignment from the Reich Research Council. In more of application for research assignment the people who needed that assignment personally asked for the and since there was no immediate interest on behalf of the

Modical Inspectorate in those things it was not necessary to rak a specialist to advise on the anter. In many cases it was a question of a more courtesy on behalf of the behamment offices. I would a appropriate with a similar situation as it proveils in the Bocke-feller formintion. I was speaking about the grants which are given out by the Bockefeller Institute. It is not necessary for the Medical Inspectorate to state that there is pullew fever in Africa and that it is a good thing to produce typhus vaccine. A consulting physician need not be asked to take part in these things. Only in 1 ter years the habit areas that of any research assignments I was also informed.

Q You were just speaking of a neurocay shown by the schrameht Medical Services to the civilian restauchers, in order to elleviate their difficulties. But Prefessor Harren was a Luftwaffe officer.

Didn't be receive these assignments in his appainty as an Air Force officer?

A No. That can clearly be seen from the documents which the Presecution was kind enough to submit but upon which it did not comment accordingly and, therefore, I should like to do it for them. I refer to Document Book XIII of the Prosecution which is Document No. 137, Buhibit 189. This is page 6 of this iccurrent. In this document Prefessor Heagen, as the director of the Hygienic Institute of the University applies to the civilian superior of this organization, the director of the University, to declare the Hygienic Institute as an ermement work. As a reason he gives a list of the numerous research assignments with which the institute had been entrusted. Naturally, it would have been of as importance to know What Hagger's assignments were in his luftwaffe position but it was important for the position of the institute sithin the University. It can be quite clearly seen from this document, and Professor Schroder correctly emphasized it, that the duty, the research netivity, of Mr. Hongon was senathing which was carried on in his civilian sphere of activity.

6252

Q Was this conception also represented by the Medical Service furing the War?

A Tes, the Prosecution in that case has submitted the decument which nevers the point. We are here concerned with the Document No. 297 which is Exhibit 316, Decement Book XII in the German odition to be found on page 112. We are here concorned with the conclusion of the yellow fever activity by Professor Haagen which mays here "the Inspectorate of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe asks.." and then the next paragraph status "it is requested," however, the next paragraph says "the Inspectorate asks, etc. to continue the work." Whoever knows anything about additory correspondence in Gersany, and I think that may be the case with a non-soldier, will recognize very clearly that this is not a letter of a superior agency of the Medical Services to a little staff physician but that we are here concerned with a letter by the Medical Inspectorate to an independent organization when one cannot order about but to whom one has to make requests. Considering the German style of official correspondence this is accepting that is absolutely close. In military order it says - it is ordered, you have to do this, you have to do that, execution of the report is to be notified on such and such a date. This letter also shows clearly that in the case of research assignments the Medical Inspectorate did not consider: Professor lieagen as a subordinate.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will now be in recess until 1:30. (A recess was taken until 1330 hours.)

22 Apr-1-18-15-1-151/y (Int. Brown) Court No. I.

AFTERNOOM SESSION

(The Tribunal reconvened at 1400 hours, 22 April 1947)

THE LASSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

ish. Habil's May it please Your Bonor, after the completion of the Rose case on agreement with defense and the Prosecution and if the Tribunal desires, we would like to present the defendants, Ruff, Tomberg, and welts in that order, that is put their cases on in that order, and after the completion of the case against Dr. Weltz then hear the case against the defendant Brack, based on the fact that the cases of Ruff, Rosberg and Welts are very similar, and it wouldn't interrupt the continuity of thought, and the evidence then would be more convenient for both the defense and the Prosecution, to be handled in that manner.

THE RESIDENT: Do I understand that is agreeable to counsel for the defense? If so, that method of procedure will be estimated to the Tribunal.

GERHARD RESE - Resused DERECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY DR. FRITZ

Q Various witnesses have repeatedly montioned Stabserst of the Luftwerfe Greefe, who is alleged to have useisted Hasgen in his work; who is this man and what did he have to do with Raigen:

A In the Luftwaffe, as in all brunches of the Werracht, there were secondled expert commanded. These were officed it the University clinics, in University Institutes, in which people from the armed services were sent for technical and special training for neveral years. Of such expert commandes for hygiene, there were three in the Luftwaffe, one in Graz, one in Heldelberg and one in Strasbourg. Only in the one in Strasbourg was the director of the Institute also a reserve officer in the Luftwaffe, but that was not of decisive importance. The commande land that is well gone to inother Detitute is in the case of Graz and Heidelberg. Stabsarzt Greefe was such a medical officer, who had been detailed for expert training in hygiene. In this trial the ques-

tion is of importance, what the legal standing of such a commanding officer was. The question has frequently been decided on in German Legal
proceedings, because the act of detailing an officer has been a practice
for more than a century, and thus the question of legal responsibility
and other such questions have frequently been discussed in this connection. I am even in the position to describe such a case in detail
which I experienced at the University of Haidelberg. I don't want to
do that have unless the Tribunal expressly requires it, but at any rate
the question has been decided in German law to the effect that the detailed medical officer is responsible to the Civil Institute, to the
Director of the Civilian Institute, and that the Director is limble within the framework of the law for such errors as are committed by the detailed medical officer in the course of his duties. I grant that the
question could still be disputed, but at any rate it is so laid down in
German law.

Q Now, after this discussion on the legal aspects of it, I sak you to state if you know how reports were ande on these research assignments, the content of these reports, when they were made?

A Such a report was prescribed. What the dates were on which Luftwaffe reports were to be made I do not know, because I never received a research assignment from the Luftwaffe. In the Research Copartment where I had to report, reports were given every six marter. Hangen also cent such reports in, for example; on his pellow fever and influence assignments, however, I saw no reports. In other words, his reports in these fields were certainly frequentary.

Q What were those reports?

A Managen was no better and no worse than other scientists in the matter of his reports. Most scientists unde reports when they needed funds or when they were told to do so. On such occasions Haugen sent short interim reports. Otherwise, he limited himself to sending from the printed natorial about 2 reports, regarding his work, and calling them his reports.

- Q that would generally be deduced from these interim reports?
- iterated in it and there was a statement that work was being done, but these interin reports were never very informative, because these were not matters that were suitable for publication and therefore the scientists were very reticent. On the other hand, so far as I am informed, there was never much pressure that such reports should be made, because if one knows scientists, one knows very well that then they have found screething out, when they have directered screething, they will report on their own initiative in order to require race mition for their work, and if they have made no discoveries it does nothing to improve the mituation if long reports are bent in on their failures. Scientific research is not something like a factory where you want a contably report on production. If no positive results are scalarted that is too bad, that is a short matterent, but necesty ever very excited about that.
- Q From your testimony one gots the impression than that Hangan's reports to the ordical Imspectorate were infrequent, but the witness Eyer states have that he wrote reports for Berlin every three months. Can you charify this contradiction?
- and in masher is entirely correct, and if his Eyer says here that she wrote reports every three contas, then I denot know where they ment to. They cortainly didn't go to the indical Inspectorate of the Influence, because such an excepting form of report would have been absolutely unique, and I should not have forgotten it. No would have been the "Thite-Hair of Boy of Report Sending." The correspondence with the Reich Research Council that we have here soon form not give the impression that these reports were very frequence in number, but if one looked at the dequents that have been put in avidence here regarding the various duties and obligations that he and, then you are explain Fraction Eyer's attitude very well, because Hangen was concerned in his research with four entirely different things. First with the Ministry of Education,

to which his Institute was subordinate, and from which he received his salary, and which paid his Institute and his assistants, and of course he had to sand his reports thither. Then no received research assistmore from the Medical Inspectorate of the Auftreffe. Thirdly, he received research assignments from the Roich Hosotroh Council, and in payment for their financial assistance they mented to see a report new and then, and finally his work was supported by the SS, and so far as one can see from the documents throw offices were concerned here, the Chremorbe, the Reichafuenrer Sb, and the WRA. Thus in his scientific work there was a great number of offices with whom he was obliged to correspond. Then in his capacity as consultant he had to turn in semiannual reports to the Air Flact Physician, which were then sent on to the Medical Inspector to. Those were reports with fixed dates, and if he didn't send them in on the date assigned, there was trouble right way. Then there were also special reports on any official journies that he made. In midition to those official reports he had to turn in accounts, and there were fixed dates for that also. All these obligations to report orn by seen clearly in the documents, and there is no wonder that the secretary comes to the conclusion that reports had to be sent off every three mosths, in view of this. For such a secretary in such a provincial institute, Berlin is a great big confused concept, and we have already seen here just how confused and was when she entited no eniof of the radical inspectorate of the luftwoffs, and confused Professor Rostock with Professor Zoiss.

Q. What were your official relations with Macon?

i. Our efficial relations in the military field are wary clearly set forth: I was consulting hyponist and tropleal hygenist with the medical chief of the Buftwiffe and from 1944 on was not alone in this, but was no of two. Hongon was the emsulting hyperies for ale Air Floot Physician Roich, Randloser and Schrookerts tostemeny have already preven this and affiltavita have clar domenato tod the a this position i lied no reletionship of subordination or superiority. I had no pow r to insua redors so far as he was concerned and I had no duty or right to report on official hatters. Margover the Presention, by substituing Exhibits Wes. 12 md 13 , has made it close. They are in Document Bank No. 1 and they are broments Nos. 418 and 419. Those are the two argumination - blos in luftwaffe research. I do not know if the ribunal has there charts before them at the amount but I chemid be grateful if the facts that I am about to state will subsequontly be checked on.

the group, "Science and Reserved of the 'odical condergy of the Buftwaffe." Professor is listed as the subcrainate of a Air Plant Prysician. The whole table of erganization is protty clear and everyone who knows how to read such a table of organization can see perfectly electly that a relationship of subcraimment or superiority is not set from in such a table of organization.

I must point out one point, namely a serious arror in one of those charts in connecti a with the manner of Professor Harron and Professor Suckechwords, in both

bourg. I don't think we need any export to ascertain for we that the University of Strassbourg was not subording to to the Mir ministry and cortainly was not subording to to the Mir ministry and cortainly was not

Q. The Presecution asserts you ware Hampon's super-

the assumption is erremoved and proved in no way. The relations between Hargen and myself was perfectly clumb; according to Series errors. India down, according to Series errors. Institute procedure. The rescontine bases its assumption on the affiliation which is important procedure. The rescontine bases its assumption on the affiliation which is important procedure at the frequency stated here has expectically stated here how he wanted it to be understood and that it did not have the importance that the frequentian ascribes to it.

The Prosident, in this connection, I should like to put in Rose December No. 0, which is on pages 24 to 27 of December Book Rose No. 1. This will be Rose Exhibit No. 25. This is Professor Dr. Lane Otto Laxon-borgors! Africavit of 2 Poorunny 1847, I should like to roud a short passage for 1 it, starting with procraph 2 on page one of the Lember.

"At present I am a specialist for nervous discress in themich and consulting paycha write of the C-thelic Y man Welfare.

Pup to 1941 I worked in the Serven teychistric.

Research Institute, one of the Inter-Milhelm-Institute
utus, outher of to the University of Penich. Se the Pagis
regarded me as a litheally unreliable, I had to longe
this institute in 1941; In January 1761 I was enlied up
to the Gorgan Luftweife, at first as assistant physician.

I worked until 1944 with the Medical Inspectorate of the Corum Buftweffe in Borlin as an expert on phychiatric questions, and then in summer of 1964 become Consender of Instruction Groups at the Luftweffe Medical Academy in Borlin, and it the same time consulting psychiatrict with the Chief of the Buftwaffe Medical Services, with the Chief of the Buftwaffe Medical

Then on the next page of the Document, I read under No. 2:

"The consulting specialists with the Chief of the Luftwaffe Medical Services had a purely consultative function, the consulting specialists with the Air Floot were not subordinate to the consulting specialist with the Chief of the Luftwaffe -edical Services, but to the respective air Floot physician. They were not obliged to take orders from the consultants with the Chief of the Medical Services.

Professor Reagon, who was consultant hygienist with hir Ploot Physician Roich, wore, he for as I can remember very bad. I therefore thank it unlikely that they worked together on a scientific basis. I can also namember that Professor Rose reportedly said in my presence that he did not know what Professor Famous was coully doing.

The was a parently white no headens at all with the production of a new typhus wheeling.

that will conclude my cost since in this Document, but I shall return to the rest of it liter.

To You explained your efficient reactions with Dr. Hangen; now well you pieure explain your personal relations with him?

1. Our personal relations to re cool, we more very

different serts of persons; I in demote with him only in an official capacity. I never had an outricht accordict with Er. Hargen, Externally, I had perfectly normal relations with him, but neither of us had smeh de ubt about the inner conflict between us. I tok it ill of Professor Hangon in the scientific field that he comes rood ! imself solely with develement research during the war, with new research assignments, instead of using the iniubitably great knowledge in the technique flord in order to concentrate on surely product in problems. I leded upon this as a misuse of the ampabilities, because although I was convinced to tile scientific goals were perfactly a great, I was also covinced to the would not succood in a practic I select a during the wor, because experience in all these problems had shown the the period of Sevelopments of years. Also the ludional inspectants entered this went of view, bithough it bel to influence Streetly on Dr. H. gen's Tit. The Medical Inspect rate tried a min and again, by ivin him new accimumnts, to begin thich production, and always gave him now assurences, but no edviling sphere where the Mudderl Inspectorate could give no orders or directives, but singly request.

nation; the did you at the by

A. I ald to to no my duty, that contained to my office. If I found not mything now, of which I had to resume that it was not removably in war, that should be brought to the attention of these a interested and these these participating in the states.

agually on t my orientation in the matter was ean

22 April 47-A-SV-16-5-Mochan-It: Brown dourt No. 1

Do soon from My report in the Comenhagen trip when I communicated this important anthor to the Typhus accounted Institute and specialists, with no regard as to whether or not they were Deftwaffe, army, or Civilian institutes in the Reichs Ministry of the Interior, or University Clinics. I sent this report to all these who might be interested and it was in this way that Prefessor Margen also received his report.

Q What can you say on the besis of your official and other knowledge about Professor Heagen's typhus research?

. First of all, my sources; since the Prescrution has made me responsible for Dr. Hangen's work, I have mede considerable effort to ascertain proceedly what he did do and I can am give you information on Hangon on the besis of the following sources: On the basis of his publications; on the basis of what I have through private comparations with him, and then from my comery of the reports he sent to the Luftwelle, which, however, correspond very closely to his publications; and then from the documents put in evidence in this trial. You have to distinguish between two sections in Dr. Heagen's scientific reserrsh, first when he worked with Cildemeister at the Pobert Each Institute. There he concerned himself with the production and manufacture of vaccions from virulent viruses, which, he ever, had been killed. I shall not dilate on the results of his work. They are in part contioned in the annual reports of the Rebert Koch Institute. Then Hargen became a Professor in Spressbourg on the 30 October, 1941. This were before the question erose of testing these vections at Buchenwald because so far as we could see from the deciments not, this matter was set in motion only in the winter entratrophe of 1941-1942, nor did I ever herr that Hangan participated in anymay in these Buchenwald experiments and if there is montion there of a vaccine according to dillemeister and Mangan, this is at mply mount to describe the mothed of predeution, but does not morn that Ha gon naturally and enything to do with it himself. I know nothing to the effect that Harran verked after 1942 in Strassbourg on typhus. I think that he first fall concorned himself with the construction of his institute, which at the beginning was not in a condition to be worked in. At any rate I know of no positive results from that period. New, Hargon heard Ding's report. In the discussion he said, and others also said the same thing, that those Ding experiments clearly proved that with killed typhus waccines

you could not achieve any anti-infectious immunity from typhus. This problem could only be solved through the development of the living evirulent vaccine, and in future on this principle he carried on his work after 1943.

It might be well, Professor, if you stated in a clear and inderstandable form the difference between these two types or vaccine, because it seems to me that it is of importance in order to understand Hargan's work in Strassbourg.

A You, that is of absolutely decisive importance, and in order to understand all of the decements it is simest impossible to understend this whole question without knowledge of the basis reports of the camp hataweiler. The testimony given here in this field was given by parsons who are not specialists and you cannot understand that tostimony at all bucques the excepts are so confused in their tostimony that at the emi it is impossible tell what the witness was talking about on burt he count to any. Lot no then start with the concepts of virus, virulent and a virulent, and vaccine. First in order to clarify importial the question of a virulent, and an aviruiont virus, I neked three times that Professor Blane to called as a withous Moro, who is an intermetional export. Homover, the Tribunal refused this application and now it must be satisfied with the testimony of one of the defenients in this metter. First of all, originally the word "virue" ment any infectious organism. In modern modicine the concept of virus bas been limited to a special group of the and microscopic sort of organisms, which have a group of characteristics in summer. The characteristics are as follows: Those organisms around be soon with the light ricroscope. Consequently the torn "mitravisible" or "ultremisroscopic organisms". Furthermore those organisms ross through a filter through which, bectoris conset year, consequently the term "filteraple" virus, and finally these remisms count to brod in artificial modic, although bectoria can be so bred. To the properties, or for as this trial is concorned, there belong the following: The germs that cause hegatitie - opidenies,

yellow fovor, and influence. The case of the garms that equal typhus is still in question. Henry recommendate consider than virue although they are microscopically visible, and other researchists give an intermediate position between bacteria and virue. At any rate the typhus some have a special wase. They are called Rickettsia after the American Richetts and for this trial the following rickettsia are important, rickettsia provezek, the garm that couses epidemic typhus, or louse typhus, and the rickettsia mesori or rickettsia muring, which cause endowic or rat typhus. It is not disputed that the rickettsia have many characteristics in common with the virue.

g Now what is a vaccino?

A Originally the word "vaccine" was only used for the vaccine against small pox. Then it was used as a general expression for living vaccines generally, that is vaccines from living germs, until the habit has prisen that vaccine is used for enything that can be considered a vaccine today. At any rate a vaccine is always a material that is designed for protective veccination and it is inpossible of just exchange the tores veccine cal virus as it was often done in testimony here in this court room. The exemple as to how the tostimony one to changed by this exchange is the testimony of the without Olyn Ever, Airleg her erres-out in tion by defense counsel this witness, as the record shows, all of the time spake of a living typhus vaccine of Professor Hangan. She explained expressly that as a cloric also didn't know very much about there ratters but throughout her ontire testimeny she maintained this expression on "living vaccines" and as a socretary of Hausen she trust have written this bundreds of times, this being this main subject.

- a (continued) During the re-exemination at the end Hordy put a very simple short question to her, "You meant living virus, didn't you?" The witness enswered this question without any question in the affirmative and therefore, contradicted her entire evidence; namely, what before had referred to vaccinations, had not swidenly become infections. So, I think it is quite justifiable that finally after this trial has lasted for menths it is being clarified what we are talking about. Leyson and even a number of physicians are still mixed up about vaccines and served. This has coccurred here in the deputates but not in such a form that it in any way frustrates our search for the truth and that is why I forego explaining this concept to shorten these proceedings. At any rate, with very rare exceptions, vaccine is used as a protective vaccination as a preventive means, on's search, with very rare exceptions, is used for treatment effor the illness has already started.
 - Q What kinds of veccines are there?
- A One distinguished between two main groups. There are vaccines which come from becteria toxing and from chanteally changed toxaids. The veccino against scarlot fever, dighthuris, and gas gangrone are examples. In this trial the protective vaccination against diphthuria and are gangrone are mentioned. The second group, the vaccines from morbific organisms themselves. They again can be distinguished by subtividing into two sub-groups. 1. A vaccina from send surbific organisms. An example from the contents of this trial - you have vaccinus agginst cholors, agginst typheid, and pare-typhoid and the typhus wheelnes according to weigh, Gildemoister and Hangen from emend lungs and the liver waccine see rding to Ipsen. The second group are vaccines from living attenuated morbific organisms. An example from this tried is the vapoine against small pax, against yellow fever, then the plague vaccines with which ir. Flame dualt. Thus the Galmette vaccine against Tuburculosis and the Hanson vaccine against typhus. Finally, the

typhus vaccine of the Fronchaco Blanc and Legres. As the last we have the influenza vaccine.

Q Would you plusse explain the expressions virulent and avirulent?

A. The expressions as they are used today cannot be derived from the word virus as the smallest merbific organism, as it is used today. These empressions originate from old times madre one used the word virus in order to designate the infectious organism. Virulonce is the capacity of a micro-organism, no matter whether virus or hactoria, to bring about illnesses. A strong virulence has the capacity to bring about death or severe illnesses. A week virulence has the copneity to bring about mili or no symptoms at all. Avirulance is the lack of espacity to bring about any illness. One must note that the expression virulence does not say such in itself. If one wants to be correct one clee has to mid Pvirulence for senuthing or other." . strain can be highly virulent for an animal but completely avirulant for a husen bain. The Prescention, for example, in examimmation of the witness Gutzelt, had difficulties in believing his testimony. From the fact that when infecting with hepatitim virus all rice had died no concludes that such hepatitic virus in the same way must sill human beings. That is not the case at old. For instance, in the famous Pastour rabies vaccines virulence of the rabics virus was increased to such an extent that guines page died within five days, whereas originally the death only came about after three weeks to two menths. But, the incresse of the virulence as it affected the rabbit is connected with the right-moous attenuation of the windowed as it affects the human body, which made it possible that this so-called passage virus be used for vaccination on a human loing. An example from this case: the typhus strain matelaka which was supposed to have lost its virulence for human beings according to Ding's diery in Buchemeld is highly virulent for the guiner pig coursing to the statements contained in the Ding article in the

Periodical for Hygiene. This is the footnote in Document 9 submitted by Mrugowsky. One has to consider that among experts one often emits explanatory statements when the would assume that experts would know what is being mount. We turnly there domes about the danger of misunderstanding whenever these iocusents have to be apprecised by laymen. Considering all these veccines one, in addition, has to know that whenever tent vaccines are being profuced perticular value is attached to using virulent strains in proor that those vaccines also contain the V-antogene - this is the abbreviation of virulent antogene. Whenever the expert species of perticularly strong virulent vaccines one can be sure that he morne viccines from killed but very virulent garms, but even in the case of the physician who is not a specialist -ne cannot be sure at all whether the expression is meant in that sense. In the case of the laymon on are to ascertain excetly what it is he means and, furthermore, whether his knowledge is sufficient in order to be able to distinguish thee: two consepts very clearly.

Mr. H.FOY: May it places your Henors, all this information the defendant is giving an arquestionably is material and is of value to the Tribunal. But, inequals as it is so technical I would think it advisable to have the defendant write this out in easey form and submit It to the Tribunal in the way of an efficient and apart from being in the record. That, introducing it in that form will serve a dual purpose of that saving the time of discussing it here if he will present it in such a form.

THE PRESIDENT: It wight be more helpful to the Tribanal if that plan were followed. I len't know how much longer the witness intends to instify on these scientific matter.

PROPESSED LOSS: I would have been finished in the time Mr. Hardy used for his objection. Naturally I am quite willing to submit a written paper on that motter in ease Presecution and the Tribunal would desire me to do so. Toward, I would be prateful if I could

finish this paragraph.

DR. FRITZ: Professor, it was your intention to explain some other concepts, for instance the concept of control which plays a considerable part in this court room. That is, in case the high Tribunal would desire you to make a written report on that it may be better to do so than to take more time of the Tribunal.

PROFESSOR LOSE: If I include the explanations of the concept central I would take five minutes more to discuss it.

THE PAISIDENT: Counsel, without may proceed in accordance with the manner in which they followed here before.

PROFESSOR MOSE: May I ask whether it is desired that, in eddition, I submit those explanations in writing?

THE PRESIDENT: In the case of the Tribunal that will be unnecessary. The witness' statement is already in the record.

PROFESSOR BOSS: Your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: However, if sounsel and witness desire to present much a written statement and have it introduced as a document they may to so.

WITHESS: Woll, I was just saying that it is vory important that, when examining a witness, one escertains whother he really understands these concepts and can handle them as they should be handled. Generally, in the ease of the layman and also in the ease of the physician who had no specialist training one will arrive at the result that he will not be in a position to a close statement about these concepts. It is my impression that all the accusations raised against Professor Hangon originated mostly from this layman-like notion that a living typhus virus is a terribly dengerous and lothal matter without it becoming elear to the layman that an evirulent typhus virus vaccino is montioned and is not a morbific organism in the every day sense of the word. If at the and I deal with the word "control" I want to may that with that, in a modical sonse, the group for comparison is wornt whore the essential factor is lacking; nemely, the one which is to be examined in the group for experiments. In order to know in detail what a control group actually constitutes, one has to know what the subject of the experiment is. Only then is one in a position to answer the question. Now, if Professor Schrocder answered to the question of the Pribunal "what a control group. Was" and said that these are the people who were not vaccinated, this can only be explained by his lack of experience in experimental research work. Professor Schrooder is an experienced clinical physician. Ho is an uncontestable authority in the field of erection of hospitals in Gornany. He was a leading man dealing with nursing personnel, but he just did not work in experimental medicine. What control group notually constitutes or

what it can contitute I would like to illustrate by using four examples. In the case of typhus experiments, that is, comperison experiments in Buchemeeld, the experimental groups were those people who were tracted with vaccinos and afterwards were infected with a virulent virus. The centrel group comprises these people who were not vaccinated but merely infected. That is the case where the explanation is correct as given by Professor Schroeder. In the case of the therap; experiments in Buchemuald, the matter is entirely different. The experiments which Ding is said to have carried out with Sutenel and methylene blue were such where the experimental group, as well as the central group, more not vessingted. The experimental group, according to the accuments of the presecution, in addition to the symptometic trustment received treatment with the drugs that were to be examined. The control group, on the other hand, only got the customery troatmont.

Now, a third example - let us consider a nourishment experiment. Thenever the influence of a certain one-sided neurishment procedure is to be examined the experimental persons are those who are getting deficient neurishment. The central group consists of those people who receive normal neurishment.

pourthly, enother example taken from the subject of this trial. The experiments with the apparatus for the decontamination of water. The experimental persons are those who received the mater that was first poisoned and then decontaminate, and the control groups comprised those people who received ordinary drinking water. In the case of this latter experiment, the people in the centrol

22April-A-AK-19-3-Kerrow(Remilor)

Court I

group are those who are undoubtedly better off, and in
the case of the neurishment experiments one can only
make a judgment when knowing exactly what kind of neurishment they were given. In the case of the example I
mentioned, the central groups would be better off, and
when examining the Wehrmacht special rations the experimental subjects are better off since the Mehrmacht specinl rations are much better than the normal rations given
to people.

This brings one to the conclusion that one can only conclude semething from the word "control" when knowing the subject of the experiment. The word itself can one ily be misinterpreted. What the word "control" means in the case of the correspondence of Brigon I shall illustrate when I get to that point.

BY DR. PRITZ:

- Q. After this explanation of these basic concepts I should like to ask you to describe the vaccine of Professer Hanger as far as they are known to you according to the source which mentioned before.
- A. I slreedy referred briefly to the first experiments by Hangen which had as a result this veccine Gildemoister-Hangen. This is a vaccine from highly virulent strains which, either by heating or the addition of chemical material, were killed. Since whose veccines were produced from especially virulent strains and since now strains were always bred from the blood of the ill persons there accourant currently the laboratory infections in these laboratories.
- Q. In order to illustrate Hangen's experiments would you shortly describe what is understood by an avirubat living vaccine?

A. Well, the basic discussion of any such vaccine nood not be made by me in order not to take up time, but it will morely be necessary for me to illustrate the historical development of avirulent vaccines. The work of Hangon cannot be understood unless one knows the fundemontal principles upon which it is built. The introduction of the avirulent veccine from living germs constitutos the small pox vaccino. Its application is know by every family father the has children. He knows that when the baby is first vaccinated - pass; crust develops at this point where the vaccination was made; that this conditions romains for a number of days. He knows that the child doos not feel well during that period; that it roally is ill; and that, ofher a few days, its condition devolops end he gots fever. Pover usually starts on the minth or tenth day and can go up to thrity-nine to forty dogroos. This original procedure by James was improved as time progressed. The reaction to vaccination is a little milder today, but basically the progress has remnined the same. Now, we the have grown up and were labor again vaccinated do not remember this of procedure beenuso the revoccination takes a different course since at that time one has already built up a basical immunity which originates from the first vaccination. There is either no reaction at all or only a little red inflamed apot. It is very rore that may fover occurs. Now, in the ansa of Jenner's discovery we are concerned with an obsorvation made accidentally. This principle was used in the case of the Pastour rabies vaccine which I already mentioned before when explaining the concept wire baco. This is the second example in medical history where one 22April-A-AK-19-5-Kerrow(Rarmlor)
Court I

finds a living avirulent virus as vaccine. The third stop was the modern plague protection vaccination. This constituted some thing very significant because the first two oxamples I mentioned were virus illnesses and in the case of the plague this method was, for the first time, transferred to the bacteria illnesses. The basical discovery consisted of the fact that Guinen pigs could not be immunized with dead vaccines no m ttor how virulent those strains were before they were killed. The German scholars, Mollor and Otto, succeeded in immunizing Guinos pigs of th avirulant living plague bacilli. Since this thought to use living plague bacilli on human beings first was considered to be Utopian, the matter was dropped. Afterwards, as I clrondy mentioned yesterday, the great American bacteriologist, Strong, took up the thought he got this strein from Otto and Koller and then carried out those human experiments on the inmetes of Billibit. This risk was successful. The strain was avirulant not only for animals but also for humanaboings. T here were fever reactions up to forty degrees but never did any plague illnesses develop.

Court I

altogether 900 persons were vaccinated in this series of experiments to t is with these living plague bicteria. Naturally, not all of then word people condensed to death. That would have been impossible. and I don't know even whether they were all immates of a penitentiary. It doesn't say so in the paper. From what I know of Billibit, this is a place used for about three or four thousand imates. Strong didn't report about any serious incidents, but in spite of that there was a great projudice among expert circles against that procedure. In the year of 1911 when Strong combatted Plague in manchuric he did not dare to amply this proceedure outside the Alerican controlled territories, elthough he alroady name this discovery already in the year 1906. It took more than 20 years, to to the year of 1926, until this projedice was overecood, even in orgers circles, and the procedure was developed of Frenchmon and Detchmon to un extent that it was used on millions of human beings. Since to decades it is really deminated the entire plague protection vaccination, as an example from this trial I must say that in the paper be Strong, there is no mention made apont the voluntary aspect of the experimental subjoots, or oven if mention has been made of that no person would have bolicved it. This work originates from the your of 1905, and the custom to have indutes of penitentiaries to sign statements regarding their voluntary nature appears only a few years later, according to merican liter ture. But let us revert to the avirulent vaccine. The next avirulent viccine was the finess Calmette vaccine gainst toborculosis. This consists of the introduction of living but evirtient tuberculous baccili. It is rather widely sorted in surepe with the exception of Germany, and at the mement propagad, is being carried on in america in order to introduce this vaccine there. The matter was sterned in secric: secures in the case of one of these vaccinations there had been a terrible accident. The virulent culture

Court I

was mistaken for the avirulant culture and 70 children of those who word wheat tod with that strain died. Although this reelly had nothing to do with the entire procedure, but wis an orror, a negliganto error in the laboratory, this accident created that prejudice. - Fort is a virus illness, the development of the virulent yellow fover vaccine, on the basis of the work carried on by the Rockefeller Poundation is you York. In the case of the first test of this vaccine I harmened to be an exp rimental subject agself, a voluntary lot no may. - Now hore we have the tirelent typhus vaccine. The first was a vaccine from living Attanuated murias rickettein. a Polish and two French resourchers worked on to a procedure, using various notheds. Bost known is the victime according to the Director of the Pastour fastitute at herocoo; here the witness with Schmidt testified that she knew of that procedure, but that it was not an lied becomes of its degerousness. But apparently there are hygionists in Franco who are of a different opinion than this tocanical assistant, because this vaccination was carried out in horocce is nundreds of thousends of chees on natives and the white population. It is additted that this vaccination can couse very high fever, but on account of the great danger of typnus this is put a with just as we agree that our children go through fever reaction in the case of vaccinations rgainst smallpox. This scientific development as I described it, as I think only in a very short form, is the exit point of Hungen's scientific work. Haagen, according to slauc's example started with a vaccine from living attenuated nurine richettsic. He didn't use Blanc's technic, but he as lied the same technic, which already has proven itself in the living wirelent pellow favor vaccine. This is the technic of the dry vaccines. A very complicated apparatus is necessary, but they have the advantage of being more durable than living vaccine which are produced according to a different procedure.

22 april-a-JF-20-3-Waloy (Int. Realor)
Court I

Tochnically, it is of greatest difficulty to lend any endurance to any living vaccine. The examination of such a dry vaccine from living attenuated nurine virus showed the same results when Haugen carried it out as was found in the case of blanc. A number of persons suffered to considerable increase of tamperature, others had to suffer fever for a number of days.

Court I

- Q. Professor, you were just speaking of the expination of dry vaccine of H. gan's; what do you understand by examination of vaccines?
- A. In this case, we are exclusively speaking about the exemination of its toler nee on human beings, occupatability, and the question as to how severe by the fever is conditioned by this vaccination, in other words the severity of the vaccine reaction. This is the point which is very decisive in the case of the living a-virulent vaccines. In the case of these vaccines this question of reaction is very important, because if you have a telerable vaccination reaction It is onre that the protective effectiveness is also more favorable. this is absolutely older, according to general experience. Now and action it is executed subsequently, but today one can say with cortainty from the outset. Now the waccination reactions in the case of most living vaccines are stronger than in the case of the dead vaccine; but as I have already said, the humanity is more favorable, this is taken into account, since talm procedure is only pulled in the case or especially severe illnesses. Butur lly the hydenist as well as the physici a prefers any vaccine, which causes reactions, but it is clear that a condition, which is only brought about by a severe discuss dangerous to life, can only be brought about artifically, by cortain subsequent reactions, such relations as fever, held- ches, otc., one west always consider the gin. One west always consider that this constitutes a protective injection waisst an infectious diso, se which is diagerous to life.
 - Q. -ould you please continue describing Hungen's experiments?
- Hunc when coccurring these experiments. This is the situation as one can see it from his report to the seichs Research Counsel, dated 21 January 1944, ander pur graph 2. This is Document No. 138. I am afraid it must be an error. I think this is contained in Document Book

32 spril-a-JP-21-2-ka sun (Int. a mier) Court I

To, 12, page 87. This is also the situation which I described when I mayo my lecture in Basle on 17 February 1944. This v.ccine was only used in the case of conditions where there was great danger and where on the other hard it did not nutter if the people suffered fewer for a few days and had to stay in bod. During war time, one cannot do that in the case of the troops who are committed in the front line; one cannot put a squadron of boubers to sed just because they were vaccinated against typhus. Therefore, Hangen endeavored to find a method which would allevinte this vaccination reaction. Ho first had just meant to vaccinate with a doud vaccine, for instance the vaccine of Oildenciator-Haugen and then vaccioate again with the living avirulent viccine. This thought was really very logical, ofter it has proven itself that these dead vaccines influenced the course of the illness very decisively. Hence the assumption was justified that it would have a f wor sle influence on the vaccination reaction with a living a-virulent veccine.

May I ask whether I have explained this point clearly; naturally the gentlemen of the Gribunil are not acquainted with this quantion very well, but I think it is of decisive importance.

THE PRESIDENT: I think the vitness has explained his ideas on the subject.

witness, now such longer will your explanation on this particular branch require?

THE TITLESS: I believe that this would be a good point to recess, because after this basical amplemention I shall term to the explanation of a number of individual documents, and will then describe what these documents actually mean according to the explanations which I have now given.

NA. Hardy: Your Honor, in keeping with what I suggested some 40 minutes ago, if the defendant still has another half hour or more

Court I

to continue on in this technical language, it seems to be the succestion of an affldavit would sait our purposes such nore endveniently.

in that suggestion. Counsel, how much further in the course of your examination of the witness, will those technical applications so produced?

DR. PRITE: Only one more question, I think. The defendant just said himself that after maying and these general of tenants he will explain the individual documents which are also supposed to incriminate him.

MR. HarD'r That my be well and true, Your Honor, but just 60 minutes ago we acard we were only going to hour this for five minutes longer, and now we have seen listening to it since is minutes to three o'clock.

The PHRIDE T: If the witness is now approaching that point which effects his particular case, I think the witness should be permitted to testify orally in that matter.

The Tribunal will be in recess until 9:30 tourrow abraing.
(The Tribunal recessed until 0930 hours, 23 april, 1947).

23 apr 47- M - 1-1 - LJG - Foster (Ammler)

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America, mainst Karl Brandt, et al, defendants, sitting at Murmburg, Germany, on 23 April 1947, 0930, Justice deals presiding.

THE ... Shale Persons in the court room will please find their ments.

The Honorable, toe Judges of Military Tribunal 1.

Military Tribunal I is now in session. God save the United States of a price and this honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the courtroom.

THE FRESIDENT: Mr. Merchal, you ascortain if the defendants are all present in court.

THEM JOHAL: May it please your Honor, all defendants are present in the court.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary-General will note for the record the presence of all defendants in court.

Counsel may proceed.

GERHARD ROSE - Resumed

DIRECT EX. TIN. FICH (Continued)

BY DR. PRITZ (Counsulfor the Defundant Rose):

Q. Professor, yesterday you were giving a description of the modical basis of Dr. Haagen's work. You already explained that Professor deagen was working on a new living avirulent typhus vaccine. Nould you please, briefly, explain the connection between his plans and the document which I am going to discuss with you and put into my next question.

a. I rupeat very shortly, I had described that in the case of a sociFation with a living avirulent vaccine, we are basically concerned with a diar events as occurred in a genuine infection and that, therefore, the expressions and phases used must in many things be very similar and sometimes even be the same. It is naturally understandable that layren significant these terms, and it is not surprising at all that the prosecution also considers a number of 5281

23 Apr 47-1-2 - LJG - Foster (Ramior)

documents as being suspicious while in reality they are completely harmless.

- Q. Did you have the desire to alleviate Heagen's vaccination reaction which came about from murine in his small pox vaccina ricketteis; did that have anything to do with your correspondence with Hangan?
- A. "ss, the letter refers to this question which Mr. Hardy could not understand when summitting his documentary evidence and about which he wanted an explanation from me. This is document 122 to be found in Document Book No. 12 on page 82. I think that I should have to read this letter once more, considering it is significant, although it was already read once by the presecution. I draw your attention to the date which is the 13th of Document 1943. It mays:

"Dear Mr. Hasgon.

Many thanks for your letter of the 8th of December. I don't think it is expedient that in addition to the application which you have already made, another special spolication is made to the SS Main office. I request that in the case of getting the people to be vaccinated for your experiment that you requisition a corresponding number of persons for vaccination with the Copenhagen vaccine. This has the advantage, as also was shown in the Buchemwald experiments, that the testing of various vaccines simultaneously gives a clearer idea of their value than the testing of one vaccine alone."

Then follows the end.

- Q. How is it that this letter isn't'signed by you yourself!
- h. I cannot remember with containty that I dictated this letter, but judging by its form it is quite possible that it originates from me. It was customery whomever I went on official trips and my typists hadn't finished their I there, that I left it to them to sign them, that is, in every case where the letter was not directed to any superior agency. I think that this letter is absolutely gammine.

Court I

23 Apr 47-1-2 - 10G - Foster (Remior)

documents as being suspicious while in reality they are completely harmless.

- Q. Did you have the desire to alleviate Hasgen's vaccination reaction which came about from murine in his small pox vaccino rickettsia; did that have enything to do with your correspondence with Hasgen?
- A. 'as, the latter refers to this question which Mr. Herdy could not understand when submitting his documentary evidence and about which he wanted an explanation from me. This is document 122 to be found in Document Book No. 12 on page 82. I think that I should have to read this lotter once more, considering it is significant, although it was already read once by the presecution. I draw your attention to the date which is the 13th of December 1943. It says:

"Dear Mr. Hassen.

Many thanks for your lotter of the 8th of December. I don't think it is expedient that in addition to the application which you have already made, another special epolication is made to the SS Main office. I request that in the case of getting the people to be vaccinated for your experiment that you requisition a corresponding number of persons for vaccination with the Copenhagen vaccine. This has the advantage, as also was shown in the Buchenwald experiments, that the testing of various vaccines simultaneously gives a clearer idea of their value than the testing of one vaccine alone.

Then follows the end.

- Q. How is it that this letter isn't signed by you yourself?
- A. I cannot resember with containty that I dictated this letter, but judging by its form it is quite possible that it originates from me. It was customary whenever I went on official trips and my typists hadn't finished their I ttors, that I left it to them to sign them, that is, in every case where the letter was not directed to any superior agency. I think that this letter is absolutely genuing.

23 April 17-1 - 1-3 - LiG * foster (Romler)

The contents of this letter fit into the connection of the situation at that time, and it is quite clear to me. Professor Hangen, as well as the five other agencies which are mentioned in the distribution list, received the annex to my report about my trip to Copenhagen and I mean the annex which refers to the Ipsun vaccine, Rose document 22, volume number 2, pages 15 to 19. He had then worked with a dry vaccine from living attenuated murine virus. He tried to find some way in order to allowinte the vaccination reaction.

As I explained yest rday, he intended to do that by carrying out proliminary vaccinations with a dead vaccine.

I may suphasize that this thought was not new at all. Those experiments have already been made before in the case of other living vaccines, and the cost known method of that nature is the sero-vaccination which was introduced at the beginning of the yellow fover vaccination when one had no harmless yellow fever vaccines yet. Then, yellow fever surum and vaccine was viccinated simultansously. Since the end of 1943 Hassan worked with a vaccine from murines, and that can be seen from his report to the Reich Research Counsel to which I pointed out justorday, h. must naturally have boun very interested in the Copunhagen vaccine bucause that one was produced from dead nurine virus. Hangen at that time obviously had planned an experimental series where he would make provaccinations with a number of doed vaccines and would then be able to test to what extent they were in a position to alleviate the reaction of his living avirulant vaccine. Originally he only intended to use such vaccines for his pro-vaccinations which were already generally applied with the Wahrancht.

In this letter he get the question and suggests to start a caries of experiments with the Copenhagen vaccine for the same purpose. In my ensuer I obviously make suggestions to the contrary and object that he should start a special series of experiments for that purpose. I say to it would be for more expedient to have these

23 mgr 47-M - 1-4 - LJG - Fester (Remler)

sories running simultaneously and my indication to the Suchemnald vaccination is a natter of course for the biologist, because in Buchemmald the effectiveness of various vaccines upon the course of the illness was tested in the case of an infection with virulent virus. Maagen, on the other hand, was dealing with the problem of influencing the vaccination reaction with living avirulent vaccine. The parallel aspect of those two testings running together was even more desirable in the case of Hangen, since Maagen's vaccine was still in the stages of development, it had in no way been standardized and he couldn't have large staplies.

- c. You were just discussing vaccinations and you described them as infections with attenuated living vaccines. But we are concerned with infections on human beings in this trial and that constitutes one of the main counts of the indictment.
- A. Woll, I described yesterday that the application of an avirulent vaccine from a biological point of view is a kind of infection but an infection which can be controlled and which is not dangerous. As for the legal aspect of any such infection, you find the snallpox vaccination, the yellow fever vaccination, and all other veccinations which I have already mentioned are infections in the very some sense but they gave gone outside this athere of juridical judgment. Bo prosecutor would entertain the thought today to make any accusations because of a smallbox vaccination because he would undoubtedly nake himself ridiculous. The typhus vaccination, on the other hand, hean't progressed that for an yet. I admit that. Heagen in his work in this field knew of the Frenchmen Blanc and Lagres and a number of others as predecessors, and he was in a much enfer ground in his work then Strong, for instance, when developing his plague veccine. Strong said expressly in his report that he was surprised at not finding any atronger reaction and not encountering any incidents. Hargen, throughout the years, could sleave base hidself upon experiences which were aveilable to him, and I'm referring to experiences which had been made during the last forty years, and, in reflition, he could base himself on the technique which had been developed, especially in the production of virus vaccines. In eddition, there was his very special personal experience as a virus expert. All these were factors which gave him the seem ence that any serious incidents could not occur. Certainly, his procedure is not fully rive as yet, but there is no houbt that within four or five years it will be the generally applied procedure in the case of typhus. If Americans are trying to follow that procedure, too - such as at the Rocky Mountain Institute or the Rockefeller Institute in New York - I am sure that this stage will be reached within a period of two years already. In its present stegs, however, it is possi-

ble to find screbody who would give an expert opinion and therein consider this procedure as being doubtful and that, I am sure, you will find if you take a surgeon as an expert in this field who knows little about the development of a typhus vaccination. If you went to create any partyre in this procedure, this will be the lest opportunity. In three years' time the public will no longer tolerate that. I can only say that Oberstarst Kowslack, who was with the Luftwoffe, has already clearly suggested that this French procedure be applied generally in the Duftwaffe. After this, he veccineted hi self and the decemes of the German Armistico Commission in Horocco according to this procedure. The Medical Inspector at that time did not decide to introduce that procedure because he still considered the reactions to be too severe considering time of war. He essured that in peacetime such reactions could perhaps be tolorated by the troops but that that could not be done under conditions of war. Accordingly. I saw no reason whatsoever to voice any niegivings about the fact that Professor Hangen was dealing with a procedure which was recognized and, to a considerable extent, was already practically applied. Certainly, in more then a hundred thousand cases.

AR. HARDT: Your Honor, the defendent has now been on the stand over two days. This morning he is spending twenty minutes morely suprosching the point share he is trying to attack the integrity of this Tribunal and ecting in a manner which is not sourcorrists here, and I feel that the defendant should enswer the punctions asked of him and not colve into the question of whether or not the Tribunal knows this case or whether or not the prosecution has veighed the facts in this case. That is for the Tribunal to decide and not fire the defendant to decide.

THE PRESIDENCE Who without should ensure the mustions more directly without so much diffusion in his ensures. The witness may file, as it was stated posterday, a supplemental efficavit to his testimony, if he desires to do so, but this marticular phase of the trial has lasted quite a while and I think the witness - questions should be asked his - propounded to the witness which he can ensure nore definitely and that the witness 5286

ness should endeavor to enever the questions as directly as possible.

I would say further that counsel will have emple opportunity to ergue the questions, both on the fact and on the law. He may file briefs and submit oral argument to the Tribunal. A great deal of the time taken up by the witness is really a matter which can be properly argued to the Tribunal, from the facts, by his counsel.

BY DR. FRITZ:

- Q. Hasgon tosted the compatibility of his veccine on human beings. Couldn't he secertain that by testing it on animals?
- A. The pre-testing, where animals are possible, was actually carried out by Haagen, as can be seen from his reports. He had plenty of animals available. The decisive question as to what the vaccination reaction is on a human being can only be ascertained by testing it on a human being.
 - Q. Why didn't he test it on himself or on his collaborators?
- A. This is impossible for the simple reason that, in order to find out what a vaccination reaction is, one needs a larger number of persons. In addition, the persons in his environment were already vaccinated against typhus and for the most part had already exterienced typhus themselves because of the work in the laboratory.
- Q. Why did he have to go into a concentration camp in order to carry out his tests?
- A. The remeans which caused Hangen to go into a concentration onto I don't know since I didn't discuss that untrer with min, but they are very obvious. For one, there are technical reasons which led him to do that, and then there are nursely prectical remans. Moreover one did carry out any such test vaccinations, one would carry it out on persons who would benefit most in case they were successful. The free normalation of Wester Germany and Alsace was not at all subject to typhus danger at that time. The concentration camps, however, were definitely in danger. The witnesses from Natzweiler who testified here, Holm and Grangend, told us in detail that a typhus emidenic had broken out there and that the persons who were vaccinated by Hangen definitely benefited from this war-

cination. None of these persons fell ill of typhus and it is upon that that he beses his assumptions in his report that the anti-infectious immunity had been proven. The witness Grangend emphasizes, on tage 1145 of the record, that the persons who had been vaccinated with other vaccines in the came had fallen ill to a considerable extent.

Q. How about the voluntery aspect during such test vaccinations?

23 April 1947 -M-3-1-PMP- Maloy (Resuler) Court No. 1

A. Since I never Latrace any details about Heagen's work, I nuturally cannot say whother he asked those persons for their permission. In itself it is rether probable that in an encangered atmosphere to was the care in a camp the persons there would undoubtodly valuateer to be vaccin ted aminst hyphus. It becomes, however, clearly apparent from the correspondence that the experimental subjects were somehow or other designated. Obviously that can be explained by saying that one could not from the start determine how strong the vaccination reaction would be. I personally would not have any misgivings in forcing puople to submit to such vaccination, because in the German Health System we are quite used to the compulsory application of encolnations of human beings, he have legal compulsion in the case of smallpax vaccination. In the case of the Webrancht we have the protective vaccinations against typhus, cholors, and sa forth, which are compulsory on the basis of a directive, and we have the same thing on the besis of the labor service. Nobedy could witheraw from any such vaccination by refusal.

Q. Is that also true a broad, are there compulsory veccinations there?

A. The legislation of the verious countries differ in this field.

There are states who have a certain conscience chase, but there
are other a tions who know of an absolute legal compalsion for

vaccination.

Q. In order to revert once more to the Hangon vaccine, did he actually carry out this series of experiments, I mean the series of experiments which is mentioned in the letter which you have read before?

A. As for as I am informed, no. He never class back to that subject, and he never sent a report about it.

C. How Go you explain that?

the following: we know iron the correspondence between Hangen and
Hirt that Hangen was not at all satisfied with the months o politions

of his experimental persons, and that therefore his experiments were delayed. It also becomes apparent from his publications that in the mountine he had succeeded when carrying but his further work to bring about the allowintion of the vaccination reaction in the case of the living avirulent vaccine by keeping the dry veccines in store for a period of two months. By applying this procuedure he no longer had any serious reactions. That, of course, killed his interest in the Copenhagen vaccin tion completely. Heagen then continued to work. He used this method which had been successful in the case of a murine rickettsia and in the case of the recettain prownzeki too. He produced a waccine which was produced along the sume method from rickettain prowesski. This veccine too was tested as to its reaction on herein beings, and by apolying this series of experiments he realized a thought woich he expressed in the correspondence of December, 1943, and discussed for the first time with me in the case of the marine vaccines. As one can see from his papers he was then corrying out Couble vaccinations. A number of persons were only veccinated with the dry vaccines, and then there was a control group which was vaccimeted with the dond vaccine, namely the vaccine, according to Gildenoister and Hangon , and then he used his new dry weeting from living avirulent prowareki ricketesia. Then he only trick to elleviate the vaccination reaction. Be limited minself to a single infection of the dead vaccine, whereas in the case of a nermal protective vaccination against inflaction one always cerried out a vaccination which included three injections. Since this dry vaccine which he used afterwards had been produced from rickettain prowerest, he did not use this Copenhagon waccine for the purpose of prevaccioation, but the and vaccine, according to Gildemister and Hangen.

Q. I have another question referring to the complex of Hangan's vaccines; I refer to the document of the Prosecution MD. 128, Prosecution Achibit 307. This is to be found on page 97 of Document Book 23. This letter is signed by Professor Lamenburger, the

Schrouder of the lecture group "Science and Research," Professor Schrouder stated here that you must have had knowledge of this letter, and that you had written the draft. Is this statement correct, and I ask you to reply to this question as briefly as possible?

It is correct that I know of this matter. It is only A. partially right that I and the draft of this latter. This paper was submitted to me for my attitude, and I still remember it today. My untire description of Hasgen's work is based upon the knowledge of this paper. We are here concerned with a second report, but there was clready - first report. At that time I wrote my attitude on this paper from many points of view, rather I wrote my attitude at that time, my opinion, which assumted to a number of pages. It was done in great detail since the original report was so short it did not allow me to cain a clour picture as to how the natural proceedure was carried out in the individual series of experiments. The reason for this short publication was found in the regulations of War, which limited the extent of any publication. Unfortunately the commander, Professor Luxunburger, did not take over my explanations, since he as you probably to, was an opponent of any long and detailed descriptions. On the other hand on the basis of my report he wrote this short opinion, but that did not make the matter any clocror and this is the best excepte in to what the result is, if you are trying to abbreviate these matters, especially since the writer was a psychologist and not an immunity resourcher. In the case of this paper we are concerned with a further stop of Hangen. This time be suggests a pro-waccination with his living avirulent murine vaccine which he had developed to such a paint where it no longer created any reactions. Afterwards to threied out a second vaccination with his dry vaccine from living aviralent promasold ricketteia, which paper describes here that the first weccination had no reactions, and that in the case of the second veccination wary strong reactions occurred. Only a few of the persons who were 6291

wascingted had no fover at all, Most of them experienced high fever which took a number of days, and in the case of some of them temperatures went up to 40 degrees. This is a very violent rection, which is only equalled by the plague vaccination reaction, and as to its direction is even a longer time in the case of plague veccination recetion. At any rate this reaction was purely limited to fever and headaches, and the characteristic symptoms of typhus were completely missing. There was no exanthes, there was no disturbance of the heart and no corebral symptoms. Naturally, there were no fatalities. The blood examination of the woodnated person ofter a period of a few weeks gave a high type of value in the case of Weigol-Felix reaction. I described this reaction yesterday, I t was corried out in the case of typhus illness and also after typhus vaccinations, including typhus vaccinations with dand vaccinos. It does not offer you any massure as to the grade of immunity, but at least is an indication that specific events occurred within the body. In the work the serum titor of the vaccine ted person are compared to the serum titer of a number of sick persons, who naturally fell ill in Nateweiler within the framework of the Lyphus epidemio.

It is emphasized in this paper that no illness occurred among the persons vaccinated, and this, it was said, and proven the entire infectious immunity. The serum Titer in the case of the sick persons was much higher than in the case of those persons who had been vaccinated. Objections to this paper refer to the following points:

First that the reaction was not described in the usual menner by average fever charts, but a more statistical chart was given, which could not easily be surveyed.

The second objection is, that in this statistical chart the two methods used for vaccinations were not distinguished so that the reader could not see exactly how the reaction was in using one method and what it was to the other.

Thirdly, it was objected that of the persons who had fallen ill of typhus in this comp epidemic, no fever attraments are made, but only the results of the blood examination are stated, and these are the people that lexenburger in his paper here describes as control groups.

Heagen, as far as I remember, answered to those objections in the following manner:

First, the fever couse in typhus was well known. One could see it any text book. It was not necessary for him to repeat it once more in his paper. In addition, the fever charts of these sick pursons were not at his disposal because they were not his experimental subjects, but were patients from comp where he only carried out a blood examination, and those two reasons are quite understandable.

- Q what was the final result of these Hasgen experiments?
- A Fer once he amphasized that in the case of his vaccination such high titer values according to the Weigl Felix value reactions were achieved, as was not the case in any other dead vaccine. He further unphasized the immunity against infections, because his vaccinated persons did not fell ill during the camp epidemic. Thirdly, he said that his vaccine was not yet suitable for practical application

because the vaccine reaction was still too strong.

Hangen in the letter of 29 August 1944 on page 99 of Document book 12, this is Document of the Presscution NO-131, Exhibit No. 309, whether the typhus epidemic in Natzweiler was in any way connected with his vaccine experiments?

In the case of the development of all vaccines from living avirulent germs, the main morry always is that the vaccines in their application on human beings will quickly recein the virulente they already lost.

This worry can always be seen when discussing all those vaccines; that was the ease with the Jamer small pox vaccine, and we have the same result with the plague vaccine, according to Kolle, Otto and Strong. We still discuss it today in the case of Calmette vaccines. I had exactly the same worry in the case of this new typhus vaccine; and when discussing influence vaccines for instance, I again expressed that worry as one can see according to the Document by Bioling.

I therefore recommended that one should ask whether this epidemic started as a result of the vaccinations and this one can see under Figure 3. This inquiry was clearly answered in the negative by Professor Hangen, which can be seen in the next Document on page 100, Document No. 132, Exhibit No. 310 in Document Book No. 12.

Q New, Professor Handon, in a further latter dated 27 June 1944, addressed to Professor Sirt, says that in a control group for further experiments illnesses are to be expected in the case of non-vaccinated pursons. This is in the Document book 12 on lare 96 of Document Book 12, Document NO-127, Exhibit No. 306; would you please look at that latter and explain it to the Tribunal?

Document contained in Hen en's complex. I must emphasise that I am

in a rather difficult situation here. I have to explain latters written by other people, letters which I have never seen before and about the background of which I have no knowledge at all. In addition, I only have a fraction of this correspondence available and by me means the entire correspondence.

Hangen never reported about any such intentions to the Medical Inspectorate of the Luftwaffe, he never reported about the execution of any such experiments. In this letter, addressed to Hirt, he thinks for the first time to include non-vaccinated control groups in this experiment.

I have tried to deplain this letter in the following way giving due reservation to whatever Hargen may have to say in this connection. This is an attempt on my part, because Hargen is not here and it is by no meens an explanation from my own knowledge: Hargen says expressly that he was planning this parallel group decause he wented to clearly prove the effectiveness of his vaccine against infections; he wants to clearly establish that. He obviously is of the opinion that his earlier experiments have not brought a clear proof. The ordier experiments were besed upon the blood examination and the examination of vaccinated people who had not falled ill during the epidemic. This assumption, from a purely established point of view, is absolutely correct because these two facts alone did not constitute a clear proof, although they had a large degree of probability on their side.

One must resume then, as long as one does not know the real
plan of the experiments, intended by Hangen, and we have no clear
plan of the experiments, that he had to take into consideration, insofer as the experience he had so far, that he would now succeed to apply
an avirulent living veccine without any subsequent reactions. Also that
this time he wanted to test the success of his presciure by afterwards
infecting seneces with virulent virus as Blanc, Balthasar and others lid,
and as it was carried out during typhus experiments in marriage prisons
turing the war.

Q Did he not have to expect any fatalities in carrying out such experiments? 6295

A If a bungler would carry out such a series of experiments, naturally, yes; but we are concerned with the best known virus research worker in Germany. In his letter there are contained two points, which can'le the hydienist to juese what he planned to do with this control group. It becomes noticeable that this control group, as he called it, or parallel group, comprises fifty persons. Now we know from the Bushenwald series of experiments that in the case of infection control of typhus ten persons are sufficient. Even Ding at the most took twenty persons for his experiments. Therefore, Heagen must have some reason to select a large group like that. Secondly, it becomes noticeable that in this letter he only writes one has to count on people felling ill, but in an infection controlled with typhus fatalities are to be expected with a certainty. Heagen says nothing at all stant that possibility. Considering the progress of the typhus research at that time one has to conclude with all probability that Hammen was parhaps planning the following thing:

We know that the deed vaccines gave no certain anti-infectious immunity, but a very reliable enti-textid immunity. Therefore, on the besis of this letter, one can explain the experimental plans by considering that the 150 persons were to be treated with this new vaccine, whereas the parallel group was to be treated with a number of old vaccines, of which it was known already that their effectiveness was only limited. If in such an experimental series ne was corrying out subsequent infection with virulent viruses the following was to be expected: No illnesses, or very few illnesses in this group which were treated with veccine from living avirulent viruses. On the other hand illnesses in the non attenuated form as they according to experience so far when using deed viruses, that is exactly what is contained in this letter by Heagen to Hirt. As I said before, this is morely an assumption and it is quite possible Hagen intended senathing different. This is not the only possibility.

Q Did Hangen report this experimental plan to the Noticel 6296

Inspectorate of the Lufturffe?

- A Mo, he neither reported this experimental plan, nor any other experimental plan.
- Q Hangen is expressly speaking of one group that was not vecinated?
- A That is correct. It says so here, but in the case of his letters one has to take into consideration he is addressing laymen. He is obviously enswering a question which was put to him, namely, whether illness could secur, manely and that meant, of course, a deficiency in labor, that he uses the expression "non vaccinated group" towards the laymen in order to designate the parallel group which has only an enti-toxoid immunity is quite imministly. On the other hand, it is not inspined be that he did not mention the possibility of intalities he it would have been unavoidable if he was netually infecting persons who were not at all protected.
- Q How could dr. Hearen plan or carry out any such experiments without the knowledge of approval of the Modical Inspectorate of the Luftweffe? He was an Oberstriberrst of the Reserve of the Luftweffe and consulting Hyrianist with the Air Floot Holah?
- in detail and I naturally wouldn't want to repeat that now, but I would morely like to point out a number of facts which were not mentioned yesterdny. Headen, for instance, as an air force officer, could not have been in a position to obtain assignments from the Reich Research Council without the purmission of his superiors. No air force officer could carry out independent negotiations with the Reichsquehrer SS or any other SS a eney, in perticular, not at all about his Deftwaffe activities. The director of the Hypieric University Institute on the other hand was in a position to for that, and the files and documents show clearly that Dr. Headen made full use of his independence as a University Professor. He received makes for the direction and the building of his institute from numerous a sensites

which really are not inter-connected at all. He independentally negotiated without asking enjone about experimental subjects by using the official channel Abnonorbo, and then the Reichsfucher SS. Whenever he deems it suitable he plays one agency against another. He demands further menies from the Reich Research Scuncil with the indication he would return to the Luftwaffe if he did not receive that money and another example he invites a Japanese Oberstabarrat Kobayashy in order to inspect his institute. Naturally, no medical officer would invite any foreign officer to inspect his medical institute without reporting that to the medical inspectorate and receiving their approval. If one does not take into consideration the independent position of Manyen as a University professor one could not possible understond the examination of Hanyen at Naturellar,

4 Couldn't the Luftwoffe exercise any influence on Haagen's work on the basis of that research assignment?

a Well, there shald have been one possibility, namely, the modical inspectorate could have transferred him. The result would have been a tele res from the director of the University to the Minister of Education, to the effect that Hauren should finally be declared indispensable, and as director of the institute he was indispensable. Joserding to official channels the Luftwaffe could exercise no influence on research assignments, that is by order, through official channels. The medical inspectorate throughout the years had tried to persuade Mr. Meagen to use the monies which were made available for the purpose of producing vaccines. In spite of that one did not succeed throughout the years to bring him to do that. If any military subordination had emisted there would have been a clear order which would have had to be carried out. These were nocotiations which were carried on throu hout the years. I already explained and the decument 297 shows what the real tone was, that is . Book No. 12, page 112.

Q The medical inspectorate did have the possibility to stop 6298

these research assignments and by that exercise pressure on Heagen's activities?

A That, theoretically, of course, is possible. Mr. McHaney has made similar uttorances here and said that if Heagen did not receive any more funds from the Reich Hessearch Council he would have has to stop his more, but if one assumes that one displays i morance about scientific institutes in Gormany and what the situation was. If the Luftweffe couldn't pay, then an application was made to the Roich Rusearch Council, and if the Roich Rosearch Council didn't offer their assistance, one sould turn to the hammer'se, and if the Abnemerbe too rejected its essistance, one could turn to the Eastern Ministry, to ir. Resemberg. There are many more possibilities. Who knows Hangen, knows also be was well awars of all of these possibilities and was exploiting them for the extension and use of his institute. In one point there wer only one agency which could not be circumvented. Whenever he wanted experimental subjects from concentration comps he could not menoge to get them without Himmler's approval, not even connections with Hitler would have belond him there. Hangen's channel to Himmler is easily recommisseble from the documents. It leads over hirt whose official position is not known. Hirt is here being mentioged in the decrees of the Beichsfushrer. Of course, as a Luftwaffe officer Has en could not choose that channel. If as a Luftwaffe officer he would have worked in a concentration camp the nugotiations would have had to be carried out over the medical inspectorate, and examples are evailable as in the case of Professor Holalochnor and Hippku and we also have the matter of the sea water experiments.

23 April 47-36-ATD-6-1-Gross (Int. Ramber) Court No. 1 Q These possibilities which you have just described, aren't they in contradiction to a contral steering of research work? A Maturally, but this central steering of medical research work in Germany is a phenomenon which only came to life one and one-half years after the War. As it really applies to the War becomes syldent clearly from the documents. The best example is Rascher. After Rascher had brought the Inftwaffe into contact with concentration camps misgivings arise with Professor Hippke on the basis of a report he received from Holslockner and other persons, Hippke tries now to transfer Rascher to another agency. Rascher who already belongs to the General-SS succeeds in getting himself transferred to the Waffen-SS, and then when the Reichsarst-SS wants to take him under his wings he gots affiliations with the Ahnonerbe in order to retain the direct channel to Himmler. You find those skillful and calculating researchers. in the files of any office who carried on research at all, but not because these agencies worked according to a common plan but because they were not coordinated and because the possibility existed to play one against the other. Q Didn't that become known in the course of the Tar? Coren't attempts made to remove this faulty situation? A You can recognize starts that were made in that connection, but recognition came such too late and a success was not achieved, and that was clearly described by Professor Rostock here. Then you have the basic difficulty that any research work can, in reality, never be centrally steered. The initiative for a research is always to be found in the individual, in the researcher himself. This is a natural law, and no political system can alter that. Even in the smallest circles one gains the experience that an older scientist who knows something about his work cannot easily be steered by orders and always wants to carry out his own ideas. Q I have another two quaetions with reference to the letter which we discussed this norming, the letter from Mr. Haagen to Hirt,

dated 27 June 1964, Document of Prosecution NO-127, page 96 of the English Document Book, 96 of the German Document Book, Did you ever know whether these experiments after they were planned were setually carried out?

A I said that neither the planning nor the execution ever became know. From the period of time involved one can see the following: The letter to Mirt is addressed 27 June 1944. From Mangen's report dated 21 June 1944 it becomes apparent that the typins epidemic in Natzweiler was still going on them so that he was not even in a position to vaccinate against influence, any such epidemic has to stop before one can start any new work. The witness Holl has testified here that no one could either enter or leave the camp at that time. Even if on the 21 June 1944 the last case of typhus had occurred in Mataweiler, which it is highly improbable. Mr. Granijean says the epidemic lasted until July. The normal quarantine in the case of typhus lasts twenty-eight days.

MR. HARDY: May it please your Honors, a typical example is this last question. This could have been answered with yes or no and the defendant epent three or four minutes arouing the question which could well be included in their closing or summation. I think the Tribunal should suggest that the witness testify rather than argue.

THE PRESIDENT: The point raised by Prosecution is well taken.

The Tribural has been very patient of the witness, but the witness continues to argue matters instead of answering directly and briefly. The witness should be advised that matters of argument may be presented to the Tribural in the time by his counsel with the assistance of the witness. Argument prepared in proper brief based on evidence is much more effective than argument from the witness stand. The Tribunal instructs the witness to answer the questions directly as possible without argument. Counsel will endeavor to propound his questions that they may be so answered.

- Q Professor, you heard what the Tribunal has said. I ask you to not accordingly.
- A I know personally that Professor Haagen in August 1944, has left Strausbourg in order to find an evacuation spot. Mr. Holl testified to that, too.
- Q Mr. President, In that connection I offer the Rose Document No. 28 which is to be found in Rose Document Book II on pages 62 to 67. I offer it as Exhibit Rose No. 30. This is an affidavit by the physician Dr. "Thelm Cordin. I should like to read part of this affidavit. I am realing the third paragraph on page one of that document.

"During the war, i.e. since 1941, I was a modical officer of the reserve with the Cerman Luftwaffe. After having been used at first as a medical field officer I was assigned to the Hygiene Institute of the University Strasbourg in February 1944, for hygienic bacteriologic training. Here I was almost exclusively concerned with work on hepatitis (nice passages and demonstration of the virus in nice organs) under Professor Baagen until the Allied troops occupied the town on 23 November 1944.

"Before being detached to Strasbourg, I was officer for special assignment with Luftwaffo physician Mitte in Berlin-Dahlem for about 6 months. Although during my service in Berlin I did not meet Professor Rose personally I repeatedly heard about him in his capacity as consultant by lendst of the Luftwaffe Medical Inspectorate, so that even at that time the name Rose meant senathing to mo."

Then I shall skip a few paragraphs which are concerned with hepatitis and on page 3 of the document, on page 64 of the document book, I am continuing with the last but one paragraph:

"Although I myself was not concerned with typhus work I know that Professor Heagen worked on the development of a typhus vaccine from living avirulent typhus organisms. It was also provided that typhus vaccines should be produced in large quantities at the institute. In order to make this possible, constructional alterations at the institute had already been started.

"I also know that Professor Heagen vaccinated innates of the Nataweller concentration camp with his new vaccine. I never heard, however, of him artificially infecting prisoners with typhus. At some later occasion Professor Heagen told me that typhus had been carried into the concentration camp Mataweller from outside by a transport of prisoners and that subsequently a typhus opilarde broke out in the camp. He emphasized that several cases of death had occurred but that none of the convicte treated with his vaccine had contracted the disease.

"During my time in Strasbourg I heard that Professor Hasgen formerly, i.e. before I camerto Strasbourg, conducted experimental vaccinations with his influenza vaccine produced from live weakened influenza virus, on part of the staff, including the physicians, of a Strasbourg climic. The persons of the climic in question stood these vaccinations without any strong reactions.

White the same was not mentioned in Strasbourg, with the connection with the same was not mentioned in Strasbourg, with the same in connection with the same was not mentioned in Strasbourg, without in connection with the same was not mentioned in Strasbourg, without in connection with the same was not mentioned in Strasbourg, without in connection with the same of Frefussor Hangon.

Haagon since about the middle of August 19hh directed his efforts towards a partial evacuation of his institute from Strasbourg and travelled about in order to find an evacuation location. On 25 August or 1 September 19hh there was a phone order to start preparations for the evacuation of part of the institute. The telegraphic order arrived on 5 September 19hh.

"On 25 September 1944 the building of the institute was heavily damaged by bombs. There were 4 deads Dr. Eckstein, Inspector Mayer, the laboratory assistant Schulze, and a young girl from the Research Department.

"On 16 October 1944 Professor Haagen returned to Strasbourg for several lays. It was his last stay in Strasbourg. I do not remember the exact date of his departure. From August to 16 October 1944, at any case, Professor Haagen was absent from Strasbourg without interruption.

"It is completely out of the question that experiments on humans could still have been conducted in Nataweiler or Schirmeck after the above mentioned evacuation measures had been started.

"During my time in Strasbourg I have not seen Professor Rose in that city.

"On the basis of my knowledge of Professor Hasgon's personality, especially in consideration of his ambitions, I think it extremaly improbable that he could have allowed Professor Rose to obtain a deeper insight into his research work."

Is is not necessary for me to read the rest of that document since it will with a different matter.

To, Porselant: The Tribunal will now be in recess,

23April-M-GJ-9-1-Meehan-(int.Von Schon)-Court 1

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

DIRECT EXAMINATION - Resumed.

BY DR. PRITZ:

Q Professor, according to your testimony, you had no knowledge of these plants of Professor Hangen and as far as you know
"refessor Hangen did not report these plans to the Medical Inspectorate of the Luftwaffe; assuming the hypothetical case that the plans
wich Hangen told Hirt were officially reported to the Luftwaffe by
Hangen; what would you have done in this case?

A If this plan had been reported to me, I had seen enything objectionable in it and this formulation of the letter would certainly have seemed objectionable to me-then I would have expressed my mis givings to the modical Imprector and above all asked that the state of affairs be cleared up. If it had then been discovered that my misgivings were justified, then the medical imprector would probably have objected. But, as in the grippe meeting on which Bioling reported handleser, after I had expressed my objections to influence vaccinations, said:" Very mell, the vaccination is to be carried out, but I don't wont these infactions." That would probably have been the same.

Q Now, you had knowledge of the fact that Mr. Gazgen, in his capacity as director of the Hygene institute, who negociating independently with an SS agency about vaccinitions in the concentration camps this is shown by your letter of 13 December, 19h3, which is on page 62 of Document Book, 12, this is document of the prescrution No-122 Exhibit 296; didn't this make you wender?

A No, it did not make me wonder at all. I have said that I know what vaccine problem in Hangen was working on, but that was a completely-anobylectable matter. The feet that he was vaccinating people in concentration camp was no recession for me to object, because the concentration camps were in no more danger than anyone also from typhus and that an SS agency was competent for the concentration camps. I did not know, but I assumed it.

23 April-M-GJ-9-2-Mochan-(int. Von Schoen)-Court 1

When I dictated my answer, I apparently quoted superficially from Heagon's lotter, experts later told me that there was no such officens SS Wain Office, SS Hauptant, but obviously it was the WHA which was meant, but I did not know these details. I morely repeated what bagon's letter must have said.

Q Professor, yesterday you told the Tribunal that you were active in combatting typhus, but that you had nothing to do with typhus research, you referred to your publications on typhus at various points, but in 1944 in Basle you held a big locture about typhus and salaria.

Mr. President, I have this lecture of the defendant Rose in my Document Book 2, it is on pages 27 to 56. This is Read Document No.25, which I submit as Rose Exhibit 31. I do not intend to read any of this, but I should nevertheless like to ask refessor Rese to state wether anything was said here about typhus research?

A This lecture very clearly shows what my own field of work was. I gave a general survey of the state of the fight against malaria and against typhus on 17 February 19h4. I took these two subjects together because through my field of work, through the new DDT preparations were connected diseases these very different. If one is invited as a guest to lecture to a foreign society, then listeners want to know about all the results of the work of the lecturer persomally, so I gave this. This shows that I had nothing to do with the development of vaccines. I dealt with this subject briefly. No work of my associates or eyself on this subject is mentioned, while in the field of the development of DET proparations, my associates and my own work are constantly quoted.

I doubt with vaccines on the basis of papers written by c ther authors. Mercever, I gave exactly the same explanation as I give new before the Tribunal; there is no essential diffrence between what I said three years ago and what I say on the witness stand. In this connection, I refer to page 29, the third paragraph, until page 32 the first paragraph. Wf course, I do not intend to read

23 April-M-GJ-9-3-Mochan-(int. Von Schoon)-Court 1

to see wother, I represented the same print of view at that time as I have represented the Tribunal here. Specifically, I sefer to the first paragraph on page 32. In February of 1966 I said that vaccinations against typhus with attenuating living virus is still a field for research and has not been satisfactorily settled yet.

That is the sentence wich proves clearly that I had no knowledge of what happened in the B chenwald camp, in addition to what I have reperted here.

Q Could this lecture in Baslo be the same one for which the SD wanted to institute proceedings against you as a traiter?

A Yes. That is the same lecture, which I gave in May 1944 in Arkara in much m re detail, and I was speaking to Turkish medical officers on this subject for several days. From there my lecture reached Moscow, from Moscow the German intelligent service reciped knowledge of it, and since these antitors were considered important in Russia, I was to be tried.

Q Was the trial actually carried out?

A No. as Handleser and Schrooder have testified here, my superiors and professor Handleser protected me.

Q Did you in your lecture deal with such new things that one could speek of treason?

A The use of DDT proparations in embatting upidemies was quite new at that time. Before that lecture there was not a single article in the literature of all countries about the use of DDT in combatting epidemics, even the Swiss holders of the patent ded not realize the significance of this preparation at that time. The Basic scientists, a few days later, send my lecture to the international Red Cress, it was also sent to America, it was translated into French.

- Q Then the SD was right in its charge of treason?

San Tr

A No, certainly not. The medical profession has the great privilege, even in time of the wildest was hysteria of remaining 5307

23 April-M-GJ-9-L-Mechan-(int. Von Schoen)-Court I

neutral. Themilitary doctor knows no distinction between friend and foe. I consider it a misleading slegan in medical research if one speaks of research for the Wehrmscht; it is research in the intorest of the medicine, that is research in the interest of all man kind Typhus moss not distinguish between soldier and civilian and unlaria mosquitoes do not distinguish between democrats and national seeinlists. The vaccines, which were tested in Buchenwald were intended for the German medical personnel, but they were also given to the seviet modical personnel in prisoner of war camps, and beginning in 1943 the medical inspector of the Luftwaffe gave his instructions for troop physicians, especially planted out the needs t the Seviet personnel, and orders they also were to be vaccinated, although we did not have nearly enough vaccine to vaccinate all of our own men; the medical personnal and doctors in Prisoner of War camps were of c urse in a greater danger, theref re they had priority on vaccinations before our own soldiers. This sale stands int was field in research. If we discovered a mathing, it was made public, and it was published abroad without any a neiteration of whother the military medical acryles of some other country might find therein a new remedy. The medical service always remains neutral.

DR. FRIST: Mr. President, what the defendant Rose just stated about the veccination of Soviet prisoners of war is shown by Rose document No. 26, on pages 55-56 of Rose Document Book No. 2, I have already submitted this document posterday as Rose Exhibit No. 19. On the second page of this document there is the figure 26 in the Instructions for troop physicians. I should like to refer to this paragraph without reading it. This proved the correctness of what Professor Rose has just said. I have one more question about this letter of the 13 becomber, 1943. The document book 23, page 82, that is Prosecution document 122, Prosecution Exhibit 298, the letter to Professor Execut. Cannot this letter be Interpreted to mean that you on Oberstarst gave him, as Oberstabsarat, an order?

A. During the war in addresses and signatures we generally used our military rank if we had any; to Dr. Hangen, as well as to all other experts I sent my reports about the Copenhagen information. He inquired from me in a private letter and received from me a personal answer without any file note, without any characteristic of an official letter. The correspondence would have been exactly the same if any Hangen had not been in the Luftwoffe, and if Geheimret Otto, a civilian agency in Frankfurt, and Dr. Hans, from the industrial leboratory, and are Eyer from the Army, had sent a similar private letter to me. It was not an official letter.

BR. FRITZ: Mr. President, I had intended to ask the deferrent
Rose various questions about the testimony of witnesses, for example,
the witness adith Schmidt, the witness Hirtz, testimony which these
witnesses gave about the alleged experiments of Professor Rangen in
the concentration camp Naturellar and Schirzek, and in the interest
of hastening proceedings, and in case the Tribunal agrees, I should
like to reserve the right to present the expected answers of the
defendant Rose to the Tribunal in writing, and then I could conclude
the subject of Hargen and go on to malaris, which is the final item
of the Presentation

THE PRESIDENT: How long will your axesin tion of the witness

23 April 1947 -M-10-2-PEP- Cook (von Schoon) Court No. 1

on the stand in the connection of the testimony of these other witnesses, how much time would that take?

DR. FRITZ: I would probably not finish this murning.

MR. HARDY: Would you have the defense counsel state his proposition again? I don't think I heard it clearly.

DR. FRITZ: I wanted to sak the defendant Rose a few questions now dealing with the testimony of various witnesses, for example, the witness Hirts and the witness Edith Schmidt, the testimony of those witnesses about the alleged experiments of Professor Hangon in the concentration camps Natzweiler and Schirmek, but I would be willing in order to shorten the proceedings to submit the statement to the Tribural in writing.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, when could you submit that written statement?

DR. FRITZ: Vory scon, in a few days, I holiave.

THE PRESIDENT: Of course, counsel for the Prosecution should have an opportunity to cross-examine the witness upon that statement.

IR. HARDY: I might add, your Honor, from my knowledge of the testimony of the mitness mentioned, that any points which the defendant would bring out would be in the way of an argument and I think it might be well for him to submit the affidavit, and if we determine after we see the efficient, that we want to cross examine on any points thereafter we can recall him to the stand.

THE PRESIDENT: That will be entisfactory, Counsel for defendant Rose may propere that statement in writing and submit it to the Tribural and the counsel as soon as possible.

WITNESS:

The Prosecutor was quite right. It would essentially be an explanation of the testimony. There was only one factual question, that was abstract the mitness Schmidt and or Inspector Moyer of the Strassbourg institute when I visited the Institute at Strassbourg informed as in any way that they had any susplaine of Professor Heagen, that he was conducting my illugal experiments, and this factual

Question I must answer with no, neither Miss Schmidt nor Inspector Mayor said a word to me about that, although both of them would have had an apportunity to do, especially Inspector Mayor, who talked to me for some time. He was a rather experienced missi breeder, and I was especially interested in these matters because very many methods of broading were used which were unfamiliar to me; and during this conversation Professor Resear was not present. Mr. Meyer would have had an apportunity, if he had had any missivings about Hasgon, to express them to me at the time, but he did not do so. BY DR. PRITZ:

Q. Now, I go on to the final subject. Here Professor Rese is charged with special responsibility, that is with animain. In the written indictment Professor Rese is not charged with special responsibility, but the Prosecution has charged the defendant Rese with special responsibility orally.

Would you first tell as Professor what your own professional connections with malaric wors?

A. My first contact was involuntary as a prisoner of war in Algeria in 1915. I syself contricted splaris. The modern remodies were not yet known, and I suffered from maleria for several years. This experience is the cases among my coquainteness arouse special interest in the desense. The first practical cases of that type I saw in my years at Baslo.

C. When did you begin to deal with malaria scientifically yoursulf?

A. When I was collect to China in 1929, to a part of China which is in the sub-tropics. Malaria immediately become one of my main duties. The first assignment which I received was to contact a malaria epidemic in a funion area. Then I helped immediate a synthetic preparation, pleasuchin. The main work in this field had already been done at that time. Then when atabrine was introduced, which has played a big role again during this war, I worked out a form of this beginning in the introduction of it. I worked out a form of this 5311

proparation together with an English doctor. I tested it for the first time at Singapore.

- Q. Did you work on other questions besides that of the treatment of malaria?
- A. Combating unlaric also played a great role in my work. Papers on the subject are contained in my list of publications, and I also worked on the melaric masquite corriers of malaria, but I did not publish anything while I was in China because I did not have anything new and I only used known methods.
 - Q. Did you stuly specially in this field?
- A. I attended a pourse for several months given by the For Enstern Bureau, the section of hygiene of the League of Nations for senior medical officers in the For East. I visited the main malaria areas in British Unlays on Java with the help of the League of Nations and I not a number of leading moleria scientists of the For East during this.

23 April-M-DJG-11-1-Gross (Int. von Schoen) Court No. 1 Q Did you study malarie beyond that abroad? A On all of my study trips, which I mentioned, I always devoted special attention to melaria, I visited institutes and exports; and whom I travelled in North .marica I also visited these institutes. The two tropical medical chairs which existed in America. at that time I also visited. Q Did you learn anything about human experiments on makeria at that time? A Not in the sense of this trial. I only visited institutes then at which experimental malaria research was carried out concerning therapeutic infection of the insume. The malaria experiments concorning which Dr. Servatius submitted documents here of vicusly were started later. Q Now, what you saw on your trips about maloric research, did that influence you in your own work in any way? " Of course. That we the purpose of my trips. They were study trips. When I returned from China to the Retert Koch Institute and made a plan of my future work, I listed experimental work on melaria. Up to them I has morely reed in literature about such experimental work and now I wanted to do something similar in the Robert Koch Institute to what had been done acroad. Q Where do such institutes exist which deal with malaria experiments on human helars? A The best known are two American ones I wisited in Talla-

bassee and Now York, and a very famous one is an in Mish one in

Horton. Then there is a French one, and one in Italy, Instituto

Q Now how was your malaria work in Germany done?

10, 11 and 12, which have already been submitted, in Rose Document

are others.

Superiore di Sanita Publica, and another one in Rusania supported by

the League of Nations. Those are the most important ones, but there

A I think the simplest thing would be to refer to Documents

Book I. I do not, of course, intend to read everything that is said about meleria, but perhaps I may point out briefly to the Tribunal where these things are to be found. In my work reports many other tropical diseases are mentioned. In Document 10 there are reports about my malaria work under VII on pages 5 of the document, page 36 of the Document Book - Experiments and Parasitology, malaria remedies, malaria mosquites. And this loss on to page 39. Then Document No. 11, on page 46, which is page 2 of the document in the locture of professor Gildemedister at the reorganization of the Robert Kech Institute my malaria work is mentioned and, perhaps, I may quote here what he says about the purpose of this new beginning of malaria work. That is about seven or might lines down - he speaks about my anotheles colony.

which the mid of this colony it was possible to place masquite sting infections for aslaris treatment of paralysis at the disposal of psychiatric clinics, so that the necessity of cultivating malaria cultures curselves in patients was avoided. Moreover, by this meaquite sing, a salaria reliably free from syphilis could be placed at the disposal of internal survival and children's clinics for the treatment of non-syphilitic diseases."

Then in the same report on page 4, page 48 of the Document Book, the culture of my maleria strains is discussed. I may emphasize that strain Rose, mentioned in this trial, is never mentioned in this report. Then disposite examinations are discussed, experiments on artificial infection of mesquites, smifficial feeding of mesquites, then work with conserving and culture of maleria parasites, and investigations about anophole, with an electro-miscroscope on sporozoites, then questions of maleria prophylaxis and maleria treatment. Then maleria in resuttlement, and finally, clinical work on maleria done by one of my associates. That is all explained in detail, and I should be grateful if that were examined carefully.

Them I go on to Document 12, page 61 of the Document Book, page 1 of this document. First it is said that the chipment of infected anopholes has to be changed from mail shipment to occurier shipment.

This shows that the shipment of infected snopheles was a normal thing in my department; it had such great extent that even such a technical change is mentioned in the annual report. Then on page 4 of the report, page 64 of the Document Book, the passages are reported on, the number of petionts infected, the nature of the experimental subjects is mentioned - paralytics, schizophrenics, and other types of sick people. Clinics and other institutes are mentioned with which my department collaborated. Then individual scientific observations are discussed. Then on page of I may refer to the second paragraph from the end. It says that the work had to be interrupted because the Eberswelde senatorium was used as an evacuation pospital. The work was continued by the Luftweffe fever therapy section. This point will some up for discussion later.

Then on page 67, I should like to point out briefly, where there is mention of human experiments of a pharmacological nature on 22 persons. I want to say right now, so that I don't have to be asked separately, that these experimental subjects were Luftweffe moldiers. The tests were carried out in Luftwaffe hospitals in Berlin and Austria, by an assistant doctor of the Luftworfe who was writing his doctor's thesis under me. The next pages deal with clinical work with accquites. Then work on contact poison, that is DDT preparations. This more or less covers the meleria work in this document. These three documents, at least on the negative side, show that there was no collaboration with Professor Schilling. These reports mention a number of doctors and agencies with which I has collaborated in the field of meleria. Armsdorf Institute was mentioned, Edrawalde Mospital, Doctor Sager is mentioned, Dr. Mierkut is mentioned and Dr. Mertens. There are a number of other names which you find there, but the name of Professor Schilling you will not find, although he would have had to be mentioned just like the others, if there had been any collaboration; because at the time when these reports were written and printed no one had immined har Crimes trials.

- I Well now, these engual reports show that you were in contest with a large number of insame asylums and clinics in this field of your work. Did any individual institution play an especial rele?
- a I worked above all with a Saxon psychiatrist who had a special reputation on fever therepy among psychiatrists. He is non-tioned in the bir book by the discoverer of the practice, he nor-Jaurek, and he always held a leading position in this field in Germany. Then I worked in an insene asylum near Berlin where I had an assistant of my own at the Insene haylum. The other institutes and clinics merely obtained the malaris from me, and all they did in return was to send me the case histories when the malaris treatment of the patients was finished. These were also infections for therepoutic purposes and I evaluated the case histories from point of view of study of malaris.

Q Was your work interrupted by the war?

A This work was considerably interfered with by the war. The insens asylume had to give up many beds for purposes of general hospitals. Consequently, patients who were under my treatment, were suddenly transferred. Corse than that, because of the air raids, many big city hospitals had been evacuated altogether either because they were destroyed by air raids or because some were evacuated by way of precaution so that the helpless patients would not be exposed to air raids.

2 And what were the consequences of these conditions for your

A This finally led to a concentration of all my work on one institution, the insane asylum at Pfaffenrede, which was the subject of the case of the prosecution. There is an affidavit on the subject.

1 "o will come back to that later.

Will you now please describe your relations with Professor Schilling?

A First, I should like to emphasize that this is Professor Klaus Schilling who was conformed to death at Dachau and has already been executed, not Professor Viktor Schilling who is also a tropical specialist. The latter, Viktor Schilling, is mentioned in the reports on the meetings of the consulting physicians, while Klaus Schilling of the Dachau experiments mover attended a consultant meeting during this war and had no military function during this war. As far as I know, he had no connection to the Cohrmacht at all during the war. In any case, I cortainly never learned anything of it.

I mat Professor Schilling in 1928 when I became an assistant at the Rebert Koch Institute. I would have like to work in his department of tropical medicine becames that interested me, but there was no position open and nothing came of it; but Schilling was one of the older professors of the Rebert Kech Institute who had worked with Rebert Kech himself, and we young men had great respect for him for that reason.

23 April 47-35-FjC-12-2-Karrow (Int., VonSchoen) Court I

- Q Woll, did you maintain your relations with Professor Schilling?
- A Thon I wonk to Switzerland I exchanged letters with him from time to time, and in later years too, but that was very reachy. My main connection in Berlin in the field of tropical medicine was Professor Riemann of the University whose successor at the University I became.

Q Then, at the Robert Keeh Institute you became Professor Schilling's successor. How did that come about?

A In 1936 Professor Schilling recohed the legal age limit and had to ratire. He would liked to have his senior assistant become his successor, but Blowen, above all, spoke for no and the Ministry decided in my favor. Then there were negotiations back and forth for while about the conditions, and on the let of October, 1936, I formally become his successor, but three days later I went on leave. I went on a trip through Africa for one year which I have already mentioned. During this year Professor Schilling represented me. That is, in effect, nothing was changed in the department during that year. Only on paper had conditions changed.

Q Wall, what did Mr. Schilling work on?

A Professor Schilling, all his life, worked on protesson infections. Above all, trypanozean infections, including sleeping sickness, and capacially protezes immunity. Protezeans, in contract to becteria and virus, are animals.

a What did you know about Schilling's work?

A I probably read most of his pa ers. In the course of years he had developed a theory about protoced immunity which the emjority of his follow specialists in Cormany and abroad rejected. But Schilling, who was a very suggestible personality, had great success in convincing laymon of the correctness of his opinions. I experienced this when I was in Tanganyika. He had, with the support of the English Colonial Ministry, attempted to immunise cattle against the Tao-tse disease. A specialist, a director of the veterinary edministration, did not believe in Schilling's success. The governor in Darossalan was

definitely a follower of Schilling. I experienced the same thing on the Gold Coast where the governor, who knew Schilling quite well, was a great follower of his ideas. But, among his colleagues, he had some who shared his views but the najority did not consider them right, and I was among this majority. I always rejected Schilling's idea about protozoa imminity.

Q But he was able to work on in this field although the majority of his colleagues rejected his ideas?

MR. HAPDY: Your Honor, this line of questioning as to the matters concording Schilling's work soon to me to be immaterial and are extraneous matters. The defendant is cherned with having collaborated or assisted Schilling in his work at Dachau, and it seems to me that the examination of Rose could be very limited and it could follow the line of whether or not he worked with, collaborated with, or assisted in any way at the experiments in Dachau. His full explanations concorning technical matters of malaria are immaterial and I object.

THE PRESIDENT: . not is the object of this exemination, council? What is it intended to prove before this Tribunal?

DR. PRITE: Mr. Prosidout, I must say in respect to the prosecutor's objection to support the charges the prosecution has submitted a few decements, for example, a document in which the treatment of the witness Viewes in Dachau is described which is supposed to show that Professor Rose was connected with the infection of the witness with malaria. The subject is not so simple that one can go right modies ros, but in view of the difficulty of the matter I must lead up to it gradually.

THE PRESIDENT: Some of the preliminary questions might be relevant, but it seems to me you are pursuing them to a length which is not enlightening to the Tribunal. You may proceed, but try to formulate questions which are relevant to the issues to be determined.

BY DR. FRITZ:

Q How did Schilling carry out his malaria work?

A I had already said that he worked on questions of protoces immunity. Malaria is a protoces disease. In his last years at the Robert Koch Institute, Schilling did work on protective vaccinations against malaria, together with his subordinates. Schilling belonged to the Malaria Commission of the Hygiene Section of the League of Nations. He worked primarily on the insane in the customary sphere of therapeutic malaria, but he must have had volunteer experimental subjects too because when Schilling left Berlin I had to continue treatment of a mumber of experimental subjects of Schilling's. They were generally medical students and internes.

Q Where did Professor Schilling go after he loft Berlin?

A As far as I rocall, that was in 1938. He had convinced his Italian friends of the importance of protective vaccination against malaria, and the Italian Government gave him the opportunity to work in an insume anylum in Florence. He was also given a financial subsidy by the 90ich Ministry of the Interior.

Q What do you yoursolf know about this work?

A Schilling, at intervals, sent reports from Italy to the Reich Ministry of the Interior. These work reports were passed on to the Rebort Roch Institute. I had to write a comment on them each time. That was always an unpleasant task because I personally considered Schilling's ideas wrong. Unfortunately, I could not explain why I held this opinion. In all his reports, Schilling was enthusiastic about the results he had had up to that time and in the next report came the disappointments, but he was just as enthugaiastic again about the new ideas which he had worked out, even if these concerned were not much convinced about its prospects, but according to the general principle of freedom of research and freedom of science no one interfered. He was allowed to continue his work because, in the scientific research, progress consists of an individual proving

23 April 67-M-FjC-12-5-Karrow (Int., Von Schoen)

what everyone else has thought, up to that time, is wrong, and the majority has been wrong so often in the history of science that one has become very telerent in that.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, the Tribunal new will be in recess until 1:30 o'clock.

(A rocess was taken until 1330 hours.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The Tribunel reconvened at 1330 hours, 23 April 1947)

THE MANSHAL: The Tribunal is ugain in session.

- GERHARD ROSS-Resumed

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

THE PRESIDENT: As I informed counsel for the Defendant Rose Guring the moon recess, the defendant will have this efternoon within which to complete his testimony in his defense in chief. If the Defendant mose's testimony is not finished by the time of adjournment this afternoon, the defendant may be allowed to file affil with the supplement this testimony in chief must be closed with the session this afternoon.

Counsel may proceed.

BY DA. FRIDZ (Counsel for the Defendent Rose):

- Schilling's work yore you convinced that his work was carried out without any objection being raised, and upon what was your conviction based?
- A. I was quite convinced of that, and I had the best oppositually of getting acquainted with his wast. He had been working at the Robert soon Institute for 29 years. His work methods there were well-snown and were always without any fault. Before that he had been Colonial hysician and from that period of time his work was always

work with the Italian government. This work was carried out in The unobjectionable manner, as far as that being opportunit from the report. It was a matter of course that the malaria experiments, be experiments on human beings, without resorting to the experiment on human beings.

There is no other scientific method. In history you find that inlaring experiments were either carried out on insume popule or on volunteers. The first period lasts from 1920 to 1921 when you had the volunteers, and then one found the malaria treatment of insane people and there you found a community of work between mareriologists and peychiatrists.

- a. How did it come about that the work of Dr. schilling was interrupted in Italy and you went to Dachau?
- A. I can only tell you how I officially got to thow about these sitters. My knowledge does not cover itself with the description as it is given in document book number 4. When giving my description I shall clearly distinguish frie what I know from my own personal knowlad a and what I know from heersay. There was a rumor in B rlin in the year 1941 that denilling had difficulties with the Italian government. In the fall or the winter of 1941 the physician with whom I had worked during the resottlement portud scorocohed we on the following mutter. He said that he was in Rome on an official trin accomanying Professor Conti. He said that the G rman ambassador introduced Schilling to Dr. Conti and schilling then tola Conti about his work in the "tolian insane asylum. he described his results as very good and full of hope. Sonti was very enthusinatic and then because very excited . over the situation where such a well-known German acientist could not find any ol ce in Germany. Conti them made or mises to Somilling. My confidential : gent was a little sceptical and asked to want I thought bout Schilling's work. I voiced my missivings about his work, missivings

writen I could not testify to here, and of which a number of other tropical aggienists agreed with me.

- Q. Did you receive any official report about this meeting?
- A. No, Officially I heard nothing about these events.

 I only found out that the ministry of the Interior had
 sent a report to the moment Koch Institute containing
 a request by Dr. Schilling that he be furnished a new
 laboratory and that he be given assistance.
 - . and wort he coened to this request?
- a. Professor Gildeneister telephoned me, and in a ite of my military work he asked me to write an opinion. Se said that this was a very awkword situation, and that Conti had made promises, and was rather worried over the fact that his assistants were not as enthusiastic as he was. He said he had no like that Knowledge was available in the Ministry roout Schilding's work for years. I than wrote and asked that this opinion be made available here from the documents of the meion Ministry of the Interior.

At that time I studied this work very thoroughly. I read through all the maleria files of Schilling. I read through his antire work regarding protosons immunity, and subsequently wrote this opinion I mentioned, which I sent to the Ministry of the Interior and which contained five principal wints. Firstly, that from what human beings call a rot ctive vaccination ogainst maleria is impossible. Secondly, in case this should be possible by applying Schillings method against all expectations this would only have a theoretic I meaning, because practically this method could not be carried out to any large extent. This ame further explained in the third point to which I cannot refer now owing to look of time. Then I wrote that Schilling how worked on this problem for over 40 years, and according to general experience it was highly improbable that a researcher who and not found a solution within 40 years would find it after anying concluded his 70th year. Finally, from the point of view of Thr, I emphasized that even if Schilling's suggestion could have some theoretical interest one would use that there was a War on and thet material and personnel was scarce. Therefore it dould not be justified that material and personnel be made evailable to him. I think on very rare occasions is enyone justified an objection to the extent I did at that time, and at the end of the opinion I wrote, and you must see that when you find it, that - was 30 yours younger than Schilling, and it was a very anolessant duty for me to write any unfavorable ominion about the work of such a well known tropical physician. I therefore asked that no such opinion be asked from me in the future. According to frau Block's testimony this opinion was then sent into the Ministry of the Interior. 6325

- 2. Did you later concern yourself with this matter?
- A. I have heard now that there was a conference about that subject in the ministry of the Interior, however I did not participate in that conference.
- 4. In this stage of the matter was the thought pronounced that Professor Schilling was going to execute the examinations that were planned by him in a concentration camp?
- A. According to my knowledge there was no mention of that made either braily or in writing, and I learned nothing further about the development of this matter. I therefore cannot any whather Schillings's description can be found in Document 356, Exhibit 185, which is to be found in Document Book 4, page 8. I don't know whether this description is correct. I only know about this short excerpt in my opinion, which I already mentioned. Unon whose request Schilling got into contact with Himmler I do not know.
- Q. Then did you of a that Professor Schilling was working in Dachgu?
- A. I cannot say that with any amount of certainty. Probably when members of my logarithent told be that Schilling had maked for anothyles, and that this question and been granted, on this occasion I have for the first time that in spite of my opinion he had received some cossibility to work, and he also carried out that work at Decome.
- and on what circles of persons Schilling was carrying out his experiments; It must have been clear to you that he could only experiment on home beings; you must have been that in your expecity as a malarilegist?

5326

a. That naturally was a matter of course. In the onse of maleria there is no other emeriment but the ox eriment on human beings, but since I was not to particionte I didn't think much ribout how he was proceeding in detail. I know that Schilling had worked for 40 years in on unobjectionable way, and I had no reason to assume that he would change in ony way. I therefore had to assume that he was either carrying out maleria injections for therapeutical re sons or that he received the approval of the experimental subjects, no it was the case before. I thought also this was a mot or of course that he would luccive such approval because of the maleria experiments that were carried out throughout the world up to the years of 1920 and 1921, which were carried out without any research or h vine any difficulty in setting the experimental subjects. In od ition the document which was submitted by Dr. Barvatius proved that hundreds of volunteers were found in american prisons. Why should it be any different in Germany? This was a matter of course, and I didn't think about this metter as long as it takes me to discuss it here. One after all must have some reason in order to stort gatting suscicious. Whother or not one can be of a different opinion as to the voluntary a ture of such inmates is a different chapter entirely; but that does not only refer to the voluntary spect of Scilling's experimental subjects, but it refers to the voluntary aspect of subjects in medical experiments generally. Now, if you start criticizin; that work in detail there is very much you can say about it. At any rate I had no knowledge under whatconditions or under what prerequisites Schilling was working at Dachau, and it constitutes a great surprise to de to learn from the documents in this trial

5327

that Schilling allegedly carried out experiments on thousands of people, particularly since it was characteristic for his previous work that he was only working with small numbers of people. So much so that the accusation was reised against him by his opionents that his conclusions were built on an insufficient basis.

- Q. In that connection Schilling did not discuss his experimental plans with you?
- He never corresponded with me about it. In the year of 1937 when I took over his department we once had a discussion as to how I was to continue his work. On that occasion with due respect to his age and prestige I told him that I was of a different opinion in questions of Fotozone immunity, and that I wouldn't continue the work in the same direction as he. Schilling know exactly that I was his scientific op onent in questions of maleria immunity.
- Vichwag he allegedly turned to you for advise when he had difficulty in broading the mosquitos. In that case he notually turned to his opponent for advise?
- A. Yell, I was not his person I onemy. I was only opposing him basically in this one scientific question. If one is of a different opinion in scientific questions me indexvers to be particularly polite towards one enother, so that his opposition in this one sphere would not assume a personal distractor. I at no time had any personal differences with soulding. On this question of maquitoes and each and with reference to advise other view points play a part which have nothing at all to do

my person. Schilling did not actually turn to me, but turned to my assistant. That of course does not change my responsibility in the least, because " ar naturally responsible for everything my assistant did with or without my knowledge. I always emphasized towards Gildemeister that I wanted to remain the master at the Tropical De-orthant during the war, and naturally I am fully responsible for wh tever has happened there. We are concerned with the following things: Schilling had worked with the Abbert Koch Institute for 29 years. An assistant was working at my department who had been his personal assistant for 20 years, and whom I had taken over. It was naturally very natural that he turned to her whenever he needed some little technical help, that is the main reason why he turned to my aupartment. He just as well sould have turned to any other Institution in Germany, because there were several other institutions from where he could get moloria strains and mosquitoss.

DR. FRITZ: Mr. Prosident, I have an affidavit from this assistant which Professor Rose mentioned, and it can be found in Rose Document Book 3 on tage 16 to 20. I recent this is Rose Document 36, which I offer as Rose Exhibit No. 32. I should like to start reading it from taragrach 2, page 1. We are concerned with the assistant, Erns von Falkenhayn. I quote:

"At present I am an assistant at the Robert Moch Institute at Pfafferede, near Muchlhausen (Thuringia).

"I was, as an assistant at the Robert Koch Institute, a coworker of Professor Klaus Schilling for nearly two decades, i. e. until he left the institute on reaching the retirement again 1937.

on the problem of protonce immunity. He especially worked on the immunization of the bowine tec-tee disease, and, when these efforts did not bring forth a final satisfactory result, he turned to the immunity problems of moleria and continued to work on this subject after being pensioned off. The work was then made possible by the mid of the Italian powerment and later on, of the SS, and was performed outside the Robert Roch Institute.

successor at the Robert Roch Institute, had a very negative and critical attitude toward refessor Schillin's immunity experiments. This opinion of Prefessor Rose's was shared, mean, others, by the old and experienced scientist for tropical medicine F. K. Kleine, a former president of the Robert Each Institute, who did not conceal this attitude from Professor Schilling.

when Professor Schilling left, I was taken over as an assistant by Professor Rose and remained there as one of his assistants
until the dissolution of the tropical department at the end of 1943.
Therefore, I also know of the work of the department under Professor
Rose in the years from 1937 until it was dissolved and converted into
the Department for Pover Therepy of the Leftwaffe in the year of 1943.
I continued by work as one of Professor Rose's assistants, else in the
Department for Pover Therapy, until the end of the war.

wirus, nor did the Department for Fever Therapy. . refessor hose took a interest in the typhus research of the hebert Koch Institute, on professor Gildeneister personally was in charge of the department,

which at that time was exclusively authorized to carry on typhus research. Impressor Gildensister kept the department in absolute seclusion owing for one thing to the existing danger of infection. For two more recesons I are convinced that impressor Rose had no knowledge of the work of the typhus department: firstly, because the relations between impressor Gildensister and Professor Rose were rather strained ones, and secondly because the older scientists more that others were always anxious not to make their experiments public knowledge prematurely, and always instructed their essistants to this effect.

"In 1943, Professor Rose became vice-president of the Robert Roch Institute. He, however, never executed this office, as he only occasionally and at quite irregular intervals, visited his own department, the Department for Tropical Medicine, and that only in order not to be obliced to entirely give up the department and to discontinue the research work. His military activity had assumed too large a scape. Professor Gildemister, who had no previous knowledge of professor Rose's appointment as vice-president, would certainly not have appreciated a close ecoperation with him either owing to the aforementioned strained relations between the two contlemen. When Professor Gildemister occasionally was absent, he was deputized by Professor Boecker.

"In spring of 1942 professor Schilling saked me for mosquite aggs. He chose to turn to me eving to our long time cooperation and because he probably know that professor hose was with the behanacht. I sent him anopheles aggs at that time, maked him, newsver, to direct further requests to like land, he was in charge of the misquite cultures. I only know that likes lange, upon written requests by professor Schilling, sent further masquitees or masquite aggs to him.

I do not know, however, either the dates or the number of the consignments, but I believe that they were all in 1942, professor Schilling did not receive further material of any kind from the

Department for Fever Therapy.

signment, wrote that the mosquitoes were retting along well. The later correspondence went via Miss Lange, and on occasion of such new requests Professor Schilling may have asked for advise re-arding the breeding of mosquitoes.

for traceding and teaching purposes. I recall for instance such a delivery to the filltary Societ meedeny in Scharnhorstatrasse.

Further details are not known to me as Miss Lange was competent for these matters. My main task was to confuct current investigations especially with regard to the research on emcebs and part of Tro
fussor Rose's transtate research.

since malaria and the supply of masquitoes belonged to the routine work of the department. Also, he was present too seldem, and often be could not even to reached by telephone. Such deliveries more made on the besis of his renoral order and under his official responsibility. I informed him of the delivery of masquitous to professor Schilling and I also showed him professor Schilling's letter. He consented.

when he joined the actor Kech Institute as a professor, was conducted exclusively in sooperation with institutions for the insene and other hospitals as part of the si-collect therapoutic malaria work, the curative fiver treatment. The patients who were to be infected were selected by other physicians. Our department supplied the infected masquitoes, often performed the infection also and evaluated the subsequent unlarin cases from the stunipoint of aslariolary, while the treatment of the patient remained in the hands of the attention physician.

"In medition, the assistant physicians conjucted meleric experiments on themselves. Finally, in the course of years, all ampleyees of the department, who were in contact with the malaria resourch work, contracted the disease through unintentional laboratory infections.

These things can hardly be avoided in the course of such research work.

mI know that Professor mose once intentionally infected himself with bilbersielle and subsequently suffered from a strong coincephile reaction.

"I fufferede, 22 February 1947." (Signed) Erns von Falkenhayn. Then follows the signature and the certification.

From this affiliavit it becomes apparent that you furnished mesquitoes and mesquitoes once to other agencies; is there enything else you have to say in this connection?

A Well, before enswering your question, I should like to make some remarks about this Document No. 35. On page 19 it says in the third para raph that I = a informed that irofessor Schilling received these mesquitous and that the letter was shown to me, where he says that the mesquitous were putting on elright and that I then consented. figs Block, in her testing or here, said schethin which some to be in contradiction with that statement. She said that I was worried shout that and that I forbade further natural being given to Schilling. This is an external contradiction, but it can easily be cleared up. Upon seeing Schillis is letter and upon hearing that he had these conguitous, I could not very well sent a letter to him saking him to send these posquitoes back to se. Sending these meaguitees back and forth, I am sure that not meny would have runnined alive and really there was no reason here to start a his rew. Insofar as that went, I agreed with the matter. The description as iven by Frau Block is quite right because at that time we only are scarce personnel and the meleria requirements by clinica were increasingly pro ressively. Furthermore my department did current experimental work on malaria and we had just started to sork with DDT and on erorseus number of masquitces were used in that connection. I was really very annoyed that meaquitoes were furnished for a purpose which I conditioned to be useless. That in itself should be a clerification of this centradiction, none of

6333

these ladies are telling on untruth as both Indies are cotually correct.

Q then you were just speaking about the uscless purpose, this mount that the question much Professor Schilling tried to solve could not be solved according to your opinion?

.. That was my old opposition, namely that I did not believe in the possibility of a protective vaccination against malaria and what I had road in Schilling's request that he wanted to continue this work about protective vaccinations in his new Laboratory.

Well, let us now turn to the question as to whether I furnish any accountees elsewhere; it was really the normal task of my department. You find it currently in the yearly reports and a certain fund had been made ready in order to institute an e-ency to which every physician could turn who needed scheris nosquitees. All he had to do was to write there are then be furnished with scheria. This is why we had Miss von Falkenhayn. We only paid her in order to send malaria to clinics and various cheer physicians. Naturally, my department was not the only source for malaria and mesquitees. The witness Viewer said here expressly that irofessor Schilling had received mesquitees from a number of other sources. That obviously was the consequence of my remark directive, namely that it Schilling if he wrote once more, nothing more should be furnished to Schilling to because we needed these things curselyes. The result is quite clear, he wrote to someone also and received his natural.

23 April 1947 -A-16-1-PRP- Clik (Remaler)

Q. Did you son't any malaria strains to Professor Schilling?

A. I con't know that personally, but among the strains which were mentioned by Vielweg one originated from my department. From my own knowledge I would enswer this question in the negative, but in the meantime you have received a report from Miss von Palkonhayn which is not contained in the afficient to the effect that at one time a malaria strain was furnished to Schilling, hence I know that since dies von Palkonhayn is a credible person I have to enswer that question with "yes," but not of my own knowledge. I think, however, this scarce is prolible.

Q. How is it that you if not know a maliris strain was sont away from your department?

A. hell, it is quite materi. It can be seen from the corlier reports of the departments that currently at lari strains and mosquitees were furnished to the sarts of places. This was an overy day "ffair, Meturally in peace time I wall have learned about that. Then I looked at all of the letters and all of the requests or I signoi the consignment paper, or something of that nature. In war time that become impossible. Then these items were handled according to the general Greetive, after I gove thum, and since I never explicitly forbade malaria strains to be sent to Professor Schilling, his application was naturally fulfilled, because why, after all, should Schilling be treated sorse than any other physician in Germany. Mobody wise had any misgivings in sending amilaric strains to Schilling, because the witness Vietweg testified here he was working with twelve different strelms. One of these strains was brod by him personally, and one, according to Von Fr kkenneyn's testimony, riginate from my lopertment, and the other ten he received from different sources, a number of these sources were onumerate, by Vielwag in his testimony.

Q. The witness Vielweg was speaking rooms a Rose culture with which he himself was infected. Is that the culture which prigin tell in your department?

23 April 1947 +4-16-2-PKF- Cook (Remalar) Court No. 1

A. No, cortainly not. at no time did I allow my mame to be given to any culture. I don't even know a culture hase in maluria literature. From where it comes and who gave it that name I don't kn.w. It is improbable that Schilling would have calle a culture which he received from my department, according to kiss Von Falkonhayn's strictment, it is improbable because calcriclogists do not do this. My Copartment naturally furnished this strain in the proper manner by giving the number of the passage and the number of the group, as it was usually done, and as a rule the name is not altered because otherwise there would be a terrific mix-up in literature if you find this strain "pooring un or different names. Naturally, whomever such malarie atrains were sent to clinics, one could nover know what was , oing to happen to it, because you find no mai rislatist today who a bides by rules. My implayees have ascertain of in insano caylines in Berlin repeatedly that they encountered meleria atrains with unknown mess. That intereste us very much and we looked at the source in found that it was a well-known strain, which the psychiatrist just loft un-named, but it really despit make any difference whether the strain Reac came from my department or may other, at may rate he received one strain from my do, remont and that suffices.

Q. The witness Victor states that Professor Schilling was recoiving melaria strains in blood form, as well as in meaguite form. Did you over furnish it in blood form?

A. My labourtary never furnished it in blood f ra. We only sent out infector a equitoes. In the case of transmittal of blood there is always the dancer that the discuss is simult neously transmitted, and since most possile who get malaris treatment also suffer from synhilis, one has to count with the sussibility. In the case of transmitted of the accquitous, buy such simultaneous transmitted of syphilis is is assible, and I pur nteed when sonding my masquitoes that I was only transmitting malaria and that the infection would start on that the strains which I was sonding out were actually

harmless. That is why such hospitals turned to my department, who didn't want to treat people suffering from syphilis with malaria, who wanted to treat other patients, and the hebrancht had ordered in their service regulations that malaria was to be gotten from me when carrying out their post diptheria work.

DR. FRITZ: Mr. President, what the defendant Rose just said can be seen from Rose Cocument 38, which you will find in Rose document book No. 3. I already offered it as Rose Exhibit No. 10 and an pages 51 and 52 of the document book, you will find a directive for the treatment of post diptheria paralysis with induced malaria. On the proceding pages you will find the scientific foundation for these directives and at the end on page 52 one realizes the correctness of Professor Rose's statement that the furnishing of infected manguitoes was a routine anter in this department of the Robert Kich Institute. It mays there, and I quote the last paragraph:

"The appreciant for tropical Meaness of the Robert Koch
Institute is willing, in accordance with provious arrangement, to
place at the disposal of behaveacht hospitals, free of charge, infected
mosquitues from their anaphales colony. It would be best to employ a
courier for their transportation."

Do you think it is a saible, Professor, that the strain Rose mentioned by Professor Vicinous was given a different name in the hospitals somewhere class?

A. That is naturally possible. One can expect anything from a clinical study, they could be anything with a maleria strain.

Q. Did you gain any knowledge about Professor Schillings work and results?

A. Since Schilling conclude his work in Italy I no longer and a report by him and I never heard any and class who received any reports. The first I hear' of it was Vielweg's testimeny to the effect that Schilling sent a report to the Reichbarrzt SS Grawitz, and I had no connection with Mr. Grawitz. We further heard that such reports also were sent to Himmler.

Q. I think you already mentioned, Professor, that you were carrying on corespondence with Professor Schilling, or that you were not at all carrying out any correspondence with Professor Schilling about his work. I did not understand you correctly.

a. No, I had no correspondence with him at all and this was quite understandable because there was no reason to do that. There was no reason for him to discuss his experimental plans with me since even if I did not work on malaria protective vaccination I was one of the most important experimental malaria researchists and under such circumstances are does not carry an any correspondence in this matter. We other malaria researchists abroad or in Germany told me what plans they have not did I write to them what my current work was, Why of all people whoul. I do that with Professor Schilling?

G. heren't you interested in the result of his work?

A. "sturnly I found out about the result of his work after it had been concluded, because every scientist writes a paper on his work which is then printed or lecture. Curing a meeting and in this manner we finds out about the result of the work. This is the normal way as it applies to every scientific activity senerally. This work of Schilling in Dacheu was never published up to this moment and nothing can be seembout it in the files of the Tribunal, although I am very interested in Schilling's work, I can't know enything about it up to this present time.

april 23-A-BK-17-1-Gross (Von Schoon) Court No. 1 Q Didn't you hear enything from any third parties about Schilling's activity and the activity of his collaborators at Dachnu? .. Only on one occasion did I read an article in the Journal of Tropical Medicine in the year 1944. This was a malaria paper written by an author whose none I do not know and with whose concepts I did not agree. While it is usually statud in the case of any such paper from what clinic or institute it originates or who the Chief is who guarantees the authenticity of the matter stated, no such indication was made in this paper. Since I was rather interested in this rather coulier conception stated in this erticle, I wrote to the editor of the paper. I was on the Committee of that periodical and I asked for information. I received an answer that this ann was a collaborator of Claus Schilling and was Dr. Pleotnor who, as I can see from the documents now, was Schilling's first colimborator. U_ to that time I and not known Professor Ploutner's name at 111. I had not heard about him because I had no connections to Dachau and for that roason know noither the name of his first collaborator

> nor the name of any of his other collaborators. of tor you found out from whore this work originated dien't you start corres, ondence with Professor Schilling or Professor Pleatner?

.. When I saw fro. the reply thet this was a collaborator of Schillin, I became quite clear about the contents of the work. Well, these were just the old opposition, the old two schools of thought, and thore was no purpose in corresponding about it. It was clear from the outset that we would never agree on that subject.

Didn't you recognize from the contents of this

paper that it came from the Schilling Institute?

- .. Since I didn't know on what Schilling and his collaborators were working with the exception of this general idea about protective vaccinations I couldn't guess it was Schilling from reading the paper. This did not only deal with protective vaccination but was a nore general subject.
- Wr. Prosident, I have succeeded in having Professor Roso's correst ondence with the editor of this negazine, I have obtained it. I offer it as Decument 30 - pages 3 and 4 in Dogument Book III - I offer this as RoseExhibit No. 34. I have just handed the original to the Secretary General. This shows that it is theoriginal letter from Professor Rose to Professor Dr. Reichnow. and from the files of the Institute the carbon copy of the answer there. I also offer the proceeding decument in the Decument Brok, No. 29 as Rose Exhibit No. 33. I ber your pardon, Mr. President, I must change these two exhibit numbers. The original letter Rose Document No. 30 will be Exhibit No. 33, Rose Document 29 will be 34. The last continued decument is the affidavit of the hand of the Institute for Ships and Tropical Diseases, dated 5 February 1947, Professor Dr. Mauck. In the interest of savin time I shall not read this affidavit. It shows that aces Document No. 30 cames from the files of this Institute and Professor Dr. Nauck made it available to ma.

Professor were you over in Dachen yourself?

- .. For the first time in my life in May 1946 as an anorigan prisoner.
- the field of experimental malaria research. That is, no doubt, quite generally known. Please tell the Tribunal what

you dealt with in this work and how this work was carried out.

- In I should like to refer to Documents 10, 11, and 12. This morning I already exclained here the points there which deal with my maleria work. Also I should like to refer to Document No. 25 which also mentions a considerable portion of my maleria work. I don't care to go into that any further here. As far as we working experimentally on experimental subjects we worked exclusively with insome and other sick persons where maleria infection was necessary for therapoutic purposes. This can be seen from the annual reports of the Mobert Keen Institute. Indication for malerie treatment was given by some acctor in some hospital and we delivered the maleric or we edimistered it as the defidevit of Miss Ven Felkenhaya describes it. As she describes it it is quite correct.
- Volpert's on himself. That was at this second meeting of the consulting hysicians. This report is contained in Document of Prosecution No. 922, Prosecution Exhibit 435. That is the document which I have reproduced in my Document Book III for the convenience of the Tribunal and the annual report of this department also speaks of the same experiment. Nore experiments carried out in this department by the scientists on themselves and what were those experiments?
- . I have wondored why the Prosecution has submitted
 this lecture of mine. I don't know what it has to do with
 Schilling's experiments. I don't know that even today
 because Prosecution has not explained it has morely
 submitted the document. But, since the document has been
 submitted I have to say what I know about it. During the

Wer in view of the extent of blood transfusions we were interested in the question now long malaria germs remain alive in human blood. So far up to three weeks had been proved. Now we are looking for the extreme limit. The carlier experiment had been performed on paralytics of the nourological ward of the Virchow hospital. When my assistant Volport wanted to work in the same way on the blood sample he found that fungi had grown in this blood as foreign body, as contamination. No didn't want to wait three months again and this test was very in ortant because the infectious matter of this sample had been proved after three weeks. On the other hand, however, he considerod it too dangerous to use such a sample conteminated with fungi into insano persons and in otder not to less the experiment he injected the stuff into his own thigh. The result was quite successful. He contracted maleria. and that is now a classical er origent because no one class has proved that malaria parasite can live for 90 days outside the human body. .. nother assistant of mine performed a similar experiment but that such experiments on our own bodies were not more frequent with us was that most of the people had contracted meleria by accident in the laboratory before they could gield to such an experiment and such infections were, of course, used in therepoutic experiments with drugs but those were not nelarie experiments.

- Q. In the course of my presentation of evidence there has been mentioned repeatedly the Section for Fever Therapy at Pfeffenrode. What kind of an institute was that?
- A. In the discussion of my relations with Gildemeister I mentioned the reasons why I and my department wanted to leave the Robert Koch Institute. I suggested to Professor Ripple, at that time, that a Luftwaffe Department for Fever Thorany be established and that personnel and equipment of my institute bo transferred there. Professor Ripoko accented this sur astion and the department was set up at Finffenrode and other people were assigned there so that I had a total of twenty-five persons, including six doctors and zoologists, and then the work was done on the malaria treatment of the insanc. We, of course, also took adventage of this for our melariological work for, although I had always taken a great deal of interest in the thorapeutic success with the insane, for me the malaris side of the whole thing was the main thing. That is quite understandable, of course.
 - Q. Mr. President, I should like to submit another affiliavit by Professor Dr. Luxenburger of 24th of Merch, 1947. This is Rose Document 47 in the supplement, as Exhibit No 35. It deals with the work of the defendant Rose in the field of malaria. I shall not read it in order to save time, but I ask the Tribunal to take notice of its contents.

Your deportment at Pfaffenrode, at the ceginning of april, 1945, fell into the hands of the americans. What did the occupation sutherities feel about your experimental work?

A. By the surprise advance of the americans in April 1945 Menlasusen in Thuringia was occupied. Figfienroie is near ther, and it had to be assumed that the institute was also occupied by the enemy. At the time, I was in Barlin for a lecture on epidemic control. When I came t brok to Bad marzburg I tried, during the night, to get into Ffeffunrode in order to give the personnel instructions as to how they were to boh we, but I didn't success, I could not get through the lines. Therefore, I went back to Harrburg and I maked the Chief of Stoff that I should be sent to negotiate as a truce bearer in order to hego tiste with the americans about the surrender of this to risent. The Chief of Strif refused by offer because the dedical chief could not be reached. He was the one was would have med to take the responsibility, and the Unior or storf did not wont that because all negotiations with the enemy were strictly rorbid on and were subject to severe penalty. I therefore went to the commending officer of the Group Science and Asserten, who was at Harzburg at that time too, ran amplained by situation to him. That was Professor Laxonburger who was a psychiatrist himself. He had more incorstonaing for my worries and he took the responsibility of signing my a plication.

those Document #8. That is in Document Book 1 on popular 27 to 27. Rose Excibit 28. That is the first affiliavit of Professor Laxenburger. I have already to d the first part - page 2 of the Accument, the last paragraph. That is made 25 of the Accument, the last paragraph. That is made 25 of the Accument book. From there is, Professor Tax notinger exhibits this trip of Professor Tax notinger exhibits this trip of Professor that to the Accument as a truck before. I had readly intended to road it, but in order to save time is a life fally

Court 1 april 23-A-18-3-HD-Karrow-Von Schon.

not do so. I ask you to take judicial notice of it.

Then, how did you carry out the surrender of the department to the americans?

- A. I went through the lines with this paper, south of Audolstadt, and I established contact with the American infentry. I managed to age the american staff, and finally I was allowed to negotiate with american medical officers. The negotiations instea three days, because the American -uthorities had to consult Eisenhower's headquarters, and a consulting descriptivist was sent out. Finally, a written instruction was aprood moon which I wrote down for Stabsarst Blaurock who was my representative at Ffeffenrole. During these negotiations the in ortant thing was that the trained specialized personell were to be left there to take care of the insene, because I had six hundred petients under my responsibility there. Also the arus surply was to be safeguarded and the special food rotes were to be sefeguarded which I had obtained for my octionts from the Food Sinistry. Also, I wanted to free my person of from the compulsion of refusal which exists for every Wehrascht member who is captured, and then + wented to avoid any records being destroyed because there was a general order to destroy military records so that they would not fall into the enemy's hands. There was danger that this order would simply be carried out systematically and all the records of the department would be destroyed. * wented to prevent that through tness ne oti tions.
 - .. Mr. President, the correctness of this statement of the defendant Rose is shown by Rose Documents 31, 32, and 35 in Rose Document Book 3. Document 31 is on page 5.
 I offer it as Exhibit 36. This is the officerit of the

5345

Thysician, Dr. Blaurock of 19 February 1947. Rose document #32 is the following one, which I offer as Rose Exhibit #37. This is another affidavit of Dr. Blaurock, also dated 19 February 1947. This document is on pages 6 and 7 of the Document Book, and Rose Document #33 on pages 8 to 10 I offer as Rose Exhibit #38. This is the certified copy of the surrender instructions which the defendant Rose has just spoken of for his institute of Ffaffenrode.

Did you return to the German side after that?

- A. That was very important for me. This action, which I had undertaken on my own responsibility, deviated considerably from all existing regulations. I had noted independently, without orders, and, of course, it was obsolutely essential for me to get back to the German side and report what I had done, in order to either have the sumert of my superiors form my action or to take the consequences, if my action was not approved; and I succeeded in that. I got back through the lines. I want to basiow. I reported to professor Schroeder, and then, subsequently, he have sie approved to my action.
- Q. Do you know what hampened to your department after you left?
- how only what they told me, but I consider them so trustworthy that I on repeat what they said, especially because
 of the agreement that I and reached in the negotiations.
 First, the americans placed this department under special
 supervision, in order to prevent unauthorized persons
 interfering. Then, it was repeatedly checked by american
 medical authorities. Then a group of the CIC came there
 and looked through the files, and, as a result, issued

written instructions to the deportment to continue in the work. I also know that an american Army Doctor with the rank of Colonel made a written report after a thorough checking of the institution and that, in this report, he speaks favorably about the institute and about the work and the way the institute was managed. I learned of the contents of this report when, in the winter of 1945, I was for the second time examined by the Intelligence Service. A member of the american Intelligence Service told members of this report.

.. Mr. President, to support the testimony of the definiont dose, I should like to submit Rose Document 34 in dose Document Book 3, page 11-15. I should like to offer it as Exhibit #39. This is an affidavit of Colonel of the army of the Unit d States, Otto B. Schreuder, of the lith of Merch, 1947.

Professor, I believe that there will not be enough time to read it. Ferhaps you will ive a brief explanation of this English document.

A. This document was originally orimarily intended as a hepatitis document. As supplement to the testimony of Colonel Schreuder there is an excerpt which american excerpt which american excerpt which american excerpt which american which I wrote in June, 1945, in England; but since the charge of hepatitis epidemica has been dropped I have no interest in one into this part of the affidavit.

3 -pril-a-JP-19-1-milor (Int. von Schoon)
Court I

I refer to pure 11 of the Document Book, which is mage 1 of document 34, to manbors 1, 3 and 4 in the efficient. So, I gives the personal date of the vitness. No.3 describes the visit of Colonel Schreuder to the department at Pfifferede, and No. 4 contains a brief personal statuage of colonel Schreuder about my person.

- Q. You discussed experimental malaria research as carried on by your did you also deal with protective vaccination as another malaria?
- as I want to say it was the experiment a malaria research as carried out by me where I was responsible and had something to say, and the wers and be as in which this work was turned over when the and care where I was responsible. So records were burned, no patients word went away, the person in charge of the amperiments did not run May but I am to it that my patients were then care of decently. I say to it that my patients were t ken ours of decently. I saw to it that no document was destroyed carelessly, and I aggelf went through the lines to see to it, instead of running way. low I am to be hold responsible for that schebody class did, over whom I had not the milightest influence, of whose obtivity I knew nothing whatever, and who in his catire conduct was the openate of want I did ayealf. I while have liked, if I had been able to describe in more detail the way in which I worked, but the Friannal has limited the time for my defense. I did not work on protective vaccination on inst malaria. On the busis of work of other researchers I was convinced that that is on involuble problem, and normally a research worker does not deal with problems which he commai ere insoluble, and I have recorded this point of view about protective v cein tion ac inst Balaria in literature. I can point out on the negative side that I have excerpts of . overything that I said worst and ring at the meetings of consulting physicians, which I have sugmitted that here, There is not a word about

38 wiril-mJP-19-2-Miloy (Int. von Schoon)

Court I

protective vaccination against malaria, and if I had had a man anywhere who conducted experiments about protective vaccination on over a thousand people I would no donot on one of these many occasions have said a word about it. I hope that my coinion written in the year 1961 will turn up again. It is in the hands of the bilitary Government, because the records of the session in 29 December of 1961 which was submitted here, came from the same files. In the same filing cabinet is my expert opinion. If they want to find it they can; and then I should like to refer to my Basle lecture of 19.4, which has been submitted here. Document 25, which says on page 39, and I quote - The role of drags to malaria combutting ----- page 39. Document 25, Document Book 2, page 39.

when part played by druge in the fight as inst salaria is not orderested by the possibilities emperated, although we do not know of a vaccination effective aminst aniaria, and although it is unlikely that such will be developed, in view of the nature of this preterestinf entire, the preventive treatment, the so-called 'drug prophlaxis' has already played for some decades, in the fight aminst malaria a part similar to that played by vaccination in cases of bacteria and virus infections."

That is not the speech of a man who is conducting experiments on a thousand people in a concentration cusp on protective vaccination, when he at least knows everything about it, as the Prosecution says.

Q. sow, can you plouse comment on Professor Schilling's work at Dachant

That is impossible at the majort. I m accused, because of this work, that is true, but the only material which I have on it is Dooment Book 4, and the testimony of the witness Vichweg. I do not even know the wording of the testimony of Professor Schilling in the Dochen trial, although I asked for a record of the testimony. According

to the merican newspapers, Schilling propared a news about his work which is avilable to foreign exports, and I have not been able to get it yet either. The materials wailable so far are so imadequate from the medic I point of view, that I, at least, coment express any opinion on them, although I am supposed to take the responsibility for them. I can only point out one thin, the witness Vichwer said here that experimental subjects of Schillings died because of the Selvereen trout of maluria. I enould like to refer to Document. Book Rose 3, document 922, Prosecution Exhibit 435, page 30, the lecture by no at the mostle, of consulting physicians. I refer to woint 2 "Troutment". At the end of this percernh it ays the following: "-the treatment of tertions with necessiv rean, which only suppresses the vivex infections but does not ourse them parasitolocically, is also to be rejected." I can say that that was a locture at a memoral Webronest mostin where I could only give a recommend then for the Laftwarfe; if w recommendation was accepted, it could be turned into an order, and on a result of this recommendation the treat out of malaria tertians with a dvarage was probinited in the Leftw ffe; and now I as to be held remainsible, I, as the man who has sulversum treatment for malaria prohibited, occurse Schilling had the edisfortune when tre_time halaris putients with calvirges that some of them died. But I should like once more to express the hope that the prosecution in submitting document Book 4, presided the files of the Dachae trial would be undo available here. I at the mold remo sible for it. I applied in time to be given the tentil my of Schilling to run. I have not such a single line of it yet. I have before the end of the trial I will see the records, and then I will perhaps on able to express my responsibility for what is in it.

^{7.} Wall, was Professor Schillin imformed about your unlaria work?

as fur as I know he was not, at loast I told him nothing. he probably read what I mublished. I assued that my special publightions were always sent him by the secretary, according to the distribution list. I had a conoral list of manos, malaria works were sont to so and so, etc., out the reports of the Vennacht nectings Schilling probably did not read decause he did not belong to the Wonmercht, and my associate, Miss von Falkcahaya who corresponded with him, had express instructions not to tall his anything about our work, so that there would be no gossin between the laboratories, and I acted no differently toward Schilling than toward any other malaria research worker. as the manual reports of the Ambert Koch Institute at to I, of course, collaborated with quite a number of posple, such as mertens. Rocald and Shoel concerning certain unlarie droge, and of pourse with those needle I discussed the particular part of my work which we were thing in common, and corresponded with them, becomes we had to collaborate; but of course I didn't tell those poorle mythic, about the other nattors which my other associates were delight and in addition to these people there were quite a member was were working on Balaria rese ren experiment May, Schulemann, Sivoli and those at the Sanbury Provided Institute, Amoer, and so forth. I did not ecommune views with a simile one of these. That is the general custom. If one exchanges origions with ones closest competitors, there is always the danger that ooth people was are working on the want thing will not the same idea, and ifterwards if they talked about it they reproach such other that one stole the ideas of the other; and if one is a little older and has a cortain amount of orperience and weats to be an good terms with his collegues, one Knows that the best thing is not to talk about work which is not finished yet, but just wout the work which has seen completed.

- Then your conduct toward Professor Schilling was an only the same as toward your other colleagues?
 - A. You, exactly the same.
- Q. Now, do you feel responsible for the work of Professor Schilling because your department sent Professor Schilling mesquito e.g. nd a melaric strain?
- a. Of course, I take full responsibility for the fact that my personnel sent this reteried to him. It is out of the question thetaiss von Kelkenhayn is responsible, that is my responsibility. Of course, I do not take any responsibility as to what another scientist does with mosquito ogga end me loria perasites which I have given to min. My duty and care is limited to giving such interial only to the people, primarily doctors, whom I must sesume, according to quetomery procedure, will use the a torial or purly one not misuse it. It was the official duty of my deportment to io no. If for example some Wehrmacht howeital, on the b sis of the order of the Chief of the Wehrm cht medical Service, wented melaria for melaria truntment of of diptheria and asked me for it, maye it to them without any lolay. I did not have the tank to sheck whether this hoseital had the authority to corry out mal ris treatment, I had no supervision over those haspitals. Supervison to see that only a qualified ann smould carry out meloris trestment in the hospital was up to the chief physician of the nosoital, and secondly of the Wehrmone physician. They had to see to it that the regulations about the correct erecution were observed, and how was I surposed to no that as I sent meleria to all sorts of people? How can I control fifty hospitals? The number w s even reater, how can I ask what they do with Moloria? In the same way, it was not my duty to ask what

the use of the mosquito eg s by Professor Schilling; but the duty of the supervision over this work belonged to the passile who had given Schilling the assignment and made it -cesicle for him to work. we for he I know today to t was the responsibility of the mulchsartz 58 and himmler. What impliets meancies were nutriculated, I do not know. In any osae I had no official connections with either of Less offices or with Mr. Schilling, That I am not alone in my opinion is shown by the feet that schilling asked for a terial from various foreign and Germ a institutes and jot it, as Vienway sold here, and he never had any difficulties. Also Schilling had his own mosquito catching detail and hel bred his own melaria strains. He was in no way proposed on my strain and the few meaquite ages he received from my own depretment. If the fact of maving liven his such actorial means responsibility for their use by miny them all scientific cooperation must stoo. Then no one can give anything out of his hands. 1 have dyen much more dangerous things then meleric strains, for instance, englers and the de cultures, only on the basis of applie tion by anil to puople. I personally did not know, only on the basis that I know the institute where they worked on, knew that it was relieble.

- Q. When such requests were about wes it not said for that surross the a terial was needed?
- a. No, that is not austomary. Usually one merely asks for the interior and the interior le sent without further inquiry; that is an international ouston.
 - 2. Then could any acctor esk the Ambert Kunn Institute for -1- gue cultures; is that it?
 - a. Fl as cultures; no. In Jermany there are certain logal regulations about lague. There are only a few

institutes that are allowed to work with it, and they are known to us. To legaly suthorized institutes one, of course, sends them. But, for example, if foreign institutes ask me for plague cultures, as the Robert Koch Institute had the permission to work with plagues and had such cultures, I would have sent a plague culture to a foreign country. In the accompaning letter, I would perhaps have added to the sentence that I assumed the recipient would see to it that the legal regulations in his country were observed, which I would not know. For malaria strains there are no such restrictions, they are distributed internationally without any reservations, and containly mosquite agas.

The witness Vichweg and for example that Schilling worked with the Medagascar atrain, that was a well known etrain in literature. That was bred in Hortlan in England by Colonel James.

Q. Did you yourself ever get melaria strains from abroad?

A. No, malaria strains. I always worked with malaria strains which we had bred ourselves, but I know of a number of strains in Germany which must have come from abroad as this is well known in literature. I, myself, get from abroad smalls, which carried discusses, ticks, acceptite on a, worm parisites, infected onts, and plague strains. In all cases they were sant to me without any reservations on the part of the sender. It was my own personal pusiness in each case to get the approval for importing these tings from the pathorized Covernmental authorities; and there are legal regulations about the importation of disease carriers and dangerous insects which my assistants and I had to observe. But the sender

Court 1 Arril 23-a-20-4-HD-Meehan-vonSchoen.

abroad had nothing to do with thet. I had to see to it that I could present the custom's office with approval for introducing these dangerous things in Germany. I assume that is the case everywhere in the world.

DR. FRITZ: Mr. President, I have completed the direct examination of the defendent Rose. I should now like to reserve the right, efter the cross-examination, to submit a few more documents to the Tribunal.

WITHESS: I beg your perdon, how about the document Nuchlens?

Dh. FRITZ: I should like to offer that at the end, Professor,

after the cross-examination. I went to end my direct examination now.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel may offer the documents either tomorrow morning or at the end of the exemination, as he pleases.

MR. EARDY: I don't understand what Your Honor meant by offering documents before cross examination.

THE PARSIDENT: Comment for the defence said he had a few more documents which he would like to offer at some later date and I informed comment he could offer those documents tomorrow morning or some later date, and I thought possibly comment had understood when I told him the direct examination would be limited to this afternoon that might also include the offering of documents as exhibits. It did not include those, he can offer those the first thing tomorrow morning if he desires. Is it understood, comment?

DR. FRITZ: Yes, eir.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunel will now be in recess until nine-thirty tolorrow norming.

(At 1525 hours the Tribunal adjourned until 0930 hours, 24 April 1947.)

NATIONAL ARCHIVES MICROFILM PUBLICATIONS

Ro11 7

Target 3

Volume 18

Apr. 24-30, 1947

OFFICIAL RECORD

UNITED STATES MILITARY TRIBUNALS NÜRNBERG

U.S. vs KARL BRANDT et al

TRANSCRIPTS (English)

24-30 April 1947 pp. 6357-6762

official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the author of the United States of America against Marl Br ndt, at al, defendints, sitting at Furnberg, Germany, on 24 April 1947, 0930, Justice Books presiding.

THE MARSHAL: Porsons in the court room will ploned find their sects.

The Man rable, the Judges of Military Tribunal 1.
Military Tribunal I is now in session. God save the
United States of American and this lemerable Tribunal.
There will be order in the courtreen.

defenients are all present in court.

THE MARSHAL: May it ploans your Benow, all tofon-

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary-General will note for the record the presence of all the defendants in court. are there my quanties to be propounded to this witness by any defense counsel?

> GREEASD ROSE - Resumod GROSS EXAMI ATION

BY DR. SERVATIUS (Counsel for the Defendant Karl Brandt)

- o. Litness, can I consult you as an export on the field of opideric reservoh?
- A. For almost two decrees Gomen and foreign authorities have consilered no an expert in this field and consulted no, and you can also consider me as an expert.
 - 1. Is hepatible a Fatal Meanso?
 - A. You mean hep-titis opiderden?
 - A. Epilordec conteminesa.
- a demporous disease by hygionists. But in all those

things you must consider that one must give justice not only to the hygienic but also to the clinical aspect. To give you an example, take the common cold. Everyone here in the courtroom no dubt agrees that that is not a dangerous disease, nevertheless, everyone knows that obtitis medic may follow a cold. This can be complicated by meningitis, and the person can die of that, I mean principly; but no one will for that reason call the common cold a fatal disease. It thus is possible in the case of hepatitis there may be some other complications, but no one will call reports its itself a dangerous disease because of that.

- with the hepatitis virus cannected with grows denger?
- A. Here is some an torical on that. Shore ore three examples known in Germany. Experiments with hepatitis virus have been earlied out in Germany by Eppinger, Vegt, Essen and Lemine. We incidents occured. The experiments were harmless. That is very little material, but hundreds of energy, which would negate us to form a much more reliable judgment, can be found in English and American literature. Up to today there have been about human experiments with hepatitis, and there has not been a single incident reported.
- T. Witness, can you impline experiments in this field which and in do th, or where on one expect lently
- A. I would not on ject any death in any such oppor-
- Q. I rake whother you is an expert can incgine such experiments, what the nature of such experiments would be?
 - A. Experimente with hepotitie virus ne, I commet

Physician-SS Grawitz in a document NO-110, Exhibit 187, demands priseners from Himder for such experiments remember that in enother decument, No-011, Exhibit 188, Hiral r acde eight prison rs who had been conformed to doubt a vailable, Can one not conclude from this that extraordinarily dangerous experiments were planned

- A. I would not draw that conclusion. I would consider two possibilities; oither that the applicant did not lmow enough about the intter, and since the person who wrote the letter was ir. Gravits, who for yours bud worked only with administrative matters, that possibility would be quite reasonable. The other explanation for no would be that he was very extremely careful and, of course, it is better when there is need to corry out in experiment to present it as serious and lengerous then to under-estimate the denger. on ox gent tion is bottor then a minimizing of the danger. Amb reasons were followed in this case, I do not know since I am not informed hout the matter.
- Q. In connection with hopetitis research did you heer the num of Professor Brandt?
- L. I never board she make of Professor Brandt in this connection.
- Q. Did Professor Brendt ever in any connection derend that you conduct experiments on huran beings?
- A. No. Non I met Professor Brandt there is testimony on that; we did not my mything about experiments on human boings.

de april 1 - 12 miles in a Q Witness, a few questions on becteriological marfares Simon about 1943 there was a working community under the name "Lightning -. " (mitableiter), which doalt with the question of biochemical warfare. Do you know this working community? A May I remark that I know it, but it did not deal with biochemical warfare, but with defense against biological weapons. That is what is also understood by the term "bacteria warfare." The expression "bacteria warfare" is more restricted in meaning; biological waspons denote use of bacteria, virus, protoscans, germs of all kinds, against huran beings and amirals, also the use of insect pests which harm plants or seeds, destroy harvests, potato bugs, and similar things. That is called biological warfare; and the Committee Elitzableiter dealt with these questions. Q Now, the next question, did they deal with offensive or defensive? A Whoever was delogated to this committee had to signify by his signature when he entered it that he was aware of a basic Fuehror Order, and this Fuehrer Order read that it was prohibited even to abudy the possibility of a offensive biological warfare. I myself put my signature to such a document, and I belonged to this committee until the end of the War. I attended the last meeting. I know, therefore, that this Fuehrer order was never repealed. Q Now, Witness, before the International Military Tribunals here Generalarst Schreiber was examined, and in contrast to you he said that such aggressive preparations were made? A I consider that one of the most infamous lies which Mr. Schreiber gave here. At the time whon the news came over the radio I immediately offered myself as a witness to the International Military Tribunal. Mr. Schreiber never belonged to the Blitzableiter committee. Q Generalarst Schreiber also said that experiments on human beings were conducted in this field; what do you think about that? 3660

A It is of course always difficult to give negative testimony.

I can, of course, not say no human being experiments were conducted;
but I can testify for cartainty that in the Elitsableiter Committee
experiments on human beings were never discussed in this connection,
and that no such experiments on human beings were planned there.

MR. HARDY: May it please Your Honor, at the close of the direct examination by the defense counsel for the defendant Rose, Your Honor asked whether any of defense counsel wished to cross-examined the witness. Dr. Servatius now is cross-examining defendant Rose, and during the course of the cross-examination is bringing in new material which was not covered during the direct examination. I object to any further questioning along those lines concerning something other than what was brought out in direct examination.

DR. SERVATIOS: Mr. President, I did not intend to cross-examina
the witness, but to question him directly. If I did not have the
opportunity to question him now, I would have called him as a witness,
but up to now it has been the rule that I can examine a defendant as
a witness, and only when the direct examination is finished, if I am
not the defendant's coursel, then only can I ask him about questions
of the cross-examination. I believe that these questions are now
permissable to him as a witness in direct.

THE FRESIDENT: It has been the practice of the Tribunal to allow defense counsel to examine defendants after they have finished their testimony in chief in their own behalf, as witnesses for the different defendants, whose coursel desire to examine, The prosecution has had the same privilege.

MR. HARDY: Your Honor than, whenever a defense counsel other than the particular defense counsel for the defendant involved, is examining a defendant, how can the prosecution determine whether that defense counsel is bringing the defendant on the cross-examination or whether he is using him for his own witness, and thereby being responsible for everything that defendant says.

THE PRESIDENT: By the questions which I propounded in this case, counsel for Karl Brandt, says he is examining the defendant for his own witness.

MR. HARDT: At this time?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Counsel may proceed.

Q Witness, in the work concerning biological warfare did Professor Brandt play any role?

A Neither in the Eliteableiter Committee or outside of this committee did I hear anything about Professor Karl Brandt in any commettee with biological warfare.

Q Now, I have a question on another subject, suthanasis and insane asylums; witness, you gave an affidavit, Document NO-872, Prosecution Exhibit 181, and you state the following concerning the defendant Karl Brandt: "He gave no the insane asylum in Thuringia, and promised me my patients could remain in this institution." Did Professor Brandt have the right to dispose of such insane asylums? — I can show you this exhibit.

that I have an adequate knowledge of the English language, so that I can take the responsibility of signing affidavits in English. When I signed this affidavit I made a few changes in the original wording. This is not my testimony. It is an excerpt which the Prosecution made of an interrogation. I had a long conversation with Mr. Devreis about these words "to put an income anylum at my disposal." And I told Mr. Devreis in my opinion this expression "put it at my disposal" neither covers the rights which I obtained in this transaction, nor represents correctly the function which Professor Brandt exercised. Mr. Devreis vary a tubbornly held to this expression "put at my disposal," and he ended the conversation by saying "you could put your patients into this institution." "yes," I said, "I could," and then he said, "Then the institution was at your disposal", and that is what the English means," and I signed it, and of course I admit that it was my mistake

I was not asked at the time how I got the insane asylum of Pfaffenrode. The question which I was asked "What official connections did you have with Professor Brandt?" I mentioned these two points first, that I negotiated with him about this institution, about the question of fever therapy, and second that I asked him for his help when I wanted to get better food rations for my patients. I would have considered myself terribly boring if in answer to this question I had given a long description of the technical procedure of my getting the institution. That was, of course, a long administrative matter, in which Professor Brandt was not longer concerned.

24 Aur 47-X - 3-1 - LJG - Meehan (von Schoen)

- Q. Witness, Professor Brandt then had no administrative or supervisory authority over the insane asylum?
- A. I never knew of that. The state supervision over state anylume was up to the Ministry of the Interior and the administrative authority was no doubt in most cases with the provincial authority. In any case, with this institution that was the case, and I had to negotiate with the provincial administration in Merseburg.
- Q. Witness, you ward just speaking of the food rations for the imentus of those insane asylums. Here derive the trial you have heard that people were allegedly allowed to starve to death, this being a version of Suthamasia. Did you negotiate with Professor Brandt in any way about the reduction of rations for these patients; do you know that Professor Brandt advocated the starvation of these insane? You said in your affidavit that on the basis of intervention of Professor Brandt, the patients received higher food rations. What did Professor Brandt have to do with this matter?
- A. You have asked me several questions. First of all, I never heard that starvation rations were set especially for insane asylums. I had so athing to do with insane asylums because I had to supply the malaria vaccine, which gave no much more contact with the insane asylums than the normal hypionist has. On those occasions I never learned that ospecially less rations were given to insane persons anywhere.

This specific matter was the following: When I started with fover therapy at Plaffenrode, all the insane persons there received the normal rations, that is the rations of housewives, mothers, and sysulf for example as an office worker, the same rations that we received; these rations had been especially reduced at that that, not for the insane but for the entire German population, and I wanted to carry out fever treatment on my insane people, and that is an additional burden on the body; therefore, I wanted the higher

rations for my patients, which were given in the general hospitals. That was refused by the Provincial Food Office in Thuringia; therefore, I made another application to the food ministry; and since there were laymen there, I was afraid they would not have shough understanding for the insanc. I wrote to three agencies and asked for support. I wrote to the Reichs Com issioner Frefessor Brandt; secondly, to my Medical Inspectorate, and third to State Secretary Conti. In each case I enclosed a draft of a letter, and saked the gentlemen to send this draft is their letter to the Roichs Food winistry, because I hoped that if three cannons were shooting at the food ministry, that they then would perhaps give we these additional rations for my six hundred patients and that was auccessful. However, that was a favor I was asking from those three gentlemen. I asked them to support my medical opinion, and one could not conclude from that that they had anything whatever to so with the setting of food rations for insune asylums.

Q. Witness, one more question. According to the Prosecution evidence that has been submitted here, one could assume from 1942 on all insume persons were externanceed in the institutions; how many insules were there from 1942 on; were there still insume persons?

A. Of course insome persons were still there in considerable author. I have of course no knowledge of the figures; I only cooperated with institutions and I did not gain insight into the actual
business of the institutions proper, but in Pfaffenrode, for
example, it was as follows: In conce time Pfaffenrode had beds
for the thousand insome people, and at the beginning of 1945 there
was a total of 4,000 insome there, as the result of transfers,
because other insome asylums had to give up beds for evacuation
hospitals and similar purposes.

Q. No you know the musber of the putients on hand in the winter of 1961-1962 when Buthanasia was stopped?

24 Apr -1 - 3-3 - Ldd - Machan (von Schoen)

- A. No, I know nothing about that.
- Q. No you have the impression that blindly exterminations were carried out?
- a. I had nothing to do with the execution of Suthanasia. I can only say from my experience that the heads of the insane asylume did not talk about this subject at all, or only very reductantly.

 For example, I can mention the director of the institute arnsdorf, there were a few old schizophrenics who were under my fever treatment and they were transferred. I must assume today that they were included in the Sathanasia program. I attempted to learn from him to what institution they had been sent, so that I could write to the director there and find out whether there had been any reoccurances of malaria. By treatment had been very unsuccessful and that was the final attempt, from a psychiatric point of view nothing could be done for these patients any sore. I was interested from the point of view of malaria; but I could not find out from Mr. Sagol what was going on.
 - Q. witness, that was in 1945?
 - A. No, then were 1940.
- BY DR. MELTE: (Counsel for the Defendant to dlosur.)
- you wrete to Professor Hangen on 9 June, 1943, it is Document NO-306, Prosecution Exhibit 296. This Latter reads:

"Dear or Recent sy best thanks for both your letters dated h and 5 duns and the prompt execution of my request. I have compiled a proposal for the Inspector, in which I enclosed your original papers and requested him to arge the Chief of the Medical Service of the Nebreacht to order the production of spotted fever vaccina for all Webreacht in the Sestern area..."

The rost of the lotter is of no interest here.

Do you recember this letter?

24 mer 17-1 - 3-4 - LdG - Mochan (von Schoen)

- A. Not in the sames that I know exactly that I wrote this latter; but the whole matter, the whole context I remember clearly.
- Q. One casic question, you know that the Prosecution brings this latter in connection with the human experiments at Natzweiler; that is the experiments which Professor Haagen conducted in Natzweiler on human beings; does this letter have anything to do with the research or experiments in Natzweiler as the Prosecution submits?
 - A. No, nothing at all.
- Q. What was the context of your suggestion, or rather the suggestion which you made on the basis of material from Hangon?
- A. The whole enter was as follows: Professor Schreiber wonted to have a survey of the practicability of the various procedures of the production of typhus vaccine from an economy point of view; that is, assuming the to increase it produce vaccines every month for one hundred thousand persons; how such material is needed; how many workers are needed; (a) If the weightice procedure is used, (b) if the vaccine is produced according to the Gildeneister-manger method and (c) if a lung vaccine is produced, etc.

Schreibur had asked several typhus experts about it, and he had called me up and said I should got him the information from the Definatio. Document No. 305, which is on the proceeding page, shows.....

- 4. This is Proceention Exhibit 295.
- ". This shows to tir. Hargen give he the corresponding information. This information itself is not available but only a
 correction of it. Apparently in the first letter he had made a
 mistale in the figures and he corrects it now, and he give his
 owinion on these questions; to tis surely his opinion on purely
 technical mixters of production. Hr. Schreiber did not want to
 rely on the opinion of a single man, he know scientists, he know
 that every specialist would uphold his own procedure and call it

Court I

24 apr 4"-- - 3-5 - LaG Wesham (von Schoen)

of the different procedures. The supplying of this purely technical information has now been connected with the renewed suggestion to increase vaccine production to such an extent that all members of the meanmacht at the session front could be vaccinated. That is the context, that is the meaning of this matter.

Q. Well then Schroiber asked for information for comparative determination of production costs and production material needed for extensive vaccine production?

A. Voll less about cost than about the personnel and the material needed.

Q. There was Schreiber at that time?

As He was chief of the training group "C" in the academy, I believe the deputy for epidemic control with the Reich Research Council. I wouldn't say that for certain, the date is the 9 June 1943...

Q. It is doubtful whether he already was plenipotentiary of the Roich Research Council?

. I don't know the date so well.

Q. It was the end of May or the beginning of June when he was given the assignment as plenipotentiary for epidemic control?

A. It is possible but I can say nothing for certain.

It shows that you made a suggestion to the Chief of the Medical Sorvice of the Luftwaffe, and that you asked him to pass on this suggestion. The suggestion, which you just mentioned, that there should be a large typhus vaccine drive in the Rest; do you know personally whother your Chief, Generaloberstabsarst Hippho did submit that suggestion?

He I can only express an assumption. In general I did not learn that whether such a thing was passed on I became to know in two ways.

If there was any inquiry about it or if I get to see the records

Inter: I cannot remember today whether either of these two possibilities was the case here. I would assume that it ment on, but I don't know.

Q. Do you know whether any decision was reached? You say in the letter: "I hope to succeed inthis mat er." You seemed to be interested in it and I believe that if the suggestion had been

Q. Yes, that is what I want to imove that this material, which may have been brought to the ettention of the Jehrmacht Medical Service, said nothing about regenron and nothing about Matzweiler, only

... You, and the date shows that too. The first experimental vencinations, which are reported in Stressbourg, are supposed to have taken place at the end of May or the baginning of June 1943 in Schirmok, There couldn't have been any enterial on it yet.

Q. I am interested only in facts. What you say is an explaintien.

a. In document 305, it says for example that a letter from Mr. Giroud is enclosed. That is the head of the corresponding department at the Pastour Institute in Paris, the gave information, that I need so and so many rabbits per month, and I need so many technical assistants and so and so many untrained workers. That has nothing to do with experiments.

Q. But that was from Heagen to you. That was the basis for what you reported to Hangen or rather to Hippice.

- A. Yes, I took these things together and wrote a covering letter and sent it on.
- Q. Did you ever talk to Professor Handloser about experiments on human beings of the type which are the subject of the charges here?
 - A. No.
- Q. Or did you over have an opportunity to discuss experiments with him, that is typhus experiments with human beings?
- ... No. I believe Handloger did not discuss typhus questions with me at all, certainly not experiments. About deleusing problems Professor Handloger did not consult me.
- Q. When you were in Buchenwald with Professor Gildeneister, did you have an opportunity afterwards to discuss it with Professor Mandlosom?
- A. Generaloborabetabserst Handloser was not yet the Chief of the Takrancht Medical Service at that time. I had no opportunity to see him and I did not talk to him about it.
- Q. Following the Ding lecture at the May meeting in 1943 where you protested, did you talk to Professor Hamiltoner about the reason for your protest and did you talk to him about the incident at all?
 - .. Fo, I did not talk to Professor Hendloser about it.
- N. Did you talk to Professor hundloser about research on hopetitis epidemien?
 - a. No, I was not working with hopatitis.
 - Q. Did you talk to him about malaria?
 - A. I talked to Professor Handloser repeatedly about malaria.
- Cr in connection with the experiments in Dachau with Schilling.
- a. No. I knew nothing about them myself. Those were questions of prophylaxis with the troops, does of prophylaxis, and then neclaric treatment, standard methods of treatment, the time when

molaria prophylaris should begin, the question of general treatment.

- vaccination and for the carrying out of prophylaxis?
- A. No, not vaccination. There is no vaccination for malariz prophylasis, but drugs.
 - Q. Did you talk to Handloser about yellow fever experimental
 - ale Mo.
- Q. Did you participate in the hopetitis discussion in June 1946 in Brosleu?
 - .. Ho.
 - Q. Why not?
- invited. I would have been glad to hear what was reported there, but apparently it was only a small group of purely hepatitis specialists, and since I was a general hygienist I was not invited. I only learned subsequently of this mosting. If I had bearned about it beforehend I would have tried to get an invitation.
 - Q. Do you know where Dr. Domon worked on hepatitis research?
- Institute, after he had already left; and then later I heard that he was in Giessen, and at some institute, but both of these facts I know only from hearsay and not from my own knowledge. I did not see him at the Hobert Koch Institute, and I did not visit him at Diessen.
- C. But you do know that Doman worked at the Robert Koch Institute under Professor Gildemeister on hepatitis?
 - a. You, I heard that,
 - 2. Well your houring it was such that you can call it knowledge?
- A. Well I considered it quite credible. If I were not under onth I would simply say Demon worked for Gildemeister, but since I am under onth I can only say I heard that Demon worked for Gildemeister.

- O. You worked at the Robert Koch Institute, too, didn't you?
- A. Yos, I had my department there.
- 2. Then this "hearing" can be evaluated as more important than if someone simply says, in ordinary life, "I heard that he worked at ac and se".
- A. You, certainly. Bosides there is an affidavit from Generated Long, who is now director of the Robert Koch Institute and it says that Dohmon did work there, and he has access to check up on the files.
- C. I have no more questions to this witness. I thank you.
 BY Dr. PRIBILLA (Counsel for the defendant Rostock):
- Q. Professor Rose, you were Vice President of the Robert Koch Institute of Berlin. Was this institute under the Ministry of the Interior or was it at any time under the Commissioner General for Horlth and Medical Matters, and consequently under the department for science and research?
- The institute, until 31 March 1942 was under the Prussian Ministry of Interior. From 1 April 1942 on it was under the Reich Ministry of Interior. But, of course, it was nover under the Commissioner General.
- C. Then you never had any orders or instructions directly from the Commissioner General for the Robert Koch Institute?
 - A. No. I never sow ony such instructions,
- Q. Nore you as Vice President of the Robert Noch Institute, or as Consulting Hydronist of the Luftwaffe, over under Professor Rostock in his capacity as Donn of the Medical Faculty of the University of Earlin?
- A. No, of course not. I had nothing to do with Professor Rostock as Dean except in my especity as teacher in the Medical Faculty. But, I was also teacher at the Foreign Science Faculty. Even as in the University he had nothing to do and certainly with my Job outside of the University. In practice I merely had to send him anote every

six months saying "I will hold a lecture on this subject and on such and such days and at such and such times," and, then the technical correspondence which a Dean has to exchange with an instructor.

He had to have my telephone number, he had to have my address, and he had to know my personal data, too.

- Q. In any case, this Institute and the work which was done there, he had no control of?
 - ... No. It is quite out of the question.
- Q. Did you not fool it your duty as a scientist from 1944 on to report to Rostock as head of the Department of Science and Rosearch — to give reports about your research you had planned or carried out?
- ... No. I had enough to write without that. I didn't write to mayone on my own initiative.
- c. Did Rostock over give you may instructions or requests in this connection?
- A. No. I never received any such requests from Professor Restock.
 - Q. Thank you.

BY DR. SAUTER (Counsel for the Defendants Blome and Ruff):

C. Professor Rose, the defendant Buff, or rather the defendant Blome — I must correct myself — as you know, is the only defendant when the Prosecution brings into any connection with plague experiments. This assumption of the Prosecution, if I may refresh your memory Professor Rose, is based on the file note of Professor Eliebe, which you know, of the 23 February 1944 — Document 1309, Exhibit 326. I should like to read to you the pessage which refers to plague, to recall it to your memory. The file note says:

Professor Blose is of the opinion that above all a check of our procines, and particularly plague vaccine, is necessary. Corresponding experiments on human beings would have to be conducted."

and later it says: "Professor Bloom at the end asked whether

the Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service of the Inspectorate of the Wehrmacht knew that in European Turken 4,000 cases of plague have occurres."

Following this quotation — the document of February 1944 — the Presecution charged the defendant Blome that he was in some connection with criminal experiments with plague which were either carried out or planned. Now, Professor, I have seen from your list of publications that you yourself wrote two papers on plague. Is that true?

- A. You.
- Q. and, from a document in your Document Book I see that you were sent to the Notherlands East Indies and to Evengsi to deal with plague problems. Is that true?
 - in Yos, that is true.
- o, Then I may consider you an Internationally recognized export in this field and you will be able to give no some information on a few points so the Tribunal may be able to get a picture whether plaque experiments with which Blone is charged are permissible and when they are considered criminal?
 - A. You.
- 2. Dr. Rose, can you tell me whether according to medical ideas sail medical or research practice throughout the world it was generally customary in former decades that in producing plague vaccines experiments on human beings were carried out?
- time when plague vaccination was carried out with killed bacilli.

 That was quite in the beginning of the study of vaccines. The first experiments had the character of experiments on human beings. They were carried out by Euffgon in Sembny. On the basis of these experiments it was developed to the point that in the future one had only to make tests of telerance which cannot really be called experiments on human beings may longer. Nevertheless there was once a very

unfortunate incident. During these tests sixteen people died of totomus. That is a well known thing historically. That was contemination of the vaccine. Since then there has been a general rogulation that vaccines have to be tested beforehand for tetamus. That is because of this incident with the plague vaccine. But then there comes the modern development of plague vaccines which I have clroudy had to mention in describing the historical basis of the work of Professor Hangen. That is the development of vaccines from living avirulent plague bacteria. Since these vaccines have been introduced if one begins with the production of those vaccines an experiment on human beings is always unaveidable. That was done for the first time when the procedure was introduced by Dr. Strong who layed the foundation for all this work. Then all this work was repeated again in the 20s by Dr. Otton in Java. The reesen was that this old system of Strong and Otton had been lost. Therefore the whole thing had to be repeated. At the same time as, independently of Otton, the same experiments were carried out in Madagescar,

- Q. Professor Rose, I am primarily interested in the following:

 And that is the reason why I go into this questioned I ask you in

 answering it to consider this purpose of clarification. Those exper
 iments which Professor Strong, I believe that he is an emerican, and

 other foreign scientists carried out, reports were given in Journals

 by professional Journals. Do you know which once, primarily?
 - ... american work was published in the Philippine Journal of Science.
- Q. Do you know, Professor, what the reputation of this
 Philippine Journal is, whether in america and England it has a high
 reputation and is read very much or enother it is an obscure paper.
 Perhaps you will comment on that always remembering, Professor Rose,
 what influence these publications had on the development of medical
 attricts, conception of profession of medicine the permissibility or
 illegality of such experiments on human beings?

BY DR. SAUTER:

- Q. Professor Rose, do you believe that a scientific journal of the significance and wide-spread importance of this Philippine journal had considerable influence on the development of the concention of the medical profession in regard to such experiments on human beings and still exercises such influence today, and that the things winted in such serious journals, if they are written by internationally recognized scientists, are given special schnowledgment and recognition by the medical world?
- A. It is, of course, clear that important scientific journals, in part, reproduce the ethics of the profession and also influence it. The tis true.
- The professor mose, you know the sublications of the professor whom you just mentioned. You wend these solications, and what a name tell us about what had man writes about the quality of the experimental surjects?
- A. In the first poper on plague vaccines, no eye that he began with criminals condemned to derth and that he continued the experiments on other persons. It is not indicated exactly what these other persons were, but according to the whole discussion of the experiments one on assume that they were less prisoners from billibit and that is likely also occase from other works by him one can see it rate ted to the worked on prisoners in Billibit, and I have some knowledge of my own on the subject because I discussed this whole inter with Filipino doctors when I was in the Metherican Indicate to study plague control. I was with two filipino doctors one, of rourse, we discussed the roundation of this work which come from the Filipino doctors
 - w. Professor mose, you stoke of Billioit. That is a

- A. Yes, a penitentiary near Menila.
- Q. Does the author, this highly respected Professor Strong, say anything about these experimental subjects being volunteers, or is he silent on that subject?
- A. The work on plague says nothing about it and even if it said so, no one would have believe it at that time, because to inject living plague baccilli, even if it went off well, was criticized by experts and it was said that it was too dangerous. Of course, a layman would not volunteer for such things.
- 4. Done Dr. otrong I believe that this Professor Strong is the sime man whom you mentioned a few days ago?
 - A. Yea.
- 4. and you told the Tritunal that you knew him personnily, I believe?
 - A. Yea.
- Q. That you knew him as a men of specially high conception of his duty as a doctor. That is the same man?
 - A. Yes.
- 4. Does Professor Strong write anything about deaths resulting from his experiments on prison ro?
- a. Not in the case of the plague experiments, but in his Beri-Beri experiments he does.
- Q. Those were also experiments which Professor Strong carried out?
 - A. Yes, also in Billibit.
- Q. And how great was the percentage of deaths? Do you know enything about thet?
- A. In the beri-beri experiments, one out of twentynine.

experimental subjects in the plague experiments alone allowed the conclusion that these were all criminals condemned to death, in the first place, and second, that they had all volunteered?

MR. HARDY: May it please Your Honor, this material concerning the experiments of Professor Strong Falls right in the category of other material and experiments in other countries. The Tribunal has ruled that this may be introduced at a later time in the trial and, at that time, the Tribunal will rule as to the edmissibility of such evidence. It seems that the publication is available in the Philippine journal and he is merely questioning the defendant on what exists in this publication. I think that may well be introduced at a later date and can be ruled on at that time and not take up the time of the Tribunal now.

THE PRESIDENT: The subjection of counsel for the prosecution is well-taken. The equiter of the contents of this publication in the Philippine journal may be some into at some later date during the trial when the copies may be produced and all such publications can be considered at one time.

DR. SAUTER: Ar. President, I ask pormission to complete my questions about the Philippine journal. I boliave I have only one or two more questions and then I will be finished with the subject and then, Mr. President, I do not want these questions about the Philippine journal to be thrown into the whole group of things that are to be eliminated or the one at the end of the trial. I'm not asking about general experiments in the whole world, I'm asking specifically bout plague experiments, and that is because the defendant Blone is the only one who is

I am interested in determining whether Professor Blome can be declared a criminal for plague experiments which no did not conduct while some foreigner, who had conducted experiments, is not a criminal but is glorified. I believe this question has to be settled and therefore I ask permission to deal with the one or two questions which are still necessary to settle this a ttor.

SUDGE SERRING: Do you contend that this witness knows anything about these experiments other than is written in this journal? If it is simply a question of what is contained in the journal, the Tribunal must read it for itself. You have been asking him whether he knows what is contained in the journal and if, in his opinion, the language is such as to justify the belief concerning whether these were or were not volunteers. Whether in the last assential if they are written I apprehend that perhaps it is the function of this Tribunal to determine, in the last analysis, whether or not the language is susceptible of the interpretation that they were or were not volunteers.

DR. SAUTER: The situation provisely inconnection with these plague experiments, the witness having talked about is different, bocques on the one hand Professor Rose knows the author, and the scientist who conducted these experiments personally. He was in the Matherlands - India and in China himself and studied these questions, that is, he is an expert of the very first language in this field, and the second point is that Professor Strong, who actually did perform such experiments that Blome is accused of, although he did not porform them. Professor Strong is an internationally recognized scientist who acquired special respect in the medical world for these plague experiments. I am of the opinion that we jurists must be grateful if on internationally recognized outhority like Professor Rese con cive us information about these medical questions, because he had nothing whatever to do with those plague experiments. For this question he is merely an importial expert, but I believe I have only two more questions on that subject, and then the chapter will be finished.

BY THE PRESIDENT:

- Q. Professor Rose, do you know of your own knowledge whether or not the men used for the plague experiments were or were not volunteers?
- investigation of plague in Java when I cooperated and collaborated with a number of asiatic dectors, amon, them Siamese. Chinese and two Phillipines. Since we were interested in plague and since we were studying the problem of living plague vaccine, of course we discussed the foundations of the whole business very thoroughly and two of the Phillipine dectors were present, and I rumember one conversation when the other asiatic gentlemen attacked these experiments rather violently and said that was a typical example of the white race misusing the atives, and the two Phillipines who were most interested in this question they observed, they said that even if the experimental subjects had not all been volunteers, and of course there

Phillippine doctors who had known Strong, on the basis of this personal knowledge, were perfectly convinced that this was not a misuse of actives but a very reliable work in the interests of the peoples of asia; not only the Phillippines but the Indians and Sianese as well, who were cursing Mr. Strong. From this conversation I know what Phillippine doctors thought about these experiments. That is the source of my knowledge, but since we were working on nothing else but plague at the time, we of course discussed these matters in grant detail. Strong's work was the basis on which we did all our work. That was in 1935 in Bandong on Java.

- Q. Then it is generally understood in your profession that Strong used prison immates, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. and the opinion is divided in your profession as to whether those prisquers were or were not volunteers, is that correct?

A. You, that was expressly said by the Phillippine doctors, that they did not believe that they were volunteers. Professor Strong did not always may so. Many of his papers may nothing about it, but in others he does maintain it, but on the spot the people did not believe it. It is often the case in medical works it mays "these are volunteers and ---"

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, was the matter of the proposed interrogation covered by the answers by the witness to the questions propounded by the Tribunal?

DR. SAUTER: I have only one more brief question on this matter of plague, one single question on plague.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed to propound your question to the witness.
BY DR. SAUTER:

Q. Professor Rose, one final question on the problem of plagues did you ever hear that any authority in the whole World, whether the church or the Government or the medical professional organizations

or any one, objected to these reports of Strong and similar scientists, for excepte in the Phillippine Journal, and called these experiments criminal, specifically whether the church, Christian or non-Christian, protestant or Catholic, took this point of view.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness may enswer that question yes or no.

- i. I beg your perdon, unfortunately it is impossible. Very excoptionally there were criticisms, not of Strong specifically but of
 other work which I know of, but on the whole I never heard such
 criticism, such criticism was always an exception. I am very sorry
 that I could not observe your instruction.
- Q. One brief final question on another subject, only a correction.

 It is some thing which the Tribunal asked a very brief question,

 Professor. In the examination of Professor Rostock the Tribunal asked

 whether immunity can be ascertained by blood test, that is whether an

 experiment with numan beings is absolutely necessary; did you under
 atend the question?
 - in You.
 - Q. Is that correct?
- n. In the direct examination by Dr. Fritz I have already enswored this question. I don't know whether it escaped your notice, Dr. Sauter. I know you have a document, I believe it is No. 24, which you wanted togubait on the subject.

DR. SaUTER: Then I have no more questions, Mr. President.
Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will be in recess for a few mindtes.

(Thereupon a recess was taken)

A. Lot me correct this one error first of all, I did not discuss this Decement postering with Dr. Fritz, the Document discussed restoring was Document No. 127.
The whole question to which this letter refers, however, I went into it great length with Dr. Fritz.

Now, to answer your specific questions. A lay-men can, in my opinion, not deduce such matters as you brought 24 April 47-M-SY-8-2-Mouhan-Int: Brown Court No. 1

forth from this lotter for the simple reason that there is nothing to that effect in the letter; how then sould a lay-man find anything in there if the expert could not.

The defendant Sievers drew only the conclusion, from what Hangen said, that this was a testing of the veccine, a protective receination such as are given millions of times in protecting equinate all sorts of discases. Can any objection be raised to that point of view or could one say he also had to take something also into account?

A No, that is also my conviction, and I am today still firstly convinced that Hengen worked in a completely irreproachence way, and neither from vitnesses nor from documents have I been able to find anything that contradicts that. I stated specifically yesterday that only this document 127 — if I had received this document, I should have become suspicious and would have rested questions, but that is the only one from which it could be deduced that he intended anything that was incorrect.

- Then no layman are was not an export could have seen that?
- and 127; and, of educate, it is very difficult for a specialist to judge what a layout mint deduce from smothing like this. As a specialist one is always inclined to see matters such a re-simply, and to believe the traceuse one understands enote self that others must also understand; but a after find out that is a very considerable error. I consider it quite possible that even if Sievers had seen this located 127, nothin particularly yould have struck him in it.
 - q and if he only sew decement 123 -
- . There is nothing in it at 11. There is only mention of vaccines.

M. EISGELHak: No further questions.

THE THISIDENT: ore there may other questions of this mitness by defense counsel?

If not, the Presention my eress-exemine too matness.

CROSS BALLILLTICK

. If Handloser issued any general instructions as Chief of the medical Survices of the Wehrmacht, you would have been bound to follow those instructions, would you not?

A. For instance, he issued a directive on the incoption of the maleria prophylexis. But here again I cannot testify whether that was a binding order or whether that was simply a proposal. That is a question of militery subordination, regarding which I really cannot give you any information. I know only that the date was fixed when the maleria prophylexis should begin in the Luftweffe in agreement with this proposal by Professor Handloser. But that took place so that all branches of the Vehrmacht should be working simultaneously on this matter and I do know that is what happened. Now, whether that happened on the basis of an order or a voluntary agreement, that I cannot tell you. As a scientist I never concerned eyeals with these things and since they are of importance in this trial I would consider it careless to say anything about it.

to You also know that Handloser as Chief of the Medical Services of the Wehrmacht controlled typhus vaccine production for all the branches of the Wehrmacht, don't you?

that he had charge of the distribution of the veccines to various branches of the Wehrencht. But, before that, the arry Medical Inspectorate had had charge of that because before a chief of the Medical Services of the Wehrencht existed the three medical chiefs agreed voluntarily that the Arry, as the largest branch of the Wehrencht, should undertake this distribution because, since the veccine was very scarce, all the branches of the Vehrencht were trying to get special rights for thanselves with various factories that produced vaccines. Thus the denser gross that someone who was particularly clever at this would get a large amount and the branch that needed it nost of all, perhaps, but was not muite so clever, would get the short and of the stick. Therefore, at the beginning of 1943 the three medical inspectorates voluntari-

ly agreed that the Army Medical Inspectorate was to do the distributing.

and, so far as I know, the Chief of the Medical Services of the Wehrmacht
carried on the same policy.

service of the Luftwaffe, I think it was the letter written by Kent and which you admit having some knowledge of, mention was made that the production of typhus vaccine by Hangen would have to be taken up with Hand-loser. Isn't that right?

A. Yes, that is in the letter and that may be traced back to that all agreement of the year 1942, because at that time it was also agreed, so far as I remember, that in case any branch of the Vehrmacht not up its own production facilities the Army Medical Inspectorate should be informed of this. The reason for this measure was to prevent one branch from producing for itself one hundred thousand doses and still receiving its entire much as if it had no production of its own at all. But, as I said, these are rather administrative matters, of which I heard incidentally. For instance, I knew that there were individual agreements but I unfortunately do not know the precise text.

It is true, is it not, that after the war with Russin becan the typhus problem became very neute?

- A. Tes.
- t. Typhus was not common in Germany, was it?
- A. Before the wer there was no typhus in Germany at all.
- And to neet this typhus problem you could do two things, couldn't you? One was combat like with disinfectants such as Zyklon B, and the other was to produce protective vaccines.
 - A. Yes.
- . This Committee which you were on with Dr. Peters was very much interested in Zyklon B, was it not?
- A. It concerned itself with disinfectants in toto and that included also the interest in Zyklon B. But Zyklon B. namely, pressic soid, was not a very serious problem in this matter. At any rate, from my point of

view. I was representative of the civilian consumers and the civilian consummers received no prussic acid at all. There were only eight firms that were permitted. They had to present their requests for disinfectants and then received their muote. So that process acid was the thing that could to settled most easily in this whole metter. It therefore did not play such a large role. Of course, it did play an important role purely in the production muestion, but this was not settled by the committee because the committee simply had to distribute those disinfectants and chemicals which were nade available by main Group Chamicals for distribution. And prussic soid is used not only as in insecticide but is also very important in menufacture. For example, in the production of unbreakable glass prussic soit is used and stching and tanning procedures make use of prussic acid. And prussic acid is used in agriculture as an insecticide, and all these natters were decided higher up. This working committee for insecticides received from the whole total available amount of pruseic acid that amount of pruseic acid that was made available for combatting of insect posts tangerous to human health. The agriculture insect pests were taken care of by another connitted and they received accounts of pruseic acid from somewhere also; in other words, not pruseic soid that we controlled. And inquetry is its turn received its share.

Was the same type of prussic acid used in insecticide control on in agriculture or manufacturing uses?

A. Regarding the agriculture insect pests I am not well informed.
But, I should like to assume that this is so because the sein characteristic of Zyklon B is that it contains an agent that smells. Prussic acid has no smell itself and when we deal with the insect pests democrous to human lives we added this agent that smelled as a warning that this was prussic acid. Then this, of course, was the same point of view the people fighting agriculture insect pests had, because they were just as interested in being warned by the small as the disinfectors, but I can't say that for sure because I had nothing to do with combattime of agriculture insect pests.

- Q. Now the disinfectors, that is, the insect control people, had to obtain their prussic acid from your committee or through your committee, did they not?
- A. The prussic ecid was assigned to these eight firms by the commit-

the specialists had considerable misgivings about this procedure can be seen first of all from literature where that is explicitly stated; for example, two Englishmon say that, contrary to expectations, those experiments went off well but nevertheless this process cannot be used for general vaccination because there is always the danger that, through some unexpected event, this strain again bocomes virulent. Moreover, from other works that Strong later published it can be seen that Guinea pigs and monkeys that he vaccinated with this vaccine, died, not of the plague but of the texte affects of the vaccine. All those difficulties are the reason why this enormously important discovery which Koller and Otto made in 1903, and Strong in 1905, has only been generally applied, for all practical purposes, since 1926. That is an indication of the care and fear with which this whole entter was first approached, and Strong could not know ahead of time that his experiments would turn out well. I described here the enermous concern that Strong felt during all these conthe regarding the fact that that might happen which every specialist feared, viz. that the virus would become virulent again. That is an enormous responsibility.

- W. Bo that as it may, nobody died. That is a fact, isn't it?
- A. If anyone did die, the literature says nothing about it.

 There were mertalities only among the menkeys and Guinea pigs that are mentioned in the publication. If human beings died, there is no mention in the publication. It is generally known, if there are serious accidents in such experiments as this, they are most reluctant in making them public.
- Q. Now, Professor, I have no mish to limit you but, as I understand it, you have explained these things in considerable detail during the four days in which you have already testified. If you can give a short answer to my question that is all I want. If I want any further explanation I'll ask you for it.

Now, what is the normal death rate in beri-beri?

Court I 24 Apr 17-16 - 11-4 - LJ3 - Karrow (Brown) Q. Among the controls, you figured thrity per cent? 4. Yes. There were ten control persons in the first group of experiments, and of them, three died. Q. Three died? Rell, but I assum that you have read through the Ding Diary and let us assume for the moment that it is correct. Didn't you say that they also used control persons in the four or five other suries of experiments. A. In thecontrolled cases where they were testing the vaccine, the general mortality was thirty per cent. But then there were those therapeutic experiments in which, according to the Diary, blood infections were undertaken and, in this case, the Diery does mention an unusually high sortality rate. w. Well, professor, for your information - we have figured out five control series in the Ding Diary, and I mean by controls those that were not trooted with enything. The cortality ranges between fifty-four to one hundred per cent and averaged eighty-one per cent. Do you accept those figures as correct? I mean, do you think that's right? Q. No, that does not correspond with the impression I got from the numbers in the Diary, but I didn't calculate it so recisely as all that. I looked at the individual experiments and it is true that, for instance, in those therapoutic experiments Ding's work mentions a mortality of something like fifty to fifty-five per cent, and then there is one series that deals with blood infection where of twenty people I believe mineteen died. Q. Let me put it to you, Professor. Isn't it a fact that they weren't dealing with opidemic typhus in Buchenwald, but with a supertyphus, developed from man to man passage, which was much more virulent and much more deadly than any typhus you could expect in nn epidemic? 4. That I cannot judge because I have no knowledge of the work done in Suchemould and can only refer to what Ding's Diary says, 6397

which I regard as unreliable.

- Q. Well, if you regard it as reliable, Doctor, and if you figure out the deaths among the untreated control persons and find a mortality which averaged eighty-one per cent, won't you, as a scientist and an expert on tropical diseases, concede that they had developed a highly virulent, schething we might call a supertyphon, in Buchenwald? Isn't that right, Professor?
- h. As a scientist I am accustomed to state my opinion on the basis of reliable documentation, and not on the basis of such falsifications which are produced for a special purpose.
- Q. I can appreciate that you don't regard the document as reliable, Professor, but we'll investigate that a little later. Pursuing the same point which I have been putting to you and in reference to your answer about spidemic jaundice, are you propered, as an expert, to state that a man to man passage of an epidemic jaundice virus could not bring about a new disease which might be quite deadly?
- A. I have no personal experience in this since I never tried out any such experiments. I can only rely on what is said in English and apericon literature where such passage from man to man was carried out in more than six hundred cases, and no increase in virthence was observed. Moreover, the passage from man to man is the normal form of contagion in all infectious diseases which is not transmitted by any intermediate agent. For instance, diphtheris is transmitted only from man to man with no carrier, and mendingitis is the same. If a new moningitis epidemic breaks out, then the nortality is, at the boginning, very high. It can be as high, for instance, as fifty per cont in maningitis. Then, after the spidemic has been in any one area for quite a while, the mortality drops. This is a pretty general spidemilogical law. That is not true only of meningitis, but of many other contagious diseases; namely, that the epidemic is more dangerous at the beginning than at the end of its course.

24 Apr-M-GES-12-1-Maloy (Int. Brown) Court No. 1. Q. well are you sure that epidemic joundice is transferred with out ony intermediate area agents, is that right; do you know how it is transferred? ... That is my scientific conviction, which I also stated during my interrogation in Letema. An excerpt of it is here as a document. It mays there that we on the bases of our objections were convinced that hepatitis spidemic was not transmitted by a carrier, but that the infection occurs directly from man to man. In case this is important to you I could find you the passage in the document, but perhaps my montion suffices. Q. Going back to the typhus experiment as an expert, are you propared to tell this Tribunel it makes no difference in the severity of discess typhus if a man is infected by a bite of a louse in comparison to having two cubic continutors of typhus infected blood injected introvonouslyl A. Certainly. First of all there is a difference in the amount of the infecting agent, and the mass of the infecting agent always plays a great role in any such infectious disease. Q. Well, when you have two cubic continetors of typhus infected blood injected into a man's blood stream, might not a scientist oxpost the disease would be so severe as to b reak down any protection? A. One would have to have experience in this respect. Then one is very much inclined to make that a samption, namely that an infection with two cubic continuters of blood would cause more serious illness them an injection with any one-touth of a centimeter of infeeted blood. That is the assumption one would have about this from the very beginning, but what the course of the desease really is, that would have to be observed. Q. and it would take a simultaneous biting from about a million lice to put two cubic continutors of infected blood into a man, wouldn't it. doctor? A. I have never figured that out, Moreover the concentration 6399

of the typhus virus in the blood is not precisely known to me. The lies foces contain the virus in great numbers, whereas intthe blood those virus is so sparsely present that its presence cannot even be proved with a microscope, and to that extent the amount of two cas of blood could not be measured with the amount of foces that a louse produced, because I assume you are referring to that the louse's bite does not transmit typhus at all. The solive of the louse is not infectious, but apparently you were referring to the infectious foces of the louse which then gots into the louse bite.

c. Professor, as I understand it, you and the co-defendants, regard the experiments of Strong with places, and beri-beri involving around 950 men with one death, and the experiments of Blanc in africa where there were no deaths, and the exerican calcric experiments where there were no deaths, as being quite a good precedent for the Buchonwald experiments with death around 290, with Schilling's experiments, where another Tribunal has found over 400 cases of deaths, either directly or indirectly, or the experiments of Hangen where the truth before this Tribunal shows 50 deaths, is that right?

i. You have referred to quite a number of eases which are partly absolutely not so. For instance as proof hasbeen given yet that in the case of Heagen's experiments.... (no translation, because of sound system).

Q. Will you repeat your answer ploase. I get no translation.

iR. NcHader: If the Tribunal please, we might take an adjournment at this time, and the witness might have the intermissionte consider his answer.

THE PRESIDENT: The Court will be in recess until 1:30. (Thereupon a recess was taken until 1:30 p.m.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The hearing reconvened at 1830 hours, 24 April 1947.)
THE MARSHAL: The Tribunel is again in session.

NR. MC HANGET: Before continuing with cross exemination, the prosecution requests that the Tribunal order at this time that Document NO-1862, which was marked as Prosecution Exhibit 456 for identification, be made available to the defense counsel for Karl Brandt, Dr. Servetius. I understand an order of the court is necessary to have the original exhibit removed from the wealt.

THE PRESIDENT: The Iribunal directs that the original of prosecution exhibit for prosecution's identification 456 be brought into the court - be exhibited to counsel for the defendant Earl Brandt.

GERHARD ROSE - Resumed

GBOSS EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY ME. MC HANEY:

Tou recell the answer I put to you before lunch. Will you blosse answer.

A. When the sound system broke flown this norming, I just said that in the nuestion which was out to me several assumptions are wrong and I must correct them. You said that in Professor Hargen's experiments 53 people died. In reality, that has not been proved, nor did any people the at all there. It believe that I cannot so into detail on this curetion here since that would be an argument and I was not permitted to do that on direct exemination and I assume that I may not do so in cross exemination either. You also said that in Professor Schilling's experitents 200 people died. This also is untrue. Through your witness here it was said that not a single person died of malaria in Schilling's expurinents. - The Citress Viewer said that. He also said that seven persons died from incidents in the course of treatment and errors in treatment. It is true that in Document Book 4 submitted by you the number 300 dand is given. These ere supposed to be in some connection with the slarin experiments. I must assume that the court in Dechau had some 1 Light

expert who expressed his opinion to that effect. I personally should like to say that I would rether be a defendant here than give my signature as a court expert to a document certifying that 300 people supposedly died after a disease which, according to proof, is not fatel like malaria tertians. And considering the seven dead in Schilling's experiment, I should like to say that I personally should not like to be responsible for seven dead - seven dead would be enough of a burden for no. A single person who died from my negligence as a doctor would be an enormous burden on my conscience. I don't intend to mibble about the number of dead, I just merely wanted to make a correction.

And then you gave figures from the Buchenvald experiments. There again there are great differences between the numbers of deed in the experiment ordered by higher government authorities, and the experiments which Dr. Ding apparently carried out on his own initiative, to judge by his diary. After this correction of the assumptions I come to the enswer.

You asked me whether I considered the indicated hunan experiments as a procedent which justified those emerinents which ere the subject of the indictment. I may say that of course one can only commerc things which are comparable. In my direct examination I did not give any examples of human experiments. I mentioned only two cases of fully permissible experiments where I knew the details, in order to explain what the mental burden on the doctor in charge of the experiment is, and what his responsibility is. But if one wants to use these experiments as a comparison with those which ere the subject of this trial, then in one case such a comperison is actually possible. For example, if you take the berl-beri experiments of Professor Strong, a disease was intentionelly induced which involved nevers suffering for the exerimental subjects and one death, in spite of careful medical attention. This death Was, of course, not such a great burden on the person in charge of the experiment since the person was elready condenned to death as the paper says. As fer as I can see, that would more or less correspond to the

experiment of Professor Gebhardt. He had 70 experimental subjects and had the disfortune of having three of them die. The others had severe illness. The mortality was about the seme, three percent, and illness was caused with all the subjects. If you take Professor Mrugowsky's acconite, then there is no comparison in the examples which I mentioned, but one can compare this execution with the poisoning of people condemned to death by carbon tetrachloride. That has not been musted yet. I have intentionally not mentioned snything of the experiments because I know the ruling of the Tribunal that they had to be discussed only later. But in these poleonings with carbon tetrachloride, instead of hanging the people they were poisoned with carbon tetrachloride, and insofar as the poisoning was not fatal they were banged later and their livers were examined in order to establish the effect of the carbon tetrachloride. That would be a parallel to this execution.

And if you went to take the Buchenweld passages, if you went to have a comparison, I'd mentioned adler's experiments where all the experimental subjects died. one can only compare what is comparable. Schilling's malaria experiments on prisoners can compare only with the American malaria experiments on prisoners. Of course, I cannot tall you in detail how many incidents occurred. I know that work was done with malaria tortions in particular and no one can die from that in Americananymore than in Dachau. How many incidents occurred during treatment, I do not know, but I do know that work was done in America with malaria tropica too and since Mr. Simmons did not have my Pfaffonrode tropica strains, which benign and not fatal, but had the normal tropical strains, it is hard to believe that those experiments went off without any casualties.

And now the fatalities of typhus in the experiments ordered by the German Government, one can of course come as the fatalities only with the typhus experiments in American prisons. I cannot give you the figures because they have not been published yet and possibly they will not be published because of the mortality in these experiments. It is generally known that such emploceant incidents, which are a travely against the person responsible for the experiments in the eyes of the public, are not pleasant and such experiments can be made public only in a concealed form. Does that answer your question?

Q Wall, of course, if one assumes as you do that the proof of the Prosecution is either falsified or non-existing and that no deaths occurred or if any deaths occurred that they were purely accimiental, then of course there is no point at all in talking about comparison with experiments in other countries. The only conclusion than is that the Prosecution has no case and I submit to you that the proof the Prosecution has put in that 250 or more people died in Buchenmald from the typhus experiment and 157 in the experiments themselves and 100 at least in the experimental camp.

I submit to you that the litness Schwidt has testified that people ded in Haagen's experiments, irrespective of whether you believe it and I further submit to you that another Tribunal has held insumerable donths occurred in Dachau.

I am asking you how you can draw any comparison, if you assume the proof to mean anything and if you don't there is no reason for as putting the question to you. If you assume the proof has some morit to it, how can you testify from that stand how there is any comparison between the experiments of Strong, where at least one person died and the malaria experiments in America where nobody died, etc. I suggest to you that possibly the difference in those experiments is that even in a prison in the Phillipine Islan's and even in a prison in America, the prisoners have some rights. They have relatives, they not only vote but can sue in the courts, as can the prisoners themslaves. If they are mistreated and a death is brought about they can obtain redress. I further put to you that in the concentration camps in Germany that the relatives in most cases, if they were non-German mationals, did not oven know where they were; and if they died a falsified death certificate was sent to the relatives and in most cases they were regarded by their incorcerators as sub-human.

Now, doesn't that factual difference indicate to you that perhaps these experiments of Strong are in no way comparable to the experiments with which we are herewith concerned?

A First, I should like to say that I have not mentioned any experiments on human beings which are to be compared with the experiments have and I do not know why you ask as about experiments in concentration camps since you know, and the prosecution in the person of Mr. Hardy has expressly similted that I not only objected to experiments in concentration camps, but even on experimenting on persons condemned to death. You don't assume that since I protested assinst it in Similar's time, now when I am confronted by you, I am not going to change sides and begin to defend experiments in concentration camps; that is asking a little too much. I said that before and that is my stand.

an Strong's experiments. Now are you ready to concede that the typhus experiments in Buchenwald were nothing but murder; as I have understood your testimony that is the way you described it in the meeting in May of 1963; is that right?

A No, that is a distortion of my words, at the meeting in 1913 I did not say that this was carder, I said that these were serious medical experiments, which had had results of great significance and this part of my statements has been printed and is available, but in spite of this, on the basis of medical ethics, I protested against the execution of such experiments and especially against the fact that Government agencies assigned such an energous and unbearable burden to members of my profession. That is what I said. The fact that in addition to the experiments reported at the secting of consulting physicians, conditions seemed to have prevailed or said to have prevailed at Buchermald, according to the testimony of the witnesses here. Neither I, nor any other participants in this meeting had any knowledge, on the contrary we were repeatedly assures at that time that the subjects were persons who had been legally conderned to death. In spite of the fact that this assurance was given at the time and there are many living witnesses who can testify to it, nevertheless I protosted, Although I admit that for many people the think differently than I do, the fact might be sufficient, the fact that a nerson is condemned to death and they say, well the pan has to die anyhow, then it loss not make any difference if he dies in a modical experiment or whother he is executed." But, as I say, I admit that other people can have this point of view, I am not the Pope who sets up general othics. It is not my opinion and I expressed my opinion at the time.

Q Herr Professor, did you or did you not tell Gildeneister when you talked about Gildeneister's experiments, "We might as well set up on execution chamber here at the Robert Koch Institute?"

A Yes, that is exactly what I said and that meant if people condemned to death are used for dangerous medical experiments; then that is the same thing as an execution, that would be an execution section in the Robert Koch Institute if that were the general arrangement of the Government and I did not think that was very desirable.

O Did your witness Schmidt here before this court room, or not, tostify that as he understood your objection, you were objecting to murder; did he say that or didn't he?

A Yes, Mr. Hardy, by clever questioning, succeeded in bringing the editions to make this statement, but I must know better what I expressly said and if I talked about marder at the time, it was invented for the first time by the Prosecution here.

Q Well, whatever we invent, we now want you to ride one horse or the other. Let us assume for the moment, and I know it will tax you, that just a few of these experimental subjects were not condemned to leath and further let us assume that they were not volunteers and not rushing forward and saying, "Yes, you can give so typhus." Now, would that constitute a murder in your judgment if against his will be was subjected to an infectious experiment with typhus and he died?

A That is a question of judicial definition. I do not know of a legal case of death in a medical experiment ordered by the Government and approved under the laws. I do not consider myself a legal expert so that I cannot give such a definition.

Q Well, in any event, we can at least conclude that you are not propured in any event to defend the Suchemmald expriments; is that right?

A I objected to these experiments at the time and here in my Freet examination I said what I know about the notives of the doctors who regulated these experiments.

Q And if it is a fact that Hannon killed 150 men in 1944 in Matzweiler, you are also not prepared to defend those either? A I have already told you that in Hasgen's experiments nobody died and that no proof has been given to the Tribunal here. I cannot discuss that here, that would be an argument and I was not allowed to present arguments during my direct examination, but I am quite willing to explain it to you.

When the Witness the morphis—sater Scith Schmidt was asked whether it was right that the fifty fiel, she said she would not like to swear to it and thus she took back her testimony. If this testimony was true that fifty control persons died, because mortality in typhus is 30%, there would have to have been 160 control persons, that would mean 450 experimental subjects and then this would be an experiment of 600 persons. And your two witnesses from Nataweller, although they were in the case, they did not hear anything about such experiments. Hr. Hell became very excited when you tried to say that he heard something about infection with typhus. He said, "No, I did not say anything about typhus infections. I only said as injections had been given a typhus epidemic in the camp break out semotime later." The witness Grandjean said there was a typhus epidemic was in the camp and the block with the typhus patients had been completely shut off.

- A. It is nothing to wonder at. Typhus wards are shut off very carefully every where and he concluded from that if this block was shut off that experiments were being carried out.
- Q. You and your defense counsel can reserve arguments about the truth of the witness Schmidt's testimony at the conclusion of the trial. I do not wish to take up any of the Tribunal's time at this time in arguing about whether or not you believe the testimony of the witness Schmidt, and if not, why not.
- A. I want to rooid that. I said so twice but since you insist always on a statement is proved it is not true. I had to answer it.
- 4. Herr Professor, now you are intelligent enough to know I but a hypotheitical question to you. I was asking you to give certain assumptions and I was trying to bring out in a clear manner your attitude toward these experiments. Now you don't want the court to be confused about now you feel about an experiment, assuming certain facts, we understand you dispute certain of these facts, but be that as it may, it is important for the Prosecution, the Tribunal and the defense to know your attitude toward a given experiment, however, much we may dispute the facts, but sincy you persist in refusing to exhibit your attitude we will proceed.
- A. You understand I have no inclination to discuss hypothetical assumptions here about things with which I am obviged by you. I should prefer to discuss facts.

 Discussions of hypothetical questions are very interesting, but not in the situation in which I find myself at the noment.

Court 1 April 24-A-15-2-HD-Cook-Von Schoen. Q. Let's go back to the malario experiments. What contact did you have with Schilling in 1941? A. During my direct exemination I testified that in 1.41 I saw reports about Schilling's malaria work in Italy on behelf of the Italian government and with the support of the Reich Ministry of the Interior, and then either at the end of 1941 or the beginning of 1942 I gave an opinion, a written opinion on an application which Professor Schilling had sent to State Sacratary Conti, or rather to the acich Ministry of Interior. Then I saw Professor Schilling in 1941 personally. I do not believe, I am not certain, whether he was in Germany again at that time, but I can't deny it with certainty under oath, because ofter all that was six years ago. 2. Did you supply him any material while he was working in Italy? A. No, nothing. w. Who was Fraulien Von Falkenberg? A. You mean Fraulein Von Volkenhayn? Q. No, I mean Fraulien Von Falkenberg. A. I don't know any Fraulien Von Folkenberg. Q. You are sure you didn't supply Schilling with Any meterial in 19417 A. I can't remember it. It might have been done by my department without my knowledge. Then, of course, I would take the responsibility for it, but I mve not le rned of it up until now. My assistants did not tell me anything about it, if it happened. If you can prove it happened, I shall, of course, assume responsibility for it, even if it was done without my knowledge. Q. Well, it is not terribly important, but let's let you have a look -t at Document No. 1756. In the mean-6110

time when did this incident occur about your giving material to Schilling, after he had set up his institute

A. I beg your cardon, I didn't understand your ques-

Q. When did you give Schilling meterial after he had

A. I cannot give any information about that myself. I have to depend on the testimony of my assistant, Von Falkenhayn, and my secretary Block. My secretary Block testified here that it was the end of 1941, but I would assume that she is mistaken about that, since Miss Von Falkenhayn testified that this meterial was given in the year 1942. I think the latter is more likely.

Q. Document No. 1756 will be marked as Prosecution Exhibit 486 for identification.

THE PRESIDENT: What is that number?

MR. MCHANEY: 486.

BY MR. MCHANEY:

Q. Isn't ther a Fraulien Von Falkenberg mentioned in this letter of yours to Schilling, dated 3 February, 1941?

A. No, in the German copy of the document which you showed to me, it says Fraulien Von Falkenhayn.

Q. That is a mistake then in the English translation.

A. Fraulien Von Falkenhayn was an assistant in my department. She had formerly worked for Professor Schilling. There is an affidavit from her. Since I have this letter I can give you some information about the matter. Professor Schilling wanted to have a serological reaction in malaria, the so-called reaction according to Henry, that is a reaction which is carried out for the puprose of malaria diagnosis. As in the

antipen reaction, in this reaction the spleen of dead persons is used in the diet of malaria. Professor Schilling accordingly wrote to me to find out whether I as head of the tropical medical department was in a position to obtain a splean from a corose where the ostient had died of malaria. I shewered saving that such detarial would nardly be available in Serlin. . mlerie was very rare in Berlin and consequently deaths from malu is were slee very rare. The only cases of this type occurred in insane asylums, in the trestment of paralytics. It is well known that the first work of Vapner Jaures: shows that in the course of melaric treatment that parelysis deaths coo.r, just as de th occurs following operations, and such in laria depths, of course, occurred in Berlin insene asylums. As far as I can remember the notter of assistants contacted various pathological institutes in Serlin and raked in case such no automay occurred there that the solven should be preserved so that it could be sent to Professor conflicing. This was what this letter was about.

- w. Did you ever supply any to him?
- a. as far as I can recall in the course of several months one or two such cases occurred and the mat viul was sent to Schilling, but I can't say for certain today.
- you gave to my earlier question as to whether you give him any material in 1941, isn't that right?
- A. I beg your pardon. I a.dn't understand the question.
- me a f w moments before you saw the letter to the effect that you had not given him any a terial in 1941. You now

state you did in fact give him some after having seen the letter.

- A. Yes, I am sorry. My attention was entirely devoted to the question of the malaris parasite strains and mosquitoes, but the matter of negotiations between Schilling and the pathological institute in Berlin, I did not think of that.
- Q. Let's go back to what we were discussing. You stated that although Frau Block, said that the malaria eggs were supplied schilling in the latter part of 1941, you think probably it was 1942?
- A. You, that is what I said. Perhaps I may correct myself. When you speak of Maleric ests you mean anopheles eggs probably. There are no malaria eggs.
 - Q. You that is right.
- A. I am inclined to agree that Von Falkenhayn and Block think differently. I think that Von Falkenhayn was right and that it was in 1942.
- Q. Did you know anything about this before it was sent?
- A. I can't remember it. I don't believe so. As for as I remember I was informed of it by Fraulien Von Folkenhayn, in the meantime ofter I was given a letter from Professor Schilling that the mosquitoes were theiring in Dochau.
- material was to be sent to Schilling, is that right?

- A. I did not issue a pricise order. I said since we ourselves were using so many mosquitos I didn't want any more material to be sent to ar. Schilling because I was not convinced of the scientific value of his work. But, Fraulein von Falkenhayn in her testimony says that there was further correspondence with Fraulein Lange. I have not been able to find this correspondence and I can't clear up the question completely. I have to rely fully on my assistant in this respect and I can't answer from my own knowledge. In our first conversation on the subject when I told you that Schilling got anopheles aggs from us, which you didn't know at the time, I did not tell you that he got a malaria strain from my department. I didn't know that at the time. I learned it just a short time ago from Frauloin von Falkerhayn. That was not in the affidavit. Apparently she was afraid of some misgivings and sent a letter to that effect to my lawyer. I mm not so timid. I am not afraid to tell you about it.
 - Q. In other words you did supply a Rose strain to Schilling?
- A. No. As I said in direct examination the Rose strain could not come from my department because we didn't have any strain with the name Rose. Where this strain with the name Rose comes from is a pursle to me. I don't know of any Rose strain in malaria literature. But I don't think there is any point in quarreling about this name. The information given by Fraulein von Falkenhayn, which I believe fully, that a malaria strain is given this is quite sufficient and no difference whether it is called Rose or whether a Graces strain, or whether some other name.
- Q. Your witness, Fran Block, testified you had no correspondence with Schilling in 1962 and 1963, as I recall. Is that right?
- A. That is what Frau Block said. I myself would not have been so definite in my testimony if you asked me the same question. I would say I can't answer that question definitely. I only know one thing, that I never corresponded with Professor Schilling on the

subject of his work. Whether Schilling and I ever exchanged letters in those years I don't know since I don't have my files and such a rare correspondence as that - any information about it, whether he wrote a certain letter five or six years ago - he says "I would like to look that up in my files." Unfortunately I cannot do so but perhaps you would be kind enough if you have copies of such a letter to make it available to me. You have my files and they are much more easily available to you than to me. For example, I am trying to find my malaria opinion from the year 1941. That was in the same file cabinet from which you got the record of the typhus meeting 29 December 1941 in the limistry of Interior.

- Q. You overestimate the Prosecution, Herr Professor, but we needn't dwell on that. Now, is your senery good enough to tell us how long you continued to furnish Schilling with material for his Dachau experiments. You say that sensewhere along in 1942 you told them not to send any more. Are you clear about it?
 - A. Yos, I think I can remember reliably.
 - Q. Woll, when did this malaria strain go down?
 - A. I am sorry I can't hear you.
 - Q. When did you send him the malaria strain?
- A. I don't know. Francein von Falkenhayn merely told me that the malaria strain was given to Schilling. I don't know when. She didn't mention that in her letter to Dr. Frits.
- ** Lot's look at Document NO-1752. This will be marked as Prosocution Exhibit 487 for identification. Suppose you read the letter aloud, Professor?
 - A. "Prof. Claum Schilling

"Dachau, 4 Avril 1912

"3K, Hospital for Intate

"To Prof. Dr. dose

"Burlin - Fohrerstrasse 2

"Robert Koch Institute

Court I 24 Apr 47-A-16-3-LJG-Gress (VenSchoan) "Dear Colleague: "I inoculated a person intracutaneous with Sporocoides from the salivary glands of a female anopheles you sent me. For the second inoculation I do not have the Sporocoides material because I do not possess the "Strain Rose" in the anopheles yet. If you should find it possible to send me in the next days a few anopheles infected with "Strain Bosc" (with the last consignment two out of ten masquitoes were infected) I would have the possibility of continuing this experiment and I would naturally be very thankful to you for this new support of my work. "he mosquito broading and the experiments are proceeding satisfactorily, I am working now on six tertiary strains. I remain with hearty greatings and "Heil Hitler ! "Yours truly "signed - Claus Schilling" Q. Schilling apparently thought there was a "Strain Rose." A. Les. That is indicated by the letter. That clears up the mattur. He must have rungsed this strain which came from my department and called it Rose. That is very unusual. Normally a malariologist would not do that. Q. Are those your initials on the bottom of this letter, "L. g. RO 17/4"? A. Yos that indicates that 13 days after the letter was mailed, 12 days after it arrived at the Robert Koch Institute, I saw it. There is also the file note "Settled EVF. That is Erna von Falkenhayn on the 17 April 1942. I find that in spite of my instructions to the department Fraulein von Falkenhayn still sent mosquitoes to her old boss although she denies it today but I should like to amphasize that, of course, I am responsible for what Fraulein von Falkenhayn did even if she didn't eell me about it. Q. Well, you saw the letter on the 17 April 1942. Did you

reaffirm your instructions that no more material was to be sent to Schilling?

- A. I can't tell you today. That is quite possible. It is not even cortain that I was in the Robert Koch Institute when I saw the letter. It is much more likely that Mrs. Block brought this letter to my home where such things were generally settled. And, from the fact that it had been dealt with ten days before you see that such letters were opened by my secretary.
- Q. I thought we would be a bit generous with Frau Block and assume she hadn't seen the letter since she was so firm in the testimony that you hadn't corresponded with Schilling during these years.

Did you ever send Schilling any atroparvus eggs?

A. Yes. That is anopheles eggs which he got from us . As a type of anopheles 'n my laboratory I had anopheles eggs maculipenis atroparvus. 24April-1-AK-17-1-Karrow(Von Schom)
Court I

Q. Suppose I put document No. 1753 to you. This will be marked as Prosecution Exhibit 482 for identification. This is another letter from Schilling. This one is dated a year later - 5 July 1943, acknowledging

"with appreciation the receipt of you letter of 30 June and the consignment of antroparvus eggs."

I would also like to direct your attention, Professor, to the last paragraph of the letter where it says:

"Please tell Fri. Lange, who apparently takes care of her breed with greater skill and better success than the prisoner August, my best thanks for her troubles."

Do you remember the Christian name of the witness Vieweg?

- A. No. Itam sorry I don't remember the name of this
- Q. If you search the record I think you will find his forename was August.

Now, Doctor, apparently they completely ignored your orders of the year previous not to rend any more material to Schilling. Apparently you had a change of heart yourself.

Isn't that right?

A. I have already stated expressly that my orders not to send any more material to Schilling meant that we did not have too much material ourselves. It did not mean that I had any misgivings about the way in which Schilling was carrying out his work. It is quite possible that when we again had plenty of mosquite eggs we gave some to Schilling again. I am in a very difficult position. It is difficult for me to testify anything from my memory. You see here again that this matter was apparently dealt with by Fraulein Lange and Schilling himself wrote to me again.

24April-A-AK+17-2-Karrow(Von Sahon) Court I Q. Well, I didn't read it blat way, Professor. The first line scknowledges of your lotter of June 30th. A. Well, then it's possible that I wrote to Schilling. 2. Frau Block suffered a bad memory about your cores respondence with Schilling in 1943 as well as 1942, didn't ahe? A. Yes, I am rether astonished because one would assume that a secretary remembers such things better, but it, of course, possible to make sistakes if one doesn't access to the files. I have told you that I cannot testify with eny certainty to the details of such correspondence because I and too much correspondence. Q. Well, ignit it possible you supplied material to him in 1966? A. I consider that quite impossible. We have the testimony of Fraulein von Folkenbayn that the Department for Fover Thoropy never gove then any material and, at that time, I did not have any office in Berlin any longer, but again I mot rely on Frauloin von Palkenhayn's testimony. I myself was at Pfaffanrode once a month at the most, and I called up once or twice a long distance. Q. I put in Document No. 1755. This will be merked Prosocution Exhibit 489 for identification. This is a raply by you to Schilling, dated 27 July 1943, This letter spooks about shipping eggs to Schilling, doesn't It? A. You, apparently. There wat have been plenty of mosquito args so that we could give up some of them. Q. There wasn't as big a shortage as you thought, is that right? DR. FRITZ: Mr. Prosident, I ask that the photostat be shown to the defendant Rose. It is not impossible that 61119

24April-A-AK-17-3-Knrrow(Von Schon)
Court I

that was written by an assistance and initialed "R". Sinco I know the signature of Professor Rose, I think the "R"
looks a little different. Perhaps he might be shown the
photostat.

THE PRESIDENT: Let the photostat be shown to the witness.

WITNESS: I must say I do not understand this signature at all. When I signed a letter I signed my name, but I don't think it's very important. BY MR. McHANEY:

Q. When you were shipping these eggs to Schilling in Drehau it was after the time you had heard the lecture by Rascher and Holzlechner in October, 1942, in Murnberg on freezing, wasn't it?

A. The detes of these letters were after Helzhochner's lecture, yes. Helzhochner's lecture was in October, 1942, to my knowledge. But perhaps you might say what conclusions you draw from that. Do you mean that Helzhochner and Schilling worked together? That one could have concluded anything from Helzhochner's work that would affect Schilling? Is that you conclusion? That, of course, would be quite unjustified I would be gled if you would explain your question.

Q. Doctor, I don't think it is too strange to say that a men who had, at least, received from information about how they carried out experiments in Dochau, even though on a different subject, might raise some suspicion in an average person's mind about just who the experimental subjects were down there and how they were treated?

A. I know Mr. Schilling so many years. I met him for the first time in 1922, and I know his reputation in international medicine and there was not the slightest occasion for to draw any conclusions affecting Professor Schilling's

24April-A-AK-17-4-Korrow(Von Schon) Court I work from the activity of Mr. Holzlochner who never told me that he had any connection with Professor Schilling's work. 2. Have you told the Tribunal yet about your visit to the Motsweiler concentration camp? A. No, that was not possible. I never visited the Netzwoilor comp. Q. Well, as I recall, you told me on the 31st of Octobor that you visited Matsweller in connection with Hangon's work in producing typhus vaccines. Is that correct? A. That is absolutely incorrect. I never told you that I visited Professor Rangen's hygione institute in Strasbourg twice, but I never visited the Natzweiler camp. Q. Whon did you visit Strasbourg? A. In Strasbourg as far as I remember I was in the middlo of 1943, I can't give the date of the second visit so exactly. It was probably in 1944. Q. What about the first visit? A. Since I don't have the material on it I can't state the date exactly. Proulein Schudt said it was in June or July, but that is the only indication that I have. It is vory difficult for me to set the time. In the files which are available to me here there is nothing from thich I could conclude the date of this visit. I merely know that there word two visits to Strasbourg. Q. One was in the year 1943 and the other in the year 1944? Is that right? A. As for as I can remember, yes. Q. What was the occasion of the first visit? A. That was the discussion of whether Professor Macgon was to resume the function of a consulting hygienist because after he had become a professor at Strasbourg he had stopped 6 21

all activity for the Luftwaffe. We had a shortage of hygionists and when I med an official trip to Prance I was
given the assignment to stop on the way in Strasbourg and
talk to Professor Hasgen about it - about whether he wanted
to resume working as a consulting hygienists in addition
to his other work. I have already teld about that in my
direct examination.

Q. And he decided to resume his work with the Duftwaffe and you got an assignment of funds for him from the Luftwaffe, didn't you?

A. He declared himself willing to become a consulting physician in addition to his other work and he also spoke of his wish to obtain a research assignment. So far as I know, he did not have any research assignment about typhus yet he wanted to have a new research assignment which means that he wented to have more mency. This research assignment is mentionned in one letter.

Q. Woll, that is quite all right. I can remember that.
You got on assignment of unds, didn't you?

A. No, I did not have any influence on the issuance of research assignments but, as the letter shows, Mr. Hasgen inquired of me how the negotiations about his research assignment were going on and I inquired of the Inspectants and I told him how the negotiations were.

24 Apr 47 -A-18-1-PEP- Maloy (won Schoen) Court No. 1 Q. I don't suppose that Haagen explained to you just exactly how he was going to comduct his research and what he was going to do during the course of it? s. No, we discussed his position in general on the problem of typhus research, and as I have already testified in direct exemination, he explained to me that he did not consider the killed vaccines effective enough and that he wanted to work more for Berlin along the lines of Sparrow-Rlanc-Laures, that is with living avirulent vaccines, and he worked on this line. I have already testified yesterday as to what I know about this work. Q. Did he tell you that he was going to carry out infection experiments to test these vaccines? A. That he intended to corry out infection experiments I did not know, but it was a matter of course that if he wanted to work with living avirulent vaccines he would have to perform tolerance experiments, bucques that is the decisive experiment, if such a thing even developed for enough by meens of eminal experiments. Q. We understand he would have to vaccinate semebody, but I am putting the question to you, if he did not explain to you that after he vaccinated the person with either a dead vaccine or avirulent, that he was roing to try to bring about a typhus infection in the vaccinated porson to test the officacy of the vaccine itself? a. I did not know that. I do know as I explained yesterday, that he was deeling with research questions. The reaction to the living avirulent vaccines he wanted to weaken by vaccination first with dead vaccines and then performing a second vaccination with living avirulent vaccine. The reason was to reduce the vaccine reaction. Q. Doctor, is it not true that to produce or experiment with this avirulent vaccine that Hearen needed some new laboratory equipment? I think you have already mentioned refrigoration. a. For these experiments he did not need any new equipment, there were such small quantities. He was quite capable of managing that with the available equipment, but he did need more laboratory equipment for 6423

24 Apr 47 -1-18-2-PKP- Maloy (von Schoen) Court No. 1 the production of vaccine. The Medical Inspectorate of the Luftwaffe repeatedly taked him for and they hoped that they would get their own production place in that way. That meant installations for rabbit cages, a temperature regulation, installations to maintain regular temperatures, and humidity in the stalls, - air conditioning. That was a very expensive thing. It cost several thousand marks, and the purpose was the following: Hangen wanted to produce vaccine from rabbit lungs, because he considered this the most economical proceedure. For producing this waccine one has to cause inflamation of the lunes in the rabbits with typhus. Those influentions of the lungs can be caused only if the rebbits are kept at a cortain temperature, I bolieve that is 8 degrees Celsius, and since this temperature is not normally available, this air combitioning equipment was needed for the rabbit cages. That had nothing to do with the experiments.

- Q. Did the name Scholmach??? meen saything to you?
- A. Yes, I have heard that name.
- w. You heard it before you were a defendant in this case?
- A. I cannot definitely remember it, but it is possible.
- Q. You know it to be a concentration camp?
- a. At I said I cannot remember it definitely whether I heard it at all, and of course I do not know whether the name was continued in connection with concentration camps.
- 4. When did you first learn that Hasgen was conducting experiments on concentration camp inactos?
- A. That Haagon was performing experiments on concentration camp inantee? I don't believe that even today, but that he carried out vaccinations in concentration camps, I know that. When I first learned it I can't any today, probably in 1943.
 - Q. Loll, you remember the letter in December 1943?
- a. I certainly must have known it by then, because there I refer to it.
- Q. will, did you know about this sordid occasion when Hangen had 18 men who had been assigned to him die on transport?

I explained with the aid of the documents what obligation to report resulted from the documents alone. You probably haven't had an opportunity to read the record yet, but as soon as the record is ready you will be able to see that. I don't think there is any purpose in holding up the proceedings with that any further.

to was going to carry out infection experiments with typhus after vector tion?

... That is not known to me.

MR. McHAREY: Let's have a look at Document WO 1059. This will be marked as Prosecution Exhibit 490 for identification.

Q. Now, will you please read this letter bload in a load and resonant voice?

A. Perhaps I may see the photostat.

Gourt I Case 1 (The medical)

- 2. Will you read the letter aloud, please?
- as (Ro.ding)

#29 Hovember 1943 - negistered

*To Oburst ret Profussor Pr. Rosc.
*Inspectorate of the Accie 1 Service of the Luftwiffe
*Scalow (Post Office Lessen-Lind)

*Dour Mr. Hose:

with dehydrated typins wheches which I he presided you never I days not. as I intend to publish the findings. I have written the report are by in passeript form. I mak that, for having been reviewed, it be substited to the competent authorities for their approval of the publication in the 'Zentrablist fuer a storiologies' (Control Periodical for Betweenlogy).

These hundred persons from a local concentration can were put

it my disposal for immunisation and subsequent infection. Unfortunately
these people were in such a poor physical condition that eighteen of
them m d locally died during transport; the remainder were likewise
in such bid paysical shape to a they could not be used for inoculation
purposes. In the minimal is have requested 10, additional persons from
the 35 main Office (Sauntaut), who, however, should be in cornel
the significant mutritional condition, so that the experiments can be
carried out on material union at last pore sheet the paysical condition of our soldiers.

"For the time being we will engeentrate on an epidemic culture in the form of a virus, which we have received from Giroud in the no nation. This seems to be a very good culture.

Whith bost regards, Whil Hitler! "Yours --"Anglogure: one report."

"nd no sign ture.

This is the matter which I discussed posterday. Its plan of kr. Hangen to test the innoculation reactions to his living and

24 -pril-stP-19-2-Murths (Int. von Schon) Court I Case 1 (Medical) evirulent dry vaccine by pro-vaccination with dead vaccine to weaken the relation. That is the same matter. Q. I thought you said about two minutes ago that you didn't mow of the incident where eighteen of the impates put at Hangen's cian such had died during transport. ne Too, that's true. That's what I said, and I had forgotton wout it. I thought that I had learned it for the first time from the records. If I had remembered it, I would, of course, not have exposed myself by denying it. But now I see this letter. It is obviously .. carbon copy. I must sessed to the man carbon of Povember 1948 the wail was still rather normal. I must assume that I received the letter. Since a report is mentioned, which I was to do I with and Was apprountly one of hangen's papers on his dry vaccine, on which ay knowledge is bused and on account of which I can give any information here at all as to Harron's coveriments. This knowledge goes back to those napors of his. to It would appear that in spite of your fiery temor ment your rocation was even less significant than Haugen's hisself docsn't it? a. Since I was not concerned in the matter, since it was something between H. gon and the concentration carp, there was no reaction in this case. If somebody olse tells no that he has had freet contact with abuses, then there is no occusion for no to interfere, since that is settled between two persons concurred. I had nothing to do with the concentration came. I did not have to c ray but my innoculations there. t. and you insist that the words, "one hundred persons from a local concentration can were out at my discosal for immanisation and subsequent infections really don't mean subsequent infection at all but a subsequent immunication? a. "ith the living and wirelent dry vaccine, yes, 6128

24 -pril-a-JP-19-3-Murtha (Int. Von Schon) Court I Case I (the hedical) Q. bell, that is certainly an inarticulate way of saying that, ismit it? this is correspondence between experts, and they know what it's about. Q. You state yourself to t you are still not sure exactly what heagen did, althoug you were down there in the widdle of 1943 and got him back on the payroll of the Buftwaffe and you know he was staying at the 1 bordtory and you know no was going to work on typhus vaccines, but you now sit here and say you don't know exactly what he was doing. a. Yes, tout is true. I have given considerable information book Rangen's work here, and I have gone to considerable mains to et that all together; but of course I can't give you complete information, simply because all these experiments

6129

were not under our direction and under our supervision.

- In More Professor, the first time the question of subsequent infection came up was in a letter dated 18.4 and you spent the best part of a day on tien-climing "subsequent infection" as meaning senething entirely different, that it was simply a subsequent vaccination, after the ran had already been vaccinated by the load vaccine. Now, if you were told on the 19th of Neverbor, 1945, that he was going to carry out immunization and subsequent infection experiments, you containly would be no known as a matter of fact what he was doing, and you would not need to speculate on this stand as you did postering. These words are entirely susceptible to the meaning that they mean exactly what they say.
- A. At this stage of his experiments Hangen did not have a fully-developed vectors yet. He was working exclusively on the problem of weakening the reaction to this living virulent valcaine. That was the problem that he was dealing with at the end of 1945 and the beginning 1964. Ind he was looking for various notheds of actioning this.
- one anys, "For the time being, we will concentrate on an opidemic culture in the form of a virus, which we have received from Giroud in the mountaine"?
- A. That we us that up to that the he had worked with a marine strain and that new for the development of the dry vaccine he wanted to use a strain of rick-ettels provenski too.
 - O. Well, I now want to point out to you again that I on having considerable difficulty in construing the word.

"infection" to mean vaccination.

- -. You, I white that many of those documents are ritten in a confusing way, but I believe that I can remember the whole matter adequately enough that I know what the problem is. The vaccine was not developed so far that it could be used in vaccination without reaction, and to determine the effect. There were strong fover reactions and the problem was how to avoid this fover reaction.
 - Q. Wall, why call that infection?
- injection of a living, a virulent vaccine, from the biological point of view, is an infection. This expression is used often enough, but it is an infection which one can absolutely control.
- A. Sail after receipt of this letter you then wrote him on the 3rd of December --- all this is Decement 10-182, Exhibit 298, page 79 of Book 12 -- you sent him the Copenhagen vaccine, the, didn't you, and asked him to test it in his experiments on his concentration comp inactors, didn't you, just as they did in Buchenwald, as you put it?
 - A. I bog your perdon?
- T. You sont him the Copenhagen vaccine after receiving this letter of 28 Wevember and asked him to test that in his experiments on concentration complements.
- took place Hengen was specially interest in it, because it was a murine vaccine; and since he could not control fever reaction with the murine vaccine, yet, he succeeded in that only at the beginning of

lower by storing the vaccine for a considerable time,
le was no longer interested in this Copenhagen vaccine.
But at the end of 1943, when he still had the same
difficulties as Blanc with the reactions with the
living murine vaccine he was considerably interested
in the Copenhagen was coine. For it was the only vaccine
from marine virus available in Europe at the time.

1. You sont it to lim , told him to tost it just
like they did in a series of experiments in Buchenwald,

A. I don't remainer the t.

Q. Well, you rome miber mentioning Buchenwall to Hangen in your letter of 3 December 1943.

in the term of the receive reverse to the second of the se

". You sent that Copenha on waccine to Buchenwald clac to be tested?

. No.

Q. As I recall, your withe as, Frau Block, said this Copenhagen vaccine didn't go to Hargen.

I sont this vectine only to Professor Schreiber.

2h April-A-GJ-20-1-Cook-(int. von Schoen)-Court 1

From Blook has said that she apparently on my instructions sent : this vaccine to various other agencies.

DR. FRITZ: Mr. President, I must to back to document No.-1059

or. AdManey just said, After you received this letter and so forth.

If the Personation wants to prove that professor Rose actually did receive the letter, then I must object to the because, I have looked at the photostat: It was obviously a carbon copy and has no si nature. There is no motification that it was sent out or received. It could be a draft of a letter wich was never sent.

MR. MCHANEY: Junturstand the witness admitted he received it, or at least influentially...

DR. FRITZ: The mefordant said he coul not remedor this letter.

WITNESS: I morely expressed the assumption that in view of the mail conditions at the time, the letter must have reached me, assuming, of course, that it was mailed. But so I said, even if it was sent I can see nothin to object to in the contents.

BY MR. MCHANEY:

Q Do you deny having received the letter?

A I can miether admit it or deny it. In the case of all of these letters with were sent out years a c nebody can testify under each that he received or wrote a certain letter, a man can be that for whom a letter is a bi- event, but not semabody who has a large correspondence and who has no way today of consulting his own files to see wother those letters are there.

THE PRESIDENT: So for the letter has merely been marked for identification. It has not yet been offered in evidence.

BY McHaNEY:

Q At this meetin in May 1963 when you objects; to the agreements by Din , can we take it that the persons present at this meetin knew that the experiments had been carries out on concentration camp innotes?

A From Dr. Ding's lecture they cartainly coul not conclude that.

2h April-A-GJ-20-2-Gook-(int. von Schoen)-

To what extent during my protest I gave details about the experiments I den't remember, From the testimony of one of the witnesses, I can may that I apparently was not quite clear, homeuse 'refessor Schnoll in his affidavit says when we where wispering to the canother that they : I at to experiments in concentration camps, That is a si a that at least this witness, 'rofessor Schnell, did not conclude that definitely from what I a it. What conclusi as the other listeners rathered from that ilucusaion I cannot, of course, s y. No loubt all of them were impressoil by the assurance that the subjects were criminals who had been conturned to eath. This assurance was iven to the assembly once more, and originals who have on a n can be a with two not normally innatos if concentration cars. they have to taken to the concentration carp from the court prison where they they wait for confirmation of the vertice, so that the un trisings can be carriedout. Honce I would secure that Ar. Schnoll in his assumption was no execution, and that the mid rity of the listeners 'le not believe that they were prisoners from concentration come; but as I say that is perely an assumption, becommo no one tolked to so on this points, as to details mount experiments in pursons conferme to with Some cople i real with my point I dow, that oneshould avoid such experiments, while other people mili that ir a the othical point of view that there was no objection to such a rimonto.

- Q Y u visite Such model on 17 March 1942, with Professor Gildemoister, is that ri htz
- I cannot confirm the lote from my own knowledge. I took this

 fite from the se-colled bin Diary. I could decide the time of my present

 of at Buchement: only from win 's ark, in as much as I can say in re
 or to the favor charts that it that time of the first visit I was in

 Such model, but what by an worth with it was I cannot say from my own

 kn whome.

Q and you were just In there to satisfy your corresity about these experiments which Gillamsites and the you about, is that wint?

- a. I wouldn't call that curiosity exactly. There were various notives. One was that it was the invitation of my superior who wanted to convince as that my objections were not justified; that the caporinents were in a permissible form; and then there was a certain professional interest; since such an experiment was taking place mynew, I, as a by denist, was interested in the results. There were miritus notivoe.
- 2. Did you or Gildencister users: Ding in any way in carrying but these amourisents?
- . Did I understand ou correctly, whether I helped Gildeneister or Ding?
 - 1. No, whether you or Gildensister helped Diag?
- 4. Fing was not there at 11. He was sick. "e nod typhus and un in a hospital southers. In infecting the prisoners he had infectoo bimself. Whether Gildendister, as Ding's diary asserts, helped infect the putteate I don't know. I was not there. I mid not even many that Gildemetator and been in suchemy is before. To signit tell no taut. at least I don't recember it, and during the visit when I was there nobody was infacted at all. It was at lo at two vecks, or three works at the most, after the infection. These people word t the height of their fever. There was nothing to be infeated. One could only go through the wards and look at the clinical picture of the discuss of the persons with severe cases in the control cases, and the lighter cases arong those vaccinated. That is southing I have Aread described during the direct empiration, and as the o sin of Ly remark, in 1943, and what I said in my lecture in Boslo on 17 February, 19-, when I talked about "ing's experiments, and said that the clinical impression is much stronger than the statistics. copressed.
 - Q. Who escorted you round while you were in sucheaveld?

Court I

A. That was an SS doctor, who as far as I remember, had the rout of an observet, or a observaturefuebrer in the So, that is the that which I believe I remember with a certain degree of corthinty but that was only one meeting with the man. I was not very strongly impressed by this forson because the demonstration of all important date in the experimental building were not performed by doctors but by prisoners working in the lacoratory. They had all of the charts and the records of clinical data, and they emplained the thing to me. The dector himself aid not participate and I sit it the tible and looked it the virious secords and them I said that it was very difficult to look at those 140 or 150 fever charts and compare All of them, and over might these outlesson hade up everage curves for he, so that on the next morning the pould get a clourer impression of the course of the experiment and the prisoners who were working there desenstrated these things to us main the next wording.

- . Did you see Hoven while you were there?
- A. Dr. Hoven has already asked ne about that but I cannot give any ofinite information. In any case when I saw him here for the first time in prison I did not recognise him. I did not remember his name either, and if one sees Mr. Hoven, the ceneral impression is that he is a dark type and my recollection is more that this Oberargt was a man about my size. Hoven is cuite a bit shorter than I and he was not so definitely dark as Hoven but he was rather lighter. But I cannot say for certain whether he and blue or brown cres; I am multe uncertain, I cannot say anything positively or negetively. I cranot say with curtainty that I fid not see Mr. Hoven nor can I tell you with sortainty that I requester seeing Mr. Hoven. But that would probably be the owne with all the meanle whom I not my that time. I do remember one of the briconors because he was especially noticeable, he was conething of a hunchteak. He was a rather intelligent can and I talked with him a long time. I had the most to do with him. He was protectly the man who know the most about all the things. he was a prisoner, not an SS man.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will be in recess until 9:30 tomorrow. (The Tribunal adjourned until 0930 hours, 25 April 1947.)

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America, against Karl Brandt, et al, defendants, sitting at Burnberg, Germany, on 25 Aperil 1947, 0930, Justice Ecals prosiding.

THE MARSHAL: Persons in the court room will please find their seats.

The Henerable, the Judges of Military Tribunal 1. Military Tribunal 1 is now in session. God save the United States of Arerica and this honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the courtroom.

THE HESIDEM: Mr. invalid, you recordin if the defendants are all present in the court.

THE MARSHALL May it ploted your Monor, all the der-

T'E HISIDENT: The Secretary-Concret will note for the record the presence of all the defendants in court. Counsel may proceed.

PR. FROMSCHIMANN: (Counsel for the Defendant Brack):
With the agreement of the presecution, Mr. President, I
ask the permission that Viktor Brack be freed from attending this efternoons session because he must conduct proparctions with me for the case which is coming up.

THE FRESIDENT: Do I windowstand that counsel asks the Defendant Breek be excused from this afternoon session after the recess?

DR. FROESCHILMN: Tos, ... Prosident.

THE CRESIDENT: Upon request of counsel for the Defendant Wikton Brack that he be excused from attendance before the Fribunal this afternoon there will be no recess this ofternoon. De you your the recess this morning, 25April-M-AK-1-2-Fostor(Brown)
Court 1

or uncused from attendance during the afternoon's ses-

DR. FROESCHMINN: No, I ask that the Defendant Breck to expused from this afternoon's session so that I can propere his ease.

Brack that he be excused from attendance before the Prinunal f or this afternoon's session, the request is granted. Defendant Brack may be excused from attendance before ore the Tribunal this afternoon.

DR. FROESCHIANN: Then with the permission of the proscention I should like to the permission of the prosithe president tell me emotiver the Tribunal has received my application I put in on the lith of April, concerning submission of the record since I have so for received no information upon that?

of counsel for the Defendant Viktor Errok, and the Tribunel adheres to its former ruling, that the stemographic
notes which counsel requests to examine may not be to mesulted by the Defendant Errok or his counsel; but when
the Defendant Prock takes the stand, if in the course of
the examination it should appear necessary or proper that
those notes be examined, the rebunal will then reconsider
the request to determine whether or not the notes then
may be produced in court.

CROSS EXE DATION (Continued)

BY MR. MCHAMEY:

Q May it planse the Tribunal.-Herr Professor, going back to your interpretation of the ward infection in

25April-M-AK-1-3-Poster (From)
Court 1

Hargon's letters, I would like to ask you if you didn't tostify before this Tribunel, with respect to the testimony of the witness Eyer, that he hardy had indeed done a ridiculous thing when he pit the question to her whether she had not meant avirulent typhus virus, rather than avirulent typhus vaccine? And then didn't you go on to say, after she had answered, that she meant avirulent typhus virus? You stated must before had referred to was cinations had now suddenly become infections. Isn't that exactly what you are trying to do not with the word infection used by Hargon? Instead of "eaning that it says, you want to tell the Tribunal it would receipations?

A Me, Mr. Prosecutor, I boliove there is a rather substantial difference. The untress during her exemination by the prescention apply of a living vaccine and always used the expression "vaccine". Then at the end she was asked whether insterd of veceing she didn't mean a virulent virus. and since she niffirmed this question this changed the contents of all her testimony. The injection of a living avirulent visus is just as much an infaction as the injection of a living virulent virus. The difference resides in the fact that one of them is an infection that can be controlled, namely, the infection with avirulent virus that is used as a vaccine, Whereas, whom virulent virus is Injected, the subsequent developments cannot be controlled but are up to date, of course, excepting the case that the person concerned was vaccinated in some way before being injected with the virulent virus. For instance, this appoined in the experiments of Elane and Balthoonr where they first infected the subjects with a living avirulent virus which could be designated

25April-M-AK-1=3-Foster (Brown)
Court 1

ns a vaccination, and then subsequently they infected with a virulent strain the previously vaccinated persons which did not fall ill because they believed the effects of their vaccines were certain enough that they could undertake this infection with virulent virus.

You will find the same procedure described by Vantenilas in American literature, who tested Zinzer's typhus vaccino. He also first injected the vaccine and afterwards infected with virulent virus in order to test the degree of issundty. You cannot compare a vaccine with a virulent virus, but biologically speaking the injection of a living avirulent vaccine is an infection, that can be seen from the fact that when you vaccinate against small-pox, that, too, is infection with compox vaccine, and for ten days after the small-pox vaccination, you can still extract that virus and breed it again from the blood of the person vaccinated, and indeed the virus has actually increased in intensity in the subject's blood. This can be seen only in Strong's experiments she after the innoculation again entracted from the tissue of the experimental unimals. These operiments were tried out on animals and not on human beings, - as I say, extracted the virus from their blood and bread then again. In other ords, there was an infection, although it was not a typical illness. The plague in the normal form Hid not develop.

Q Professor, we, I think, all understand, although we don't have the status of an expert as you, that a vaccination with an avirulent vaccine brings about a minor infection; but I just wanted to observe that you berated Mr. Hardy rather severely for having confused "infection" with "vaccination." That is the word you used, and I submit to you that that is exactly what you are now doing with respect to the word "infection" used by Hazgen; and I further put to you that the talk of infecting with the faccine is a bit of nonzense.

A I said before that first of all I did not make Hardy appear ridiculous, but that I pointed out that he had confused the concepts "virulent virus" and "avirulent living vaccine," and had used the one for the other. These are two concepts that cannot be interchanged; thereas, the expressions "vaccinate" and "infect" can be exchanged with the avirulent virus; and you, yourself, said that you know that

the use of avirulant living vaccine is a form of infection.

Q Well, I think we have perused this point far enough, Herr Frofessor, Let's go bad to your visit to Buchemuld in Merch 1942. Did you talk to any of the immtes who were the subjects of these experiments which you saw there?

A I cannot recall having talked with the experimental subjects.

Most of them were seriously ill. The experimental subjects were

brought to me, one after the other, who were not sick, which I already

multioned. They had gone through their typins sickness before being

sentenced in the pre-trial prison, but again I cannot recall that I

actually spoke with those two persons. So far as I recall, I spoke with

the doctor in charge and didn't speak very much with him either. I

spoke, for the most part, with the prisoners who mern working on this
whole material in the laboratory. That is the way I remember that visit

today.

Q So we can assume that you didn't ask any of the subjects if they had volunteered or if they had been confermed to death; or if they had been condermed to death, for what crime? Is that right?

A I certainly did not conduct any such conversation, or at my rate, I cannot recall any.

Q 'then did you first meet Ding?

A I cannot remember any personal convercation with Dr. Many where we talked together. I certainly must have soon bing when he read his paper at the consulting conference, and then it can be seen from the focusents that Ding was present at the defication of the Typhus Institute at Looberg. There is cention of an efficient trip for that purpose, of on this occasion, I read a paper on televalog. This paper is centioned in my list of publications and maspablished in the Reich builth Paper. Since the number of those the participated in this typhus conference at the occasion of the dedication of the institute was not very large, perhaps 100 or 150, I must really have seen Ding on this occasion, that is, if the entry in the diary is correct; but I cannot

remember it and I certainly disn't speak with him them.

- Q And those are the only two personal contacts which you had with Ding?
- A Those are the only two times in which I can remember having had personal contact with Ding.
 - Q Did you ever correspond with him?
- A I can recall no direct correspondence nor any indirect correspondence through official channels with Ting.

Q Now, Professor, I am having some difficulty with those entries in the Ding Diary. On Page 30 of Document Book No. 12, with which I am sure you are femiliar, so find the experimental series. That is, in the entry for 9 humast 412, we have a description of the research series No. II, in the course of which 20 preses were unceinated with the vaccine cantacusine, which was made available by Professor Rose, who received it from Navy Dr. Professor Rose from Sacharest, And on the next page, you will see that subsequently, all the experimental percens were infected with typhus, and that as a result of the experiment, four persons died. Now isn't it a fact that you made this vaccine available to Ding for that series of experiments?

I pointed out that these with whom Ding was corresponding on typhus questions have been listed by two witnesses; namely by Dr. Mogon and by Dr. Balachowski, whose testimony is to be found in an affidavit. Wither mitness mentioned my mass arone those with whom Ding was corresponding, but both witnesses do mention Tr. Ruge as one of the persons with whom Ding was in correspondence. I also discussed this Remarkan vaccine in my direct examination, I can say nothing precise either nor positive about this vaccine; namely, whether this vaccine passed through my office turin; the war. It is quite possible because any such typhus vaccines went via my desk and I described in my direct and matter just what I did with these vaccines.

25 apr-N-GES-8-1-Siolsi (Int. Brown)
Court No. 1.

Q. What did you do with this vs

- Q. What did you do with this vaccine that you got from Ruge? From is the important point right now.
- I ever received this vaccine from Suge at all. I can only emphasize the fact that Ding reported on the testing of this vaccine at the Third Consulting Conference. Consequently, I had my showdown with the at that time he said nothing to the effect that this vaccine, on the testing which he had reported, had originated with me. He then published a paper in the periodical for hygiene and infectious discuses, and in this paper, too, there is a report on the testing of the Rumanian vaccine. In this paper a feetnets states, expressly, that he had received a particular virus from Prof. Cildeneister. In other words, he is telling his sources here. In the case of the Rumanian vaccine he says nothing to that effect, and Dr. Kogen, too, testified here that Ding concerned hisself with our showdown in Dor-tim when he had returned to Busherwald; but here, again, he did not say that one of the tested vaccines hed gone through my hands.
- Q. Now, Professor, you are talking all around the point. I am not interested inwhat Kogon said, or what he said at this meeting. I am earing you the questions Did you said this vaccine to Ding, Airoct-ly or indirectly?
- A. I have already answered that question. I said that I cannot remember at all, over having had such a Rumanian vaccine in my hands, but that there is a possibility that I did.
- your hands, is it not also possibility that you had the vaccine in that you sent the vaccine to Diag to be tested in Buckenwald?
- ... I think that is altogether improbable, since I had no direct contacts with Ding at all.
- Professor, we are talking about one of the most fundamental issues in the case. If you sent this vaccine to brugowsky, or directly to Ding, or through anybody clse, after you had been in Buchenmid, in Darch

1924, I submit to you that you became a party to the crime.

I over had this Euranian vaccine. Now, taking the hypothetical case that I did have this vaccine, and handled this vaccine as I handled all other vaccines that I had during the course of the war — namely, passed it on to other typhus researchers: new, I never sent any vaccines to Ding; and, assuming that one of these typhus research new had connections with Ding, and passes on such a vaccine that he receives from me, that does not mean that I provided the incentive for that. All the vaccines tested in Suchenwald are, with very few exceptions — were produced, with few exceptions, by other people —

Q. That is all very true.

A - and you cannot make the menufacturers responsible for what
is subsequently done with these vectimes. For can you make people
responsible wh happened to have the vaccine in their hands, but who
had neither the intention, nor the opportunity, to issue any assignments to Dr. Bing.

Tow, Professor, it depends on what the people knew, who supplied these vaccines, as to whether or not may are responsible. Yow, there is no question about your knowledge. You was in Buchen-wald. You say what was being done. So it therefore becomes quite important to know what you did with this vaccine from Ruge. Yow, is it possible you sent it to kragowsky, who you know to be the superior of Bing?

A. I think that is highly improbable. As I have said, I cannot remember this whole affair, and, consequently, can only speak
of possibilities; but I put it one document here on the Iosen vaccine,
and it can be seen from the list of -- from the distribution list -the port of institutes I sent it on to, and neither Mr. Mrugowsky
nor Dr. Ding are mentioned here.

Q. As I understand it, you don't exclude the possibility that you sent this vaccine to Mrugowsky, and Mrugowsky sent it to Ding?

- A. No. I just said that I consider that highly improbable.
- Q. Well, if you sent vaccine to Krugovsky, and asked him to test it, just what did you think he would do with the vaccine, unless he sent it to Buchenvald?
- A. I reiterate, that I consider it bighly improbable that I sent it to krugowsky; moreover, Mrugowsky was a hygienist. like the rest of us.
- O. Well, the witness Freu Black said you didn't sent anything to Mrugowsky. How credible is her testicony? Do you think she is just on probabilities too, or do you think she move what she is telling about?
- A. From Block cortainly testified to the best of hew knowledge an to what she knew about sy correspondence; and, of course, it is very relumble to me, what she said, because you are caking me - after my files have been taken army from on after years and years - that I should give information about every letter that I received or wrote in the last air years; that that is not possible, perhaps even you will grant. Particularly, in the case of a man who had to write very meny lotters, and, of course, my secretary's correborating avidance uns very valuable to me, who clee resembored that she had done during the four years that she was employed by myself, so for as corr spondence was concerned. There was correspondence, of course, now and then with hragowsky: for example, Mrugowsky concerned himsolf with publishing opidemiological predictions, and I corresponded with brugowsky onthe development of a not air delousing maching. can the detailing of an engineer the belonged to the Luftwaffe, so that he could work on this for the Wiffun-SS.
- Q. Now, Professor, we are not dealing with any miscellaneous correspondence now: we are dealing with a very fundamental matter, and with a man the had been in Buchenwald in March 1942, and had seen what had gone on, and with a man who objected to Ding's experiments in the 1943; and I should think that such a man would be pretty close.

bility that you are on the point of bringing up some letter to the

offect that Dr. Ding sent me sens application - a reprint of a

peror of his.

- 2. I soe.
- a, And whenever such a piece of correspondence turns up here,
 than I have laid myself open to you and have testified felsely, and
 of course such a possibility as that does exist.
- R. Did you get a report from Ding? I am interested to hear about that. You mentioned having received some nort of publication from Ding; let's hear about that; did you got one?
- A. No. no. I just said I was a well known bacteriologist, and many people sent me reprints of their publications. I really coult may anything about this for sure; I would have to take a look through my collection of reprints which contain about ten to twenty thousand individual papers, and in the case of such reprints it is often the case that we don't rend them all because one decen't have the time, but one simply seat out a propered printed card acknowledgeing receipt of the reprint. These are all possibilities I have to take into consideration, and consequently I have to be very circumspect in my testimony here in order not to ley myself open on account of come atupid matter; for instance, mentioning the excepts of my correspondence with Schilling in 1941: I had tostified to the best of my momery that I did not correspond directly with Schilling during his stay in Italy, and the experiments that Schilling conducted in Itely are not a charge in the indictment, so that I really had no : acon to deny a correspondence with Schilling in Florence, Note, since I was so incretious, so increasious as to may that I had no correspondence with Mr in 1941, you then produced a letter from Schilling's files mich was dated 1941; and then ofter I had seen this letter, I, of course, recelled the mifeir. Now, since that alrunty happened to me once that I committed such an error in memory, I have become a little pero contions gradually, and I do not dony with (policic cortainty matters which are theoretically possible.
- 2. Professor, you don't want to got so contious now that you that the possibility of having participated in marder. Now, as I

understand your direct testimony -

- . No, that possibility I do not addit.
- O. Now, as I understand your direct testigony, you remember you not the vaccine from Ruge; that you experimented on yourself to see thather it contained a living virus, and then you returned it to him.
- 4. Fo, that is a misunderstanding. I stated that I do remember for cortain that I received one consignment of vancine from Ruge, but that was not the Sucharost vaccine, and I remember this consignment of vaccine for sure because there were a few occularities in this nation. Rugo had asserted that there was a living virus in this vaccine because the reactions to the vaccine was particularly severe on the people on whom he tested it. I looked at the inbel on this veceine, end I ascorteland that this originated from the same institute whose vaccine I had used two years before to vaccinate the addical personnel working on the resettlement. Taurcupon I gave mysolf a couble dead of this vaccine, and saw that the reaction was a purioutly normal one. Then I sent the waccine to the commincturer who while tested it, and also reported that the vaccine was perfectly cornel. On the basis of these two reports the vaccine was again ando available for general use, but as I said, this was not the Bucharest vecting because I have put in a document hore, memoly, instructions to the troop dectors in the Luftwaffe, saying that the Bucharest although this proved its efficacy in Suchemeria, was not to be used by the Instwaffe because the results of this testing at Buchemmald were at that time not known to the Luftunite. To be sure, through -in 'n report to the consulting conference, and through his publications in the periodical for hygiene, we found out about this testing, at that thereafter there was no reason for not using this vaccine; No. I do not know muchor or not it was used in individual cases after that; at any rate, I found no directive to that effect.
- Q. Well, how could you write a latter telling the medical colleges in the Luftwaffe not to use the Eucharest vaccine, although

25 Apr. 1 DES 4 3 Seppson (Srown) Court No. 1. it was being tested in Buchentald, unless you know it was being tested in Suchanwald? A. I remember no such letter. Q. May be I misunderstood you; I thought that was just what gots scid. a. No, no, I said just the opposite. If you understood that, it must be an error on the part of the interpreter - stronge as that my soon. I shall report so this is perfectly clear. In evidence is a document, namely, Directive to Troop Dectors of the Laftwaffe in which ton different typhus vaccinos are listed and that are permissible for use in the luftweffe. The Sucharest veccine is not included in that list, and this list was published a long time ofter, according to Ding's Diory, the testing of this Bucharest vaccine had been concluded and the reports on it had been sent to Berlin. I conclude from this that when this directive was issued, I could not have know of this testing in Euchenneit because the testing in Suchenwald had proved that the vaccine was a good one, that is to say, and I know that we had reaction good vection on our hands, then I should cortainly have appounded it to the list as So. 11. Q. Mow, how did it happon that Buge, a havy officer, would sond you typhus veccine? A. That is to be explained by the fact that the Float Physician, huro, was detailed to the Luftmail's for three years by the Havy corrotion, two years - no was consulting hygicalst with the Air Float 4, and air Float 4 covered the southern area in the east, which included Soumenia. After Buge's release to the Luftwaffe had come to no ond, which I believe was around 1944, huge was detailed to the arry and remained in Roumania. Q. Bow, do you recall telling no in an interrogation on 31 Catobor 1946 that you gave this vaccino, which you received from Sugo, to Gildemoister? a. That is quite possible that we discussed that possibility

6451

in an interrogation.

- Q. But now you do not remember whether you got the Bucharest vaccine at \$117
- A. I have said that I cannot tostify with certainty on that notter. I have repeatedly said that there is a possibility; you stated to see as a fact at that time that I had this Bucharest veccine, and then I told you the ways how I sent such vaccine on to other people, but I most assuredly did not tell you of my own knowledge in this interrogation that I had received this Boumanian vaccine from Professor Ruge.
 - 2. One you reaction ever having sont a vaccine to Mrugowsky?
 - A. No, I cannot recember that.
- Q. And you are supported in that by Frau Slock in that testimony?
 - A. You, I boliove she said secuthing to that effect.
- Q. Well, what about the Copenhagen vaccino that you got; you got that in 1944 that's only about two and a half years ago.

 Do you —
- A. You are in error, Mr. Prosecutor 1943. I already put in the document concerning this.
 - Q. I remember, I remember.
- A. That is in a supplementary document, Document 46, Exhibit
 To. 20, and also -
 - Q. I remember, I remember.
- A. The document of the Behring Works in Marburg: both these documents mentioned 34 September 1943 as the date, and the date of my report was the 29th of September, 1945. If I and not been able to get shold of these documents, then I really should not have been in a resition to tell you the year in which these events occurred, but now I do have the documents and do have the eggertunity to refresh my searry on the basis of these documents.

25 April-M-JP-5-1-Karrov (Int. Brown) Court I Q. cell, let's look at the other entry in the Ding Diary which Affects this. Foat is on page 49 of the Prosecution Document Book 412. To you remember that? The experiment that started on the 8th of March 19447 The first sentence is: "suggested by Cherstarit of the Air Jorgs, Professor Rose, the vaccine Copennagen (Instrumine vaccine), a. Yes, I have this entry before me now and I have also put in the documents that refer to this, including my official report in which there is mention of the sugestion I made in compection with the Copenhagen vaccine. Q. toll, do you conocid that there is a possibility that this entry is correct? A. Yes, if Ding is here referring to my official report and referring to it as a supposition, then the oner is correct, but I solitove tout if an impartial person rade through this official report he would not one in it a suggestion for organisonts on human teings. That is a question of interpretation. Q. "ell, did ou said that remort to min? I thought the tontimeny was to the effect that the Copenharen vaccine only went to about four people and none of the four included either Easter or Ding or Krugowsky. Bow, are you suggesting the possibility that you did nond in to Ding? a. so, you've occupietely misundorated as, It is just the opposite that I wanted to say. The report is available and the list of distributeus is in the list to whom the report was sent. Meither Ding our arregowaky is sentioned in that list. Consequently, you cannot the orstand me to have said that I sent this report to Diag. 1. No, I didn't but Heagen is not included in that list either, as I rocall, is ho? a. Your recollection has deceived you. Ha gen is listed there This Strasbourg Institute. Let me just find the document, This is Document 22, Exhibit 21. The list of those to whom it was 6453

25 april-W-JP-5-2-Marrow (Int. Brown) Court I distributed is on pages 18 and 19. First, Robert Moch Instituto, attention: Professor Gildemoistor; then, second, is the State Institute for amperimental therapy, Generat Otto; thirdly, Institute for Lyphus and Virus Research in Dracov, Professor Lyer; fourth, Sygione Institute of the University of Strassours, Professor Langen; and then, searing Institute for Typhus hescarch in Lemberg, of; and sixth, schring Yorks in karbarg on the Lahn. 4. haut's the date of that? a. 29th of Scotomber, 1943. Q. Did you later send this vectine to wing or pragovery for tosting in auchenwald? a. No. I have alread quatified here that if I he oven asked about this directly before Iran slock testified I should have said tint I had sent the whole vaccine a mole to Professor Schreiber. But, in the mantime, Fr. a dione are scatified nero and this commandtion on my part was incorrect and the samples that care from Commission were divided up into several Barte and sent to several immittatom. I consider it nessible - I consider it likely that From Block's testinony on this matter is more roll, who then mine would have been becomes one had less to do toan I did and was concorned especially with the sunding off of such things, so it is probable that nor recollection of this is nore precise than almos 7. tell, how can there on my denot beat it? Pon't you a we this document here which tells exactly what you did with the Compenhagen vaccine? a. I bug your pardon. I didn't quite understind the question. 1. Call. I don't underst me how there e a be my question about but a promed to the Coyona get viccine in the fire of this document to t you have sugartted? A. Tais document states what sugastions I made in connection "14. this Comenh on viccine and with regird to the viccinos tocasolves 5454

Court I

I suggested they be used on persons who were in especial danger.
These persons in especial danger - people who were in particular danger of being infected with typhus - this was a generally current technical expression and the medical efficers, both in the civil containstration and in the military medical service, were familiar with it, and it is used not only in connection with typhus but in connection with other diseases also. The phrase is used sporsons in especial danger ", for example, only sewage workers are vaccinated or niners or people where there is a particular postilence of rate in the case of the Weil Disease. These people I have just mentioned are in especial danger and are consequently vaccinated whereas other people are not.

- Q. Professor, let's nos wonder off the point. I im not interested in all of this, but do I understand you to say that a sample of the Coponhagen vaccine was sent to such one of those men in the distribution list, along with the letter?
- a. No. I don't believe so secure the samis couldn't have seen large enough for that. As I remember it, the asount was only large enough to suffice for one consignment. Low, Fr. a Block has each it was divided into three portions.
 - Q. and who got the cortions?
- A. I don't remember processely what Fran Block testified to the effect. I should have to look it up in the record.
 - . Q. We know that housen not one of the portions, don't wer
- A. That I do not know. It cannot so soon from the correspondence, at may rate, he did not make use of this Copenhagen wascine or, at least, never reported on it if he did, be there was only correspondence of the question whether this Copenhagen vaccine was to be used as a furtilled series in the experiments that were testing to allowings the forestion of his subjects to the living spiralent vaccine. That

25 april-W-JP-5-4-K rrow (Int. Brown)

Court I

interested his particularly occase this Comenhagen vaccine was a dead vaccine from surine virus and there was no other such vaccine in Sermany, but Professor Haugen succeeded in Weakening this vaccine so that there was no reaction whatsoever and he did so before corrying out his series of experiments, and this meant that he was no longer interested in the Comenhagen vaccine and there was no further correspondence on the subject. I have attempted to inquire of the Institute at Comenhagen whether he received consignments of their vaccine. In two decides that this vaccine in the was decided. The Comenhagen Institute believes that this vaccine was sent only to Danish and Sorwegian doctors.

- Q. Now, Professor, without pursuing this point much further,
 now could you suggest to Anagon to the carry out an experiment with
 the Copanhagen vaccine unless you had sent aim a suple of the Copenhagen vaccine?
- and the for a sumple. So cortainly know of Ipsen both of them were research upon in typins, and I am quite sure that they exchange reprints of their scientific publications. In fact, hangen had the chance to visit Ipsen personally because he was then consulting byglorist with the sir Fleet soich and Copenh gen full within the jurisdiction of that sir Fleet soich and Copenh gen full within the jurisdiction of that sir Fleet seich. In other words, if the matter interested him, he could a we made an official trip to Copenhagen within the framework of his normal activities. He simply would have had to apply for permission to go and the a look at something up there and he would have get permission.
- Well, can you tell the frictional what have ened to this Openhagen vaccine? I don't know myself. I neven't found out with happened to it. You got it, out who did you give it to?

A I said that refere. If I were relying on my own nemery I should have said that he sent the vacaine, the whole amount of it to Professor Schreiber along with the main report that I wrote. However, Fran Block corrected by nearly in this setter to the extent of saying that the vaccine was broken down into three portions which were sent to three offices, including Professor Gildeneister; but as I said, I am not in a position to state that of my own knowledge. In this whole examination we fin, ourselves in a most unfortunate position in that individual latters and individual consistments which were simply single events in the morrow of an enormously extensive official activity and were code the subject of imquiry, and we are simply not in a position to refer to our our files or other persons we worked with. Of course it makes a poor impression if you en mot give a straight you or no answer to a point as important as this, and I am guite clear about that, but that can be explained from the whole position in smich I fine myself. For the years I may been in custedy with no access to my of a tiller, but you have my files. You pot these letters from Schilling from my own file a binet and you must You got the distance of here more than on the existence of here from my files, weekens all of these lebburs must have been in the manu drawer offers the leasure ours found that Schilling wrote to me.

If the yea know one lotters except two, don't you, Doctor; at is not difficult to tell the truth. The difficult thing is to tell just about neif the truth or name at all, and hope to get by with it. Now, you very soil mass whether you sent any of this vaccine to aragementy or Ding to be tested at Sucharacid, just as well as you wail remarker that it yours ago you rem over a man with an automobile and killed him. We are not setting with siscollaneous letters, we are dealing with unique letters concerning sarser. I in asking you to tell the truth about it. If you gave any vaccine to Mranewalky and Ding, Copenhagen or Successed washing you would remarker or Successed to School pour would remarker it?

A to the best of my knowledge I have answered all these questions here. I never run over maybedy 40 years ago with an automobile, but I grant you I should probably remember it had I done so, and if I had killed anyone the years ago I would certainly remember that too; but correspondence on typins vectimes that foll within the framework of my whole official activity I connot remember any more clearly than I can remember the other 17,000 halters that I received and sent, and in addition to that an enormous pile of occuments that went through my hands everyday. You are and/or some but too much of me. That I issued no orders for Buckenwald and could not issue any orders for Buckenwald should not issue any orders for Buckenwald and could not issue any

and the statements, but it not we also for your anoulledge that

Buchemeld existed and that Dies we testing vaccines there and using

eviralent type us to a machine there to be tested, and those are the

questions I we directly to be a section to ensure. The Bing diery

mays you did next that the use of a section to ensure. The Bing diery

ages you did next that the use of a section to ensure.

A No. 1 have expressly send that I do not group that posmi-

Of You reaches he testimony of Kogos, Rogan testified that
no remember or all him bing got the letter, he didn't say whether
from you or Drogoveky, so wrating Copenhagen vancine be tested in
Suchemorald, and he was very thirted; how how do you explain the testimage of Regard?

A I should recommend that the Proposition rest through the record of kegen's testiment. The a teer is not forth there meterially differently. Regen calc nothing to the effect that Ding had ever received a letter from me. On the contrary is discussing Ding's correspondence he purposes amitted or came. Moreover togan said

nothing to the effect that I bad given the assignment that the Copenhagen Vaccine be tested in Buchemeric. He testified here that Ding had expressed his satisfaction that a vaccine was to be tested to my suggestion, and the test of this suggestion, which was directed neither to Ding nor to image say I had been able to bring in here as a document. By accident one of the six seples of that report could be found, and I deny that that is a suggestion for human being experiments in Buchenwald, but I do admit that a san like Ding, who haved so no violently because of my criticism of his tork, as high here described it, and hesitated to report the phreshag that Ding mand to describe me, as I say he did say that Ding was glas that he had received a vaccine to which I had drawn his attention as something particularly important.

The fri uncl will be in recess.

(I herengen a recomm can taken.)

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel may proceed.

BY MR. MOHANEY:

Q Herr Professor, did Mrusowsky ever request that you give him vaccines for use in typhus a pariments?

A No.

Q Did you ever discuss the question as to whether the louse could be infected by a vaccinated typhus patient with the defendant Wrugowaky?

A That could be possible. This question played an important role in the discussion about the vaccines and their effectiveness for a time. We had some old Polish observations available to the effect that if vaccinated persons received typhus in spite of that vaccination, no further illnesses could be transferred by such persons. It is possible throughout, since this question was of considerable importance, that something like that could well have been discussed by me and brugowsky. We talked a lot about that question.

Q Did you was negotiate with Thus walky concerning vaccines to be tested in Burnarald

A Non

Q 20061 1 - 1 TON 1 - 304/544

(fee)

MS. For all the an account to 1751 be marked as Prosperition 7 to 1721 and account to 1751 be marked as

Q (Continues, dury Forthers, wall you read this document aloud?

A "Hygiene Institute of the Taffen SS; Journal No. 795/42.
"Berlin W 15, Knesebockstrasse 43/44; 16 May 1942.

"To the Oborfeldarat Professor Dr. Cose; Berlin MW, Foebrerstrasse

"Robert Koch Institute.

2.

"The other question which you raised, as to whether the louse can be infected by a typhus patient vaccinated for protection, will also be dealt with. In principle, this also has been approved. There are, however, a till some difficulties at the moment about the practical

execution, since we have at present no facilities for breeding lice

yot.

"Your suggestion to use Olses" has been possed on to the Personnel Department of the SS Nectical Office. It will be given consideration in due course.

"With King regards, and Fell Hitler! Your, Or. Mrugowsky, 55 Obersturmbannfuchrar,"

There is a freezeste to this latter, and I quotes

"According to telephone I cally Dr. September asks to be called by telephone after Freinsene D., was removed at Brugowsky will not you be in order to true, William Tr. Since in informed. 20 May 1942"

It becomes approved that he is a latter that pro Programmy once informed as a sub-less that 25 and Prince and consented to the testing of the actions and the consented to the first variety of the actions and the action of the actions and the action of th

Then who are said to nive this mount lies being infected by typhus patients wascansted for gratection.

I admitted that apossibility exists, and I said that this question was at one time discussed with me.

The final paragraph says that one of my assistants had been drafted into the Walfen SS and that I endeavored to have him used in the Myllenic Service.

25 spril-W-JR-9-1-surns (Int. Renter) Sourt I Q. Herr Professor, let's go to the foot-note first. What are the initials *B.L. " at the end of that foot-note for? Isn't that Fran Block? . Yes, that would be Frau Block; yes. Q. and From Block has been in touch with Dr. Krugowsky. Sho notes that Dr. Ding, who I suppose you will drit is Dr. Ding, has been informed. In view of this note we can pretty well disregard the tostimony of your witness Fran slock before this Tribunal can't we since she testified of your witness Frau Block before this Tribunal, can't wo, since she testified you had not corresponded with armyovsky didn't she? A. She said that she could not recollect any correspondence with brugowsky, but you will soo from the documents from no which you have before you, that this correspondence in effect was of so little extent that it is quite understandable if she does not resember it in detail. It is a result of my express order that you have those documents avilable. I ordered that in my institute at Pfefforode no documents should be destroyed under any circumstances. mere is a written document wailable to the affect that I gave such order. Q. Horr Professor, this letter is in response to one which "ou wrote to hrugowsky, isn't it? A. Thit's possible. 2. and in the letter that you wrote to aregowsky you asked his to have the such rest waccine tested in suchemuld, didn't you! A. I told you perfore in great detail that I could not remenour this matter about the Bucharest vaccine. If you have a lotter sufore you about this a ster this would, of course, give ne a possibility to refresh by nchory. Q. I should think this letter would refresh your memory, Herr 6462

Court I

Professor, particularly in view of the "ing diary, which has an entry shortly following the date on this letter where Ding carries out his experiments with the sucharest vaccine enong others and says in the diary that the vaccine was obtained from you; and houseway in this letter asked you to send him the vaccines which you have mentioned in your previous letter. There's really no doubt about it, is there, Professori

- 4. This possibly becomes apparent.
- Was he to assist in Buchenwald?
- A. He was to be used in the Hygienic Service. Since he particularly dealt with entonological questions I asked that he should work on these questions there.
- Q. You got a report from Ding, too, on these experiments testing the Sucharest vaccine, didn't you, Professor?
- A. I cannot remember that, and I already told you one that had I received any such report I would have drawn the canclusions from it; and since I did not do that, I think it is improbably that I received such a report.
- Q. In view of this letter, doctor, do you want to go back and Change your testimony mount the Copenhagen vaccine? Didn't you also suggest those experiments, and didn't you also supply the Copenhagen vaccine for the experiments in Suchenvald?
 - A. No, I have no intention to do that.
- Q. Well, in that event I will mak that Document 10-1186 be passed up to you, and this will be marked as Prosecution against 492 for identification. - Will you read this letter aloud please?
- a "Coorstarst Prof. Hose

0.U., 2 December 1943

To Standartenfuchrer Dr. Mrugowsky, Head of the Hygiene Institute of the haffon SS 25 spril-W-JP-9-3-Jurns (Int. Homler)
Court I

Berlin-Zehlendorf 6

Spanische allee 10

Dear Mr. Mrugowaky:

at present I have at my discount a number of samples of a new murine virus typhus vaccine which was prepared from nice livers and proved in animal emperiments to be quantitatively a 1000 times more effective than the vaccine prepared from nice lungs. To decide whether this first rate murine vaccine should be used for protective vaccination of human samps animst like typhus it would be desirable to know if this vaccine showed in your and Ding's experimental arrangement at Suchemwald an effect similar to that of the classic virus vaccines.

Unfortunately I could not reach you over the phone. Considering the slowness of postal communications I would be grateful for an answer by telephone. By numbers, all of which go through the same switchboard, are: Berlin 278313

Redd Szehinge Berlin 09, Zeesen 569
Luftwaf o Szehinge 72, there you wek for R.L.k.,
L. In.14

litt bost regards

Heil Hitler

Yours

ROSET

The signature which you see on this photostatic copy is, in effect, my signature. It becomes evident from this letter that I list informed brugowsky about the Coponhagen vaccine which I did not remember up to this point.

25 spril-M-JP-9-4-Burns (Int. Realor) Court I Q. and you seked him to test the vaccine in suchenvald, didn't you? a. This question is de it with here whether this vaccine can be tested in suchenvula. Q: Do you age the name "Ding" written at the bottom of the letter? a. Yes, you our see it it the ootton of the puge. Q. and it appears that the testimony logar was very precise, wasn't it, became Ding got a dopy of this letter, didn't he? A. Yes. Ping's utter news do not only refer to my memor undun out the to the correspondence which was carried on between He and Bragowsky. apparently it was then transferred to the Reichmarst SS. 2. Is the date on this letter 2 December 1943 or February 12, 1903, and I direct your attention to the receipt stap on the letter which is 21 February 1944? A. The difference between the two ditos can be explained by the fact that consider ble time had elapsed fiter scading my letter and the time this letter reached Mr. Ding during which those agencies do it with that moster who as to decide mon the uprov.1 for certain, out amora onto on huma deines. 2. be to milat in that 2 December 19.3 is the correct date to letter? a. Cert inly; that cortainly is the correct date. 2. On the busis of the two letters which I have exhibited to you can will concede to t the Ding diary was precisely courate in What it said, won't your a. No, one con't conclude that just like that. The order to they out experiments in suchemmald would not be issued by me in any W. 77. 3. That's very clear ----6465

Court I

dent from one letter. I didn't remember it and I still don't remember it now, but on the basis of this letter one has to consider that fact proved. Then it also occurs evident that I here drew the attention of Mr. Mrugowsky to this vaccine and that I mentioned a discussion dealing with number experiments regarding these vaccines.

Q. and you suggested and asked him to carry out experiments with the Copenhagen vaccine in suchemwald, didn't you?

A. I am asking whother there is still apossibility to corry out such a series of experiments. That is quite understandable, considering the situation, because one can see from my report of 29 May 1943, that this soumed to constitute a considerable progress on the basis of experiments already made on animals. It was a known to me that such experiments had earlier been carried out, although I basically objected to those exp oriments. This institution had been set up in Garmeny and were a proved by the State and covered by the State, At that mement I was in a position which perhaps corresponds to a lawyer who is, perhaps a basical opponent of execution, or donth sentence. On occasion when he is dealing with loading members of the government, or with lawyers during public Congresses or moetings, he will do everything in his power to maintain his opinion on the subject and have it put into offoot. If, howe yer, he does not succeed, he stays in his profession, and in his environment in spite of this . Under circumstances he may purhaps even be forced to prenounce such a death sentence himself, although he is b sically opponent of that set-up. This, of course, doos not go to t for in my case. I cm only in touch with such people from whom I assume that they somehow are included in the official channels of such on institutions which I disapprove of basically and which I want to see releaved.

f. Professor, 6 persons died in this experiment with the Copenhagen vaccine, didn't they?

A. Yos. Those were 6 Persons who were furnished by the Reich Criminal Police Office through ordinary channels as they had been determined by component agencies.

Q. Now, Professor, do you want to go back now and tell us about the conference in December 1941, where the doc. sion was reached to set up this institute at Buchenwald?

A. Are you referring to the discussion of 29 December 1941?

Q. Yus.

A. Well what question do you wish me to reply to?

Q. I would like for you to tell us about that confer-

A. Woll, first this conference did not take place discussing such a ntents as you describe them. Secondly, I have to say, with all containty, that I did not participate in any such conference.

2. But you know about it , didn't your

A. I know nothing more about this conference of 29 Docombor 1941 from my own knowledge. In the meantime I have roud the record of this mouting of thet deto. I saw it here. You submitted it, and it becomes evident from it, too that I did not porticipate in it, and also, that a number of other people who are mentioned in the record , did not participate in the conference. Whether at the time when Gildomoister discussed this problem with mo, in orrly 1942, he had mentioned this conferones to me, I do not knew anymore in dotail. I most of all remember the fact that he said at that time that those experiments origin tod from Under Secretary of State , Conti, and according to the records of the meeting, he also did not participate. Obviously the decision for the execution of these experiments came a cut semehow much later, during a smaller conference. It is quite logical such a conforcaco must have taken place; but

who participated in such a conference I do not know.

At least I cannot tell you that today, from my own knowledge. W bether Gildemoister at that time reported any further details to me about that or concerning that Conti had made a decision, I do not know today anymore.

MR. McHaMEY: I have no further questions.

THE PRESIDENT: Any further examination of the mitness by defense counsel?

RE-UTHEST KNAPINATION

BY DR. FM TZ: (Counsel for Defendant Rose)

Q Professor, the prosecutor asked you yesterday whether you remembered discussions which you had with Professor Holzhoehner after the locture at Nurnberg at the so-called "Gold" Conference.

What did you learn from Hellochner on that occasion?

A This conversation, as I already testified during my direct examination, dealt mainly with the psychological side of any such experiment on human beings. Helzlochner perticularly emphasized what a tremendous mental burden it constituted for his to carry out these physiological experiments on issuan beings; he emphasized specially that as to the mental burden it constituted for the man carrying out the experiment, it would practically make no difference whether the subjects were condemned to death or not, and that even the assurance of a perdon in case of a survival of the experiments, as it had been given in the case of his experiments, did not change the spiritual difficulties for the physician. He said that never in his life would be want any such order again. That was the main contents of this conversation.

Q During that conversation did Professor Helzloohner tell you anything to the effect that these experiments were carried on in Dachau?

A During his locture, as far as I know, he said nothing about it. Afterwards, during the conversation, I am sure he did not muntion it because there was no occasion for him to do that. We were not talking about time and place or any details about these experiments, but we were discussing the ethical and psychological aspects of the experiments on human beings. There, of course it did not matter, whether they were carried out in Dachau or anywhere else.

- Q Was Professor Schilling mentioned during that conversation?
- A Certainly not. Schilling had nothing whatsoever to do with freezing experiments, and Holzlochner had nothing to do with malaria experiments. Although today I certainly cannot give you an absolutely certain testimony as to the centents of a conversation which took place 5 years ago, I do not believe that anything like that was mentioned. It is highly improbable that Schilling was mentioned because there was no occasion for him to be mentioned. He was carrying out malaria experiments.
- A number of documents from which it becomes apparent that your Tropical Medical Department at the Robert Koch Institute was sending Anopheles eggs to Schilling. That was nothing new. This was known to all the participants in this trial. The only thing that I noticed was the Professor Schilling apparently changed the name of the mularia strain which he received from you to your name. Can you say anything more in order to explain that fact?

- A. This question of changing the name of this strain has stready been clarified through this correspondence. I said it was nightly improbable because it is in centrary to the usages of meleria experts, but that does not after the fact that a maleria strain not been furnished by me and that is something that I have already testified to earlier on the basis of a report by Miss Von Falkenhayn; whether the strain was called "Rose" or whether it had the old name "Greece," as it was called in my laboratory really clays no roll for this trial. As to the question of furnishing these nonneless orga----
- C. Oh, yes, in this connection I have mother question, Professor; do ou know to whom else your department sent maloris and mosquito strains?
- A. Well, nore I am in the same consistion as in the case of achilling's consignments. Maturelly, many such consignments were dispetched by my depertment uring the fer, and in most class I min't hear mything about them. If at any time I say correspondence, I a turnily con't recall it in detail. If I now sud only have to speak about it I would have to turn to my collaborators in the same way as then, and would have to try to find out from them what consignments were disp tohed, by what desistant, and to whom they were addressed. The situation was that one technical assistant was exclusively working in the dispetch of such consignments. She dealt with the malerie consignment business, If you want to call it that way. inturally, it is clear that an individual disputed in the Tramework of this entire business does not creat any porticular attention, and remains in ones memory. My collaborators, my assistants, mave placed me into a very Tykward position here. They testified that such consign-

ments were only made to concling in the year 1942. Another assistant said that this was done in the year 1941. I naturally relied upon these statements and then we found out that in the year 1943 enother anopheles consignment was sent to Professor Schilling. Naturally, this is quite possible. These really quite excusable, because the actual assistant who was working on this maleria consignment business could not be found up to this date. She probably would be the first one to give exact statements as to the pariod of time. However, the most assential points in

- which were introduced by the Prosecutor yesterday?
 - A. Oh, yes, I brought thom along today.

this connection are not the dates, but the facts.

- Would you blease be good enough to look at document No. 1755, which is a letter written bliegedly by you and addressed to Professor Schilling, dated 27 July 1943. I already cointed out pasterday that your signature only becomes apparent by an "R". I now ask you to look at page 2 of that acquient.
- A. Excuse Do. I think I alsunderstood the number. I inderstood 1756.
 - Q. No, 1t is 1755.
 - A. Thank you very much.
- "Sunderfuehrer of the Luftwoffe Dr. Emmel with Consultant Evglenist of the Hyliene Inspector Robert woch Institute, Tropical Medicine Department." Does that give you any alot of whether this letter originated from you or whether we re her concerned with one of these dustomery measures taken when making the consignment of any such material?

- a. This entry does not give me any further cluss. I that that it is a clear proof that in July 1943 mescuite is a, were east to Dachau. How this was corried on in lateful does not become apparent from this letter. I don't remainer these various affairs regarding the vacation and clusters.
- 2. Then discussing the document which was yesterday introduced by the Prosecution, NO 1756, you told the iribunal that Professor contiling wanted this sideon attrial for the menti reaction; is this a distourry reaction?
- A. This is a restation which is necessary for diagnosis of emponic meteric and was introduced by the French reasonance Seart, and it also cours als arms. It was applied for a few years quite memorally in all material inflicted countries. It is a return committee a question. Then it was forgotten somewhat, because it aid not live a mater of appears tions, but a number of institutes are still a plying that method. Now and again it was descended by my imboratory, but we aidn't carry out that reaction.

 Som requests same too soldom, and it wasn't worth our outpre effort.
- W. In this document there is a latter wristen by you to Professor conflicting 1. Which a large solutions are nonlioned; did I understand you rightly that you made by that the saless of some parsons who died of a large, and at the same time mother question; is it permissible to take some name or, as from corpses?

of some other disease. For instance, somebouy who died is a result of concer but simultaneously was suffering with chronic meleria. This is in answer to the first addetion, what a mobirin splean was. The second question whather it is cormissible to remove or and from a numer corpse and been settled legally. The legal second concerning the corpses of the human being, and I think there is rother extensive legal literature on that subject, include the following principles, which the payercian knows, and that refers to German law. For instance, was hairs do not goin ony right to own the corner of any decensed orsen. In other words, the interests of the naive counct be demined by any interiorence with the corpse. Furthermore, as f r os + know it was burn established legally in Sermany th t in the case of putopeies corrid out by y icions, ports of the corpsos of be respond for scientific purposes. hen looming of massums or pathological institutes on will find that they almost only consist of such proporations of organs which were removed worth, such "Withoutes. If for instance for would visit a crimminal scientific museum, which you probably have done in the past, no n lawyer you will led note that there we to be found similar preper tions which are of interest as to crising low, no coing proserve a proximited there. I bolieve to t simil v love exist brood, if not the some. in bormany there were even regulations to the effect in whit manner one mes to deal with the or is of numer Printer they are no longer used for scientilio pur-. In that connection one was not liowed to treet List ports no w ste, but it was lowelly preserved that the a a to be buried in theproper manner even if the with durose and grave was no longer known. Those

Court 1 April 25-M-11-12-6-HD-M-loy-Romsler.

regulations are mainly known in pathological institutes, since it is always those who are working with corpose.

As for as I remember this motter regarding malaria

12 12 25 .pr-1-335-11-la-Malor (Int. Regular) Sourt Fo. 1. micons I gave a directive to my essistant to the effect that we at into contact with a number of pathological institutes in Berlin, and shother there was a possibility to fulfill Dr. Schilling's vint. . Is there another better known medical reaction during which ormun from human corpses play a part? ... You, there are a number of such reactions. The reaction bost know to the leymen is the so-called Ensearen reaction, which is the extraination of the blood in the case of syphiliz, as reasont an extract from the liver of newly bern children is used, who had died no a result of hereditary syphilis. Those livers contained the symbilis gorms to the some extent as it is found in bacteriological culture. There are still institutes today the are forking according to this original method of Professor Tasserma, although in the normating, this procedure has been further developed, and a number of collisiontions of this original mothod exist during which extracts free organs of unimals ero used for the very some purpose. There are a number of other exemples and tests and examinations where the use of parts of human corposs is necessary. . I have enother question to the document which was intromuced by the Presocution yesterday, YO 1752; this is a letter written by Schilling to you, atod 4 april 1942, have you that documont before your 4. Yes, 1752. Q. In the first porn graph Prof esor Schilling caked you to soul him another few infected anopholes of a rad then going on you on that he would cortainly be grateful to you for your renewed ampourt of his work. A layer would conclude from that at least that low more informed about Schilling's work which he was carrying out in Decimit would this connection be correct? -. No. cortainly not. Obviously this was the ontire Correspondence which Professor Schilling had with me throughout 6477

these years, and it was all submitted to the Tribural. This entire correspondence referred exclusively to the consignment of mosquites and this one maleric strain, about which it is avid expressly that is april 1942 it was one of these six strains with which Professor Schilling was working. There existed no correspondence at all thout Schilling's work. If any such correspondence had existed one would have found it in the same files from which these lotters also originate. It is quite clear what is meant by support in this letter. It in the fact that he received 10 anopholes from my laboratory and that in the glands of two of these anopholes, maleria protoces could be found, which Professor Schilling had been using for some of his experiments. On the basis of this fact, namely that he get two conquitoes he says that I was giving his support.

- . I must shortly refer to another document shich is Document 1059, which is the letter by Professor Hampen to you dated 29 Nov-omber 1942. This is what it says on to popy, but I think it must be 1943.
 - A. In the original it was 1943.
- C. Now, Professor, I want to ask you conclined about the word "subsequent infection" and Mr. McHaney didn't understand this was to mean active subsequent infections. I really want to put no further questions to you about that matter, because I learned this wording that an affidavit of one of the assistants of Professor Eugen, Fraulein Gradel, has been received here, from which one can not the correctness of the description as you gave it. This affidavit is going to be submitted to the Tribunal; but I have mother question to this document. The Proceedator asked you about the significance of the words "epidenic strain," you find that word in the last paragraph of this letter. Is this a strain with which you are produce epidenaes, or what is the situation?
- ... I already yesterday answered the Prosecutor's question to the offeet that an epidemic strain is a strain of rickettsia protozoa.

The richettele protogon is the cause of lice typhus. The louse typhus is medical literature is usually not designated as a louse typhus, but has the name "epidemic typhus," or "classical typhus." For that recess it is quite customery to speek of epidemic strain whenever speciting of the protoson strein. On the other hend the nurine typhus is also called "Endesic typhus." This is a difference in terminology. The gorm of endemic typhus, this murine typhus, is the rickettein murina or moseri. In that connection you can, of course, speak of In come Rickettein, Epidemies can originate from both of these typhus kinds. This document, however, confirms my statement. During my direct exemination I testified that Professor Horgan with his dry vaccines was at first working with a vaccine from murine virus in continuation of the work carried on by Flenc, and that only later he started to work with the methods which had proven thomselves with this murius strain, and began to produce a vaccine from a protocon strain, and Enderic strain. We had to work on that for a for months in the laboratory, because this strain first of all had to be changed int: An avirulent atrain with the help of modern virus research. The fact that by applying the cuthous and alleviation was the result. be to be exemined in the exemination of enterds. Engen in his recorte described this procedure in great detail. Only ofter Laving one that for with painel experiments he could up one stop further in try to find out how the competibility of this to dry veccine applied to human beings.

Q. As last question in connection with Document 50 1186, which to Prosecution introduced a little parlier -

The Tribunal will take its recomm until 1:30.

(Theroupon a recess was token until 1:30 p. ...)

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The horring reconvened at 1330 hours, 25 April 47.)

THE WARSHAL: The Tribural is again in session.

Mry it places your Henor, Defendant Brack having been excused, he is n w boont.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary-General will note the absence of the Defendant Brack, pursuant to excuse by the Tribunal.

Counsel may proceed.

GERRARD ROSE - Resurred

REDIRECT SEAMER TION (Continued)

BY DR. PRITZ:

Q. Professor, I have no last question regarding the document the prosecution last put in, your latter regarding the experiments with the Copenhagen vascine. Con you tell me sanothing about that? Tell me tout what the results were of testing this vaccine in Buchensald.

a. The results of this experiment as set down in Dire 's diary, movely, the ascertainment that this vaccine which second superior to the bus; wheches when used in animal experiments turned out to be uselose for use with human beings, that is in the Ding Ciary. That was the result of the experiment. The practical consequences of the experiment were that the Ipsen vaccine, the introduction of which I had onergetically recommended in September 1943, was not introduced. That was a very important decision for it this vaccine had been introduced, which could be produced two and a half times more emicusly than the lung vaccine, then there would have been such areater amounts of vaccine available for people in denger. Today it comp, for hourse be said for certain has may huma beings would the died as a consequence of using this usoless veccine that I had recommended. But there is no doubt that the number of these deaths "ould have been tatchirlly higher, and from the point of view of W responsibility as a bygionist, - the responsibility for those tio dol because a vaccine which I recommended, but which was

25 Apr. GES-13-la-Foster (Int. Brown) Wourt No. 1.

nevertheless useless, was used, as I say, the responsibility would lie heavier on me. For one thing, because the number of persons involved would be higher, higher than the responsibility which a court may ascribe to me for having approved this experiment on persons who had been assigned to this experiment by the competent state authority, as can be seen from the documents that the prosecution has put in.

DR. FRITZ: I have no further questions in the redirect examination to put to this witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Are there may quantions to be propounded to the witness by other defense counsel?

BY DB. SEEVATIUS (Counsel for defendent Kerl Brendt):

- whitness, under examination by the prosecution you mentioned an experiment that was carried out in a foreign country on persons condumed to death, and you said that carbon tetrachloride was the drug used, is that correct!
- a. You, that was cerbon tetrachloride. That is a medicine which is used frequently in the treatment of hookworn.
- totrachloride is herted?
- A. You must not best cerbon tetr-chloride. Carbon tetr-chloride
 is CCL4 and if you bring that into junterceition with oxygen and heat
 it phospers was, which is a poison, is created. The toricity of carbon
 tetrachloride in practical use rests on the fact that ---
- Witness, I am not interceted in the details. You do say that thousand is created?
 - A. You, that is generally known.
 - DR. SLAV TIUS: No further questions.

BY DR. FLEWING (Counsel for defendant krugowsky):

- tion showed you Document 1754, which surprised you. "ill you please take a look at that document?"
 - a. I don't have the document here.
- master of Mrugowery's letters from the Hygione Institute have been out in evidence. All of those letters had at the letterhead a reference to the letter that was being answered, or they began by enging, is named to your letter of the such and such. Is there anything of that port in this letter?
 - ... No, there is no reference to a provious letter.

26 .pr-.-DES-14-16-Foldt (Int. Brown)
Court To. 1.

- C. Then you looked at this letter here you, because it was redressed to you, assumed that a letter from you must have been what this letter refers to. Now if you look at this letter more closely our you tell no whether you remember for certain that you wrote a letter to brusowsky or Grawitz or semeone else to which this is the master, or is it possible that, as in other cases, Gildemeister or Court turned to Grawitz and that brugowsky answered the letter on orders from Grawitz?
- in That is, of course, possible. In the cross examination I stored that I did not remember those events, and in particular I stored that I did not remember navise alven a suggestion that this lung vaccine should be tested. It is, of course, possible that the question of this lung vaccine was negotiated between other offices and that armoveky as a result of these discussions received some such casignment.
- Q. Is it not to some extent probable that you were not the norcome who wrote the letter that proceed this one, because this letter no deviated from the form that is usually prescribed for military letters?
- a. Normally, if I should write a letter and receive an americant it, then the military form prescribes that there should be a reference to what this is an answer to, and then the letter would be answered, so what you say is true.
- o, .. lao. Document 1185 was put is. That was your letter to imagovely. In this letter -
 - A. I beg your perion. I don't have the letter.
- Q. I am moint to road an enveryt from it. In this letter it ministed that the typhus vaccine from money livers was being congidered it would be desirable to know thether in the experiments in Suchemental there were the same protective results as were obtained from vaccines from classical virus. Are you in a position to have such an experimental series carried out? Did you know anything more

precisely about the emperiments in Suchemple, in particular Ding's subordination relationships at for as the typhus experiments in Black 46 are concerned?

... No. Of that I know nothing. That can also be seen from the lotter, because I as addressing an inquiry about satures that I know nothing about. If I had know about them, I should not have had to inquire.

Q. If this letter was put in this norming, do you know whother you received an enswer from Prugowsky or anyone else to this letter and what the contents of the passer were?

i. I can recall no enswer and no correspondence in this metter. If there were an enswer it probably would have been put in evidence here.

Q. In other words, on the case of the two documents put in this morning you can any nothing about Ding's position in the typhus executionts or about Krusovsky's participation in them.

in. There is nothing to be own about that in those documents, and just what the subordination relations were in the SS. I never innew may thing about, so I don't know it today.

DA. FIEL 186: To further questions.

of the defense counsel the Prosecution may cross excelle as to metters which have been brought out since the close of the cross exemination.

.R. Ach MEY: No further questions, Your Bonor.

T. PRESIDENT: Counsel for Defendent how has no further questions of the vitness?

The witness Rose is excused from the witness stand and will take his place.

DR. FRITZ: Mr. Procident, I have a few documents to put in that I have not yet put in. May I do so nort I get in as further locument from Rose Document Rock 2, Document Ed, Rose Exhibit 40, Pages 35 and 35 of this Document Book.
This is an affidavit by Dr. Friedrich Granake of February 6, 1947,
Eince the Prosecution had dropped the charge against Rose so far as
it concerns yellow fever, this affidavit has pretty well lost its
importance for Rose's case. However, I believe that the affidavit
will be of value to the Tribural in its secret for the truth.
The Bench will recall that Er. Neikaney and appeals had a controversy
reserving the interpretation to be put on the German word "Probo".
and Mr. McHaney proved with a distinary that it could mean both
"sample" and "experiment". For I have hel another affidavit given
to se by the san who made this affidavit, who cortainly sust have
known just what he meant, and we certified in the last paragraph
that then he spoke of "Virus Prober" he was talking of virus

DR. FRITZ: I now offer another document from Rose Document Mock III - Document 41. This will be Rose Exhibit 41. The document is on page 83. This is an affidavit on the part of Dr. Eildegard Roseing. This is the wife of the Professor Entring I called as a witness who has already pointed out is his testinous that his wife worked for a certain length of time with Professor Rose in the Robert Foch Institute. I do not wish to read this document. From Energies here explains what Dr. Rose said to her regarding the generation of authomatic.

Document as. This will be Esco Familia is and is an officerit by Mr. Schmidt - Jacongst of 27 January 1947 on pages 84-6 of the Document Book, This can is a person who has known Dr. Rose since 1922 and prices statements reporting by, Proofs character, I shall, however, not road the document.

I now offer the next Coverest, Bose Document 33, Rose Exhibit 43, proce 86 of the Document Bose. This is an efficient of the Swiss citizen Dr. Poter Peter of 13 February 12-7 on page 86 - 87 of the Document book. Dr. Potser also knows the defer ant Dr. Rose, brwing been with him in Chira. Dr. Potser is secured a Jer and gives Dr. Rose a good character reference. I shall dispuse with reading the document.

the Document Book. This will be Rose Exhibit 41. This is a letter to exact from Professor Drumpt from the Parisina Institute of Parasitology, 19 Naveabar 1966; which consorms the professional qualities of Professor Dr. Rose and this document —

III. Echalist: If the Tribunal please, I lea't think that the letter is in the form of an afridavit. I interpose a formal objection. I personally don't mind seeing the deciment admitted but I raise the objection because I don't want to see may precedent established with regards to letters of this type addressed to

Defense counsel.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel for the Prosecution is correct. This is berely an unsworn-to letter.

DP. FRTIZ: That is quite true, your nonor. Formaps the Tribunel would care to look at the original and thus assure itself of the authenticity of this letter.

In PRESIDENT: That is not the basic reason for the objection.

The letter is an experte statement written without any responsibility, not under onth at all, and, if the Tribunal would admit such letters has this me would very likely be assumed with letters to persons both for the presecution and for the defense. If counsel for defendant desires to procure a verification or onth to this letter it might now be admitted provisionally subject to later on presenting something in proper form which would make it remissible.

Die BRITZ: Then I shall follow that procedure, Mr. President.

The next hose Document, Document Rose 45, on page 89 and 90 of the Document Fook I shall put in me dose Excisit 45. Here the situation is the same as the immediately proceding document, to wit, the document is not even to. The difference, not ever, is that the letter was addressed to the President of Tax Criminals Court and was made evailable to so by the Secretary General.

THE PRESIDENT: The fact that the latter is directed to the President of Wer Griningle Court does not chance the basic eltuntion. It is still a purely or parts statement in the latter and not made under onth.

D. FRITZ: Then I shall put this torment in for the present simply for identification and then later produce a sworm cortification. Herewith, hr. President, I may out in evidence all the documents in my Document Book with the exception of Funder 18 in the Supplementary Volume. This is the very last document. I don't believe it is necessary for me to put his decument in in view of the charge that the Presecution has dropped against the defendant Rose.

INT PRESIDENT: Document Book II, Document No. 27, I have not provided as an exhibit received.

DE. FRITZ: I thank you for bringing this to my attention. Whis was on oversight on my part. This document I did not put in. Foundayor, I should like to do so. That is Bese Document Europe 27 and I shall put it in as Bose Exhibit No. 46. This is an affidavit by the University Professor, Dr. Franz Buschner of Z March 1947, pages 57 to 51 of the Document Book. I shall forego reading the document because it concerns itself largely with the question of hepatitis opidemica since the Prosecution has dropped its charge against Professor Rose. However, on make 60 of the Document Look, at the end of the Comment it also concerns itself with Professor Rose's personality.

We. President, my socrater, points out to no that I have failed to put in another document, so wit, Document 60 in Document Book III.

This is an affidavit by the physician Dr. Karl Lucklone of 13

February 19-7 which I offer as Rose Exhibit 47. It is on pages 78 to 60 of the Document Book. It concerns itself the Prefessor Rose's criticism. I shall not bother to read this necessat either. This, I believe, is all the Rose Documents and this concludes my presentation of the Rose case. Newsor, I should like to reserve for myself the right to put in a few documents inter. For example, I am expecting pone from Encloud.

DES PRESIDENT: As in other cases, the Tribanel will reserve the counsel for the defendent Rose the right to offer the decuments he may receive at some later time prior to the time that the evidence is closed.

The defendant Rose having rested his case we will now coll the case of the United States versus Raff, Restore, and Weltz.

25 Apr. GES-18-1-Kerrow (Int. Brown) Court Fo. 1.

DR. SAUTER (Defense counsel for the defendant Ruff): Er. President, it is my intention, first of all, to call Dr. Ruff to the
stand. Thereupon, I shall have two witnesses to hear if they have
prrived here from Barlin by Monday or Tuesday. If the witnesses do
not arrive, I have produced efficients from these witnesses which I
shall put in evidence. I have handed in a routh document book which
contains documents 1 to 17. Then I have four annexes to this - annex
1, with documents 18 and 19: annex 2, with document 20, annex 3, with
forument 31; and annex 4, with two documents, Documents 22 and 23,
With the permission of the Tribunel, I should like to call the dofondant Dr. Ruff to the stand.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness Rouff will take the vitness stant.

SIEGRATED RUFF, a witness, took the stand and toutified as follows:

JUDGE SERRIES

Please held up your right hend and be storn,

I swear by God, the almighty and Coniscions, that I will speak the pure truth and will withhold and add notation-

(The witness repeated the outh).

You may sit down.

the presecution has not yet received Supplements 3 and 4 and, in addition thereto, I am not usure of the fact that we have received the motion of the two witnesses to be called by Dr. Sauter for the defendant Ruff. It may well be that we have and I have not received them yet, and I would neverly like to know the names of the two witnesses he intends to call if they arrive from Jerlin.

DR. SAUTER: I bolieve the Tribunel has the supplementary volumes 1 and 2 since long. The volumes 3 and 4 will probably be eiten to the prosecution and the Court on Monday.

DR. SAUTER: Here they come.

(Bocusent books were presented to the Tribunal by the Secretary General).

and the two witnesses whom I intend to call, assuming that they got here from Berlin, are Dr. Freitag and Februsiator, but I much doubt whether they will get here from Berlin.

DIRECT EXATION

BY DR. ELUTER:

- Q. Dr. Ruff, you are forty years old?
- You.
- Q. .re you merried?
- de Ton.
- Q. Have two children, note 4 and 87
- You.
- Q. You studied medicine, took your degree, and were then assistant at a University clinic but, herever, did not set up your own practice?
 - in That is bruc. Fover.
- Q. You entered the service of the Luitwaife and served not in the Luitwaife but in the Versuchsenstall foor Luitfairt. Perhaps you can explain how that came about. When his you enter that institute?
- notes and a study as a doctor I spont my spare time studying existion. That is to say, I slew as a spart at first, elicine, and then notorized flight. Of course, as a student of medicine who concerned hims If with sport aviation I. of course, was interested in the medical questions that concern aviation. Then, after my studies were concluded and I had taken my doctor's equination, I was an assistant at the University elipic at Jona. I became to concern myself with the medical questions of aviation and to experiment in this field. The work I did at that time was mainly concerned in ascertaining whether the clientic conditions that one mosts when one climbs to a high altitude could be used, in any way, for the therepoutic purposes. At the end of the year 1953, one of my teachers, the

physicist ordinarius at the University of Bonh, recommended as to the Experimental Station for aviation as a medical consultant. I accepted this position in 1934. In other words, since 1934 and until the colleges I was a physician in the Experimental Station for aviation.

- O. You were the director of this institute?
- First when I came to the institute in 1934 I was scientific collaborator in the institute. I was the first and only medical collaborator in this research institute, and was first assigned to the Department for the Investigation of Fechanical Accidents. Thus, in the first years of my nethvities there, I concerned appelf with questions of excidents, worked on the medical questions involved, and since this field become larger and larger, I finally received a Gopertment and finally I built's sectional institute with several scientific collaborators.
- of -- was this spart of the leftesffo?
- a. This DVL did not have any local atatus of its own. It was one of many institutes that very includes under the term "DVL", namely, Doutsche Versuchs astalt fuer Luftfahrt. It the end of the war there were about twelve or fewrteen such institutes within the framework of the DVL.
 - O. That was the smiadhe board of the DVLV
- The DVL one a registered association, founded by private the governmental sources, in order to content research into the scientific basis for aviation. The association consisted of inflivious industrial firms and state offices and officials. The board of canagers are elected from this roup of people. I consisted a synth of private individuals, of representatives of individual industrial firms who were neglects of the association, and of representatives of other governmental institutes. The board of canagers of the DVL determined has the promination are to be managed, and it appointed the institute and departmental chiefs. The paragement,

At that time, consisted of one scientist. To him were subordinated four directors. Three of these directors such had a group of scientific institutes under him, and the fourth director was in charge of administration, construction, etc. In this group of institutes they were subordinate to the individual directors. By institute was the one antitled "Equipment".

- Toro you then professional active thereafter, and if so, when and there?
- A. From the Sprice of 1945 until the Autumn of 1945 I was unemployed. From October, 1945, to September 1946, I was accontific
 collaborator of the Auro-Medical Center of the United States wir
 Forces.
 - Q. What was your position there, just in general?
- n. My notivities were minimum to those that I and under the DVL.
- O. There bigh sittings experiments convict on in this more
- A. I experimented in the field of high eltitude resourch and also couried out high eltitude experiments in the low pressure chamber as I had done in my institute and of spice I as here accused.
- Q. Did you use a low prossure chescer such as was used in
 - ... You.
- Tow, what apositic towns hid your institute heve? Namely, the institute of which you said proviously that it had the title "Lir Locicine Institute"?
- a. In general, this institute had the job of finding the solical and scientific bases of aviation and investigating the longeral practical questions that confront eviation.

April 25-A-BK-17 & 18-1-Machen (Int. Routler) Court No. 1 In what way was this institute or the D.V.L. subordinate to the air Ministry? A Tho D.V.L. received its instructions from the Air . injetry until the end of 1941 from the so-called research Supertaint of the Air Ministry and then from the research director of the Ministry. My institute, the Institute for Aviation Modicing, had a particular position with the D.V.L. to the extent that we were subordinate to the Medical Inspectorate of the Luftwiffe and had to report to it and receive orders from it. However, the orders which we aid receive from the Eccioul Inspector to concerned only tochnical matters. In con: words, it was not a military autordination or posterio. 4 one was chief of the codient Langeterate of the Luftwarro at that time? a at that time, hipphs. The same Hip do, who has often hare been mentioned? A Yos. Q what were the relations between your institute and the party; the SS and the SA and what were your relations to the N.S. Doctors Union? A The German Experimental Institute for avietion, as well as my own Institute, hed no relations at all to the party, to the 33 or to any other party agency. The Institute, s I have already said here, has been founded in the year 1911. It was a registered assect tica and had no affiliations to any purty agencies. I, myself, at the onl of 1957, entered the party as a party condicate and was finally accepted in the year 1938. I did not belong to the 53, the Sa or to the National Socialist Loague of Physicians. w You were saying that you were accepted into the party 5493

in the your of 1938; did you then become a proper party comber; did you receive the party combership book; did you receive the usual obligations; were you placed under eath, sto.?

- A I said that in the second helf of 1937 I signed my application for party numbership and that in the year of 1938 I was actually accepted. I never received a so-called party book, nor did I get any obligation.
- Q During the subsequent period, did you hold any office in the party?
 - . No, I mover or reised may office with the party.
- offer an efficient is Decement No. 2 in Decement Book Dr.
 Buff and I shall give it exhibit No. 1, nurs exhibit No. 1.
 This is an efficient by Engineer Otto Fuens, given on 23
 December 1946 and certified and signed on the very same day
 before the competent Burgarnelster. After the customary
 introduction, the witness Otto Fuens says, but at first
 states that he was a deputy number of the Bears of Directors
 in the German Experimental Institute for eviation and then
 he speaks about Dr. Auff:

"Dr. Singfried Ruff, as chief of the 'Institute for aviation Medicine' was also exployed with the D.V.L. as for as I remember he was pleinted to this position by recommendation of Professor Johnson, manget others, who was at that time Chanceller or Boan University. This alone shows that party political consideration did not load to Dr. Ruff's appointment, but relusively scientific considerations, and aspecially the fact that he, is an enthusiastic and extremely gifted pilet, seemed to be particularly switch to bederstand the problems, which cross during actual flights, ru to submit those to scientific extendention. I see and

tolked to Dr. Ruff almost drily, unless either he or I were travelling. His political opinion is known to me well amough to be able to testify about it.

- 2. I would like to emphasize the following about Dr. Ruff's character and his general human qualities as far as I was able to observe them:
- his readiness to listen to all human troubles and his ever present willingness to help with advice and action. He always put in a good word for the accused in any kind of disciplinary action, especially in connection with the often incomprehensial, severe sentences for violations of riving discipline and order, but also in nature partaining to offensus equinst civil laws. He tried to awaken human understanding by explaining the psychological eackgrounds and by citing his own experiences. His attitude impressed to favorably and as a result we frequently discussed the inestitute. His kindly, frank manner seems, by the way, het to be an individual enercatoristic but a quality pessessed by his entire family.

Dr. haff had a very high conception of his medical calling. He immediated from himself and his staff the highest personal effort. I know, for instance, that he and his colleagues carried out the most congerous wintion experiments, such as those on accollection-enderance, sitting personally at the controls. Dr. Meshouter who perticipated with his in those investigations, and with residental death turing one of them. In the same way he reportedly performed experiments on himself in the contribute and in the high-lititude chamber. During those experiments he often resched the limit of endurance and consciously excepted pain and abssized disorders. This spirit, by the way, life not reign

instance, that Dr. Benzinger of the D.V.L. I know for instance, that Dr. Benzinger of the mirrores Research Institute Recklin also conducted highly dengarous experiments on himself as an experimental subject.

I nover noticed that Dr. Ruff was possessed of an unusual or even a pathological ambition, which might have resulted in his acting against his better conscioned for reasons of addration or career. His simple, andost, quiet demander, his integrity, and his dislike of moting his superiors here often then official necessity demanded, resulted in many personal setbacks, for instance, his promotion to a professor—ship; but nevertheless this edged so injury to his self—confidence which might have resulted in a desire to alter his way of life or to push himself into the limiting.

For those mentioned reasons I consider it absolutely impossible for Dr. Ruff to have openitted a prime against the law and against humanity.

b) Dr. Ruff special to me at no time so unaccurred of patienal socialistic ideology that he accepted all decrees, appearing and actions of the government without criticism. Soither did he agree with the brutal treatment of the Jews, nor with inhuman warfers, as for as we know about it. I remember the horror with which he discussed the destruction of the warsow Chetto when runers about this occurrence remended him. The order to kill preshatists who beined out and especially Dr. Goobbels' relicious statement that enough the fury of the population, which was prectically an incitement to carrier, he regarded as inferry with incompatible with the monor of a soldier, and he expressed himself openly to that effect. He also sharply criticized the surprise day—Math attack of German fighterplanes on London - I forget the

ants - whon oronds and buses were fired on. In centrast to ease on returning from visits to the front, he gave repeated examples of how the Allied Command respected the Red Cross, dentrary to propagands statements."

I think I shall skip the next paragraph and I shall a stinue with Paragraph 6. well, I don't think I have to read that either, it mainly repeats his political attitude and this also applies to Paragraph 4. I ask you to take notice of these paragraphs and I will continue with Figure 2.

- with the SS. As he was by nature against all extremists, he could not very well agree with the redical december of this organization. He saw in the radical december, he did every educated men, a crute conclusion based on the mental empacity of the masses, from insufficient scientific natural, and therefore completely distorted; their attitude toward the charches, their system of supervision and spying, their renal institutions (concentration caups) and their political intelerance were in contrast to his telerant nature; a respect for the rights of the insividual, willingness to listen to the opinions of others, and a desire to extend the scientific and hence beginned were inborn in him.
- "3) I myself did not bolong to the party and its branches, neither in netual fact nor in sympathy."

I fon't think I have to rand the last. Then follows the certification.

In this commection, Mr. Fresident, I offer to the Pribunch as further evidence Document No. 4, which is to be found in Document volume Amf, which you will find on Page 12 of the Document Sook. This will be Enhibit No. 2. It is - iffidavit of a certain creditect collect Herenan Bromer.

One from the year 1928 until April of 1945 was a nomber of that Institute. In other words, for seventeen years he was in a position to acquaint himself with the situation. I don't have to read this affidevit in its entirety, but I eak you to take notice of it. I herely want to read the second paragraph under 2 and the last paragraph under figure 3. Under Figure 2 it says and I quote:

"It was well-known in the German Experimental Institute for eviction that Dr. Raff carried out a series of experiments in eviction medicine on his own person. This was in conformicy with his own ideas and with the principles of the Institute, which laid down that, if any important experiments were to be carried out on human beings, he and his colleagues must be the first to volunteer for such experiments (eviction experiments, low pressure chamber experiments)."

I at now going to roud the lest perciriph under Figure 3:

"Dr. Kuff naturally took part in those debates as well, expressing himself just as unreservedly as all the others on the subject of the State Leedership. Mach for extuple the subject of the killing of perchatists a me up - I am not know if such an order fid exist - Dr. Haff was strongly operad to such a feet as being, in his opinion, not only a contradiction of the principles of decent werfere, but also of his sportscendike opinions as an avertor."

This efficient is continued that in the proper way.

Without, one without the be needed to for a professorable;

that you not considered to be needed to for a professorable;

that control and what is the true size than I hardly

putting that question to you because from various sides

I have been informed that you were as eatherity and re
- exceed authority in your special fields

a I think that I received in 1935 - Letur or assignment

regarding aviation nattors at the University of Berlin. During the winter semester, 1937 to 1938, I qualified as a Lacturer at the medical P.oulty of the University of Berlin and during the year of 1941 was released from the research department of the aviation Linistry or rather was suggested as a research lecturor. Opinions had to be expressed by two or three professors on this suggestion. In my case one was Professor Rein, who was and is today the physiological ex-, ort at the University of Westein on and the present rector of that University. The other was Professor Strughold, who was at that time the head of the aviation Medical Institute of Borlin and is at present ordinarius for physiology at the University of Heidelberg and scientific collaborator of the ...ro Decicing Contrr. J.s. army Mirrores. Thu third was professor Anothe, at that time Professor for xray at the University of Berlin and the head of the Experimental and Locture 4. It cont of the Luftwerfo. Was he is the head of an x-ray degratment of H dever. . it that time. I was not promoted to a professorship and in the year 1944 I heard from the referended for resourch work in the aviation Ministry that the ap lication, dated at that poriod hal not been approved, escaps I was considered by the party agency that had been saked, as completely lacking party interest and party activities. In the year of 1945 another such request was and by the avietion Department, but because of the climat collapse nothing was cone.

written at that time about Mr. Marr from the University of Goottingen. These qualifications originate from the Ordinarius for Physiology, Professor Dr. Asia, was is at present lecturer of the University at Goottingen. I offer this as evidence and it is to be found in the Decement

Sook Ruff, Document 5 on Page 16 - Pages 16 to 19. This opinion of Dr. Rein has his signature and is certified by a notary:

"I know all the details of the scientific secomplishpents of Dr. med. habil. Singfried Ruff. His work is
distuinguished by its objectivity and its reliability, and
is often highly original. He was unusually fortunate in
decling with the problems of practice by modern scientific
methods, and thus was able to colleve many important results.

I was convinced that he was completely master of the
secondary and basic schemes of his rield of work, and that
he constantly endouvered to keep up with their advances.

I am convinced that, actualifically, Dr. Kuff is full of
promise; the intensity of his work shows an increasing
curve. I think that he fully lessares the title of
Professor of research."

There is a second expert opinion by this Dr. Rein, director of the University of Gosttingen, which can be found on page 18 of the Decembert Book and which is also included in Exhibit 3. I am not going to read it because it conforms to the opinion written in 1941 and it morely refers to the three years that have elegated, and is just as favorable as the proceeding opinion.

In this connection, Mr. Prosident, I am now offering an efficient by the previously contioned Dr. Strughold,
deted 28 January 1947, to or found in Decement Volume Ruff,
Document 9, on pages 51 to 54. This affiliavit was continued
in the customery remain by the computent officer of the
air Medical Center in Heidelberg, and I shall read it because
It is of special significance when judging the character
of Dr. Auff and because this Professor Doctor Strughold is
- appert who has not been equalled by anyone in Germany.

april 25-A-BK-17 & 18-9-Mothan (Int. Rorml r) Court No. 1

MR. H.RDY: May it please, Your Monor, I don't think it is necessary for Dr. Sauter to read each and everyone of those character reference affidavits, Siving the background of the Defendant Ruff, into the record. He has read one quite extensive affidavit, which I did not bother to object to the reading of because I thought if he read one, he might let up and not read the rest of them, but it appears that Dr. Sauter intends to read each and every one of them. I think he can well ask the Tribunch to take motion of the affidavits without reading them here.

DR. SAUTER: Er. Prosident, I would like to follow this suggestion, if the Prosecution would abile by similar principles, but up to the moment I have never experienced it that in a case where the presention would have a number of incriminating witnesses, say ten, would say that I am going to read one such statement of the witness and I ask you to take notice of the others. If the prosecution witnesses are available, I am sure out the of them will march up and give evidence. I am of the opinion, if I have 10 witnesses, who are testifying on behalf of my client, I should be permitted to offer the evidence. I am sure the Tribunal will permit as to rate held of them.

Mr. Problems, this roading of inidevite is 10 times more expedient then bringing witheases over here and quest oning one after the other, for one or two days. I think I shall have only one withese were I shall examine here and I think I will finish in a short period of time. I am of the equinion that these afficients are very important for the judgment of the personality of the Defendant Ruff, because he has done into this trial in a very unfortunate manner. During the course of the proceedings, I shall submit quite a number of affidavite and I shall

ask you to only take notice of them, but I shall ask to read the most important ones.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal has very largely yields? to the opinion of counsel as to what affidevits should be road and what portions of the affidavite should be rood and what should simply be submitted in evidence, when substitted to the Tribunal for consideration. Of course, character witnesses testify only for the defendants; these effidivits, concerning the character of a defendant, are not particularly helpful when read into the record. It is quite proper for ecunsel for the lefendent to read striking paragraphs and important portions of them, but those exhibits which are in the record and admitted in evidence before the Tribunal, will all be considered and be given the sene consideration as if they had been read in their entirety in the record. In some ways it may almost be in gines they will receive more attention, because in recling through a long record, one corns to longthy offid wits, one night then go back to the Decement books and real the afficivit, which is thoroin contained. The Tribunci wili, is it has before, except in cases where the privileges are abused, allow counsel for the defendents to use their best judgment in runling afficivits, or those portions of them, which they desire to put into the record. The affidavits, of dodres, are of two classes; factual efficients and efficients as to character, and for the factual effidavits much grouter reasons exists for the reading of those into the record and putting them before the Tribunal than is true in the case of character offidevits.

At the present we will loove the matter to the best Judgment of counsel as to what affidewits, or portions of

in avidence. Of course, counsel for all parties might robably be again reminded, they will be called upon, to manish trial briefs to the Tribunal, and in the trial briefs they will call attention to these portions of the record, whether in the Decument Book or in drily transcripts, on which they particularly deny; but actually in a record of this length the Tribunal will rely very strongly on trial briefs, submitted by counsel for the respective defendants and in those briefs, ampleate opportunity will be afforded, counsel to call attention, and it will be their duty to so do, and to call attention to those particularly portunate to their client's base. Counsel may proceed.

DR. B.UTER: Thank you, Mr. Prosident.

DR. SAUTER: Mr. President, the affidavit with which I am
dealing now seems to have significance because it's author, Profeasor Strughold, was in ansolute opposition to the Metional
Socialist regime, as he says, furthermore, he studied in the United
States of america for a number of years while he carried on his research work. I am going to skip the introduction of this affidavit
in order to oblige the Prosecution. For the same reason I am not
coing to read figure I, where it is explained what scientific achievemenus the defendant Ruff has to show. I should only like to read one
sentence in Figure I. Here it mays:

"It is noteworthy that he, Dr. Ruff, carried out on himself all important extreme experiments and, particularly that he undertook himself dangerous undertokings in neroplanes. In the same way, he also made altitude experiments on bisself, although that was not primerily in his own special sphere."

This is all I am going to read of Figure I. These sentences are very important, because it has been said here in the court room that the most important thing of any research work is the experiments of the remember on misself. I was not going to read the paragraph mount the political attitude of Dr. Ruff in v2 and I am serely making the Tribunal to take notice of it. It confirms with the explanation by other witnesses. On the other hand, I would like to read the paragraph 3 where it says the following about the personality of the defendant, as a researcher and practitioner, and I quoter

PDr. Ruff was intent on creating a good scientific reputation for his Institute. There were no signs of an unboalthy embition to be seen in him. He was unassuming, friendly, very ready to help, and took a great interest in the sembers of his Institute. He was noreseas every self-secrificing. He carried out all important and dangerous experiments on himself. In particular his numerous acceleration experiments are responsible for the fact that his health (circulation) suffered considerably, a fact which was demonstrated

in the past year by an oedern of the legs in the evenings, which persisted for wooks at a time. In summing up, I can say this: Dr. Auff is a scientist of extraordinary experimental talent and ingenmity, who made outstanding contributions to the development of air sravel, particularly in the sphere of high speed flying, and to research into the cause of accidents. To a great extent, he obtained those results, especially in the aircraft experiments which were not always completely under control, by carrying out the experiments an himself. In experiments on others, he would hardly have neglected, because of him own personal attitude, the precentions which medical conscionce prescribed.

DY DE. SLUTER:

- Q. Dr. Ruff, I am now going to continue and I will ask you the following: What was the main activity of your institute, of which you wore the head. What experiments were carried out in your institute?
- developed, on the basis of the knowledge of the caurs of air accidents. In the institute inself there were three main spheres of more, one was pure air accident science. This included air accident statistics from a medical point of view, and in the second sphere of work, the special questions of speed in air flight was worked upon, and in the third sphere of work there were questions of high altitude, the question of the prevention of accidents was in the foreground.
- Q. The experiments which were carried out in the spring of 1942 at Enchan, were they senothing extraordinary, or did they fall within the every day practice of your institute? In that connection, could you perhaps give us a closer insight as to the extent of the experiments in your institute.
-t first, I should like to answer your first question. The emeriments which were carried out with my approval and my permission

by my collaborator Romberg at Dachau were absolutely within the fremowork of the experimental work such as was carried out in our own institute upon ourselves. To state the asount of all the ordine of experiments which we carried out in the course of these ten years would go much too fer. Therefore, I should only like to give you a few examples from which one can see what the course of our work was. For instance, through accident reports we gained knowledge that during a certain period of time in wort aviation, alider aviation, a number of fatal accidents occurred, because the passongers in the planes, when landing on the ground, or rather crash lending on the ground, received serious injuries, for instance, the tenring of the liver and the spleen, stemach etc. Within a short period of time, emounting to perhaps six bonths or nine months, forty porsons died. To had to explain how these accidents came about and how they could be prevented. In order to do that it was necessary to catablish what strain the atomach could take from the stounch bolt to which the flier is attached. -c tried to icitate such atroces as they occurred during flight to the extent at which it become unbearable, that is until the bolt was torn, and then we were in a position to state the somes with the help of which such injurios could be avoided.

Owing to these experiments there was practically no accident of that kind in the subsequent period.

In another experimental series we had to clarify the question of how many dives a flier would be able to carry out from the modical point of view. In used an army diving plane where we carried out experiments assuming up to thirty dives a day. The pilot on those occasions was present, being the man in charge of the experimental subject was seated on the second seat. While the experimental subject was seated on the second seat. While the experimental subject who sat in the co-pilot's seat changed with semeone also after a certain number of tests, the pilot, as the san in charge

25 .pr ... 025-19-4-Cook (Renmler) Court No. 1.

of the experiment, and experimental subject, carried out all tests.

All together we carried out 800 such dives in the course of the course of the course of the months and we only interrupted these experiments when we found migns of exhaustion of the heart and blood circulation of the pilot.

i. (Continued) .. further experimental series was to determine the effect of vibrations and shakings on the occupants of a plane. Those experiments were carried out partly in the plane and partly on cortain shaking tables. In the case of those vibration experiments strong disturbances in the nervous system become apparent which are registered by certain sethods. My assistant Wisehoefer found his dont's during one such experimental flight. This was not because of redical reasons but because of a technical error in the flight. -Then there was another experimental question concerning parachute jumping up to what speed the unprotected face of the pilot is not exposed to injury. These experiments were partly carried out in the plane by the experimental subject soin; out into the air after a certain speed was reached, exposing the face to the air current, and efter that, by some menns, the purson was brought back into the plane. In cases of him speeds such experiments were carried out in n wind tunnel. Puring such experiments we went to 820 Milemeters per hour speeds. whi, in order to give you sees iden of what that nouns, one can easy that with over 800 kilometers there is a wind prosume of over 3000 kilograms per one square motor. In order to explain it a little better one may point out that a normal ceilin; in on office building takes three hundred to tured hundred fifty biles per square motor. In the case of this speed of the wind, which corresponds to 3,000 bilograms per square moter, the dir becomes very hard. Even the shallost bits of dust enter the skin as if they word projectives, and the skin of the face becomes so worn and so offcetol test after the experiment several experimental persons had their frees covered with blood on the peres of the skin. - Then experiments were carried out in order to ascertain what the shocks were that a person could stand after the percente had unfolded, Suring those experiments the spine is very strongly strained. .. lso those experiments were carried out to the limits of what was accounty for practical flying. Furthernore experiments were

corried out in the question of that would happen if in the pressure orbin of a plane - this is a cabin where the crew of a stratoupheric flight plane ere being housed. This has the pressure which corresponds to shout 3000 meters and if this cabin suddenly should lock because of a gun shot or because of a window pane breaking. the popula inside can experience an accoloration of ultitude compared to 3 to perhaps 15 thousant noters within a poriod of time of 1/10 of a securi. Since it was not at all clear whether the human organism could stand it, we, in the same way as other nations, carried out such experiments. - . further question which will effort us later is the questi a of purachuting from high altitudes which was dealt with by us in solf-experimentation. I should like to limit mys if to those examples, and I should only like to read a number of those experiment series just by mamin; their titles: Experiments on the effects of vertain laughing- no concentrations, which is a narcotic ons: examination of the deer to of ours by moises exemination of the resistance of the human spine against shocks as occur when planes are landing; examination of the phystological basis when building satapult ments; exemination what effects resistance at high altitudes etc. The number of these experimental series could be increased as desired.

pent 19. It is to be found in Document Volume Buff. Supplement De. 1. This document will receive Buff Exhibit De. 5. This is a compilation of the publications of the defendant, in which he constantly reported to the public on the experiments which were corried through, and on their results. I ask you to take notice of that locument. — Dr. Ruff, we have already seen from your description that all those experiments were apparently carried out in the interest of sviction, is that correct, or were other experiments carried out?

25 Apr. -GES-20-3-Gross (Remaler) Court No. 1. a. So. all these experiments were in the interest of avintion, and as I said before they were mostly in the interest of the provention of accidents or the dimunition of damages in case of cocidents. Q. Do you think you can say that all of these experiments were actually necessary in the interest of the aeronautics and personnel? ... It is my opinion that this was so.]. During these experiments did there occur any fatal accidonts which effected either experimental subjects or the people in charge of the experiment or any assistants. I am particularly referring to the high altitude experiments each as they were carried on at Dechau in the year of 1942? A. In the entire German aviation Medicine, although thousands and thousands of experiments were carried out, we only had two fatel cases. One occurred in the year of 1937 in the Himalayan where one aero medical scientist when carryin; out medical experiments in the countains received his death because of an ice avilanche. This was not due to his medical experiments. The socoal case of death which occurred in the entire aviation Medicine in Gormany, was the death of a assistant deschoofer. Q. You have already mentioned, that, heven't your wa. Yes, I mentioned that before. The death of this man place was not due to codical committees but acroly due to a technical orror in the plane. Q. Dr. Roff, in the officevits road which it si already reprotectly acutioned, you carried out all appreciants first upon yourself, where you were putting yourself at disposal as an experimental subject. During those experiments which you performed upon yourself, did you experiments may personal mange of health, etc? ... Then carrying out these many divine flights experiments, 6510

25 Apr. GHS-20-4-Gross (Remelor) Court No. 1.

a candition of exhaustion of heart and circulation appeared which nover quite left me. But it on the other hand is not particularly dangerous.

THE FRESIDENT: Counsel, at this time the Tribunal will be in recess until 9:30 Monday morning. Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America against Marl Brandt, et al. defendants, sitting at Duernberg, Germany, on 28 April 1947, 0930, Justice Beels presiding.

THE MASSMAL: Persons in the courtroom will please find their seats.

The Honorable, the Judges of Hillitary Tribunel I. Hillitary Tribunel I is now in asssion. God save the United States of America and this honorable Tribunel. There will be order in the courtroom.

THE PRESIDENT: Nr. Northal, you ascertain if the defendants are all present in court.

The Addition has it please Your Honor, all defendents are present in the court.

The PRESIDEDT: The Secretary General will note for the record the presence of all defendants in court.

Councel may proceed.

SILOFRIED AUFF - Resumed

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY DR. FRITZ EAUTAR (Comment for the defendant Ruff):

Q. Dr. Suff. I would like to remind you that you are still under cath. Before the adjournment we discussed the experiments which you constally performed in your institute and it would interest me now to hear sensiting from you as to what extent you were yourself an eventiments but all the others.

A. The experiments in our institute were performed upon my colinhorators and upon myself in the case of 90 percent of the experiments.

In the case of certain experiments which were not carried out for purcospect reach but in order to teach the press which had to fir in
high altitude, ethiciers were formished to us by the Luftweife, and we
carried out high elititude experiments with them in order to show then
what effects high altitude has on human organisms. To a very slight extent we also used one or the other members of the experimental institute
for available for our experiments.

- Q. All these were voluntary subjects, were they?
- A. Yes, as far as this concerned experimental research they were voluntary people. However, the soldiers were detailed by the Vehrmanht for these lecture experiments.
- Q. Do you know whether the detailing of soldiers of the Nehrhecht for those experiments was in any way something special or whether that is also the case with other nations who carried out aviation research?
- A. This is ountenery with all sir forces because it has shown itself that it is necessary to make the crews accommend with how high altitude would affect them.
- Q. Dr. Buff, could you estimate approximately what the amount of all the experiments was which you carried out during those years at your institute?
- A. We never counted them, but a superficial estimation of that account would show that it is somewhere between nine to twelve thousand.
- Q. In that case the amount of experiments carried out at Dachau was only very small in comparison?
 - A. Yan.
- Q. Did you gain any personal advantages because of your numerous experiments upon your own persons?
- A. No, on the nontrary my collaborators as well as I had to may our own life insurance.
- Q. Pid you have any disadvantages to same of these emeriments on yourself? I am thinking in verticular alout disadvantages to health.
- A. I sirency said Friday that we not no very sorious incidents in the course of those experiments, and that in effect any lasting democrato health did not seems.

PM. FRITZ SALTER: Mr. President, in this connection I should like to mak you to accept an affiliavit which mainly duels with the general assoct of these experiments. This is Deciment No-8 to be found in Document Book Ruff on pages 27 to 30. This document originates from a physician, Dr. Luckle, who from the year of 1937 on was a member of that

institute and personally participated in numerous experiments. He confirms mainly that all the experiments carried out by Dr. Ruff were at first performed by him as experiments upon himself, that is, Dr. Ruff, and that his assistants in the institute were acting as voluntary experimental subjects.

I ask you to take notice of this document, and I should only like to read a few excerpts of a very important nature on page 2. I shall read the paragraph before the last. Here the witness says from his own experience, and I quote:

"The larger of the different experimental conditions could never be settled sheed. We therefore sleave proceeded with the greatest possible care and took ail imaginable precautions. The demands were only increased gradually. We worked evaluately on voluntary experimental subjects:

I never board of a single case when anyone was induced to undergo pertain experiments or was forced in any way. Some exployees of the institute, who had an aversion towards certain experiments, did not, of course, have to take part in them. I cannot removies any institute worth mentioning. Minor explaints, such as headaches, over-tiredness, and single complaints were observed excessionally. Of course, the Frimus Mil Nocare was the notto for all work. There never was even the slightest suspicion that any unscrupulous experiments were carried out or any attractive conditted."

I shall skip the next paragraph and I shall now read the last paragraph. It says:

"Ir. Ruff showed the same courage and devotion to duty, in research dutius, which he was working on himself; he was always the first to undergo the dangers which, at the Decimning, could never be calculated, and he always the parated also as an experimental subject in the executants of others. As head of the institute, Ir. Buff always showed an exceptancy, liberal attitude. In that period of intolerance and force, of sciritual rape and suppressing of individuality, he always appeared to be to be a settinable representative of true immenity."

Court No. 1 28 Apr 47-M-1-4-EEX-Foster (Int: Benler)

The witness furthermore describes the liberal attitude of Dr. Ruff. he says at the end:

"I cannot believe that ar. Ruff ever took part in any unscrutulous experiments and I am convinced that if ever at that time he came across atrocities, even in the research, he would have opposed them with all his might. Furthermore, I never heard that Pr. Ruff worked on other than voluntary experimental subjects; I consider this out of the question in view of his whole attitude."

This is an affidavit and I should like you to take notice of its entire contents. It was certified in the customery menner.

munter 6, Ruff No. 6.

There is another affidavit with cimilar meaning by a certain Frank Schoiler.

DR. SAUTAR: The exhibit which I have just submitted, or rather the document which I have just read, Document No. 8, will receive the exhibit

Regarding the general aspect of the sweriments, the physician Dr. Schotber makes a general statement. This affidevit you will find in document Ruff under No. 1, Exhibit No. 7. I ask you to take notice of this affidevit in its entirety. I shall only read a few paragraphs on pages 2 and 3. This Doctor Scheiler, from whom this affidevit originates, is a physician who ever winde 1936, that is, for a period of eight years, had collaborated with Dr. Ruff, and had be had occasion to make his very place acquaintance. In his affidavit he at first leacrites the entire attitude and professional conception of Professor Ruff, and then he says on page 2 at the tottom. Those detands under on the experimental persons were unpleasant to bear individually, but all —"

I don't think the interpreters have these document books, Your Honors.

INTERFETAR: The interpreture have the dominent book.

THE PRESIDENT: The translation fid not come through/first, doctor.

I.E. SANTAR: I shall start once more on tage 2 at the bottom of the page and I shote:

"These demands were disagreeable, but out of all the experiments he was to bu, not one person underseing an experiment suffered may kind of bodily injury. I would certainly have noticed such cases because I could follow those experiments from a medical point of view, and also I was well informed of everything that went on in the hedical Section of the Experimental Institute for aviation."

The PRESIDENT: Fr = what part of the exhibit ere you reming?

The SAUTER: Document No. 1, tage 2, the bottom of page. I think
it is the last paragraph. I think you will find it also in the English

document took. It starts:

"In none of the cases described was any pers n forced to undergo such experiments. All the excerimental subjects I knew case from among the German clerks and assistants of the medical institute of the German Experimental Institute for Aviation, and they were all volunteers. I repeatedly became clearly conscious of Dr. Ruff's acuta feeling of medical responsibility towards the experimental subjects and of the almost exaggorated caution with which he conducted these experiments in order to avoid injury to health in every case.

"Dr. Buff considered the healthy well-being of the experimental subjects entrusted to him as the supreme medical law and he would rather have abstract from the desired highest scientific knowledge than run any risks regarding the health of those persons."

This is the end of the suctation. The witness goes on the describe Dr. Ruff's section in individual cases and I should only like to cuote a sentence at the end of page 4, the next to last varagraph. Fore the witness deposit

"The frequent experiments Dr. Ruff unlerwent in the course of years, nowever, led to an irreparable heart injury which I and others who had known him when he was in perfect health and had otherwed him constantly hould not fail to notice. This heart defect would us frequently to sak him to take here care of himself, but we could not influence his actions at all. I was never of the impression that an unsatiable urge for knowledge or personal ambition make him nursue the experiments to the utmost limit of scientific possibility. Gracity or force towards an experimental subject are to my mind quite inconceivable when possing judgment on Dr. Enff's character."

And quote. The efficient is contified in the customery manner.

I have one lest question regarding animal experiments.

IR. FIRTY: Your Honors, it recome to me that --. First of all, I can't understand what the defence counsel is attempting to do here and I rem at the Tribunal to esk him, regarding all of the experimental work

which the defendant huff has worked on since he graduated from medical school. It would seen to me that the experiments he conducted at his institute are not in issue here and the methods by which he conducted than are not at issue here. It would be connerable to a situation where a man who has been driving the automobile for several years—finally drives the automobile criminally, and we would have to discuss every trip he to it for ten years. I think all of this is impaterial, Your Henors. I don't see why we have to go any further unless counsel has some definite reas m.

The Falbina I: Counsel, what is the reason, what is the materiality of the projective value which these efficients carry with the charges upon which the defendant is on trial?

IN. SalTak: According to my objoint so to what the Tribunel deeps of importance, I think it is of importance that one learn that a defendant who is accused, how he was carrying out his professional activities. It is impossible to gain a clear and reliable minture about his pharacter and his entire activities if you nevely confine yourself to the one or the two visits which he paid to Deaned. Nothing at all can be seen from that. The possibility must be given to the defendant to prove in the course of many years he was active we a researcher and as a scholar and was particularly following in these metters a consistance.

I shall come tack to these metters in my final plas because this is not the time to argue in detail. Furthernore, ar. President, I had intended anyhow, as I already stated, to but only one more question regarding these general aspects of Dr. Buff's experiments, which can be finished with one sentence. I intended to ask him---

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel may proround the question to the witness.

BY DS. SAUTAB:

C. Vitness, one last question regarding these general problems.

Why did you apply the possibility of the experiments on enimals to such a small degree, and what is the situation of sminel experiments in that possible field of evistion research?

a. In the antire swistion medicine and that not only in Germany but in all other metions, the use of animals in experiments is very slight, end if one would actimate it one would arrive at the figures of 30 to 35 percent. Furthermore, these experiments have to be regioned up human beings because otherwise many questions could not be clarified in any other way. In flying I mentioned a series of experiments during which it was to be ascertained what the stance can stand in the case of creat lendings. Such experiments cannot be performed on animals since the bodily construction of animals is completely different than that of human beings.

Q. Fr. huff, I should like now to pass to the charge which is raised against you in this trial which concerns your porticipation in high altitude experiments in Duchau. This is the only count under which you are inlicted in the injectment. Those experiments us they were purformed in the Spring of 1942 in the lew pressure chambers at Dachau - were they certified in your Berlin institute?

28 Apr 47 -M-3-1-PMP- Gruss (Romalor) Court No. 1 A. Experiments regarding parachute descent from high altitudes were carried out before and after the Dacheu experiments at our institute in Burlin. Q. Wall you briefly describe to us what the actual aim of such high altitude experiments with a 1 m pressure chamber is? A. Quite generally me could summarize that in me sentence. The sense of those experiments with a low pressure chamber is to find out the influence of high altitude on the human or animal organism. Q. Nord such high sititude experiments with a lim pressure chamber a specialty of the German hir Force r were they also known abroad? w. The law pressure chamber belongs to the emperimental equipment of every avieti a institute. It is kn wa since the end of the list century and it is everywhere applied in the case of such experiments. Q. . and you purhaps describe to us briefly how such a Law proseure chaster is furnished and upon what basic principles the experiments with 1t rest? n. The law ressure chamber is a space fr a which air can be Grawn with the belp of supe. Through this frowing out of mir the air pressure in the charber is being decreased in accordance with the conditions as they arise when an airplane ascends to high altitudes. This speed of the ascent, that is to say the speed of the decrease of pressure, can be regualte by ventiles. In the case of our experiment in addition to this actual low prossure chamber we had a second very small 1.w pressure chamber f r experiments with sud on dr in pressure. These experiments are carried at in order to perform very special scents into him altitudes. High altitude ascents as they occur in airplanes with so-called pressure cabins. If such a plane is flying, say, at 1500 meters altitude and the pressure cubin is damaged, the passengers in that pressure cabin go through an altitude ascent within one sec of amounting to 3 to 5 thousand notors. The Juscent with Law pressure chamber is effected 6520

by letting in air from the outside into the chamber through a ventile.

Then the prossure in the chamber is increased - and that would be in accordance with the condition which provails when an airplane Casconis from high altitudes.

Q. Dr. Ruff, in the course of this trial we repeatedly heard that in the case of these experiments a scalled altitude sickness occurred. I should now like you to explain to us what altitude sickness actually means and in what way your experiments to k altitude sickness into consideration and explained it?

... Under altitude sickness on understands the designs to the health if a numb take by recein of look if ayyon. And, that is also true in two cree of animals. The expression altitude sickness is ften misunforstoom. In the case of chilture sickness we are not really a macross with a sighness out this is a leficionary of the body the same as thirst or hunger. In the case of bairst there is a deficioney of water. In the case of number there is a lack of nourishment or food. And, in the case of littude sickness there is lack of oxygen. It would be best to explain altitude sickness by briefly observing what happens to a human being when he ascends into aititudes without a supply of oxygen. Let us assume a speed of ascent of one minute for books nd meters. If such a human being is observed either in the clane or in the los creasure commber one finds in the first four minutes, that is up to 4000 meters, that no change has occurred in the body. The body is in a position to adjust because of certain compensatory provisions in mis cody for the hick of exygen: From 4000 meters upwards certain deficiencies occur which can at first be found in the central morvous system. This occurs in the following minner: His senses and his power of observation are decreased. For instance, a lasp which may be burning in that space appears less bright to the experimental subject than before. Very high abunds are no longer heard. As soon as altitude increases his power of thinking and his memory are decreasing. His attention,

his pewer of concentration, and his power to carry out criticism decreases as soon as altitude increases. His emotional life, too, undergoes a strong change. In few cases we have a depression but in most cases we have an support which is the opposite of a depression - an elated smotion. For that reason because of the change of his anotions one often compares the effect of high altitude with the offect of alcobolism. In eviction medicine one often speaks of the altitude drunkermess and in the same way as a leohol reacts differently on different people so does lack of exygen react differently. One experimental subject occurs particularly active and overjoyed. The other one becomes tired and sleepy. This entire condition developes gradually as the lititude increases and becomes progressively more severe. In altitude of 7500 to 8000 meters this condition goes over into complete unconsciousmess. This condition in nigh altitude was already described at the end of the last century by the Frenchman Dissanthier and n. used the followed condition: "In the case of altitudes of 7500 motors the rigid condition which a person no to undergo is surprising. Body and mind become weaker and weaker and that gradually and hirdly recognizable. One experiences no prin on the contrary one feels in inner joy. One no longer thinks of the dangarous condition. One recends and one is glad of the ascent." Because high altitude sickness brings bout no employeent symptoms, such as for example, lack of areath, it is particularly dangerous for aviation, which are here to warn the flyer tent eltitude mickness is ouginning and that seems that we is not warned of danger.

I was just saying that in altitudes of 7500 to 8000 meters, unconsciousness appears. Shortly before unconsciousness comes about, one finds that there all ht and painless tribehes in one's hand. Then a personta consciousness disapporrs entirely. If one then continues to escans with the plane, or the low pressure cheeber, one finds changes in the broathing of this unconscious person. Brackling becomes irregular, One finds that there are a few breathing novements following quickly upon one enother, this a lengthy muse, then again a few quick movements, and this is how it was on, Simultaneously with this severe change of breathing, crasps occur in the condition of this unconscious person. Bosmuso of these cremps, one some a picture which can be compared with a purson who is suffering from an opiloptic attack or it may correspond to cramps us they are artificially caused for therapautic reasons, that is, for treatment, with the mid of insulin, or cardinael, or electrical correct. In the case of all those conditions of cramp - high altitude cramps, upiloptic cramps, or topropoutic cramps - the verson concerned dove not notice trything. He has lost his consciousness.

Twee just engine that in an altitude of approximately 1,000 nature one can all the first symptoms. For that remain, it is a regulation in all states who carry on eviction that, starting from that altitude, the passengers of airplanes would have to be supplied artificially with carr one. For that purpose, the airplanes carry oxygen equips at. They have bettles of exygen, and this exygen is introduced into the passengers of the plane through the codium of breathing make.

Out expect equipment as his surfit, one arrives at the eltitude of 1,000 meters. In that case, it is presupposed that the surfit eltitude is considered the point where no symptoms at all will occur yet. Non breathing pure expect, the surfit eltitude is increased to 12,000 or 13,000 meters. That is to say, if the burn being is increased to 12,000 or 13,000 meters to 12,000 or 13,000 meters at titude without any symptoms or illness. If the buyond that altitude without any symptoms or illness. If

6523

the same picture as one can see starting from 4,000 meters without the sid of exygen. This picture develops in the same sequence and in the same manner.

In eviction one seldes sees that altitude sickness occurs in the case of an ascent. The eltitude sickness usually begins when, for some reason, the supply of exymen is interrupted. If that case occurs in any eltitude, starting from 6,000 meters, the eltitude sickness will occur after a certain period of time. This period of time which elapses from the point of interruption up to the beginning of the altitude micknesses designated in aviation reddelose as "time reserve". This is the period of time which is still available to the person concerned in order to do something on his own indicative to defend hisself against the beginning of altitude sickness. This so-called "time reserve" changes as altitude increases. The hi her up this incident occurs, the shorter became the "time reserve". In the case of 12,000 meters, approximately four similar! 'time reserve". In the case of 12,000 meters, approximately four similar! 'time reserve". In the case of 20,000 meters, approximately ten seconds.

or maps and breathin, difficulties - these expeters disappear in the rewards acquined they became at first, the crusps disappear in the rewards acquined they became at first, the crusps disappear, breathing becomes more regular, then the person comes slowly back to consciousness, and the experimental subject finally is a min able to not. The experimental subject is again expell, to let in an altitude which can be compared with the cititude where his microsciousness at 7,000 values attended. If, during an ascent, there was unconsciousness at 7,000 values altitude, and then the person was brought up to 12,000 values altitude in this unconscious condition, his makening will approximately take place at 8,000 setes altitude during the descent. The inner processors of the transfer is a faithful accounts and descents - is not quite known. It is important that after the accounts and descents - is not quite known. It is important that after the accounts and descents - is not quite known. It is important that after

FELL

ability to act. No complaints of any sort exist, and exceptions from this rule are only those cases where the lack of exyren has lasted for a considerable length of time. And the awakering is similar to the awakering from an anesthetic. That is, it takes from one to two hours.

But, contrary to any awakering from anosthetics, this awakering has emerally no complaints for the purson and has no after offeets.

A further fact which may be of some importanced is that, during the time of mititude sickness, the person encerned does not remember what happened. Experimental subjects often cannot state whether an experiment was carried out on them or not. They state that they had a very light facility of warmth, but that otherwise nothing much had happened.

28 pril-5-1-Schweb (Int. Repler) Court I el rify it for us laymon.

Q. Dr. buff, these difficult technic A questions you may mave to suppliment in one reg rd. How is it bossible, in the simpleme or in the lew pressure chimber, to seemd to in ititude of 20,000 neters if, on the other nine, you say that in in littude of, I think, 16,000 meters, in soits of the introduction of oxygen, unconsciousness occurs? This question does not seen to be cler to me. Fern ps you could

a In the simplane, the scent to heights of over 14,000 neters is possible only if the dirplane is equiped with the so-o like pressure cetin. In these pressure casins one takes _ so-c alled "private officeto" alon, with his for two beautit of the oussencers. This is a cliu to in one compriences it in an altitude of 3,000 meters. Only in this manner is it accepble to fly by nor than 16,000 notors for may lungth of bino.

In the low-pressure chamber we can seemd to such not his by inoromia: from 12,000 or 13,000 meters to 20,000 meters within a ver short time, out that can only so done in the low-pressure obligoor. The period of time has to be short enough to I'll within the time reserve, of which I was mountage outlier. That is, in the case of 20,0 d movers, it als to be within ten suconds.

- . UF, miff, did you often perform these apportments which you just described to us-including ititude sickness, sto-uses pursuiff and I want to ser you: Can you tell us from your own amountedos that meso altitude sicknesses, this unconsciousness, those erans, Value occur- are without my pain for the experimental subject, and do not oring long with then any dislay at goods flor-effects!
- a. "Il those nutters which I have described by me us a result of normanel americans on my own body.
- . Amank you, Dr. Buff. Then Doctor, I should like to pass to the amportments at Dachau which were carried out in the spring of 1962, how, for the first time, was the thought entertained to carry

28 .oril-o-JP-5-2-Schwid (Int. Remler) Court I

consideration that all the witnesses who have testified up to this acment have said under outh that as a rule such experiments were not newformed with innates, especially not in a concentration camp.

is noticed of the questions of an aviation-medical nature in which both of the word interested, and on this occasion also discussed the problem of several month from man altitudes. Prof. welts had received a report about experiments which we had refferred in regard to that subject. The report commissed an orientate for the purpose of saving second from high-altitudes, on to altitudes of 12,000 nature. The spoke about the continuation of these experiments which we planted—a second out of these experiments—and on that occasion Prof. Leits standard to the to carry out this second part of the experiment on that too at Dachau.

One of his hewistints, a certain Dr. Augenor, had received Himler's an Poval to perform high altitude experiments at Dachar; so that it would be gotto ressible to perform those experiments at Dachar.

Docket at read in the year 1941. Into the Docket 1941. I should like to remind you that in the Prosecution Docket mode of the latter was security of the size was sent by Dr. Mascher on alread the fifteenth of may 1941 to the Buichefuchrer-SS. This is Document 1602-PS, amidit of the Prosecution to: and I quoter which or interest from the 1941, Easther writes, and I quoter

"The experiments are performed at the experimental station for sign-altitude resource, and cannot be carried out on menkeys, as was the case up to this period of thes, since there are extinct different situations in the case of the nonzey." Dr. amenter continues:

"I discussed these natters confidentially with the representative

28 .pril-.-J7-5-3-Schv.b (int. Repler)

of the Lir Floot Physics a was is carrying out these experisons, and no is also of the orinion that the problems in question can only be carried but by performing experiments on human beings.

f. solo-minded records could also be used."

Tow, I is asking you, Dr. muff, when in December 1941 you were at first concerned with the performance of emperiments in a concentration case, had you then known of the proceeding negotiations between Dr. Bascher and Reichsfuchrer-Saft and, in particular, had you not known of that letter which I just mentioned, dated the fifteenth of Mr. 19617 because you participating in those majoristicus, Dector?

Inter letter, itself, and its contents, I loarned for the first time more upon looking at this document book. During my conversation with belts in December 1941, he told me, newsor, that already a few menths carlier a confurence and taken place between and the then medical inspector, hipske; and that hipske had already agreed to them experiments in principle. From this remark, I could have, of course, deduced that increased been some majoriations ending more, deduced that increased been some negotiations ending more, the proceeding mentas, at I know no let the mount then.

fifteenth of may, 1961. Assence writes to himler; and there is the following sentence there, and I quote: "The experimental subjects could also die."

Now, on the other and, you go telling us—and that also decomes an around from the efficients of various vitnesses—that the experiments were not set altialed no danger to life.

Now, Dr. Ruff, can you tell us now this apparent contradiction can be clarified, or can you perhaps tell us what experiments were neget by Dr. Cascher in his letter of the fifteenth of may, 1941, when he took into a neitheration the possibility of the doubt of experiment 1

28 april - JF-5 - Schwab (Int. Realur) Court I subjects? A. I didn't know at that time what experiments American was intending, and I had had no knowledge of that letter, For that runson I connot any today what experiments susphir was referring to on the deals of that lotter. Q. In that case, you still don't know to t lodge? 4 20. Q. During those negotietions which on eurrich on with Prof. Wolte and later with Dr. Rascher, did mescher unto the suggestion to you that fooblo-minded people were also to a used for those toperi onte-the sen su postion water is contained in the letter of the fifteenth of her, 19417 what would your attitude have been? Or What was your attitude to my such sugartion? a. Research mover made this su justion to use focole-minked poorole for these emericate; and, sees from an experimental point of vice, it would have been entirel impossible for us to use focolominded sermone for those experiments. 2. 1007 n. During those appropriate we needed the comparation of the to be call subjects. Dering the experiment, the experimental subject hid to carry out resconde reactions, and those are minters for writen facile-manded people could not be used.

28 pril 47-14-26-1-Mechan(Ressler)

ready submitted here, addressed to the heichsfucher SS, duted 20 February 1942, contained in a Document of the Prosecution, page 59, Document No. 263, Arhibit 47, that Dr. hippke and Dr. Welts were delaying the begining of the experiments continuously, although himpke and leles mew the importance of the experiments. From Dr. Rascher continuously "In Documber of 1941, Dr. belts get in touch with the chairmen of the actial research institute at Berlin - adlershof and asked whether the chief, Dre. buff and Removing could make the experiments with Dr. Rascher. These two pursues immediately agreed and delivered the low pressure chamber and came here. There was a conference between heacher, headers and auff at Document are these statements correct, and I am referring particularly to the time where From Dr.

A No. some of the details are incorrect in that letter; however, these details are of no special importance. For instance, I was never the chief of the German Institute for aviation, out From Hascher possibly did not know these things. It is correct that in December of 1941 the conference just mentioned between Professor welts and I took place, and in the course of this conference Professor Walts had the subjection to no to carry out the second part of our experiments for the purpose of saving people from main Altitude in Dacham.

Q Where were these conferences with Professor Welts held?

Q Dis Professor Velts come to you in Berlin for the particular purpose of getting your cooperation consorming these abjeriments with you that were to be carried out in Duchau; or why was it Professor Velts came to you?

A No. Professor Weltz visited no once or wice a year. he usually discussed eciantific problems, and within the frame-work of these conversations touched upon the question of saving people from him altitude. On this occasion Professor beltz made this suggestion to no.

28Amril 47-6-2-1-AK-Mechan(Resiler)
Court 1

Q. You say that the first surestion for the executation of experiments in concentration camps was made by Professor Dr. Weltz; at any rate you were approached with it by him, and at that time you had known him for some time?

A. Yes.

n That impression did "oltz personality make on you at that time?

A I had known Professor for pany years. As for as I know, he was at that time on Oberstabarts of the Luft woffe, and he was also the food of the testing station for the offects of high altitude or the .. viction fodion! Institude at Punich, oth of us belonged to the Luftwaffo. As a solontist, Prologger Colta onjoyed the highest reputation within Aviation Tedierl and also within the x-ray reserved work. It's own scientific work, se well as the work wellshed by his institute, were always considered to be reliable. He and had considerable prectice in x-ray, which showed to what extent he was regardeded by his notionts and his colleage os. The relationship of our institutes toward one another was a friendly one, and I alread; mentioned that he came to visit me once or twice a year. On the other hand, whenever I had an opportunity to do so, I visited him at his institute in Tunich. Therefore, I was cortain on the besis of my acquaintries and knowing Woltz, that he did not suggest anything to cost that time where he himself had any logal or othered misrivings.

I Dr. Ruff, when Professor Weltz made the suggestion with you, or did you ask him, what kind of experimental subjects were to be used; of course it was natural that they were immates, but what other qual-

28April 47-M-AK-6-3-Mochan (Ramler)

ifications did they have to have and what did you discuss in that regard with Professor Weltz?

A Maturally, we discussed that noint, which was possibly the most important point during our conversation after his initial suggestion. Professor Weltz teld me that we were concerned with professional criminals in the case of these experimental subjects who could volunteer for those experiments.

I Did you agree to that an existion immediately, or did you voice any misgivings?

A I told Professor Woltz that I would have to take this matter under consideration, that I would further discuss it with Dr. Rombers, who was in charge of the experiments for the surpose of saving people from hing cititude, and that in particular, I would have to discuss this matter with the edical Inspector Hippko.

2 Shat further action did you take in that mutter?

A after Professor Teltz (departure, either on the very same day or the next dep, I dicussed this with my collaborator, Dr. Rombern; and when he also agreed to carry out these experiments, under the conditions as stated by Weltz, I visited the Medical Inspector Hippico and asked him whether it was true that he had alroad; agreed to those experiments in Dachau in principle. This he affirmed, and I received permission from him to carry out these experiments on the immates in Dachau and to use a mobile for pressure chamber for that purpose, which belonged to the Medical Inspectorate, but which at that time was with us at the experimental institute.

? Professor Hippies, who after all was your highest

28April 47-Y- AK-6-4-Yoshan (Remlor)
Court 1.

superior; didn't he discuss with you what kind of experimental subjects you were to use; did Professor
H ippko know who was to be used and did you say anything
to him about that?

A Professor Hippen told no on the occasion of this conversation that we here here concerned with professional criminals, who were in a position to volunteer for those experiments and who after the experiments were to be rewarded in some form by either sentence or complete pardon.

Q Titnoss, why woren't those experiments performed in your Borlin Institute class instead of goin to Dachau?

A. In the connection I have to speak at some great length. In
the spring of 15hl we investigated low pressure chambers and deponstrated to mer, and I participated. Used that opportunity of informing ourcelves at individual fighter units whether they had experienced any
edical officialties. All of the units complained that the pilots were
not alter as to how they would have to act in the case of parachute
the no expen apparatus available for the case of parachute descent.
The error feared that after their parachute has unfolded any they were
"movement, that when landing on the earth or in the water, they would
extil enfor altitude injuries or would from. To couldn't help them
on this quaddon since so had no experimental basis for any information.

After our return we reported to the edical imspectorate about What ou action, an' we received the order toenery out experients for the propose of saving persons from his haltitures. The technical progress of mich altitude flyin, on reached the following shouse 71 other planes in large numbers were flying at the front which were resulting the thousand to 11 thousand kilometer altitudes. The fighter Jarus of our counies, however, could reach higher altitudes. For that reason it was worked with harce in all research institutes an' all motor Deterior be find a way be increase the hi h altitude perference of an motore. ... part from those portal planes which were already flyin at he front, we had a recout fa leter plane which was "till in ith button star o. This was the Mosnerec'min't 163. With Ward plant it my cossible to reash ton to smolve thousand retors within a space of conton. The susmit altitude of the plane depended only upon the fuel . Let the place was carrying, for jet propulsion is completely I decided of height. Furthermore, Larger motors were been contrees or larger planes were being built which could beach altitudes of on three 16 thousand notors. On the basis of this star of tookther I will count, there was the energetic ferand to clarify the or loos of how human beings could be saved from high altitudes up to

2: Apr 17-7-1W-7-2-Cook (Int Rawsler)

20,007 whoma. The technical development had gone beyond the results obtained in aviation medicine; and as I said before, we had no medical basis at all to effect any saving from high altitude. In accordance with the ungency of the problem, this tank for the saving from high

altitudes was divided into many states. At first, the questa a of saving from hi h albitude up to 12 kilo dors was clarified; and this was consider to a the most urwand question, wince we already had a number of Alance already in action which would achieve that height. The experi ments for that purpose were carried out at our institute. The second point which was to be clarified was to ascertain whether escaping from 20,000 terers height, with or willions oxygen, is possible. As a sublivision to this question we had to clarify whether a very sharp decrease in prosture in a short time can be telerated by human bein s. For instance, jumping the pressure from 12 to 20,000 meters. This question uni dials with by us after the experients of 12,000 motors, and we experienced upon ourselves. This problem was simultaneously worked upon by a number of other institutes. The actual balling out experiments fr 20,000 . Lors hel ht wer climi ind at Dachau. - Subsequently the influence of gold had to be cleared to an three heights. There are to peratures at low as since 50 to 0 de-ro a. These experiments were the world upon at our institution only experimenting upon ourselves. arou host here questions we are concerned with arrent, practically I portant question for aviation. After these experiments the technicians are to be fold what special selo- uspile, equipment was to a constructe or ir to save people fro the respective altitudes, and these "Cor" into were to provide a masis in order to give directives to the cress as to how to set during the parachute descent from various . altituden.

MA. SMITMA: I believe, ir. President, you could recome here, we want the 'effectant is experient about a new paragraph.

(A short rocks was taken)

The Iribanal is a ain in session.

TE ESTEST: itness may proceed.

TIMES: Sefere the recess I was just describing what reasons led to the experients bout escriin from high altitude. In order to be able to memor all the questions resultin from this problem officiently, the results would, of course, have to be sufficiently runranteed. There could not be ony question of coincidence or recidental result since, otherwise, the technical developments for the apparatus would be put into Calso channels, accidental results only result in directives for the evinters width withit be false, and they might lend to accidents, even to fatal accidents. In order to cafe ward such results of opport-. nts sdequetely, it is necessary to corry out experiments on a large much mutor of experimental subjects or to repeat the experiments on a smaller number of persons so often that seeddental results are Itsinated in the results, at our institute, when Weltz so costed to no that the experiments should be carried out in Dacheu, tapre were only five or six experimental subjects available in the persons of my associates and myself, but since several other series of experiments ore wein on at the some time, those experimental subjects were involved in two or three experiments at the same time in part and were at the limit of their especities. Moreover, we and just carried out a series of appriments with sudden drop in resource, and these numerous increases of Altibris had ande some of as where to those heights because, if one corries out minerous ascends in mititude, the body total used to the "Ititude, It becomes more resistant to altitude and, of course, such parsons can no longer be considered normal subjects. For us in the its titute it would, therefore, have been possible only to carry out the omegainnts through the course of many months; but the thek was very organt, For this remann, I agreed with Professor Teltal sugastion to carry out the second part of the experiments in Dachau.

I those, I understand that a larger number of experimental subjects more needed for these experiments, but would it not have been easier, perimps, to take medical students, or soldiers, or other volunteers, who might have been hired for pay?

A Before the experiments we had reportedly attempted to get medical strients, for example, for experiments. That had not proved itself at ill, specially when the experiments lasted for a considerable time. The moderal students, in general, could not devote enough time to these experiments and, after two, three or four experiments, they suddenly stopped coming, or some evermental resultation suddenly called them many to other quite different things - to work on the harvest, or to carry out large collections, or something like that. To not soldiers for the experiments was purhaps theoretically possible. In practice, however, it was said: "No do not have enough soldiers to dive you experimental subjects for your experiments. The soldiers have other tidays to do. We carnot let them to for two or throw worths." To hire voluntuors was impossible bucause in the time of 1942, there was no one in Company in the age troup may more which we needed and in the phytical constitution which we needed. All these people were either in the truy, or were working at so othing which, of course, made it is countible for them to be evailable to us for any learth of time.

I Now, before I to over to your discussion with Dr. Rischer, I should like to ook the Tribural to take notice of a document which is in the feared at Book Buff, Supplemental, Dock P. It will be "which Ms. Which B, Rosemant (20, Supplemental Book P. This is an affidavit of a Dr. In. Bolf Schroedter. This witness was an undincer by prefession. From the summer of 19ho on he was a technical officer in the furthwaffe. This is, during the period of the Backen high altitude experiments. He and to test the planes and investing to accidents. He is, therefore, a trial expert who can evaluate the necessity of the experiments undertake by Ruff. In the first part of his affidavit, which I shall not the dates first describes his own work and the reasons for his

min to expert. On page 2, in the second paragraph, I should like to

From the activity as a technical officer, I can give the following facts from my own knowledge about the stage reached in high altitude flying:

"During the compaint in Pronce in 1910, the altitude requirements of the circust were still comparatively low. In the fall of 1940, however, they 'row by leaps and bounds. The formations attempted to outelinh each other to obtain a botter attacking position. The altitude possibilities of the planes were fully utilized, and flights were often made to an Attitude of 10 to 11 kilometers. Now types of mireraft, with hickor "Itituda rangos, were desended. At this time, most pilota had very little knowled a and experience concerning correct conduct in high Att bides, and accidents were caused by the sli-htest earlessness or defect. It was of considerable importance that, through a clarification of the problems involved in arrelating from hai hts of up to 12 kilootors, the man at the front were able to receive assential instructions bout conduct while personating from aircraft. It could be seen, even at that time, that an increase in speed and altitude would be technically possible in the near Intere. The Chief of the Messerschuldt-Development ffice, at that time, incidental to a tour of the front in Spring 1941, provided only a gradual improvement of the We 109 (that is, Messurschmidt 1/9), but he strong talked court a great Logic in progress in now types.

In the yours 1941-142 the fighter aircraft he (messersemidt) 183 was tried out in untion. It was a small single scater rocket plane win liquid fuels which gave a quite extraordinary performance the man i ter, for a long period of development, it gained no To t begore med in action, and recent ongine was independent of the compan from the air and thus altitude and no significance in its porformance. The naxious altitude which could be reached had practically no light expect the milot's ubility to endure mign altitudes. The climbia, speed reached with 170 neters per second, nearly ten times the performance of any other existing iror ft. altitudes of twolve to frartoen kilomotore were remende in less than three minutes climb A in some instance are ter altitudes up to 15.8 kilometers there reached if the lifet out out the engine of the seconds too late. In now aircraft models the demands of night altitude flying were not b. last dlin presente-cubias. Plans of tale kind were made by all firm on . Id in the development of new models, especially Juniors, "convenil, arode, slobs Toss. "box series of the fighter types no 109 which were in cotica were also equipped with pressure-cooling.

The use of presence-coins in estimatepended on the stage of the lay be not of the high altitude on ince. The machine pressure altitude of the origines, that is, the altitude at which the engine still developed the full performance, was continuously increased. For that mee, the maximum pressure altitude of the DB 605 was 6 kilometers; that of the DB 605 was 6 kilometers; that of the June 213 D was 9 to 11 kilometers. Those performances were removed by means of improved supercours, are ladar with several stages and year boxes with two to take years. Furthermore, amount turbing drives for the same our use were developed by Schungl, Junears, and SW with a maximum pressure altitude of 11 to 1- kilometers. The German accourse The-

28 41711-1-JF-10-2-31 kloy (Int. Von Schon) Dat I, Case 1 ltibale of 16 cilcucture. Since the highest altitude a plane con re of it actually some kilometers above the engine's maximum pressure Ititudes of an regionally 20 kilometers could be expected is to community your fature. "It was always of the greatest importance in wiction to know ow so reserve the crew from any given Attitude. If altitudes of as word stoly 11 to 12 kilometers are exceeded, the danger for the erow increases turnendously and with it the import new of correspondin a for and reseme devices. In 1941 the accelerated development. of the altitude flying surpassed without doubt the findings of witten medicine, while normally aviation medicine should be for now of technics, we that the planning and construction of new types I directoft out be a sed than this dedical knowledge." this offic vit in compose confirms what the defendant has alro dy mild and is sworn to before the competent authorities. I as you to the judicial notice of the catire contents. 31 JUL 5.4 LIME 2. Jow. Dr. muff, I came now to your talks with Dr. muscher. where a mid decided in principle to correct experiments in Mohan, there was a conference setween you, walte, and augeser, in again, hat the discussed, and what Was arreed them on this occurrent? a. Dr. Emborr and I went to maich to so Prof. beltz, ho my is his institute; and on this sociation Dr. Auscher was also property it was introduced to us. We discussed the experiments Which we invended to our my out in Dechau. Augeber on this occusion showed no a letter from billier, which showed that he was authorized to perform al a delitude erroriments in Jachan, and that for this surmone crimmals would be underwardeles, and that they would be given an o portunity to voluntoer. Following these experiments they ware to o. I've seme lightening of their numishment is seno form. Q. Inte was the first time you say auschor? a. You, this was the first the I saw mach r. At his introduced 3540

to us, dots to hembers and to Myself. Homers did not move him then withor.

- . we was a stangerst in the Deftwaffet
- as we was in Oberarst, or a Stabsarst in the Luftwaffe.
- That improssion did Dr. mascher on you?
- which we are that it is an Courarst or a standarst in the Enftwhich we are and an assured out politics and correct americane. During
 the conversation with his at this discussion and on the following, he
 showed a good degree of the wilders in all accided fields. We take us
 about its work for early discussion of cancer. As also told of the
 "Fiscoure witch he had developed for selection and testing of range
 fits ore for anti-aircraft artillery. He said that he had received the
 had made from him altitude, for this work. He told about his
 surgical training, and derive the discussion of the experiments for
 rescue from him altitude, no showed in all questions in the field of
 eviation medicine value case up during this discussion a great deal
 of concretualise and cool insight. He give no occusion for any
 discrept of his character.
- Eippice had wonths before given special remission for onterpicals on prisoners and no bases for disjivings in this respect.

 Lippic an moderal chief and headner, is and an opportunity to

 consult and remonal files and to see what official and personality

 consecutivities another and. It was a nation of course for me that

 we medical chief would not assend to work tith a man if no had any

 constitute to this man's qualifications as to character or personality,

 hereever, amount know traf. below, he worked at Prof. Welta's

 lastitute in unich; and this again could not give to the impression

 that below would after on for collisoration a man whom no hisself

 considered unreligible in any way.
- interes, why was another sector called in; and if another actor was necessary, why didn't you take another doctor from your

20 april - J3-10-3-Blakley (Int. Von Schon) Durt I, Cust 1

thatists in perlint by did you take Dr. Anschort

- a. Inc a proval for the currying out of all a altitude orderimeats it were had be a given to Dr. Easther or missier emercatly on the busin of some connections which he had with the SS, which I did not now about at cast vinc. so could not ver well be oliminated at 60 at Vas the one for whom at alor and given the termission. A second dector was desirable in carryin, out these experiments. was equant ; it was a datter of course to be that Rascher would have to be the second doctor. Hereover, he was a stabsartt in the Buf the To and, so to speak, an expert in our filld. Taking Reacher late the emarkments had been across upon in the sectionies in a discussion with Dr. Weltz. We had agreed on collappration between Dr. solver and Dr. Rusener, between the welts institute and my thetta de. Riocke, too, and from the very beginning intended this collaboration. For months betarehand no had given sameher arroyal for the accountion of such experiments. Vensequently, as the situathan who is a contained, the only purson to on constaured as a second foctor was macher.
- origide world, you must be now come normalism from many SS controrition; it when his you acquested and what was a read upon and
 when directives did you require?
- a. In the discussion in the belts institute, at which Prof.

 cles, Dr. Samers, Dr. Amster and I participated, It was agreed

 tiel believe it has on the next deposite would go together to the

 constrourum so in ...majch; there we would settly a few formalities;

 that the two would point to the concentration case Deposit, in

 prior to discuss the enteriments with the cusp commandant. On the

 author. We wisted the Reiensfluentum so brieff in number. More

 the questions of the page and the approval to enter the cusp were

 discussed, since pages to enter the case were not given by the comp

55.02

36 .pril-1-17-10-5-31akley (Int. Von Schon) Court I, Case 1

cont and not only by the heichefuchrung SS; and after that we would be a our of the Beichefuchrung SS out to the Dachau casp.

There when we get the case commendent and discussed with him the morients. he asked about their fangerousness. We told him that so for asit was immancly possible to july one would not have to expect Seaths, or any other damge . Since he had no doubt be n oriented buforeband about those experiences, a said that he would live us experitial subjects, such as we seeked for our experiments; that he would, no found, be able to give us a large should number, and that he would do betover was necessary. We discussed with him the question of the quarters as to the experimental subjects, and said that during that the they should not be allowed to work; that they had to have good constant observation, and to have medical observation. We anguired about the food for the comp in sects in secral at that time, and we dewhich the treerisental subjects abould be given additional rations, with at the liven to avery maber of the flying crows. Then we "scoped" or quality of the experiences and outs, and the compressional art also this to a so those people were it loomited criminals. Of course, that they were to be given an epocationity to volunteer for those work once, and that Ricader would, so doubt, pardon chose people after the experiments, or give total road other advanta to

2. Dr. Buff, when you sook of thee subjects, you have rejeatedly mentioned the quantion of the volunteering of the experimental subjects.

The have told us that in all of these discussions you always descended that these people had to be volunteers. They, did you at the time in 1942, lay so such stress on the fact these experimental subjects were to be wellenteers. Was not that a nature of course?

is a fair and described, from 1935 on I worked about exclusively of these experience were as human ones. The only thousands of any more are carried but, in for all if he experients only voluntours are used on principle. The every of these experience for a model into by sy escision to my myell on our own persons. This was suffered by so escision to be one hand.

The class hand, however, in very our of these carlier tooks for

nement of experiments it was absolutely assential that the subjects of we be volunteers. If, for example, one carried out experiments by inducting the subject with some inequiries disease, then after the infection the course of the experiment with the disease is completely independent of the experimental subject himself, and the experiment, or the disease takes its course, which can of course, be influenced by the percent in charge of the experiment by therapeutic measures, but it takes its course, helps ident of the mill of the experimental subject.

In oxperiments such as we carrie out in our institute, one such as your correct out in Dachen, the person in char o of the experiment is . without on the read will ame recoveration of the experiment ambject. If it is not possible to interest to experimental subject in the one of one, it is quite a cossible to of useful results. The individual values of the experiment differ an abruka ly, that it is not possible an -- clear view of the accel rate. In the case of the experiments tuck we have carried out to Osciety, office bonts for rescue free high altitudes, the experienced suggest after having everyone the altitude spenting, is a six of that no was on altitudy depublic of motion and in, had to oull the rapport of the perioduce, 'mother he was able to do so, or not, could not be ducided by the person in charge of the officeri out, reman it we part of the experience best the subject carry out as That rated before the experient, after having everence to altitude with the Just as a parachusist must must be juster out at he be altitude, to prove he is comed to askin, you so walkes up from unconscionances, and call the ripdors to open the or chute.

- it. This, you can be Declara, and want into the our p. Had you over soon a concentration of a below that?
 - A. No, that was the limit has I als in a compositation one.
- Q. That All you so of the subject in the concentration only, in , so weighty of above in Villia, in the comp, or order would there, or thin that so keep maring about here?

28 .pr 47-1-18-11-3-Gallaghor (Int vorSchoum) court if . 1

As after the talk with the camp commandant, which I have just period took place in his alluce, we want into the camp proper to look 1 . h. lace where the low pressure c amber was to be accommodated, and then went to discuss again the spot and the things necessary to manipulate the low or source chambers. The way to this place, we had to pass the and, and through a bit often space, which had barracks on two sides, my the experimental subjects wer to be housed in one of these barracks. to looked at the rooms, and in wais arrack, or the one that connects to it, I am not certain any more, was to hespital of the camp. We also swy the rooms at this hospital. . saw that the medical installations more not only very clean and orderly, out also were considerably bottor in quality than the facilities which a small district hospital in a rouny had, for example. Thether the quantity of facilities, that is, the fact whether the facilities were big enough for the mention of inmates of the concentration samp I could not judge at the time, and I can not ju - today. Since I don't know how many immites the comp had, the whole camp of which we man only a very small part was clean and in cont order. In naw a shelter in one of the blocks which was also clean like salitory barracks. We also saw a washroom at the disposal of the an mitch corresponded to the de ands of hyricae in all respects. That to more or less what we waw at the concentration camp on this first visit. No, of course, saw nothin of crimes, or of anything like that.

In these discussions with h. SS officer of the Halchsfushrung-S, which you mentioned when you talked to the camp commandant at Makeu, did you hear anything from any other source as to why people has sent to the concentration camp, and what classes of prisoners were him.?

A. We know at that time that in the concentration elege there were the types of prisoners. We know that on the one hand there were political prisoners. About other differentiations we know nothing. On the visit to Diches some other categories were contioned to us, which I don't

20 Apr 47-16-19-11-4-Galla her (Int. vonSchoen) Court No. I

namedor today.

2. Did you hearn at the time, you personally, Dr. Ruff, how the people came to the concentration camp. Whether it was by solice proof, or what facts or orders assigned people to the concentration camps?

28 .pril-g-GJ-12-1-Gook-(int. Remmler)-Sourt No. 1

inth was known at that time, as I say, that there were eriminals in the concentration camps, and it was also known that these were
se-called "Sicherheitsverwahrte," people, under security custody, but
there were also originals who had not yet paid the penalty which was
dietated by the Court.

These criminals, the "Sicharheitsverwahrten" and part of the prisoners and penitentiary instates, as we know from a newspaper report, had been transferred to the concentration camps at the beginning of the war. As far as I can recall the newspaper said that they would be used for work in the war offert. How political prisoners get into a concentration camp was not known to an injectual. It was unknown to many people at that time, whether it was merely on the basis of a police rear decision of the court, or both, that was not clear, and as far as I can see things now, there were probably various ways which these people came into the concentration camps.

or fossional criminals in Dochau and then you said that there were professional criminals in Dochau and then you said that you were told that they were "Sicherheitsverwahrte-Verbrecher", do you know what that term meant, what does it mean to you professional criminals and "Sicherheitsverwahrte-Verbrecher"?

A In broad cutling it was no doubt known that every one know what professional originals meant and also what "Sicherheitsverwahrte" — but, then, and new I understand by professional criminals, that these originals who have been repeatedly convicted, who have served several antendes, and new in their last conviction are given a certain term to serve and so called " Sicherheitsverwahrung" is pronounced. Under this term I understood that these people, after serving their sentences profes, are not freed but remain under separation, since the Gourt does not feel that it can take the responsibility of releasing these professional criminals into society.

Q And until you had this talk with the SS officer and the

26 April-M-GJ-12-2-Gcok-(int. Rammlur)-Court No. 1

in Backen, and if so, what clothing did they waer and what insi mis?

A then wevisited the cusp, we saw very few prisoners, only individual ones. We were told that the prisoners were working. The concentration cump Bachau has a large broad factory, a percelain factory this nero sorden for medical harbs and spices, and also big agricultural concerns, and more or less all immates of the camp were used for work in these various enterprises. The prisoners whom we saw in the camp were told what the insi min meant, that a green triangle meant a criminal, that a red triangle meant a political prisoner.

Q On the basis of these agreements and discussions which you have described, Dr. Ruff, the low pressure chamber, I believe it was in February, 19h2, I believe was sent from Berlin to Enchau, who gave the order for this?

A Woll the DVL, the German Research Association, for Aviation I ave the order for this transport. I caked the meter part to give us a driver for the transport of the chamber.

Q Did the low pressure chamber so directly from Surlin to Dachau or was it first sent to Munich?

A Since the transport of the low pressure chamber was on the outcombin the chamber was first sent to unich and since this was a Luftwaffe vehicle, it was to be turned over to a member of the Luftwaffe. Rescher was not in "achau at this time. He was in aunich or in Schongau II the driver had able directly from Barlin to Dachau they might have arrived at an inconvenient time, perhaps in the vening and would have had to findsome responsible person to whom they could give the keys and the papers. They could not so into the camp proper since they had to have passes issued to them personally for that purpose which could not be issued by the Camp Dean and not at Tacheu but only by the Reichs-Wehrer SS. In the discussion of all of these minor questions, when we wisited the camp Commandant at Pachau he finally asked us whether the failure know at all what kind of experiments were going to be carried out,

28 april-w-GJ-12-3-Cook-(int. Remalar)-0 urt No. 1

would be turned ever to Rascher in Munich, since otherwise the drivers might spread runers that insintes of the concentration camps were being selected for service in the Luftwaffe. For that reason the chamber went first to Munich and was turned over to Professor Weltz there and he turned it over to Rascher.

Q Witness, you once si ned an affidavit, 18 Setebur, 1946, which is indocument back 2 on pare ho, a cument 437, exhibit 42. In this affidavit your statements were formal ted to this effect and I quote:

"That is the low pressure chamber was taken to Dachau, I beg you parden, it was taken to humich, instead of directly to "achau, so that the drivers would not find out what the final destinction was."

Is this statement as it is formulated here, connected with what . u have just described, the order of the Commandant at Jachau?

A I have already said that in order to avoid rumors to
the effect that concentration comp innates were already being selected
for the German Luftwaffe, the camp Commandant demanded that the cham-

Q This low pressure chamber came to bunich overland and then to eachou, who ten issued the travel orders for this trip and who supplied the fuel?

A The trived orders for this brip, sinc. it was a military which were given by a military authority at our dirical. The DVL, the experiment I station for aviation, as a civilian access, could not is see such orders and give such approval. The luftwaffe agency at the cir field filled out the travel orders after consulting the notical lamp of rate, that is Hippke, since at this time it was already extractly difficult to get approval for such a long trip for which Diesel II was necessary as fuel. Diesel oil was almost exclusively reserved for submarines and I believe this trip of the low pressure chamber unfor it's own power was, as far as I can recall, the last anjor orip of suchlaw pressure chamber under it's own power. Later it had to be taken by a linead.

28 April-u-OJ-12-u-Cock-(int. Remmler)-Court No. 1

Q Then later did you discuss anything with any one about the quality of the experimental subjects, or was nothing more said about that?

A The statement about the quality of the experimental subjects, that is that they would be professional criminals, that they would be allowed to volunteer, and that they would get some reward of some sort for participation in the experiments, was give first by Professor celts, and second, confirmed by Hippke, and, third by Mascher, and fourth, by the Comp Commanism.

THE PHOSIDENT: The Tribunal will now be in recess until one-

(The Tribun 1 adjourned for the non rocess)

28 april-2-JF-13-1-coster (Int. Resider) Court I

AT AGOOF SASSION

(And hearing reconvened at 1330 nours, 28 april 1947.)

Line and the friend is again in session.

Dh. Slaskflad RAFF Resumed.

DI . GT ZimiTWaTICS (Continued)

SY DR. THI Z Sallah

(Counsel for the defendant auff):

- Q. Before the recess you teld us what you knew before the Lachen experiments about the experimental subjects, whether they were criminals, whether they received rewards. I should like to knew whether staller indications were made to you some the quality of the experimental subjects while the experiments were continuing, that is, after they had already started,
- A. You, also after the organism of the emeriments those forces were confirmed to be, when appear, once from Duchum to berlin for the first sine and reported to be about the emeriments, we not purally discussed the question what experimental subjects were placed at our discosal, and on this occasion Samber; confirmed to be that they were placed at our discosal, and on this occasion Samber; confirmed to me that they were placed at our discosal, and on this occasion Samber; confirmed to me that they were all voluntary, and that we were there concerned with criminals.

The THIS SAULA: Mr. President, I had in ended to quote two
testimonies of withouses, the testimony of 38-Obergrap en-Franco Colff,
who has been contioned here repeatedly, and also the testimony of
Professor Doctor Highle, who also was mentioned on manerous occasions.

Wiringly I intended considering the importance of their testimony,
to hear their personally in the witness stand. In the maintime, newsyor
introduced were cross smarined subsequently in the miles friends
Louisbairs and were cross smarined subsequently. For that reason,
I decided not to examine those witnesses here. If we would examine
these two witnesses here is would cost us approximately a days, for

28 artil-a-V7-13-2-Foster (Int. auler)

that is exactly the length of time they tood downstairs. Therefore, I should serely like to quote excerpts from their testimony. I am not going to do that today because the supplemental document book in which these two testimonies are contained is not yet available to you. By temperow morning this supplemental book will be available to you and them I shall be in a position to read excerpts from these two testimonies.

MR. ALEDY: Your heaves, I don't know whether defines counsel make the proceedary accessory, for the introduction of the testimony before the tribunal maneer II will only accessitate him receiving a capy of the record and making the record contified to by the Secretary beareal of that iribunal, and then to request the tribunal here to take judicial notice thereof. And by doing so, I don't see the record or accessity for reading into the record norse the testimony before iribunal remoor II. It may be done that simply. I don't know we other he is aware of that or not.

Do. Till believe ar. President, I have already stated that I as not coing to read this long record in versation, out shall only curte a few excerpts which appear to se of particular importance. I as doing that in the interest of browity of the proceedings and for the same reason I forwart the apportunity to extrine these witnesses personally because that would have cost us as frozinately four days.

The president process which would not be able to get any more from whose two unknowns in their cross extrination than has the case downstairs in the little fribunal. What I supposted now is intended to accolorate proceedings.

all, had: Your honor, I here with Dr. Scater 100. I in norely in in to inform him that to have it contified to by the Secretary of that fribunal will not dolar us here when he introduces it temorrow.

... ? ISIDAR: Couns.1, the prosecuting attorney is correct

28 april-a-JP-13-3-Foster (Int. aslor)

if the Secretary-General will cortify the entire testinony of these the witnesses, this pribunal will take a sicial notice of that testinony. It is then available before this friend for both purios and can be referred to in argument. It will then be need at the rituanal in its entirety and counsel may call attention in an amount and in his crief to those portions which he does important to his defense. Of course, the evidence is alread in the transcript form. The Secretary-General will just certify that so many pages of the nineer maked transcript as to the testinony of those witnesses before pribulal II in that testinony is perfect this friendal for judicial notice, and the ground will take judicial notice of that testinony. Wit seems to be that would accomplian very purpose that counsel for the defendant harf, and the start definions whose defends will be put in most, that that would answer every purpose that the defendants could desire.

next with you. * In this connection I about like to read an except its an affid wit by market elisabowsky which can be found in Doorwent Book buff, Document we. If on page 45, and which was already submitted to the Bal during the case an inst the S5. This document will receive the exhibit auteur 10. This herbert ilsenewake from what a is affidavit originates was a Polish Consumist, no work to Decami Concentration Case after having been sentenced previously to eight yours penitentialy occupant of refusal to undurgo military service. The first part of that affidavit is not important and I have you needs so take motion of it.

28, pril-p-1-1-3ross (Int. Emslor)

must be received in Dechan and he said he was well treated and quito satisfied. I should only like to read the two small paragraphs at the said of the affidavit. I would like to read then because the refer to experiments. The one but the last paragraph, page 47 of the former edition of the document, reads:

"Dering the whole of my stay in Dechmi I saw no instant, of killin, or multicating of prisoners, with the exception of the " outh
education" measures ordered by the heiensfuenter-SS; these were
correct penishment. All cases of excention of shooting or hanging,
of which I hourd, were based on court-martial varieties.

and roud about in the newspapers of our the capitalation, soont code treatment in the German concentration camps. I know, certainly, most medical experiments on prisoners. I had repeated opportunities of speaking to prisoners who had presented themselves for these experiments. I know nothing of the nature of these experiments. Prisoners who reported for these experiments, did so, as far as I know, voluntarily, as in this way they could warm their own freedom and rehabilitation as well as benefiting their dependents......

This militaryit is cortified in the proper way as I said before. It originates from a Polish Communist who was intermed at Duchau for a awair of yours.

Dr. Buff, the experiments in Duchau then bugan. What order did you have which pursitted you to said your co-workers to Duchau, including Dr. Resburg!

A. Dr. Komberg received the owner to clarify the question whether a protection of airplane passengers is possible at a height of 20 Mileseters altitude. For that purpose news to carr, out cortain parachute experiments. By parachute experiments we understian more incoming where a man who has jumped from the plane would fill as if

28 -oril-a-JP-14-2-Gross (Int. Remler) Court I

no wasn't opening his parachute. Under so-called slow sinking experiments we understand experiments which are similar to conditions where a man goes down to the ground when his parachute is opened.

Derive those experiments account was to pay attention to the so-called pre-mobilisation which is the peculiar incident wasne the human ocing wish descending from high altitude requires his full consciousness to the point where when according he lost his consciousness. In the case of this assignment with which account want to Dachau we want comed with a very clarity limited order to clarify a practical and important question.

Q. Dr. haff, during this trial we are always speaking of modical experiments on home beings. In other words, codical experiments on human science. I would be very interested to know whether this was riments, the americant in an airplane, or experiments with the low pressure chamber in order to protect people from high altitude can be jut on the same level as medical experiments, such as infectious water contents, etc., or wasn't there any casical difference which could not be effect in the local evaluation of these experiments?

The first like you could divide pedical experiments into eye and forms. On the one hand you have the could clinical experiments is which, for instance, belong infection experiments. In the case of the chirical experiment that the can in charge of the experiment is not felly close to the actual course of the experiments or, at any r to first shall extent. The physiological experiment on the other hand to which these aviation experiments belong have an entirely life that task. They are performed on healthy persons, do not bring that the illness and normally result is no discuss to south of the extent to investigate the normal physiological living conditions or to find the equal tions governing those conditions. In order to cite every simple example one can find out what the reaction of the service.

is under nerval conditions for instance, now the heart roucts when standing, with lying, ofter easy work, atc. In order to stick to this examle one can also try to ascertain to what extent the heart can be burdened with, for instance, paysical activity. Tor such : purpose I ask that the experimental subjects carry out whysical outroise up to the time of emanation. During that time I observe ... Il the things which are of particular interest to me. mrin such corderia; excert onts, as we call it in modicine, practical questions often play a particular mart. In order to stay with this o will of the function of the goart the practical questions which will erop up, for instance, are the following: to what extent can a human voice, gived certain nourishment and assuming a certain ago, on burdened. In our experiments as we curried that out in Dachan it was so on ascertained whether this pardesian, by naving a sursen carachuse from migh altitude, rangined within normal reactions of a human being and the proctical question is whether the human purson would aw lon from its altitude sigmuss early enough to carry out is decision to unfold the parachute entirely. It is important that in this or the of physiological experiments can increase this cardoning by dogrees so that one c_refully poreaches the limit_tions of possibility. The entire exertisent is carried out within the sphere of actual. Contrary to one clinical experiments, for instance, infection emericants which are always carried out in the schere of the dhornel.

Quantities . But to you. I have just neared it was translated that comborg had not the order to clarify this problem at a height of 25000 meters.

Didn't I understand you to say that is order read that the problem was to be charified at a height of 20000 meters?

A. Yos, that is correct. I said 20,000 noters.

. F. auff, one day the experiments were at reed at Duchauf

26 April--- JP-16-4-Gross (Int. habler) Court I

Vere you pourself in Duchen Carin; those experiments and did you personally convince purself how these experiments were carried out, or was to that the cuse!

- a. In t is correct. At one time I want to Dachau while these
 - Q. Do you know when, approximately, that was,
- A. You, that was during the first weeks of these experiments. Unforcemately I can so longer live you the exact date.
- of the concrete you went there, whether one wire, 16 days or a month 1 tor.
- A. I assume about three weeks later. I went there about three weeks after the beginning of the experiments but as I said I can't say exactly.
- 2. I fully understand. During your personal experience in Dachen them on observed these experiments for the first and last time, and you also see the impact used for these purposes.
 - A. You.
 - Q. Did you amount to these meenl .. I neen the immites?
- A. Yes, I did defere and after the experiments I speke to those indictes were participated in the experiments which I personally sew. and, in addition I had time, from five to six hours, to nove mong those prisoners, that were used for the experiments and talked to then about various autters.

30

The experimental subjects which were used for our experi
a The experimental subjects which were used for our experi-

A The experimental subjects which were used for our experiments were housed together at that experimental station. They all were a bidge which was the same in the case of all them. It was the green bear pe belonging to the original.

@ Did you find out, through conversation, for what crimes those people had been incorporated?

A Remberg, as well as wascher, told no about the crimes that were committed by these persons, and I think that the witness Neff, who was examined here, take sentthing about that. Today I only remember the case of two of those experimental subjects of whom one was sentenced because of theft and the other because of printing false money.

A You said that the inmates who you saw word the green badgethe badge I'm professional criminals. Foren't there may political prisoners there who ordinarily would have had the red badge?

A Three experimental subjects I saw all were the came badge; namely, the room square.

Of Dr. Buff, did you entertoin anynthoughts whon you were in Dannau as to whether people who you saw there were Germans or fereigners? Noother they were argaes or Jews? Mether they were civilians or priormers of war? I am not asking you wether you are thinking about that today, but whether you thought about that at that time or whether you learned scretching about it? I emphasize - at that time.

A set that time I did not think about that point. That for the reason that I did not know that any foreigners were boused in any concentration camps, not to speak of prisoners of war. That I only heard here during the trial - that there were any prisoners of war in Bacham or in any concentration camps. I cannot remember having read in the news-

28 April-A-GJ-15-2-Marrow-(int. Rammler)-Court No. 1 or fessi nal crisinal.

comps. From the conversation I had with the instates during my stay in Dachau I can say tiday, with cortainty, that none of those inmates spoke any other language but Berman, Certainly, there was no experimental : subject there who came from abroad, and if I remember correctly, Naff. has also tostified that these foreigners did not wear the bode of a

1 Durin conversations with these people did you discuss the question of the voluntary nature? If you didn't discuss that question expressly, what impression did you gain from these people as to that nspect?

A I didn't discuss the question of their voluntary nature specifically because I had already known before the coordinants started that we were goin to use voluntary subjects. My co-worker, Romberg, on the necession of his first trip to forlin, told no that they would be voluntary professional crisinals and I therefore and no opension to discuss that question with them. However, I mked one of these people since I was interested in that subject - how many had valuntaered or rather, how many had reported for these experiments, and he said that this was a number of sixty-seven and that Stebsarst Rascher had ten selected, the nie groups which were suitable for our experiments.

2 Itness, is you have said, you went to Dachau once after the equinonts his storted. You observed them yourself and convinced youself of their execution. By did you do that? Could you not rely upon y ur co-worker, Dr. Kombert, and if so, may did you to from Berlin to lunich in order to observe the experiments?

A Dr. Rombers was my oldest collaborator. Ever since January, 1938, he was a minber of my institute and had engaged in particular in medical questi as occuring curios air secidents and durin altitude o idents. By order of the sedical incorporate of the Luftwaffe, he in-Tationted all mir incidents - mir accidents during the years from 1936 to 1940, and he compiled a very extensive report on these matters where a established that during aviation, air incidents occur or the reason

28 april-A-GJ-15-3-Karrow-(int. Ermiler.)-Court No. 1

that grows were not informed encu h about the peculiarities of altitude sickness. On the basis of that report it was ordered that all crews of mirplenes who had to fly in high altitudes had to be subjected once every two y are to altitude sickness in order, by own experience and by observing their friends, they would get acquainted with altitude sickness. Rembert had performed mumerous experiments of various nature upon himself in this ophere of high cititude. Within my institute it was his task to infrom your or collaborators about altitude sickness. In other words, he had to teach our younger issistants and, in this manner, he had to perform high altitude exercisents clarate every any on wither as the man in charge of the emperiments or as the experimental subject. Buring all these experiments I had considered Resburg as a careful and reliable experimenter and physician. In spite of that, however, I went to Dachau personally in order to observe these experiments personally. Whenever iny experiments were carried out cutoide our institute I was in the habit of deling that and I am referring to wind tunnel experiments at Braunschweig and other experiments at Rechlin. This wisit to Inches had nothing at all to so with my evaluation of the reliability of Dr. Sembers, but it was in accordance with a principle to which I always adhered whenever experiments were corried out boy not the limits of our institute.

If then the experiments at "school, br. Ruff, were not perforold by yourself, but by the oc-detendent, Dr. Rubberg, and by the repeatodly mentioned Dr. Ruscher. That we are relation of subordination? Was br. Rubberg subordinate to br. Passener suring these experiments, or was br. Ruscher subordinate to br. Rusberg? Was this question of subordination over alsousped or, at any rate, and was your understanding?

a Buring these experiments we have concerned with scientific collaboration. This had been agreed upon. This, in effect, means that whither one was subordinate to the other. This scientific collaboration between the representatives of various institutes is quite customery in Sqience. Dr. Remorra was responsible for the scientific part of these time altitude continents because he was the one who may already in the

28 April-a-GJ-15-b-Karrow-(int, Remaler)-Court No. 1

cost carried out such experiments. "o was the one who set up the experimental plan and had most emerioned in that regard. Within the concentration camp, the relations of subordination was different because Mascher and had the approval for the performance of these experiments and therefore was responsible to Himmler, Rombers, on the other hand, within the comp was responsible to the camp commander and to the SS legal system. That was no special case, but every person who has entered the ecocontration camp had to seknowledge this fact by his signature before given the permission to enter the exap. I had to sign such a paper when visiting the comp. Every purson had to about 11 the orders by the SS, while in the enump, and cortainly had no right what ac eyes to issue within the camp. Dr. Ruff, in various documents contained in the document Volume #2 of the presention the expression can be found that Rescher was detai-1.d to the AVL station at Dachau, DVL scening Serman experimental Instibute for aviation. For instance, I found that expression contained in of file notice of ars. Ansener, dated the 28th April, 1942, Decument 266, and also in a lotter by the witness Welf, directed to Hippke, addressed to the 16th of April, 19h2, Decument # 318. On the other hand, hopping told no personally that ther was no branch in Dachau of the D V . Now wheat that situation?

. I did not at all concern myself about 2 scher's being detailed to those experiments, either before the experiments or during the experiments. Rescher did not belong to my institute, and his being detailed, therefore, could not concern me. Then the experiments started, Rescher had belonged to the institute of Prof. weltz which had an air Force a ency, and if, as I as from the documents, there were differences between feltz and 8 scher, 8 solar tried to be detailed to some other agency. In this connection, his wire suggested that he be detailed to the DVL at Dachau. No such branch over existed. At no time was there a branch of the DVL at Dachau or in any other concentration comp.

In the year 1942 there was no branch of the DVL at all, with the exception of an institute for scuplanus, which was located at Hamburg. Rascher, before the experiments and during the experiments when he was in Berlin, was not even detailed to my institute there. My institute was a civilian agency to which he could not possibly have been detailed.

whenever any soldier or officer was to work with us temporarily as a guest this fact always presented particular difficulties. He was then detailed to an air Force agency, and this agency in turn permitted him to work with as as a guest. Otherwise, a detailing to a branch at Dachau was not possible for two reasons; one reason because no such branch existed, and, secondly, that if any such branch existed it would have been a civilian agency.

In addition, if any such detailing had been carried out, DVL would have had to have been informed, and this report tould have been sont back nutematically by our pursonnel

department containing the indication that any such detailing was not possible from a military point of view.

In Alpha's letter of reply to solff, which refers to the suggestion of the detailing of Rascher to Dachau, you find that Hippke does not agree to that suggestion; but, rather, writes that Luftgau VII (..ir Jau VII) would carry out this request.

- Ruscher's work with Frof. Weltz had been terminated, and that Ruscher was once more part of his unit, which was then located at Schongau. Man was that? Can you tell us approximately?
- mes to be sent away. Since this concerned internal affairs of the institute I aid not worry about it.
- cound in the documents which are available here. The witness Neff who was examined here has stated on the 17th of December that he knew with cortainty that you were in Dachau once in order to look at these experiments. He said that was on the 22d of Pebruary, 1942, the day of his birthday. Dr. Romberg, your co-workers, has made an affidavit, Exhibit 40, Decement 476, wherein he states in paragraph five, and I quote: "I, Dr. Romberg, remember that Dr. Muff at least visited Dachau twice, on which occasion he observed the experiments."

How often were you roully in Dachau in order to look at the experiments?

.. For the purpose of observing the experiments I went to Dachau once. In spite of that fact, however, both Dachau on one occasion when I looked at the experiments; and Romberg is also correct when he says that I was at Dichau on two occasions.

On one occasion I was there before the beginning of the experiment in order to discuss them; and on the second time I was there while these experiments were going on.

- thend the experiments personally that was approximately at the beginning of March, 1942 what did Dr. Romberg and Dr. Rascher report to you about the progress up to that time: Here you told that the experiments had been coing on according to progress and without any incidents? Or were you told that there were any incidents?
- or while I was in Dechau at that time Dr. Ruscher and Dr. Romburg told me what experiments they had performed. They said that the experiments had been going on properly and they didn't tell me about any incidents which may have occurred.
- the experiments at Dachau. You discussed various matters with the experimental subjects. Did you perhaps also speak to the experimental subjects, asking them whether they know anything of incidents which occurred, or whether any damages to their health resulted during the course of the experiments?
- .. Naturally, I did not ask the experimental subjects about these matters; I only asked the people in charge of the experiments. I asked the experimental subjects only how they felt after the experiments, etc. They confirmed what I saw with my own eyes, namely, that they suffered no complaints whatsoever.

pril 28-..-BK-16-4-Schwab (Int. Remmler) Court No. 1 1 while you were personally present in Dachau, what did you learn or what could you establish about the amount of the experimental subjects, and whether the same subjects word used for the experiments, or whether they changed in the case of the respective experiments? Dr. Romberg's experiments didn't only take place for a few days, but extended over a period of several weeks; what did you loarn about that? that was the assunt? were they the same ones or did they change? .. I already said that a group of experimental subjects was housed at this experimental station. It was planned from the outset and it was in effect corried through that those experimental porsons were to be available for the entire series. The amount of these experimental subjects ranged between ten to fifteen persons. which have been submitted that during the Dachau high-

Dr. Ruff, we know today on the basis of the documents lititude experiments - and I am specking quite generally retalities occurred. Then and in what manner did you for the first time heer about these fatelities?

.. That was no roximately at the end of april, or the buginning of May. Dr. Acaberg came to Burlin and reported to me that Auscher, in addition to our experiments for the purpose of protecting the people from high oltitudes, was performing other experiments, as he said, upon orders of Himmler; and that one fatality occurred during those experiments of mascher. Rascher, as Momberg reported to me, was carrying out an investigation about the reaction of the heart during the so-called "pressure illness."

- . What kind of an illness is that?
- A That is coro-cabolism.

- using the Latin word or the German word won't help us.
- . Those are complaints which occur can occur after an altitude of 10,000 or 11,000 motors, on the basis that there is a lack of nitrogen. During such experiments a fatality had occurred when for some time he was at an oltitude of between 10,000 to 12,000 meters. Dr. Remberg reported to me that during that experiment the ilectrocardiogram had registered an irregularity. He warned Rascher that in offect after a little time an electrocerdiogram hed dropped down and the result was death of the experimental subject. On the basis of this report of domborg about this one case of death, we had become clear that we would have to conclude our experiments as quickly as possible. Dr. Romberg was only to conclude the very urgent experiments which had to be performed. During that time a film had to be produced about those exportments - ordered by Himmler on the occasion of his visit to Duchau. After this file had been concluded the chamber was to be removed from Dachau as quickly as possible. The difficulty in concluding the experiments presented itself because one could neither tell Rascher nor Himmlor that the fatality which had occurred was the real reason.

Rembers therefore was to use the protense that the chamber was argently required at the front. For that purpose we naturally needed the authority of our medical inspector, that was Hippke. In this manner it was possible to remove the chamber from Dachen; against Rascher and Himmler's will it would not have been possible.

Do you believe that against the will of Rescher and against the will of Himmler you could have removed the chamber out of the concentration camp at Dachou at all, if you hadn't done it through the trick you have described?

. I don't buliave I need to go into that at all, that neither Remberg or I would have been in a position to get against the will of Hirrher and Rascher to remove anything out of the concentration camp, and cortainly not the low pressure chamber.

Q It is true, Dr. Rorf, that on any occasion you were told it would be sabetage and treesen if experiments were provented?

we realized that it was not entirely without danger to us. How dangerous it actually was, we learned only later to because it was said that Himmler had said in writing that he considered these who substaged such experiments or attempted to substage them were traiters.

the experiments which Dr. Homberg was to undertake on your behalf that Dr. Reschur was carrying out other experiments on his own locard, and that there was one do th in those other experiments; now when you received this news from Dr. Remberg you no doubt wendered how such d. th was possible. I should like to know, on the basis of the description of Dr. Remberg, did it occur to

you that in Dachau in those experiments Dr. Rascher corried out on his own accord a crime had been committed; what was your first reaction?

- Lecording to our experience, on the basis of thousands of high altitude experiments, one did not need to expect death in these experiments under the assumption that the experiments were carried out properly; but, of course, we realized that seachew, by an unfortunate coincidence, adeath could occr. At that time already we could expose our plane grow at a height of 18,000 meters for fifteen minutes in training. During those experiments we never had a death, nor serious incidents; but, nevertheless, it did not occur to me at the time that a crime had been committed here, I considered this an unfortunate coincidence, and it was my point of view that I saw no reason to think that scacher was carrying out experiments, which were dangerous or which had to result in death.
- Now, Dr. Ruff, five years have passed since then; now do you still believe today, as you did then, that in such high altitude experiments, when the people are kept at a neight of 10,000 to 13,500 meters for some time, that no do the can occur if the experiments are well propered and properly carried out?
- ing reason: In the american airforce, as welling in the German airforce, those tests at 18,000 meters were carried out for training purposes with a very small difference in the method. In these tests the american airforce, to which the soldiers were ordered just like in the German Luftweffe, had several deaths. The difference between the tests in our Luftweffe and the american

Airforce was merely that we kept our crows at 12,000 notors for fifteen minutes, and the american sirforce kept their crews it that altitude up to one hour; and during those experiments for training purposes and not for research purposes, there were several cases of douth, and those douths are described in a paper which was published. I personally had an opportunity to talk to sorgant or a corporal, who was present at two of these experiments. He was in Heidelberg, where I was working, and was in charge of the low pressure chember, and had worked with that formerly in the States. He could not give me any information about the medical aspoets of whit happened, he merely described the situation to the effect that the experimental subject was perfectly alright to 12,000 meters, then suddonly fell ever and when the chamber was brought down, the person was dond. The cause for this sudden donth has not been of riffied, they re probably the result of the effect it that - smill gas bubble is formed in the blood at this tititude and this gas bubble reaches the part of the brain which is botwoon the brain proper and the spinol cord, the so-called moduli oblight, that a blood vessel is slogged up there and because of inadequate circulation in this pirt of the brain, a sudden donth is cousud.

The thuse ses of death which occurred to Dr. Rescher in the presence of Dr. Remberg were accidents become quite ple r to me in the course of this trial; for if in the witness Neff said here is true, that about seventy to eighty people lest their lives in these experiments, and that Dr. Remberg was present at only sense of the experiments, then you well a n understand,

on the basis of this fact alone, that they were accidents when Dr. Homberg was present, because Dr. Hoseher obviously had an inclination to conseal these experiments from Remberg. I personally orn see no reason if he concented seventy seven experiments, with deaths from him, that he should now let him be present at three.

of that the experiments in D chau, the experiments which Rescher carried out on his own accord, led to a death, then you gave instructions to stop the experiments and to return the chamber to Berlin, that is where we stopped. In addition to this the instruction which you gave Dr. Remberg, did you do anything clse, for example, to avoid independent action of Ruseher or to provent further deaths, etc., what also did you do?

. I have already said, we had wanted to got the chamber back from Dock a with the exploration that it was moded urgently at the front, we needed the cover of the medical Inspector Hippko. Therefore, when Dr. Romborg visited me in Berlin and reported to me that a to the had occurred, I tried to reach Hippko, but I was not able to find him on that day because he was away on a trip. Then Remourg went back to Dachau, but after bout two days he cano back to Berlin gain and brought the damaged meter from the chamber, which the witness Noff told about. Then he remained in Berlin for several days to have this apparatus repaired and went back to Dachau. .. fter that I had an opportunity to tilk to Hip ke, and I told Hippko how for we had gotten with our experiments. I told him that this it the had occurred, and that it was my opinion that the experinexts had to be broken off immediately, and that I

experiments as quickly as possible and get the chamber out of Dachau. Hippke approved this idea, and he showed no a telegram from welf via Wilch to him, in which Rascher domanded that the chamber should stay there two more months. Hippke told me that he wanted to refuse to loave the chamber there any longer, and later he did so.

2 mpr 1/7- 1- N-18-1-Cook (Von School) ourt No. 1 Q. Bo you know yet, Dr. Buff, what the date of this tell, ran was? A. The 12th of May. 4. The 12th of Tay, Comment 343 ARS, Exhibit 62 of the Prosecution in document book 2, page 83. Then did you see Hipphe again? As after the charter was returned, I went to see Hipthe a win in the be immine of June. I had him to the had construct our experiments, The had succeeded in rutting the chimber out of Rechan, the to the the bor was back in Berlin, and I told him briefly about the moults of the experience, and want by hap and in the mounting. On this occarion Royale showed to easther let or from Hess by 'olff through ileh to Hipphy as ain, in that state that tileh had already promised folif that at the bur world not I Dichen two sore bondhis. Then Elpako said the facts make this our in as obsolete, the entroof had already been brought bick, and he was main; to report this to falch. Q. If I understood you correctly, Dr. Ruff, do you much to a y ... that ble first visit which you pain hippin was before his 20 lay, 1942, - ut the 12th Tor? A. You, it was pofore to 20 or, 1942, probably about to 13th or then. q. in' ble scoon visit? A. The was the bardware of June. 2. To inning of June, 19/27 You are obviously referring to this 1 0 or of to 4 June, 1962, is the el bt? As Zuza 1. Document 261, which hip to show I you, is that right? A. Talle Q. Now, Br. wiff, I would as interested in one thin : Can you . if us o'r, or less precia ly with the lew pressure elector was returned orign. The statements of the for me the assumptions differ, west in the know today about this point? A. I commot give the arrest fate even today when the emperior atte The charge wire finished. They wire corruinly finished belong the 23 Ew. 23rd of 'my Rouber we care into book in Trlin. The are of F573

some from a work book of my child the change. On the 24 and 25 thy, 1942, was a holiday, and on the 28 key the tork shop delivered to deriver the could of a clock, and this clock model was used in connection with the fall anich as and in Decken to the sible. It was planted in as a trick shot above, the progress of the Curing the experiences. From these facts I done by today with containty that before the 23 my the experiences in Decken were finished and the chamber was removed from the concentration comp.

DR. BAUT Re 'tr. President, blis work book of the former sechanic I received yestermy from Serlin. I have it hare, if the court is interspecial in it, I shall, of comment, or led to place it at his disposal fthe Tribuncal. From the whole where in which this book in Rept, on, one so, very olderly that it is not and up just now and there this many we not not be now, but the a se we the original in which the change Tohle distor, that is his a o, and his outries at that him. The Pack was to show how . My hours that mechanic worked overy my in factil, on on there are middle juts fown for each by the minter of nors owns on leath manage of ever-oil hours. I have not been the to present this book wellow because a did not rective it until The Fig. and besides you will not be able to do much with the book, boards. It is in German. The well back would first have up to translat-" The coally isn't worth all of that work, but nevertheless I conof the interpretate that this book, much a showed to the difficulties That of many can be used as evidence, because it has erest walnut as SVE Loss to prove the truth of his the delengant Ruff his said here TO J OTHER

w a victory outler?

DR. SAUTER: This book some, for example, that on the 2nd of him, the second day of the lith matter, there is an entry by this inn the distant, stational at a hard-lat, that is the torn warre Dr. haft is, she for so be unlocked, 9-3/1 hours ark, I hour evertime. That ray a in addition to what Dr. half has testified that this charber,

which was not brought back to Burlin by road, but by railroad, arrived on the 2 of June in Berlin in Melers-Hof, and was unloaded on that day. What on this date, on the latest, it arrived in Serlin. I marely ment to prove at what thee the chamber was returned to Berlin, because it has been asserted in another commertion, that for into June expericomes mure corried out, for which out? wight be hold responsible; and to is work book, of which averyone can so it is obviously the original, -les shows on the 28th my, on entry: to berg, file clock, and it says so thin, " nest I cont in the test mens, and maither out ir. mirt, 8-3/h hours and 1-1/2 mours overta a. This, in connection with the tartianty of ir. Ruff, shows that on the 28th May this film elock was in a at the institute, which we to be used for a fill with this low program charter. I who to move by some of these entries that on the 2 dura 1942 at the lowert, the churber was back in Erlin, having been brought back by rathro . The it left Backen perhaps two or three to ke buf ro that, and it arrived in Serlin on the 2nd of Jame. That in the yor' book and the work which it covers.

rat this untry wish coupsel would kindly translate the entry wring

DM. SaUT R: First, when I consider a portent, there in the ambry that 20 by, 1962, " Response filt clock, was," I continue that know what that was, "8-3/6 hours, 1-1/2 hours of rate, " one under the date of 2-5-62, there is an entry estation achievable, our for unloaded 6-3/6 hours and 1 is no overtice."

I can't see the naturality of it. However, if Dr. Scuter wishes to make a new out of cartifying to come to carrier, the two extracts of the cartifying to come to carrier, the two extracts of the cartifying to come to be been being introduced in wideness.

If you have the two entries you contioned copied and combile they thy

D . Saltas: Very well, thank you.

20 Apr 47 -1- N-18-4-Cook (Von Scholn). Court No. 1

The M. SIDEMT: I would suggest that the book itself be submitted to the Prosecution for examination, allow then to examine the book in your presence, or in the presence of anybody whom you choose to disignite, and then have the copies made.

BR. SAUTER: I had hoped, it. President, that the without, Tohlepister, would be here to day and could be called as a with as tolly or towerrow and explain his work book, but the without was not alle to at leave to come here from toplin. There are contain difficulties, and consequently I compute all this without, but I shall do want the President suggested.

now, that the moord as much in the evidence may be admitted as sorrect reading, the time book which Counsel had?

in, h.PDY: Counsel for Prosecution is willing to stipulate that, your Honor. However, we are not stipulating as to the fact that as a loct this chamber arrived in Berlin on that date. We would stipulate that the entries are correct. Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: To understand that. But, Counsel for Prosecution is willing to stimulate that these entries are in the book as read by counsel. Is that correct?

IM. HARDY: Your honor, due to my inchility to read the German lemmance I would request that the interpreter here read the same two entries as pointed out by Dr. Sauter, and that will verify the entries and the translation thereof; and then I will be willing to stipulate.

THE PRESIDENT: Tell, suppose the entries be exemined after the recent to is afternoon and the met or can be taken up in the serning. The interpreter can excide the records then with counsel for both parties, and then some stipulation can be reached then the Tribunal opens temperature morning.

BY DE. B. DERE

- The Ruff, yesterder I showed you this work book. Did you recommiss it with certainty as the same work book which was propored by your foreign, Fohlmoister, at that time under your supervision?
- the day before yesterday. Yesterday was Sunday. You, I know the book. That is the work book of my foremen, Fohlmoister.
- In that connection them, Mr. President I should like to as the Twibunal to take notice of an affidavit Matthes in Decement Book auff To. 6. Page 20 to 23. Exhibit 10. This Dr. Matthes also worked at the Institute which was London by the defendant Dr. Huff. In moral 1933 he already not Dr. Ruff, as a definite opponent of the SS one than I did not intend to read this document but he described in February 1942 he was assigned to the Institute and he says that Rescher says some ver, derogatory things about Ruff that Ruff

ens no Untional Socialist, that he refused to two Rescher assistance, that harf was ambotaging the mar effort, etc. Then I shall read on page two at the bottom — it says:

Trem these records (says the witness Dr. Matthes) I noticed that in the experiments performed by Dr. Memberg no fatalities or physican damages had occurred, that necessing to the records all experimental subjects at well over these experiments and recovered soon. Further the records as well as the experiments given by Dr. Memberg showed that he had conducted his experiments by esployin; all conceivable procentionary measures. The experimental subjects, so Memberg explained to see, had been criminals condemned to death who

From the witness species about the time of the return of the cleanar to Berlin and says — I read on page 3 them, the last two programms:

Would not the time of my convergations with Dr. Rembers did I also learn that a low pressure charber and come once from Bachau. Lecording to my recollection, the low pressure charber must have come back to the Institute in May 1942. I can remember the date because after the return of the low pressure charber I was ordered by Dr. Ruff to take a trip to Cole me in order to procure spare parts. I ande to trip and on that occasion I was in my hope town of Bonn. That was in the time from I June to 10 June 1942 so that the low pressure charber must have been returned to the Institute in May 1942."

I shall not reed the last paragraph — it acrely shows that Fr.
Auff took on interest in the relatives of this witness in spite of
their Javish accestry and that he helped them. And this has been
took by the witness Dr. Antthes and certified.

- er, Buff, the chamber come back to Ferlin. What happened then to this chamber?
- ... It stayed in the DVL longer than we liked. First that wound't

a tod that the chamber was not uncently mooded as we had indicated, that cotually in the months of June and July there was no use for the chamber. Only in amount the Luftwaffe group turned up and took over the chamber — and the took it away in amount.

n. I should like the Court in this connection to take notice of an efficient of Dr. Kellersmann which is in Euff Document Book, Document 16. That will be Exhibit 11.

The Phasident Counsel, did I understand you to say Document 1-17

The Sautza: Yes, Document No. 14. Dr. Kellerstann, page 55.

Explicit 11. I do not intend to read the document — it merely supports the statement just made by the defendant Ruff that the chember was in portion and not used for several conths, without the SS, as we know, reportedly asked for use of the chember.

Dr. Ruff, when he visited Berlin at the end of soril or the bedinning of key Romberg told you of only one denth - did you later tourn of other deaths and from when?

novel of the chamber source called as up once or twice in Berlin —
notice even have been three times. The first time I informed him that
himeen approved our plan. I informed Readers that Eippke had already
received a telegram from Enacher for use of the chamber for a longer
period, and that Hippke intended to disapprove the extension and inform blick. Then Readers called up maken he teld no that Enacher had
performed further experiments, and from the telephone conversation I
could drow the conclusion that specthing had happened come during
those emperiments. Readers was not able to may may them more definite
on the belophone since all telephone conversations were checked on
the belophone since all telephone conversations were checked on
one off and what had happened. But, from the conversation I could conclude scatching had hoppened. But, from the conversation I could con-

finished and soid that Roscher had approved having the chamber returned.

And, then on the basis of this telephone conversation I sent people to

Decline to load the chamber on the rellread there. Then Remberg come

been sellerin, he reported to so that in the meantime Roscher had had

two further deaths. He, Rombers, had been present at the experimental

station when these deaths occurred. As far as other deaths from Dachen

experiments were concerned I never heard anything about them; and, in

particular, I reclined on the basis of Rombers's reports that the

leaths had occurred during experiments performed by Roscher on in
atructions from Rissler.

- 3. Without your volition?
- ... Without my volition, and mithout my knowledge,
- of five weaths which supposedly occurred during Reacher's experiments then Romberc was present. Bid you hear maythin; about that?
- to draw up the report he didn't may a word to me about deaths, nor whost his experiments which he had performed for Himsler at all.
- The Ruff, you are speaking of experiments which Rescher corride out without your knowledge and edition your approval on his our initiative. Apparently he had special orders from Rimsler. Do you know any idea today whether these experiments of Enscher's he did on his own occars had anything to do with the problem which was to be solved through your experiments in Dacham, that is, the problem of resome by parachate from high altitudes; or from your conviction as a specialist, who these independent experiments of Enscher's some-

28 spril-spr-20-1-Karrow (Int. Von Schon)

- A. True the incomediate reserve which suscher sent to Himler, which I saw here for the first time in the document book, one thing is clear; that hese errors ente have nothing to do with experiments for rescae from high altitudes. That Easther wanted to clarify is not quive clear to be from these brief intermediate reports. He doubtless had attacked quite a manbur of questions, did a few experiments each time, and then storped the concriments and taken up something different. In any case, insofur as one can conclude from these intermediate reports, there was in no case any complete series of experiments. There are at 1 last three, four, purhaps five proclass which he tried to work on none of water he completed and it seems to be that these experiments were morely arienting experiments and that an incomed to clear up these various problems without longer series of experiments, and no doubt he always wanted to have the low pressure chancer in Buchap again for that purpose,
- that is the regular orderly experiments there were no deaths?
- a. In these expuriments for rescue from high altitude I know that know were so doubbe.
- R. The witness wolf, when he was exacided here in December, said that hascher once, by night, allegedly nerformed experiments with sixteen housians when Dr. humber, was not present. The result was that, on the next horning, all of the sixteen hussians were dead.

 Then his wer learn of this matter for the first time?
- a. I learned of it for the first time when the witness Deff
- Q. Do yo really believe that in this case one could speak of usedical accordances of what is our opinion today, as an expert, about what machor's intention could have seen in this action?
 - a. In the low pressure cameour, when it was in Dachen, twolve

people could be commodated at the uthost. Increwas room for twelve people to sit on the benches if the people growded together. If one assumes that the number of sixteen Russians is correct, then there was not read for these sixteen people in the chapter. hereever, it is toperable to perform an experiment simultaneously on sixteen or twelve re eight at the same time. The chapter has three windows, even if there is a doctor at such window, observing the experiment, it would hardly be possible for him to observe sixteen people at the same time who all become unconscious in a very short time, have craps, etc. It is hardly possible, by crimical across with that of the prosecution's medical expert that this was not an experiment at all, that this was an execution.

- Q. Ir. ouff. In Document Book 2, there are a number of photographs concerning a man who was subjected to some experiments in the charbor. Do you remainder these mnotographs in Document Book 27
 - as You.
- type of empiriments was dering which these pictures were taken?

 Inother those were the rightful experiments for your institute or

 whether those were the independent experiments of Dr. Mascher for

 highlant
- document boar these motograms show another whatever except that a dead body was dissected. These pictures could be readily pathological limitiums and could be autopsy. Br. Alexander, the prescontion's moderal errors, was kind enough, nowever, to give be a few better copies of those pictures, and in these good comies one can see very plainly that in this brain antopsy in the big vessels of the brain there are not a of less large air bushles. The vessels, in part, looked like strings of pearls. This proves that these dead people size of their wasn surfacing after diving, or died after leaving the spendled classes, that is the air pressure challers which are used

for work in wasor, for instance, when bridge pillurs are set up, or else that those were neaths from high Atitude. The latter is to be essented in this case, if one grants that those pictures were actually tions in Dichin. assuming then that these were people who died from nich altitude, then on the bleis of this finding of the air outoles in the blood vossels, they must be sword, who died after a long stay it altitudes of more than at least 10,000 motors - probably more turn 12,000 actors. Those has brubles in the blood vessels develop at those altitudes normally above 12,000 neters - in the course of a lon or ata at these altitudes, since these cas brooks need a certain nerion of time to develop, at 12,000 motors, the time is five, six, seven, eight or ten minutes, this could not have happened in the experiments for regone from sign altitude. In these altitude experinenta, the experimental subjects, for example, then bailing out at 15,000 motors and fulling on the open purachates - those neople were at heights goods 13,000 nature for three minutes. This is the langest the which the emericant I subjects remained at ultitudes nigher than 12,000 actors, because, when jumping from 20,000 and 21,000 motors there were no parcenute descents with the open purcehute out only whree fulling experiments without the pursenutes coing orange, and in those experiments the experimental subjects remained the hundred seconds at the cruthum above 10,000 noters. the mas subbles in those pictures therefore snow, with the producility that toracre on cortainty, that these double could not have occurred in comercionate for rescue from him altitude.

- O. and you conclude, Dr. Huff, that these files which were found -our Dr. ...scher's namers and which were taken into document book we, ... we nothing to do with your experiments?
- a. Dut is correct.
- Q. ball, then the charbor was returned to sorlin. Who pays the other for this you or Dr. Hippier

28 April - JP-20-4 Marrow (Int. Von Schon)

As I had told homoury to see it that we not the chamber out of the pass and then I had been sent down to load it. For the return transport, as well as for the transport down there, Hippke gave his approval.

The Mascher veste to Epichsfuchror So Minuler. He sent his an intermediate Forest - a secret report which you and Dr. Acabers did not
sign. This is Document 1971a - PS, Exhibit 49 of the prosecution.

Now do you explain the fact that, in this report which was unde
schind our back, the affair with the mixteen dual assessment is
not even continued by mascher to a man like himilar, because you
know, Dr. Auff, this intermediate reports continue other deaths, but
these sixteen assessment are not continued in this report of knocker?

and I believe the flet that these sixteen knesslass are not mentioned supports the assumption of the medical expert of the presecution, with which I agree, that these Ressians were not the subject of an experiment out were executed, and that it was not mentioned for this reason.

9:30 o'clock touorrow corning.

(a _0008 'as Pade 1015 0930 ages, "(PRIL 1947)

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunch in the antter of the United States of America Springs Karl Brandt, et al., defendents, sitting at Muraberg, Germany, on 29 April 1947, 0930, Justice Beals presiding.

THE BERSHEL: Porsons in the court room will please find their seats.

The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal I.
Military Tribunal I is now in session. God save
the United Status of America and this honorable Tribunal.

THE PARSIDENT: Mr. Mershel, you escurtain if the defundants are all present in court.

There will be order in the courtroon.

THE H.RSH.L: May it places Your Honor, all defendents are present in the court.

THE PRESIDENT: The secretary-General will note for the record the presence of all defendants in court... Gounsel may proceed.

DR. SILIFALID RUFF - Resumed.

DIRLOT Land In Front (Continued)

BY DR. FAITA S.UT.R (Counsol for the Defendent Kuff):

Dr. Ruff, you are still under oath today. Yesterday, Dr. Ruff, you want speaking of the Dachau higheltitude experiments with which you are charged. Today
we come to one final subject, that is the reports on
those various Dachau experiments. As we have heard, Dr.
Rescher on the 5th of April 1942 sent a secret report to
the Reichsfuchrer-SS himmler -- which is in document book
2, document 1971 APS, Exhibit No. 49, page 62 in the German
and 60 in the English. It was read here in the courtroom.
In this report - you remember this, Dr. Ruff?

a Yos.

TREEDY. Rusehor in the first part describes the ex-

"All the experiment I subjects recovered after a certain time at 8 Kilometers and regained their consciousness and the normal functions of their senses." In order to avoid confusion, that refers to the experiments that were conducted together with memberg. In the second part of the report Dr. Roscher describes the experiments which he performed alone and he writes, I quote: "Only continuous experiments above ten and a half silectors were fat it. The third experiment of this type was so unusual that - since I carried out these experiments by myself, that is, without Monberg - I called in an Se doctor of the camp.

This was a continuous experiment without exygen at a neight of 12 kilometers on - 37 year old Jow."

Dr. Muff, this secret report of Dr. Rascher alone soums to be of special significance, therefore, I should like to ask you when did you lawre of this secret report of Dr. Rascher for the first time? This is the secret report of the 5th of april 1942 which is signed by R schor lone.

- .. I saw this report for the first time when it was submitted by the prescention here in the courtroom.
- periments of Dr. Assoher concerning which he writes that he sarried them out alone, that is, without demberg, and when he called in an SS fector as a witness for a third experiment? When did you learn of these experiments?
- in the courtroom. In the preliminary interrogations I also did not hear anything about these experiments.
- experiments which Dr. Roscher orritio out alone and which led to fetal results, did they have anything to do with

your own experiments, that is, with the experiments which you approved which were included in the working program for Dr. Aemberg and which alone you desired in the interest of aviation?

- .. Those experiments have nothing to do with the experiments for rescue from high-lititude, they have no connection with them.
- Q Dr. Nuff, do you know whother this secret report, or interior report, by Dr. Rescher of the 5th of april 1942 was received by the Luftwoffe, especially the Chief of the Medical Inspectorate for the Luftwoffe, Professor Hippke? Did he talk to you about it, or do you know anything also about it?
- I do not know that any Luftwaffe agoncy over receivof this report. Hippke in perticular never talked to no
 about such experiments or about such a report. I should
 think that if Hippke had received such a report he would
 no doubt have said something to me about it, since he
 know that at the time when deschor was carrying out these
 experiments in Dacheu we, that is demonst in this case,
 it the same time carried out the experiments for rescue
 from high altitude. I would assume that if Hippke had
 received such a report I would have heard scenthing about
 it.
- A Then I have a final question concerning Rescher's secret report. Dr. Auff, yestericy we discussed the feet that Dr. Rescher once in the evening called for 16 Russians, that he did something with those 16 Russians we don't know what it was and that on the next morning those 16 Russians were deal, all of them. Yesterday you teld us that in that case there could have been no question of any medical or any other type of experiment. You recall saying that?

- . Yos.
- . Now, it is noticeable that in this secret report which mescher sent to Himmler fatal experiments are nonticeed, that is, experiments which Mescher carried out alone, but that he does not mention this affair with the 16 Russians at all. Can you give us any explanation for this? How could this be explained in your opinion?
- .. It is difficult to say why Acsolur did not report those donths of those Sussians, but I imagine that this confirms the opinion of the prosecution's modical expert which completely agrees with mine, that in the case of those Russians it was not an experiment but in execution. Otherwise, I do not see any reason why Ruseher in his report to Himler, in which he was constantly reporting deaths, failed to report those deaths.
- Or. Mascher to Himler, and I ask you, was a report also about the experiments which were made with your approval and with your knowledge, of which you have knowledge?
- were performed by wendorg in Dachen with my approval
 and sametion. We made up a very detailed report. Remberg
 and wascher drew up this report together. This is the
 report of the 28th of July 1942 which is in document
 took 2, document 402. This report was sent to my
 superior gency in eviation medicine, that is Hipphe,
 through Himmler and Hilch, and at least five or six
 copies and probably even more, went to a number of agencies
 of the eviation Ministry and eviation Industry which needed
 and those results for the construction of resource equipment

.pril 29-W-BK-1-5-Foster (Int. Von Schoen) Court No. 1

and in the construction of planes. It was the purpose of these experiments to create material for rescue from high altitudes and consequently the results had to be made available to the agencies which needed the information.

- Q This report is written on a sheet of paper headed "German Experimental Institute for aviction 1 It is si nod by Dr. Rombor, Smil, and Bascher, isn't it?
 - a Yosa
- I Haw Hid it happen that you also si ned this report without you ward present on only one day at the experiments, that is only at a relatively small part of the experiments, and althou h you consequently sould any nothing from your own observation about the injurity of the experiments?
- A It is custo any in such accountable reports that the head of the institute countered as the report which is from up by the persons who netually performed the work. The head of the Institute thus assumes co-responsibility for the conclusions drawn from the results of the occurringness. For the hand of the Institute is responsible to superior ammedos, or in conoral scientific life, to other scientists, that with his rape he confirms the securities accuracy of his associates, who, it is possible, no one in the scientific corli or amon superiors may know, ho takes the responsibility for it.
 - I Is that the un wal custom in such scientific work?
- .. It is quatoury in such a report that the herd of the Institute countered no. In publications that is done by putting a head over the work "From the Institute So and So - heed Br. So and So". By putting this hand above the report the beed of the Institute assumes orrea consibility for the scientific contents.
- I This report, which I may porhaps easil the official report, was ment to a number of offices and persons who were interested you said. The sent it out? Did you do that yearself or tilly a have it lenot
- as for as I can recall we sent three engles to Hinder at his request. One copy is here in the Decement Rock with the accompanying litter. The other reports, on the basis of a distribution list sat up by the Avietion inistry, were sent to effices of the aviation limistry the purple in industry. They were sent out by the office that had

oinco rephase the report, that was the Control Office for Scientific toports in the Research Administration of the Aviation Ministry.

- I The said that the report was sent to Himmler, among other people,
 - . Tos.
- Q Dr. Ruff, M. Jou also report to Manular urally about the Dachau experiments?
- " 'ty mesociate Rosser', to rether with Rascher, reported to Hiroler wally. I believe that was in July 1962, On some day in July Rember; called no up and teld no that Rascher was in Berlin, and had teld him that Rivaler had ordered an oral report. That was between four and five in the afternion when I received the call and in the events of dath the two mentioner already had to to the Fuchraris Handquarters by special train, he the Prespection's occurrent show Another sade this report. To
- If the Presecution, that an the 11 Lytenber 1912 a film was shown in the anich swinter Ministry decises with the mether high stitle to more months. The leature wideh was intended at the same time from Field Narolal Miles or shown from the Document in Document Book II, No. 1610-12, Kaldbit 73. Tora you present at the shown of this film at the Said Nation Ministry, and what his you have and see?
- A I was not present at the shoring of this file, as the descents show, this file shoring and man arrawed at tendence's sor estion by the low with think and put to a sample of people from the allower of the best invited, but I was not soon where.
- In your official report, which you als si not which was drawn at by combure on three or, there is no continue of any fatel results of the continuents in machine. You, we note the fall dist, for Just's how the report was drawn up and sent out you doubtless already were aware that, I helieve, three weaths had becarried. The report soys mathin, about

that. How can you explain this, and why, when you si med this report, iid you not object?

- .. I know that these deaths had not occurred in the experiments for resource from high altitudes but in other experiments which Enscher carried out on orders from Minular. There was honce no occasion to mention these deaths in this report.
- Q Them, if I understand you correctly, the official report which you also si and was to be correly a scientific presentation and evaluation of the experiments which had been approved by you and in which Rabbers had participated. On the other hand, the independent experiments which Rabbars carried out secretly were none of your business and consequently had nothin to be with your scientific paper. Therefore, those three deaths were not continued. This I understand you correctly?
- A Yes, that is correct. I was not muy cook to know anything about the Jestha which had occurred in Tascher's experiments as for as Rescher was concerned. Decimal of the fact that Romber had told no shout these double, he had violated his obligation to secreey to which he at had his new before outputs; the concentration comp.
- Q Now, witness, let us nesure that on the basis of Dr. Modernia report, and perhaps after consultation with Rascher, and on the casis of your knowledge of all these aircumstances, you had come to the conclusion that these three deaths had occurred turin your own apprintments, that is, burin the criticial experiments in which Dr. Emberg participated and of which you have been in it you had come to this conclusion what would you have been in his those deaths have been emitted in your efficial report, or would you have seen to it that they were munitioned if these industries in only a hypothesical question.
- . It is a notice of course that in such a case the deaths would have been mentioned. Testerday I spoke briefly about the experiences of Dirich scientists with experiments at 12000 seters. These men, also are afraid which as homest scientist uses not be to report those

29 April 47-7-170-2-1-Gross (Int. von Schon)

incidents and accidents.

O Do you believe, Dr. Ruff, that if these three leaths had been mentioned, let us say, that you would have had any official Miffi-oulties, that reprocedes would have been made, or that you would have been made, or that you would have been called to account?

.. Of course, if those deaths had been listen or had had to b. listed because they had occurred during the experiments, I would have had to explain the reasons for the leaths as far as possible, but I would have had to list them no matter whether I would have getten into difficulties or not. But I to not believe there would have been any difficulty because our superior agencies know both Benberg and tyself and know that such leaths could not have because our negligenes or deliberately.

perionees. You know Dr. Rischer personally. You can, ther fore, form some judgment of him, and you heard of his close relationship with Himmler. Be you believe, on the brais of your knowledge of conditions, that Dr. Rescher was pursuing any definite min by failing to mention those three father in the efficient report of the 28th of July, which you also signed, or to you believe that Dr. Rescher could have said that in a report to Himmler if it had had mything to be with the experiments?

.. From the interim reports to Himmler which we have here
it is quite plant that Ruscher had no possion to keep may
deaths secret from Himmler. In leaths had occurred in our
experiments, Mascher would have had no report was first sent
they be emitted from the report. The report was first sent
to Himmler for release, and, therefore, there was no comesion to consent the leaths.

They were to clear up the question what way was one research workers from great altitudes in chaes of accidents?"

That was the problem which you work to solve. Now, if togeths had been concealed in your own report, must one not say that an incomplete and false report would distort the whole problem of the development of rescue apparatus and would have led it into quite wrong channels; that you, as the scientist responsible would have influenced technical developments in a totally wrong manuar?

a Of course, if as a scientist, I had been willing to conce I douths which totally cocurred - that is, make a filse report - I would have violated the most primitive principle of the rose ren worker that is, the one that he must report the results of his experiments correctly and honostly. One for ives any scientist for drawing falso conclusions from his results, but one never for iros a soluntist if, in his work, he misrepresents his results and would have been what this would have minunted to. Moreeyer, the concenting of moths would, of course, have affected the whole technical development of resour apparatus. It would have director it into felse channels. with this report we assumed the responsibility. We said that to a hoight of 20 kilomoters resemb by per chute is possible under cortain circumstances. If thath had occurred, such a resour would not be possible or, at least, would not be definitely possible. For this rossen alone, it was impossible for us to be able or be illowed to conworl lu the.

Dr. Ruff, in your report, you drow contain conclusions from the results in order to show, in this way, what themself science and eviction can learn for the future from this report. These conclusions are under #4.in the report which I have just root. In these conclusions you

is added to the problem of cold. That is the problem which is is added to the problem of altitude and also had to be investigated and solved. For this cold problem I now refer you, Dr. Ruff, to the letter which the Inspector of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe, Professor Hiplks, sent to Himmler with the date of the 10th of October, 1942, after the Dachau altitude experiments were finished. It is in Decement Book 2 of the prosecution, #289, and it says: - I quote in order to recall this sentence to your memory has use every word is injectant here:

"The Dachen high elititude experiments are for us a very valuable end important addition to our knowledge. The feet that an altitude with so little exygen son be and dered at all for some time is most encouraging for future research."

Then cines another sentence, and hippke writes:

"It is true that no conclusions as to the practice of parachuting can be drawn for the time being as a very important factor, that is cold, has so far not yet been taken into consideration. It places on extraordinary excess burden on the entire body and its vital nevenents so that the result in octual practice will very likely prove be for more unfavorable than in the present experiments."

and I ter comes to sentence:

"In the mountime, the supplementary tasks required now..", that is apparently on the col problem," ".. have been because"

Now, Dr. Ruff, as a laymon, I can not judge the significcase of this cold problem in connection with the high altitude experiments and how it was solved. Perhaps you high eltitude experiments was for the needs of aviation.
That is, the practical value, although the problem of the offects of cold had not yet been solved.

.. Hipoko is right in his letter. In the effects of altitude on the human organism, various factors play a role. Not only the reduction of pressure in general; not only the reduction of exygen; but also cald. In the altitudes in which our experiments took place, here in Control Europe we have an average annual temperature of about minus 55 dogroes - 55 bolow zoro. This cold has to be considered in some way, but is the advantage of high altitude experiments in the low pressure chamber that the individual factors of the effects of altitude can be separated. That is, one always tests the effects of the icek of oxygon in the effects of the lack of exygen and too lewered pressure, and when one knows the effects of thise factors, then one one go on to test the third factor that is, cold. In other branches of medicine one loss not, for example, if one wants to study what happens if a human being has malaria and cholora at the same time - I don't know whether that is possible - then one does not infoot bin at the same tire with chelere un unlaria, but first, with meisrin, and thun, if he has survived that, with cholors, in order to study the individual offects first, and thom one would infact him with a combination of calcria and cholors. I emphasize that that is a hypothetical came. And so it is here in the high altitude Omorigants. One examines the effect of the lewered hir pessure first. Then one odds cold when one knows the first offacts. That is what we did. . Iter the one of he Dachau experiments, so continued the personute experiate in our institute, alling the factor of cold. One stance about the results. The cold mir had no effects

on the results. The results were exactly the same as without the cold.

yesterday, came back to Berlin in May. On the 2nd of June it was unloaded there. Now, I should like to know - did you, in Berlin, to solve this problem - the offeet of cold - perform may further experiments and did you make a report on these experiments which had nothing to do with the enes heating here?

.. I have already said that we performed extensive experiments with soid. As is customery, a report was instead about those experiments too.

to you in your interregations?

.. No. it was not shown to no, but I have it in my

- Q. Br. Buff, I so, your of icial report of July 1962; I will ask you on more question. In this report experiments on the selves by the tro persons in char a, Rescur and Rosberg, and decribed, and considerable pain is described which Rascher and Rosber, had to subjer in the experiences which they perfor und on themselves. I recell that the Tresucceor at one time read this passage from the respond and draw the conclusing that in the case of the experimental sucjects, if the the acts Mora, torohor had occurre. That in outer words, that outer o mouri autoli subjects, "buther and war volunteers or not still a write, who street almost empore the point of mondors that are or than ther expert inter on to the history pour Dr. Buff, told up esterday bars in so called altitur sichmas tor is no goin at the bare is wico solousning, on is of the bearing unconscious; later on the non-know that one was me more a, an most amort and. go note nor so its the the statement we been presented. That is non lit L & ricel o at the and and. That is your description, I 5.31 15.
 - A. You, thit is right.
- tioning on the one hand Rescape to Romany suffered and torothe to the action of the action of the contract of the below of the other hand on the selvent of the other hand of the selvent of the other hand on the selvent of the other hand on the selvent of the other hand on the selvent of the other hand of the selvent of the se

onin, there so-called bense. This is the result of the first this through the perral lowering of the grassure within the tissues of the or; the in mitro on is released. This sitro on collects within the tissue in tiny outlies. It extends the tissues the thus equals pring this pring may be completely hereless, just count the sens as herely perceptible Thoughtle prin. It can be at ill to a strong theur tie prin, but again on in this but cases it may be notice extensive. But be cause this bein to is a in nicessary that the persons concerned re in my tonis -litteds for - asserderable time. In those experiments at larger, and it Enselor on themselves, which are described in this report, Ro bor, was no 12,000 meters for 30 minutes in one case, before the grin strand, in the second case he was at 13,000 where for 40 minutes. In the case of Ansoner there was guch less join, the pin was no much shorter. This buyer to . for their develop ... requires considerable the. . at rdry I pointed out several times that in or on whition, to all to in to United States Air Perce, for an ole, the groups which have to fly to make a latitudes are for breaking purposes kept at 12; (and is for con time. They are to become organized with these committees to bins "History and they are explained the cause, they are shown or told that to the down to 5,000 - Live any the tin end generally to done may 1910. In them braining toote, to recom, it is necessary to hop the rough until rafor sou bit, is dy forth researthet to bour door not occur until fter so til. Another point, did Rower, him Another e try out show experiments smich noturally had nothing to do with the -appet ones for rescue from high altitude. It is customary for it in a little experients in the low pressure charter that the putroll in there of the experiment of any other doctor your into the low jet notes our air with the audited and a to a the subject during the Moral wis, that is possible only to the hat of 13,500 others. H to r them beat the experient's subject and the doctor are shalled to to a licete, and there or were would be no purpose in train a contor up to that altitude. - on, on or old Hascher performed audit

experients several times of depotential 10,000 and 13,500 actors, and now in the employ with several different experimental subjects, and now it was discovered that in the first experiment and every day the doctor had no pain. In the second experiment in the same day, he had a cartain mount of bones and if he performed a third experiment on the same day that he than suffer severely. Now, in order to determine the case of it, whether the time which was spent at the migh altitude is consistive in its effect or abother the number of Ill has is the case, these sulf-experiments showed that actually only the time, the amount of time spent at that altitude is important. The experimental subjects the solves did not suffer such pain for two reasons: First of all they were rever at such an altitude so long, and in the second place even if they and been appears that long they had altitude sickness and did not therefore register my pain.

The Ruff, in order to evoid a nonfusion about enother point in your report, I should like to all r up the following: in your entitied report on 20 July which you seemed, in the experimental subjects you which not errors which were observed; I understood correctly, Pr. Ruff, in I not, Hinterpret the report correctly, if I assume them were at the report correctly, if I assume them were at which occurred during the se-celled elitated sickness, it is when the resons were unconscious and them one experimental subject for location either during the time or the resure, if I am reason correctly?

As You, that is correct. I said posturery that have errops, as in the case of opilopsy and the errops caused from the appealed purposes, and always occur while the person is unconscious, that he is now a room of bear and never to is them.

DR. SAUTER: "Ir. President, in Document Book 2 there are a name of interest of a experimental subject apparently suffering from alimindo the set, and the Prosecutor said once or less something to this effect, and arely look at these pictures, then if a layern on see that the Prosecutor save suffered, Appearances are despited. The case, and I take the lifearty of submitting a book, the precised

29 Apr 474 - N-444-Makey (Von Schoon)

professor Strughold, wrote, with pictures on page like. I should like to hand this book up to you. Mould you please look at it, and then I shall tell you why I am showing you this book.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, has pounted for the Presecution scen this book?

Da. SAUT R: I am moont to ive him the book, Your Monor.

DA. DAUTER: Gentlemen; if one looks at these pictures one als the impression that it must be terrible bain, w ion these becole are suffering; one may even h we the 1 ore-sion that these are experiments where the experimental subjects are enduring such terrible things that they are bout to die. In reality, any avi tion expert will tell you that the experimental subject picture here : alt not ing at all in these experiments. That is something that we as I y-men cannot understand, but it is so: Look t the last picture, gentlemen, you will see at the left the Defendent Somberg in his normal condition no on the ri at we will so him in a plane at ver of a speed completely distorted; he almost looks ion .. If, as a lay-man, one looks t the cicture one ye to onuself the f ce of Homberg is so distorted that it con never become v normal face a ain. Revertheless two on felt nothing in the experiments and the girl, who was lso in the picture, who was also so terribly distorted momentarily during the experiments, she did has foul anything either. When the Defendant Hombers ly on the witness stand ind has taken the ceth, he can - ate under beth whether no falt snything during these experiments or not.

Gentlemen, I am showing to this book and have shown in the did Prosection wise because it is a practical of the to show how easily an experienced lay-man can better on the basis of such victures. Perhaps in 14 connection I on ast Dr. Raff, who also perticipated the short experiments as an experimental subject.

Dr. Auff, I discussed these pictures with you the -- day: can you tell is as an expert that these

April 2 -N-5-2- J-deensn-von Schon. during the experiments, although they look so terrible 1 - intree? A. That is true up to the speeds described here, to to 250 kilometers per nour there is no main worth and inin. It must be emphasized of curse that these be old are completely concious. w. Dr. Rain para on our con else tell the Triponel ing these biotures were taken very briefly? w. I'm were taken while a plane was in a power dive. The person was lought, over the roof of the debin and the pictures were texen; that is theoreton was in the o m leta stream of sir. . Dr. Ruff, now I come to the conclus on of the Droneu experiments; I have a few minor olestions to asc AR. AARDY: Mey it please lour bonur, these dictares w ich is of ered in evidence in this cook rafer to a " ead test and as for as I can take but have no compacto a with right littende gouvincots. DR. salT R: Ar. Pre lient, the Presentor is prothat he against somethin, to two never olsimud. I did D. T. S. I to & those experiments and saythin to do with The Down him altitude experiments. I signified the co mercly to show ou that experiments can be icospicive. As a 1 y-usn, one can assume that someone is in the ible twin but in realit it is it rilege. It Wee for this purpose that I edomitted these plothres. I : Facilizz T: I did not understand that counsel. o the defendent offered the book in evidence. aR. DY: It was my inderstanding he in from the F - F S of the defense consel. I object to the book es 3-16. 10m tariel. 6604

The PRESIDENT: Counsel, did on offer this book in evidence of herely using it to illustrate the testimony of the inchese?

DA. SalTeR: Only for the latter o roose, only to be sile to show it to the witness.

SI DR. Sa T R:

e. Dr. Auff, you signed an efficient, I believe it

a to Cottoer of B B, boomment to. 437, Prosecution

intil No. 43, this is in Document Bo & 3 on the 45.

There is a sentence in there, which was not to you by
the Prosecutor and it reads: "Personally, I would consider
these experiments 4 run ver-time as it immoral." (its
as not to this sentence, on the lots of Decomber here,
the Prosecutor said that in its orinion it was quite
middle the sou, Dr. Buff, positivel say in our affidevil the sou, Dr. Buff, positivel say in our affidevil the sou, Dr. Buff, positivel say in our affidevil the sou, Dr. Buff, positivel say in our affidevil the sou, Dr. Buff, positivel say in our affidevil the sou, Dr. Buff, positivel say in our affidevil the sound not consider these experiments imporal,
decomber by this sentence so that a group conclusion
is thewn from it?

A. Then the Proposition answed no this efficient, which is a formulated, the final mantence will that I is noticed these experiments permissable in war-time, and in the securiosity strated or in ordi. I refuse to sign this contends to a figuration followed, lassing more than an index.

The discussion followed, lassing more than an index.

The discussion followed, lassing more than an index.

The discussion followed versatedly and I rememberly in a too sign. Finally, I declared mysolf willing to the formulation. In this we have sentence dame

The discussion for the sentence was my upinion as the first and is so even today. This sentence was my upinion as followed with the experiments, which were performed at the wide ty an whomas and approval because the interrest of the sentence of the miles of an approval because the interrest of the sentence of the

before the sirid wit was written. Even today, I am of the walni m that I den stand up on this opinion. Then I so ned this sintance, I was acting on the assumption in the were important experiments, that the experiments were performed up professional originals, who had volunteered and test efter surviving the experiments, those criminals would be given certain advantages. I ns umo that as far as my jaa ment could decide that theed experimen a ware not dangerous and that was the basis for the sentance. Even today I can see nothing immoral in that. At the time the experiments were carried but, we were involved in a very serious war throughout sermeny, day after day and night after night, den, woman and anildred and to expect to be allied and buscibly by the terrible method of parning to donth and millions of decole a a to give up their lives at the front. seither than nor now can I see anything immoral in wain a profession I oriminal who volunteers for such depurimente.

that is experiments to rescue plane crows from high altitude, were properly prepared and properly carried out, do you believe that three deaths or five deaths wild be compile?

A. No, then would a so been quite impossible. It
is, of a cree, not entirely impossible tent one death
to le have occurred by an infortunate "coldent or a special
productiones, but with this one death the experiments
to leave come to an end, because this one death would
are should that from the height they were toking class,
and that count on certain rescue by paramete.

that after the chamber was retirned to Berlin, Hascher, Wolff, even Himmler personally and also slevers were at post bains to set the chamber back to suchau, but this was frustrated by the Luriweffe; did you have anything to do with this; what was your attitude toward this demand of the 587

29 april-16-JP-6-1-Blaklay (Int. Von Bohon) Court I, Case I

Lotter in the first was a telegram in Lay. The second was a letter from solff dated the beginning of June. In both cases Hipska agreed with me that assumer was not to get the low pressure chamber back to Dacham. Of the later letters which are in the documents here I know nothing. Only a few months later I heard from Romberg that Sievers had called him up and had asked his whether he intended to perform new experiments with assecher at Dacham. We, Sievers, had given the assignment to obtain and buy a mobile low pressure chamber for the 58. I reported this fact to the medical inspectorate, to Dr. Rocker-Freysong

I colled his attention to this point and told him that I considered it some efficient for an answer, who was still on the Enfantise them; even if hower to be assigned elsewhere, he should have his won low program, an appear, by attitude toward an associar's experiments—

and this lad to the vitadrawal of the chamber and the refusal to great further experiments—was that I did not see any important, argent reason to carry out experiments in which deaths could or had to occur. In action to this attitude towards Rameher's experiments, there was mobiler thater for he personally, which caused he not to collaborate with a money at all any more and not to carry out experiments in a consentration came at all.

That was because of the minor things, acove all the fact that

thing the experiments in the concentration camps one was completely in

the hands of the camp commandents, Auscher or Himsler; that one had

the concentrate consult to these people; and that there was painful

secrety, no donot at the instigation of Rancher, who wanted with

the secrety to avoid any results of those experiments turning we

than it has a me being mentioned. On the one hand, he and Himmler

(the most the secrety; and, on the other hand, he and Himmler

the most is own father to a concentration camp, or, rather, that he

stee most the fact that he had sent his own father to a concentration

29 -oril-in-JP-5-2-Blakley (Int. Von Schon)

camp. This showed me that shis sen had qualities of a character which made it impossible for no to work with him at all.

. -hen, buff, you saw to it that hascher was not able to meperhiont with the low pressur, chamber at all any more and for this
purpose through the co-defendant secker-Proysing you confiscated all
production in this field for the Enftwaffe? Is that true?

var old only to warm the medical Inspectorate and give the suggestion to member-Proyeong.

O. But Bucker-Freyword did confiscate all the production for this purpose in order to furstrate anschor; is that great

4. You.

them, on the 18th of December stated ners that a rexidutely 180 to 200 prisoners had been used for the high altitude experiments, and about 70 to 40 of them had died. Notf did not make a distinction and was a look to size a distinction between the orderly experiments in what according participated and the independent experiments of numbers of which the knew nothing. Now, I has you, when did you learn for the first time to a 180 to 200 urisoners were used and that no loss than 70 or 80 lost their lives? You said restoring that only about twelve origoners, between ten and fifteen, and always the same possile, were used?

A. I amend these numbers for the first time here during the trial.

Drive the interrogetions I did not near those figures.

The Buff, you told us that you ware told that these people for volunteers. Now, let us take the case that someone, Romberg or alterer or loof or the case contains, told you, "We don't have my we-become, show are simply resigned." But would you have done then them 7 to now actuary about this trial? What attitude would you have taken toun!

29 April-M-JR-5-3-Blakley (Int. 'on Schon)

At them as a cold as today I would have refused to accept those prisoners. I would have had to refuse them. I have said restorday to a in our emperiments for rescue from high altitude we were dependent on the active occoperation of the experimental subjects. The emperimental subjects and to be interested in the appariments; otherwise, it was impossible to carry out the appariments properly. Even under the containing at the time under the power of the commandant or limiter I would have been able to refuse these experiments without any personal danger to myself by saying, "he cannot use involuntary subjects. It may be all very well from the legal point of view, but for these experiments we cannot use anyone except volunteers. These experiments can be performed only on volunteers." That would have been a point of view at that time; and I still hold this same position today.

And in the first the Danham, exercisents, that is, the experiments when it is, the experiments that the interest and the first the Danham, exercisents, that is, the experiments when it is appropriate that the Danham, exercisents, that is, the experiments when it contributes when it is the experiments when it is the experiments.

A. This is expressly mentioned several times in the report.

So also 2 of the report it says, I global "I had to dispense with

in also all difficultion of purely ectentific questions for the time being."

On age 15 is says, I quoto: "Since the slow sinking experiments

Who at explain had reached the limit apparently, sinking experiments were

control but from migher altitudes with expens." On page 15 it says, I

place "Sinking experiments with even higher altitudes were not refer
play, where in practice there is no necessity to use the eyen para
ment, where in practice there is no necessity to use the eyen para-

29 april-W-JF-6-4-Blakley (Int. Von Schon) Court I Case 1

On the same page, in the next paragraph, it says, I quote:

"Area falling experiments were begun at 14,000 noters in order to

avoid having too large a number of experiments." On page 20 it says,

"Experiments with explosive decompression without previous oxygen respiration were not carried out because we were working on the assumption

that in embat the enemy pressure cabin planes can fly with an eight

kilometer atmosphere." These quotations, I believe, show that the
experiments were limited to what was absolutely necessary.

- periment one experimental subject dies and to t them at 10,000 meters within the chameer in subject was performed. When did you first learn of this case?
- A. I heard of this for the first time when self said that on the witness stand.

(A rucces was taken)

THE MASHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

Ir. Ruff, we shall be finished in two or three cinutes with the lirect exterioration. We have only a few questions yet to put. Aside from the id healthands appariments with the low pressure chamber Dr. Rascher carried out numerous other appariments, for example the freezing experiments, the low temperature experiments, sto., which load to many tenths or to devere the set the subject's health; when and how if you have of Dr. Rascher's further experiments?

A I know only of low to permuire assertments. I was at the freezing confuruace which has frequently boun andiened here in Nurabert, and herr! there Professor Welsleshmarts report. hen hearin this report I did not have the impression that Dr. Helslowhner had had fatalities in his on writants. The reportion are much that one would cortainly think whom he was agankin of fatalishes he was speakin of Second fatalities. It was known that Malalochner had proviously had a station to allowinto ted "latross in the Forth Son, and that he had complete experience about and distress there. On this occanion I heard of low temperature experimust bein carried out, that Hinder had tell Wischer to carry but froming experiments was known to an previously Secrese when Enseler and Re hore reporte! to Mircher, Misseler contioned this or for to Rascher in tone the unit anka! Realbore to preticipate in the experiments. At that tin Dembar refused to to so on the rounds that he was not a specialist in this fielt. The Institute has never concerned itself with sec distress ervolens, and when he returned from title report to His ler Verberg told ne about this and asked to in case a reguest should be directed to the has ditute that Rombers should particulate in these experiments, - 1 should bull him to avoid participation in them. However, such a request have mue. Otherwise, I never heart of any other experiment of Mascher ither hafore or after that.

2 Lt the freezin conference 15 27 October 1942 you were present?

Large this was an Aviation Medicine Conference. There were several rich, and even if the fiel | under lipossion there was not specifically

our field, neverthelass, we were asked to attend these conferences, and if we had thee to we participated in them.

- Q In other words, you were there only as an auditor?
- A Yes.
- Q Boenusa you were ordered to do so?
- L Yos.
- one pair, you are also that of with conspiracy, in other words, co-responsible for everything that the other members this for this reason it would interest me to know what relationship you had with the other numbers in the lock, and what relationship it is you have with the experiments carried out by the 'esters?
- A Sefera I amme to Murmbore I know of the co- beforeignts personally only Professor Schroe ar; Professor Hose Very all htly, we in ! seen each other ones or today Dr. Rosber , of course; Dr. Bocker Trymon , Proformer late and Dr. Johnoffer. I set Dr. Schooffer in 1945. I know by and Professor Spanit, Pr fessor Randoger and Professor Restock, also In famour Gobberth an Sievers, and otherwise no one. The others I know middly or my now. I had professional relationships only die Pr fossyr Schrop'er, Dr. Rodbar , Pr. Spelour Prayeon and Professor Lin. Romin in experiments that are bord up for conditionation, and on torount of which the other lefendants are techsel, I heard here in Almber as I bove alree y sail, only the freezin experiments, and in 1965 or the basinging of 1966 when I saw a report I had occasion also to "Il out about the winder water enjurisants. It is of course to be unforstool that I my have beard sometides at the inf of the war to the "Heart that experiments were bein corried out to make see water potable. 34 I commot recall that, however, only of the experiments I heard of at was of 1945 or the be innin of 1946.
- This, I shoul! like to direct your attention to wrat has been put in

19hb in Document Sook 5, sociabit 133, Document 177, those are minutes of a resting in the Toich air Ministry. Im Technical Office there from the rest of the distributors, it shows that a copy of these minutes was sent to your Institute, at least it is so stated, and under the list of those to when the minutes were to be sent the Institute for aviation "slicing, DVL, Barlin .. Gershof, is mentions . .. representative of your Institute was not present at the conference of 19 May 19ha, that is to be soon from the list of those present. However, among those present under No. 14, Unterarat Dr. Schmeffer is mantione i. However, he did not belon to your institute, but to the so-collect Luftfehrtforselmings Institute of the Reich Air Ministry, in other worls, the Institute which Professor Stru hold was in charge of, but Professor Stra holds Institute is not amon, those to shot the report is distributed, although a profeasor from that dustitute was presabt, Mor, I shall be interested to know whether in 19hb, at any rate before the sal of the 'ar, you was there vinutes of May 19th; were they browns to your official attention?

- It has been been been been to me attention. I roover I coult quite see way this report, these minutes should have been sent to me, because as I said fore our Institute never concurred itself with any of the questions involved in see Terrors, in other works, they "I' not concern themselves with making see water potable.
 - 9 Mid Professor Stru holds Thetheuta concorn itself with thet?
 - A Tos, they Mi, you.
- I Dil you not assume, Dr. Kuif, that the name of your Institute
 included by acclient in the name of those to which those dantes
 in istributed, because your Institute is almost itentical in name to
 irressor Struckells Institute?
 - .. I consider that possible, but I k not know.
- I Dr. Buff, in the owrse of the trial we have heard of memorous in the constraint of the consulting friends of the consulting free of the consulting friends of the consulting free of the consult

29 April 47-11-475-5-Maloy (Int. Brown)

possibler he wanted me to carry out experiments in the concentration camp of Dacken; it would be well if specialists in this field would help in those experiments, and for this reason Telts of in touch with me. "That is what you said in this affidavit; now, in your direct assaination you explained this statement by sayin; that the a respect was the result of a conversation that took place in Aldershof Berlin, is that correct?

29 april-4-GJ-10-7-4 chan-(int. Prom)-Court No. 1 a Ye, that is a rrict. han he visited Eurlin, Profess r celta told on that through Reacher and through welts' institute, experiments were to be corried out in Dachou, that Pippke has given his agreement in principl, to these Emperiments menths aco, but that these experiments were still in the plannin state since they were not urent and it was for this rues n as su set of that the experiments be carried out in L phed Q how, I have this further quastion, which a ain refers to your affidavit; you also stated that Professor blitz was informed of the exportmints bein curried of in Dochou and was rientated recording that; our his statement could be misunderstood, what expectly dis you mean by SAUST. A That meant that color was interpoly and prioritates which the planning of these experiments, because it was his ou artien that the experients take place. By had the conference in Munich, we were in Drahou at the same wire and discussed the netter with the camp democrater; to that extent blits wis crientate I about exercisents in Dachau. They must quarticup was write informed that the carryin out of the copyrigants? a I To t sack to with about that. I Did you the him I had report? a in , no rep rt reached him free us. U D: you know whether alto received such a report iron alsomere? a Of that I | know m tain . I he crim the his a little appring to, there is a file in existonger; , a km w which is like sow that file? n N. Idant. d Com you say whichir lite know at ut the fit liting? . I connect may that for sur , fe west, but I consider it improbail. I, myself, the min actoin to ut that. I M. Furth r questions. The Tipe: (C ups. 1 for the Defendant Becker-ir ys.m.) 6617

29 _uril-U-GJ-10-2-U_han-(int. ir wa)-Court No. 1 u Dr. luff, how lone have you known ecokur-Praysang.)-. Since 1936 r 1939, at that the Dr. Bucker- typen, was assistent at the Luftfahrt addininisch. Forschungsinstitut of the air Minister. then, then turing the wer the year enter official relations with Furtil a In the carse of 1961. Q On whit cendin? a at the be inmine of 1961, I was record by Hippke of the socical Inspect rate, to visit all the law presours chambers in Garning; there were twenty-five or eare of them and then to submit a report of the condition I the I'm pressure charters as als to an estany tochnical inpr vomesto that should be carried but a tou low pressure chelbers. Then, in connection with the report that the Section Laspectorate received, Dr. Book recreases was assigned to carry at these technichl hope whents and it was a tall or sin that I resumed official relati nabio with Sick rerys a . o br. Ruff, bow long db B.cour-orgain, have mything to de with this re- lin ? " This, I cannot talk you, but it was quit - while to heast; were then a sorre 3 Tou say, in other words, but in fess r weeker-preparations 19hi on not to to with the law pressure chambers; now the a bile law ir wourt chuster that was in Dachau, maich plays a matterible rd. in this triel in the Tribunil sull purnaps b. If the pine n that ".ck.r-reyson, was improved if the ose of the oglober in broken, portiwhich the use you said in your Mires within in that this childer ting the air inlates and the amount lastest r to be raired t us of the chamber in Lachen. Can , a plant ill the Inthunal whother Successfrage in the anythin to a mith the use of the warma chance T? " N , he did not. This was a now churter, which was plat to us y the following firm and me and processed from a the middeal 6618

29 April-M-GJ-10-3-Muchan-(int. Br wa)-Crurt No. 1

Inspectative to build a few additional pieces of apparatus into the law pressure chamber. For instance the telephone system that corresponds to the one you have in an airplane, also we had to install the exygen equipment. This was done by us, because we had the specialists available who did it when short if works in our airplanes. In other words, the chamber was not being used in the mound military way and for that reason it was not set up under Booker-Proyector's supervision.

In your direct examination by Dr Sauter, you said that you had only spiken to Booker-Froysons, I supplied the and of 1962, which the fact that Enacher wanted a 1 w pressure charter i rais future experiments not y u said that y u saw a necessity for sending such a chamber to Dienau and y u said further that you had werned booker-Froyson, about the SS plan. to get a law pressure chamber i r yourself, is that what y u said?

- h Yes.
- When you have bis this marin ?
- in Dr. Scoker-Frage on sold he would not in tower with the first at first he was if the same mine as I, he said at mostly at in tower with the firm and also online production available only to the Liftwaffer.
 - . This is the fire Courtzon?
 - A 4.5.
- cill added Exprince my resemble when were those reports into duod?
- I know if them, buty were issued antilly by the trap a ctors with the flight units up that reported a maything that was interesting from a visiting point of view, and they were first introduced, I cannot may, but roughly it was been the first years a the war.
- I and I you als know the worked on these map gits at the moddent Inspectments?

29 April-M-GJ-10-b-Mcchen-(int. Br wn)-Court No. 1 A I know that Booker-Freysens did. I know this because we frequently saw parts of those reports and we received them whenever the reports ecule help us in solving any of our can problems. For instance, in the case parachute jumps, there had been several fatalities because the electric cord from the our phonon had become ledged ar and the neck of the person who was propping and strangled him. so had to clear up the question of how these socidents could be prevented. Q Could you estimate how many reports there were every month? " I spendy say there were from two to three hundred. Q Think you. Now a quasti n regarding of h altitude experimentstion. Dr. Ruff, you received reports on the corrections, you delivered a lecture rearring the results of these high altitude experiments and this ray rt was printed; when was this lecture and when was it published? A It is true that I clivered such a report in the academy for Air Research, a t directly about these experiments but about the concret questi n of the p saibility of saving pe ple from hich -ltitude, but in this lecture the results of the Dachau experiments more also discussed and evaluate , that must have been in late autumn or early winter of 1942, r the and of 19h2; in there re I not know the extent to. as you say, it was published, but I don't kn'w the date of that publication wither, it curtainly species in ' Schreuch for Luftfihrtf recoun ," 1912 -1913. C Thank you. Now, can you also say shother you mentioned the names of Rusbor and Engebor in your lecture? a les, certialy. I D you know whother this reart want to the medical Inspector to? a I had to show this lecture to the Madieral Inspect rate, but re I telivered it, for uppr wil in afterward, of a arms, appealed capital of the lecture were sent to the Medical Inspect rate because that always ms the practice. d Ww, in your direct extended n, you als menti not the Mi raborg conforunce and sold about it that there were many such a dieal aviati to 5620

29 april-10-GJ-10-5-sochan-(int. Br.wn)-

Court We. 1

conferences, I can essume that you, yourself, delivered many loctures at many such conferences not only at Nucrotory?

A Yos.

Q Did the lectures that were delivered at such conferences have to be submitted to the medical inspectants for approval before they were delivered?

A Since these were conferences on the medical Inspect rate itself, it was not becomeny in this case to submit the entire draft of the locature for approval before hand, either the medical inspectarate asked:

" Con you read a payor in such and such a theme or one applied to deliver a locature himself, diving the title and amoral contents, but in this care than thet.

Q Frequently you to k part in discussions at such a nicronous;
I assume now the notes on the discussions certainly did not have to
be submitted for approval?

A. W., I course not become, the discussion to k place only offer the lecture.

nitted by the presecution. This is Decument No-934, Exhibit Number 458. This was submitted during Schreeder's cress exemination and is reasonably art in a decument back. This is a list of the medical research assignments of the UKL, Chief of the Medical Services of the ...ir Force and the research administration of the HDL and UBDL. Dr. Booker-Broysung is mentioned as the person who worked on this. I give the decument to you.

I can discussing this with you for the following reason: The Frescrution put the Engen research assignment before Dr. Schreeder in this report. The report is called "Secret"; and the resecution drow conducting from it that are disadvantagorus to Frof. Schroeder and Bo hor-Froyaung. Dr. Bocker-Froyaung seid to so that the list was not culy incorrect about this point, the Hangen assignment was not secret, and that you could also find other orrors in this draument, namely, regarding wrints which you, Witness, could clarify, Flores lock at rage 2 of this decument, Mumber II, entitled "wir Medicine", and I murto D. 4.: "Current evaluation of mir accident reports from the todical print of view. (Secret) Research about the effort of underoroseuro on the teeth, DVL, Institute for mir hedicine, Dr. Siegmund haff," In other words, you are sentioned as the person working on this. I'u soo a sign thore, and that sign moons that this is an assignment by the Research Center and not by the Legical Insportante, Gen you ploase tell us, Fitness, whether what is set forth in this list is correct?

we like is said under reint 4 is certainly wrong. The current evaluation of more-modiful accident reports was senothing that to be like after 1936. It was removed every your and certainly was an assignment made by the Medical Inspectante because it is a purely mainful matter. Marchael, it is also every that this assignment was severed. The semi-normal reports that were made in the basis of this resignment were in part secret, assoly, those that contained simily

- A. Tes, the two assignments agree word for word,
- 2. Then again there is again a mistake here?
- Q. Brs. take a look at Number 7. I quetes "The carrying out of the ting off precodures, statically and in the airplane, with the centrifugal seat and with propulsion by powder. Secret. The little sign is sissing. That means that the assignment was suppreedly sade
- ... This is certainly also erronerus. This is cortainly an assignment on the part of the research londership; but aside from that cistako, there is an ther one here. This was certainly not secret, corouse this must have been an assignment from the year 1944; and the with the contribugal meats was, as for as I know, hover secret, berunno this was a new rescue instrument, from the use of which you reuld draw or deductions about anything also concerning technical developments in aviation; and at far muche could not draw doducti ne from the use of this rescue material about other matters is the air in aviation, they were not designated as secret.
- O. In other words, Witness, you agree with me that in the for and puscents that I have read to you here there are numbers of errors which soriously put in question the value of this list as a whole?
 - ... Yes.
- Q. Wre, Dr. Buff, as can be seen from this list, you and your institute received a lerge number of research assignments from the hodical Inspectrate, herefton when you were carrying out those casigaments were you checked on by the Eedical Inspectratel
- ... In carrying out those assignments we were not checked on at call in general. We frequently her visits from a se department chief or thur in the Ledical Inspectatate: the Medical Inspector binself; and in these recesions we reported how the experiments were coming clear, and showed in what fore they were being carried out, But we

29 Lyr-M-GES-11-4-blakley (Int. Brown) Bourt Mr. 1. were not checked on. Rather, we simply submitted a report on the corrging out of the research. 2. Was it customary in research, Sitness, if a research assignment was issued to carry out any sort of checking? ... Meither with us in aviation nor elsewhere was that customery. Frequently the effice that had issued the assignment, and which usually or vided the funds for the research, asked for a report at stated intervols in the wirk being diner but a personal check in the work did not take place. At least I de new knew of any such cases. Q. Une last questi n. Was the way in which you should schieve your results prescribed to you in any way? ... The way was to general not prescribed. Bither the assignment come directly from the Medical Inspectante or the research leadership; and it stretimes happened that now the experiment was to be carried out one suggested, but cortainly it was not prescribed. Q. New another last question. So for as I know at the end of the you you worked in the aerial kedical Conter in Heidelberg for the ... with Ferre, and gru wrked in enjunction with Becker-Freyeung. ten you tell us whether Berker-Freyword between wetrber 1945 - newely, when he was hired - and September 1946, - namely, the time he was imprisemed - verried out democrats experiments on hisself in the Scidolberg norial kedical Center and whether he or any of his "lloggues suffered any here because of these experiments? ... That is correct. Together with a certain Dr. Gauer and mycolf, he ker-Fraysent carried out experiments on hirself. These were or rimonts in which he stayed for a considerable length of time at 13,000 motors altitude. It that time we did not know what remults the and rolling had achieved in this field; and we had several very un-Pleasant incidents. One of our collectues, Dr. Guner, had a severe discharge in the knee joint, was in the heapitel for several weeks and the han to go on crutches for three menths. But there were no cases - Cauth. DR. Tirk: Thank you, no further questions. 6625

BY DR. VOR LINK for Romborg:

cribed the course of your cereor you spoke of the various sorts of experiments. Can you say that Dr. Homberg made experiments to the same extent and in the same way you did?

A Yes, that is so. Dr. Romborg was, as I said, my oldest collaborator and carried out those experiments on

himself to I did.

- During your direct collaboration with him could you gor say that he didn't soon reliable to work on his own initiative?
- .. No. On the contrary Remberg distinguished hinself in all his experiments by his particular care and I dei particular reliability. With no qualus at all I could let him corry out any experiments as the responsible experimenter. I had no equasion to warm him in any way about enything but he experimented with the greatest of circumspection.
- . In view now of the possibility of giving pain to the experimental subject I should like to know what the nature was of those various experiments that you mentioned yesterday? Which was the one that was the most likely to cause pain?
- .. I boliove that of all the experiments that we ever carried out those were subjectively the nest unpleasant, accord

the Last painful, rencorning research into the offects of cold and exygen. In these cases, before the real experiment, the subject was subjected to temperatures as low as 40° contigrade below zero for an hour or more. The subjects were lightly elethed. These experiments were, at least to me, the most uniformant.

- O. You are spenking now of high altitude experiments?
- ... You.
- O. I was not referring to high altitude on originate, but I was referring to experiments auch as you referred to yesterday. Yesterday you listed a whole number of experiments that were not high altitude experiments but which were necessary for research introviction or orbits. Now, I want to make you whether high altitude on originate were also whether at arrive to a seriments you carried out?
- If an inwhich one or oriented nich altitude sickness.
- In the it meressery that the experiments carried out in By any within the framework of your program all had to load to high eltitude sickness?
- for promiter or abortor length of them.

April 29-M-DK-12-4-Gros s (Int. Drown) sw Court No. 1 . You said that during altitude sickness the experimental subject feels no pain, is that so? A. Yes. The subject is unconscious and feels no pain. Q. Is the subject susceptible to pain up to the moment when the sickness occurs, or is it possible that the experimental subject up to that moment is subject to conditions that cause him pain? A . I described the course that altitude sickness takes, and I said at first that if the exygen supply is interrupted at a certain altitude, then for a short period of time -- and that period of time depends on how high the subject is - the experimental subject is fully empable of action. It that moment he is susceptible of fooling pain. C. Up to the moment - please answer this question thether up to the moment when high altitude sickness accurs, the experiments corried out in the fr mework of your program in Dachau - could cause pain to the experimental subject? L. No. . Further, according to that you said one would not feel pain during altitude dickness. Is that truck . Yos. . Could the experimental subject suffer prin from the moment of which up on? . Ifter the experiment I subject regained consciousters it was possible for him to suffer prin because he mis capable of action then. . In the Dachou experiments was there muse for pain . the experimental subject after regularing consciousness? .. No, but after he regained consciousness and was

- t that time in the ease of the experimental subjects?

 - vine showed this to you?
 - .. Dr. Rocherg.
- . In other works you know even at that time this and licht fin! it out horo?
 - .. You, that is so.
- . Now, recognition the four rousness of those experiants, at I to believe that she experiments that are the most primful are not also the most dangerous?
- .. Yos, that is so. You have to distinguish in such I win nts between subjective pain and the danger. These

when the Dacheu experiments began, and this assignment concerned rescue from great heights?

- .. Yes, that is so.
- . Is it also correct that you received this assignment from the ...ir Ministry via Hippke and concerned itself with rescue from high altitudes?
 - .. Yos, that is true.
- ut agrics of experiments to solve this problem?
- .. Yos, that is so.

THE PRESIDENT: The Trib and will be in recess until

AFTERNOOM SESSION

(The Tribunal reconvened at 1330 hours, 29 April 1947.) The LARSFAL: The Tribunel is again in session.

> PR. SLOGERILD HUFF - Resuned CFOSS LYAMINATION (Continued)

BY PA. VIE LAZ (Councel for the defendant hemberg):

- Q. Dr. Buff, leftro the recess we had stopped at the statement that the Dacheu experiments represented a part of those experiments which were part of the prefer of seving people in high eltitudes, that is to ony, that of ore the Dechau experiments began there were in your inetitute experiments carried ut on the question, as well as subsequent to the Daoben experiments, in the send subject, is they correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- C. Diring the first conferences with Reasher was there any mention t the offuct that unperimental an Jesta might die?
- A. In the first lineusations with Smother we ap he of the denser im load in the every sents, not in this corsi n so well as later when to tolked to the come of mender at Dacham we reinted out that in or minion, tout is, in hour judgment, a leaths were to be reported.
- Q. During the first a naturage with heacher did you think that he purely mented to proticipate in the high elittude experiments, or build you see from his whole attitude that he wented to go buy me that and take experiments is his own whether on his own initiative or by at Justs advest
- as at this discussion in aunich and in the discussions effectively and a worl was wait at the any other experiments which were planned in V. J. to be carried out. We discussed ownely the emperiments i'r receme TRIB Tod.

por not at that time elevaty mouth a that he intended - to him the - to to a lecturer - in the field of high eltitude

Tet main? Is but a wreat?

denberde is a rect.

Court No. 1 29 Apr 47-A-13-2-2HA-Fester (Von Sensen & Murta)

- Q. Did Bascher at that time show that, spart from the high altitude experiments, he als had to make experiments in order to be able to write his thesis in this field?
- A. I don't know empthing about that. In any case, I do not recell such statements on the part of Rascher in connection with the discussion in number at that time.

29 pril 47-1-170-1-1-Karrow (Int. von Schon & Murtz) Court No. 1 Q Then it you, for the first time, tell Rombert about Telta! reposition? A Followin Telta: visit to my institute in Berlin - either on the sen day or the next day. I Did you, at that him know that Mascher was a member of the SS? .. No, I it not know that when Dr. Telts was in Berlin. I con't boliove that I hear! anythin about Dr. Rascher's belonging to the SS. Q Then I you first hear that Mascher ha been iven perdesion by Hippine to mke hi h eltitude opportments at Dachau? A At this Miret Macission with Professor elts, Professor elts told me that the 'n Meel Inspector Mip ke, a few months before that, had chron'y lyen his fundamental approved for such experiments in Dachau. 7 - will it therefore be correct to any that Raseher toth and the paradesion of Hippin to carry out the experiments on Himber's approval to enrry out the operiouse? " You, that is correct. of Do you believe that Sancher would have on to experients in Inches if the DVL ha not article to 17 A Yes, I am convinced of it. To you calleve that the DVL would have made amorto ate in Backur if macher would not have taken ert at all? A The question is not easy to answer. The prerequisite for the participation or the execution of these experiments at Dachau was that bone one had the personation to errors such experiments in backets, and Plut Toe Pascher. I Let me put my question a different way. Do you believe that the Wh, even if it he wented to, would have been in a losition to carry out experiments in Dacher without Tascher? A So, I consi lar that impossible. I But you are of the climion that Macher, without the DVI, would attrilly have mis such amorizents? 5636

29 April h7- TD-16-2-Karrow (Int. won Schon & Kurta) Court Mo. I A I am convinced of that, yes. 2 But you know that Ensehor did not possess a low pressure chamber. Do you bolieve that Pascher's commections would have been sufficient to detain a low pressure chamber? A I am convinced that if Rascher had one to Himaler, and Himaler and demanded that a low pressure chamber be put at his disposal, that he would have received it. 9 Are you of the conviction that by yours or Romberg's measures the low pressure chamber was, in fact, removed from Dachau carlier than Rascher actually intended it to be? A Yes, I believe that that is prove by the focusents we have hora boo. Q Do you also believe that by Romber's presence in Dachau, ascher was into hel in his experiences or, at least, limited, and up to a certain point was prevented by that presence? in That is, for in at least, clour from what we have learned in the course of the trial here. The witness Yoff, for example, says that Ruscher always took a wante to of the this when Rockers was not there - that is, in the overing - for his experiments, If Romer and not been present, he would, no 'oubt, have had the whole day for such experiments and would, no foult, have carried out more experiments then he detailly did. A Do you a ree with me in this idea that first of all Rescher would have carried out his experiments in Dachau even without the DVL, and that, accordly, he would have been less interrupted in his accordants, and, thirtly, that he could have kept the low pressure charber as long as he re irla it as necessary, or as lon as Mirtler, ave aim his permission? Di you believe that these items are correct? . I am convinced of it.] Sow many experimental subjects with rean triangles it you see? . I can't ive you the exact fi ure - ten to fifteen approximately. I bil you see where these groups were tilleto!? ... 6637

29 April 17-A-ATD-14-3-Karrow (Int. von Solion & Kurta) Court Mo. 1 A Yes, during the day that I was at Dachau I was prectically all the time at the low pressure chamber or in the room adjacent to the billet of these men. Q And all the experimental subjects whom you saw on that occasion were wearing a green triangle, is that correct? A Tes, that is correct. Q When did you, for the first time hear that Rascher, apart from those experiments to rescue people from high altitudes, used the same low pressure chamber, which was used for those experiments, without your and Homberg's knowledge, made experiments of a different kind? A I heard that for the first time then Romberg came to Berlin and reported the fact to me, and I believe on the same occasion he reported that a death had occurred.

Tou don't know whather Tomberg told you before the first fetality occurred?

A No, I don't remember that.

Q Do you regard it aspossible that Romberg talked to you about this nd that you might have forgotten 1t?

A That is possible.

I Now, when you heard about the first fetality did you modify your program to rescue people from high altitudes or did you leave it as it mer hid you change it or shorten it?

A We shortened it.

Q Did that not endanger the result of the wools experiment?

A No, the result was not endangered. The experiments were not northened to the b gettent, we would servive dispense with a few minor Udres which were not escential.

That was your first reaction when you heard about the first fatality?

A My reaction was that I completely agreed with Romberg that our riments had to be concluded quickly so that we could prevent Rascher's Andre his experiments.

29 April 17-A-ATD-14-1-Larrow (Int. von Schon & Murta)

- A Did you not conceive the idea of desisting altogether from further experiments?
 - A We did think of that briefly.
 - Q And why did you give it up?
- A We decided against it because, in the first place, our expariments which had already been carried out would have been in win, but,
 secondly, and especially because, by giving up our experiments, we would
 not have prevented Ruscher's experiments. That we were primarily
 interested in was, on the one hand, to complete the experiments but,
 on the other hand, to see to it that the low pressure chamber was
 removed from Dachau; but that was possible only by completing our
 experiments so that we could say that the experiments for which the
 shoulder was sent to Dachau are completed, and we also said the chamber
 is urgantly needed. Only in this may could we even think of getting
 the chamber out of Dachau.
- Q How was it then not to interrupt the experiments rather than choose the other war?
- A Would you plause repeat the question? I didn't hear the beginning.
- O Whose idea was it to determine not to interrupt the experiments but take the other way? /ould it not have been possible to break off the experiments altogether?
- A I believe that this idea originated with Romberg. If I remember correctly, my first reaction was that I said: "All right, you are in "I'm, you will stay here and all stop the experiments."
- ? Fould it have been possible at that time to brank off the apprintants and get back the chumber?
- A It would have been possible merely to brook off the experiments. It would not have been possible to get the chamber back.
 - I have no further questions.
- THESIDENT: Any further sugations to the witness on the part of defense counsel?

29 April 47-A-AID-14-5-Karrow (Int. von Schon & Kurtz)
Court No. 1

BY JUDOS SEBBING:

I Witness, you have described in a general way the low pressure chamber and the experiments that you say were carried out with this chamber. Will you please tell the Tribunal just exactly what equipment and machinery is necessary for the conduct of high altitude experiments.

A Yesterday I said that the chamber itself consists of a room which is scaled against pressure. The door of this chamber is constructed in such a way that the Inside of the campbor is scaled begantically against the air from outside. In the charber itself there are benches and tables to accommodate the person conducting the experiment and the subject, and tiso in the chamber, for each person, there is a supply of oxygen. There is a so-called "lung automat" - that is, a apparatus which controls the supply of oxygen to the irretes of the chamber in such a way that during the inspiration phase of breath, exygen is supplied and during the expiration, no exygen is supplied. This control of the supply of exygen good through a tube and is connected to the mank which the innate of the chamber wears. The oxygen which is supplied through this apparatus color from a bottle of compressed oxygen - that is, a steel container in which the payers is under high pressure. This oxygen is supplied to the "lump automat" through a thin tabe. In the chamber there is also a wilve so that the experimenter, who may be in the changer too, will be able to start a descent. Now, the chamber is connected by a system of tubes with valves to a number of pumps - to one or several pumps - and hose gumps pump the sir out of the chamber. The speed with which the air is purpod out can be regulated by a welve which is outside the chamber the controls. In the chamber, in general, there is also a mater which Avea approximately the ditioude. There are also sprays and medicine for reviving the subjects in the chamber. In addition to the tubes leading to outpo, there is another system of tubing which leads to the freen air ortaids. The other and of this tabe opens into the charmer. Between the to mis of this tube more is a valve which one can open and close. For

29 April 47-A-AID-Lh-6-Karrow (Int. von Schon & Kurta)

descent, this walve is opened and air is introduced into the chamber. This increases the pressure and this brings about idescent. Outside of the chamber, at the controls, there is another altimeter which consists of the mercury column which measures the altitude exactly. That is, the altitude is not read directly, in general, but the pressure in millimeters of mercury which is later calculated to what altitude this indicates.

29 april-a-JF-15-1-holoy (Int. Von Schon)

Q. Will you plouse explain to the Pribunal the procedure which you would use for conducting what you called explosive decompression test; now are the non scated, now are they equipped, and what is the process procedure for such a test?

a. If we at rt with the conditions of this mobile chamber which Was used at Dichai, the big low pressure chimber itself is rather ... blg room which has room for 10 or portupe as nemy as 12 persons. In this big chamber no explosive decompression tests can be a wried out. For such tests there is in addition to the big chamber a small chamber which can hold two people at the most, the two people sit on a smell couch ficing out other. The small themest is otherwise equipgod just like the big one is, here tically souled. It has windows for observation. It am telephone communications ad between the dig and the small on abor is a vor, big valve with two openings of about 15 centilutura dignoter each. In order to carry out such an explicit does grassion test the dig charber is purpod out to a cort in Atitude, after this him been done thosebjust enters the small chincor. Benending on the emperiment no is either with or without oxygen equipment. there is a solonhome, and the subject goes into the chapter, and not is Dochen the americants were gover My performed in this way. Shore is a slow agreet to 8 or 10,000 meters, generally with exygen. this altitude for beginning the experiment as chosen because in the Milistry planes the grows was sormally fly in pressure casins under prossure corresponding to 3,000 notors, now instructions as goon at there is not danger to reduce the pressure in their cabine as tant it will correspond to 8,000 meters. The ouropse of this was that the error should be forced, even before the cute danger existed, to have their oxygen equipment becames they could not fly at 8,000 loters without oxygen, at that if something actually does harren they have already their exigen equipment on. Nov, I can back to our morthout. The subjects so up slowly to eight to ten thousand netors,

29 april-a-JP-15-2-Muloy (Int. Von Schon)

they wore generally left there for a few minutes, so that they would be given an opportunity to get rid of the gas from their bodies. In the stough there is always a little air or as that expende when the altitude increases, and they were to get rid of this gos, so that it would not expend further at greater altitude, and interfore with breathing. The same conditions exist in practice. Then ends the experiment proper, this experiment consisted of two parts, the explosive decompression and the follwoing parachute jump. That follows the amplesive decompression to the altitude for a parachute jump. A slow ascent to this altitude is not possible. I have already said that above 13 or 14 thousand notors altitude sickness occurs. If one and gone up to these altitude alevely one would arrive at the desires altitude with an air sick subject that would not have corresponded to the conditions of practice. Now, to get bunk to our experiment, the explosive decempossion follows; this is brought bout to the following way between the big charbor and the small chamber, the big velve is opened, the air is suddenly drawn from the small Chamber into the big chamber. The pressure between these two chanders was coordin tod. Such sudden rises are not possible with purpos of nertal dimensions. Fow, the emperiorial subjects were at the plainede for the beginning of the actual appriment, and at rethe most of the experisons in which we are interested.

Q. Now long did it take to accompress the small chamber so that the compression in the small chamber would be the same as it was in the large chamber?

as about one second. The actual speed of this adjustment Remember on our the valve is namipulated, but it would be about one seconds.

Inch when that occurred and the pressures were equalized any did this complete the experiment to simulate a parameter jump down to a coiling where a person could exist without the aid of the on, and could simply get their breathing substance from the air itself, wasn't that the second phase of this experiment?

29 april-a-JP-15-3-Maloy (Int. Von Schon)

a. That was the experiment proper. The part of the experiment which we were primarily interested in there, after the altitude for the experiment had been reached the subject remained for a few soconds at this altitude. The purpose of this was to simulate as elosoly as possible the conditions of practice, because if there is such explicit decompression within a plane it takes a certain length of time before the previousers cin jump. After a few seconds of whiting at this cititude the pressure in this shall chamber was increased. That is the descent was broken, and the speed of this increase of pressure was so regulated that it corresponded to the speed of falling without . parachute, and in other emeriments it corresponded to the speed of falling with un open parachate, with this fulling speed so regulated the chamber descended to zero noters, and that was the end of the emeriment. What we were interested in, in these emeriments, was to determine whether and when the emericant a subjects were note to carry out an order or instructions which had been given before the constitute fter overewing Attitude sickness. But is a person in in irolane is told after such a taing hopens to you then to jump first. You don't do mything and when you come to without them you pull the rip cord of your parachute. The was thing was said to the emerimental subjects, and after they can to after suffering Attitude siemess they manipulated a lever waten in the experiment represented the rip cord of the parachute. In menipulating this lever no emeriment was actually ended, and then the charter was brought d im to zero stere. That setully had nothing to do with the obourd neat.

Court 1 april 29-4-16-1-40-meessn-Brown.

- s. From the time that on would amberk on one of these experiments until you considered the experiment of the would be required?
- A. That differed to the it becomes on whather these were slow sinking experiments, that is experiments to see hable to felling with a personate or free felling experiments, which corresponded to felling without a rest ato. Hereally, one can see the following without to in too great a mistake; one can conclude for the felling experiments: to seconds per 1,000 meters; for the staking experiments: to seconds per 1,000 meters; for the staking experiments for these consists of the felling experiments of 1,000 meters one sinute. I bollows that the is no rate enough for these consists on, it in the original of our release them.
- time for the boards ion of an explosive decompression tost from the time that the experimental subject sets willy took is seet in the small on above intil on carried annuals the westellation of degrees writing small of the descent down to sero; a did the event in the descent down to sero; a did the event.
- A. For one experiment, I would like to small the figure for the intenset litting 21,000 deters, rising to 3,000 deters, is taken for or tires singles, weight spire 5 singles, that makes a minutes. The other fire to 21,000 elters, one can ignore as far as time is 0 decreed, that is could one-outh or second. Insufficient count from 21, 10 let is say to 10. O saters is "not the octual art right is really finished normally that is no difficulty with the cer, it would take

april 23-4-10-2-ad-weehen-Brown. two or three minutes; that would make a total of twolve or tinteen sinutes for the experiment. . Then, you would say that from the time the experimental subject sctually seated .imself in the onember antil the experiment was condisied it consu med somewhere between twelve and fliteen minutes? A. That is about right, yes. . Now, during all that period of time I understand thou cort in observations were being made by physicians, teconicione, researchers or attacaus to repard Behring results, I suppose the pulse counts, cardio_ras and thee sort of thing: is that correct? a. That is right. . To conduct such an experiment, now I mean the explosive decompression test; now many physicians, techniciene and attaches were normally necessary to a niculate the cheat r and the sir pressure and to check and to tabulate the resulte? A. For swen an experiment, if I should give the minimum personnel, we as sed a dector to sheerve the subject and one teconician to taniculate the character to take care of the bimbs, to be in the meenthe room, suc. The observing quotur, if it was an exteriment on the emull chamber, could look from the window into the chraser and with his lore h the r pulste the decent. a. Then, you say that for a is explosive decompression test, the metter could satisfectorily be concluded with one doctor ad one tecanician; is that correct? s. Yes, that is the minimum. . In the tests you conducted how many dectors, canici-ne and attoches did you have? 5546

Court 1 April 89-a-16-5-hD-machan-Brown.

- A. There were two doctors, Dr. Romberg and Dr. Rascher and also in general another person who took care of the technical things.
- the coiling water a flier could attain without the use of organ?
- A. No, that was not done, but berhaps I may point out one ting; there is a great difference whether one leaves a person for a short time at a certain altitude and determines whether he could stand this altitude with an without oxygen, or whether one leaves him for a long time at this situate. The effect of the lack of oxygen lack and also on the langth of the oxygen lack and also on the langth of the lack.
- what sailing a flior on be expected to go without exygen
- cortain symptoms without experience from 4 CCO sherecare cortain symptoms without experience from 5,000 moters at in not execute to along this altitude for a very long time. There is also be along the control has plane as that interest without experience for none other hand, we know that once other conditions in its very cossible at 6,000 meters to perform serious, severe work without experience. We find those conditions in the case of mountain climbers. It is known that the algority with in the world in the simpleyer in we been climed without expen, that would be 8,000 meters. That is because the body in such a slow escent as in the mountains can chapt itself to the clittude. For example, the number of red blood our isoles, which are very nucleas by for we sumply, is increased to more than double in general

C.urt 1 April 29-a-18-4-HD-Meshan-Brown.

same form or in a sense such as occurs in aviation where it is generally considered that at about 5,000 or 3,000 actors it is not possible to stay for hours. That one can live in t is oltitude for a short period, this of course verice depending on the individual.

the explosive decompression tests or the slow escents, what operating was there in either of these enumbers for self rescue by that I mean to say if the experimental subject felt that he was losing control of his monthl or mysicial from ties what opportunity did he have, other than to signal to the man ambulating the chamber to be brought down to normal altitude?

- A. In each of these chambers, in the small one as well as in the 1 rgs one, there was within reach a valve which one could open to descend.
- Just exectly where was this low pressure chamber too ted and installed in the Jachau concentration camp?
- A. This mobile low pressure chamber was standing on a road between two barracks. In one of these barracks was the shelter for the experimental subjects and the workroom for the person in charge of the experiment and and the rost of the personnel.
- Q. When you were ready to begin an experiment, who produced the experimental subjects from dry to day? In ther words, how did those subjects who were housed in the berneks know that at such and such a time there was going to be an experiment and that they in particular had been selected for that experiment?
- A. The subjects for our expariments for rescue from sigh altitude were during the whole course of experiments in this room in the barracks. They were not breaks from semawhere when the experiment was to be conducted, they were all there. The person who was in the go of them was the within a self who has testified here.
- I To how a collect the experimental subject as or 3, or 6 at the chamber itself for the conduct of an experiment? They simply couldn't suess it. So a to go through the routine actually, I suspose, of making the of the experimental subjects know that today, 29 April, at 1-30 hours he would be expected to report somewise to somewhat we so somewhat he routine for making that men available?

Sourt 1 april 29-a-17-2-30-Blakley-von Schon. A. On the day when I myself participated in these x upiments I sow how tale homoened. There the record of the experiment was kept, it was sat down who was available Jor emperiments, that is, what experimental subject had ict boun in an experiment in the mest law days. After . V r amoriment the subject had several days of com lete rost. That was so that the results would not be influenced by the nerson's being subjected to too many of them. It was from the remaining subjects that the experimental saujuous opili bu usud. as far as I recall, the withers Notif eaw bigh popole as could be used and esked them which one of them we nited to be in a experiment that day. Then from the limited group heff bree he the one who wanted to we in the experiment on that p rticular day. The group was often Maited more and dore every day; but t the and nobody but one person was left who and not participated; and that was is torn that day. . Ordinarily now many apportments were work of these - The remarkal subjects remuired to ander o? A. The subject perticipated in bout ten a venty - re my wo thor. - Grant boriod of time? we "the your the. ... or a lorge pressure canader and c andl a law. Now, walth one of the was put in the mad .. or on the two borracks? a. They was could together in up. truca. w. Hit would ou say were the pooreximate instact - ununsions of the large low pressure commour? a. The old low pressure our abor was about alast-- tos, there were two circles. Two o lindrical on-moora - ount of eight uthor. Each of these circles and a 6630

Court 1 april 29-a-17-3-HD-Blakley-von Schon. dinmeter of about two meters thirty, two meters twenty or two motors thirty. 4. How many people could be accommodated in the large Chamber if you wanted to conduct a mass experiment? Let us assume for instance, I think you said that a person a ruld go up to four thousand meters without the use of oxygen; ien't that correct? A. Yes. Let us assume that you wanted to determine that fact. How many experimental subjects could you have placed in the large pressure chember at one time? A. The Luftwoffs had, as for as I know, a maximum of tun persons in the big chamber. In open of these two circles which I mentioned, of which the chamber was composed, there would be more than 4 experimental subjects and one doctor, let us say, a total of ten persons. But if one assumes that these people were to crowd together closely, one would surely h we been able to sent twelve people in thu chember. 4. To got into that chamber were there two entrance. ' we or just one? A. Only one door. a. And that pressure, if you start at zero, I suppose ald ordinarily start at what we call approximately diffeen rounds to the square inch? Tould that be correct? A. Yos, that is right, 750 millmators of mercury by the other measurament. That is one atmosphere. d. If you were then to attempt to take that prossure down, for instance, let us assume you wanted to take it down to 6000 meters, how long would it take to do it? A. It is possible to v ry this ascent to a certain whent by the valves. The normal speed of an ascent with 6651

Court 1 april 29-a-17-4-ED-Slaklay-von ochon.

which we carried out such experiments was a thousand motore pur minute. This was, so to speak, the standard speed because the altitude which can be reaching without oxygen depends to a certain extent upon the ascent. In order to be able to compare experiments at various institutes, the Modical Inspectorate suggested that unless there were any special circumstance one should use this speed, a thousand maters per minute.

- i. Suppose you wented to test the chamber itself to see if it was operating properly. What would be the minimum time that you could take that chamber from zero to 6000 neters?
- A. That depends on the construction of the engaber. But to stick to take porticular mobile on mber that we used in whenau, for 6000 meters we would need about three minutes, three or four minutes. May I add something about these questions? This red book which or, Sauter anded to the Tribunal has in it sketch of this mobile chamber.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel for the Prosecution may stress xamina.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARDY:

- Dr. Ruff, When were you first approached by Dr. Weltz?
 - A. Documber 1941.
 - 4. Did Dr. Jeltz come to visit you in Berlin?
 - A. He came to serlin and visited me in my institute.
 - a. What aid he say?
- A. During this visit we discussed aero medical questions among other things. We had received our first report on personate descents from great heights and we discussed this. I told him how we wanted to continue with these experiments, in other words, what whould be the second art in this experimental series. And, that is in essence the subject of our conversation. Prof. Weltz then suggested to me that the second part of this experimental series around a prisoners.
- carry out this research on prisoners in eachau concentra-
- A. Yes, that was the first time I hand of that project and Professor feltz made this suggestion that there should be collaboration between homberg and Rasoner, between his institute and mine, and that we should carry but the experiments.
- . That do you suppose prompted Dr. Weltz visit to
- a. There were many such visits. In the first place I said in my direct exemin tion that Weltz throughout the datire War came to my institute once or twice a year when to was in Serlin and had time to come to the institute.

Court 1 April 29-A-16-2-HD-Gross-Brown. Q. Prior to the time that you and Homberg accepted the invitation of Weltz, to your knowledge, had Dr. Rescher any experience in the field of high sltitude rusucreh? A. You mean Reacher and myself? .. No, I will report. Prior to the time that you and Romours entered the Dachau picture did Rescher ever have any experience in high eltitude research work? A. Rascher had been assigned to Voltz's institute for several months at this time and cortainly he at least had the opportunity to without high altitude experiments and to take part in them. Moreover as I could see from donversations I and with Reacher from the time I met him Rescher was perfectly acquainted with fore medical problems. . But, ctu lly Rascher was more or less a buginner in this type of research work, when't he? A. How much he had worked in this field I cannot sny. I don't even know it today but with us in the institute the situation was that if a new worker came within three months he had particip ted in low pressure work for at least one hundred times and had a very good iden of what altitude problems were from his own personal experience. a. all, now didn't ar. ilts consider it desirable to may, experts like yourself and domberg assist Anscher in these experiments? A. It is true that in this coll borntion that Welte proposed he said, emony other things: "It is, of course, just if you or Romburg take part in these experiments because Rombing had already corried out such parachute locant experiments and is, therefore, the men who has 6654

Court 1 April 29-A-16-3-HD-Gross-Brown.

problem of rescue from high mititudes." Yes, that is correct.

- Borlin, the first visit, did you discuss any of the errangments to be made at Dechau in the carrying out of these experiments?
- A. So far as I can recollect today we probably discussed the whole metter of carrying out these experiments because we spoke about the first part of the series and the second part was identical so for as the corrying out of the experiments at high altitudes. This was to be at higher altitudes.
- . Yell, now Dr. Ruff did you, whon you first heard of the proposal of Dr. Teltz to go to Dachau to assist.

 Rascher and work upon concentration comp inm tes, aid you have any immediate misgivings about the situation?
- A. Perhaps I can make a correction in a detail here. In this discussion it was not as if we were going to Dachau to support Rescher and sacist Rescher but in this discussion we said that between Veltz's institute and ours there should be collaboration. Now to caswer your question whether I had missivings at that time. I had no logal disgivings because I knew that the state official win had accross a these experiments was Himmler. Himmler was shown in the Ministry of the Interior. He was Chief if the P lice and the highest executive officer in the State. Therefore, I and no missivings of legal nature. It is an ther question, of course, from an ethical medical point of view where the choice of carrying out experiments in prisoners was senseling brand new to us. So, I and Or. Homberg had to get used to this idea.

se I have already enta in my direct avaniantion, we made protefore carried out these experiments on ourselves and not were being esked to corry thom out in other dorsons nd priseners. That I nevertheless decided to cory it these experiments on prisoners was determined for me first of all by the armoney of this motion and see nely or my knowledge of international literature vaic: ssured me that the ottitude I and toward such compriments was repudinted new by Professional medical secoi di na in fordiga countries. Nor did the Prosection or cours or a P rlimment in any for ign countries object to such emeriments. All thet I knew. and let me say th to a kn wlodge of those international manufacts from a de the certainty that I was undertaking nothing to will any owen prohibited in mother part of the world to snother north of Bornany and would he we been correct as unctained. I explained this sevalue that this is still my noint of view to t emperiments on Pisonore, professional originals, if they are volunteers nd if the do not endanger life, the if they re offered some cort of recomponed for them, I do not regard it as lamoral to carry out those experiments.

- the diagers inv lved in experiments in this first mosting in farlin?
- a. I dord so I did but at an rate we cortainly tasks about in in humich.
- ell, now prior to your trip to manich, that is discollared when record the feet that you had been visited by Profuse and Waltz and that you had been invited to collaborate with his institute in the exteriments at Drohau by

Court 1 April 29-A-18-5-HD-Gross-Brown. any one? In other words, report that immediately to Sippka? A. On a day that followed this conference. Of course, I don't know today whother one or two days later ofter I had discussed this proposal with Romberg I went to sippke and also discussed this problem with hippke. On this occasion I became convinced that Hippke and given his oproval in principle menths ago for experiments in Dooned and on this occ sion out Hipoke's opproval for the experiments and for the use of the low pressure chamber for this. 4. As a matter of fact, sippke had tolked to Rescher and waltz prior to the time no visited you, that is waltz visited you, isn't that right? A. You, Hippke told mo that, namely that a few months ago Rescher and Koppenhoff, I do not know whether Weltz took part, and a discussion with his in Munich bout the quostion of high -ltitude experiments. 6. Hipoko at that time approved of the experiments, didn't ho, os planned? A. Then I was with Hippke he gave his opproval for these experiments. . Wall, now then you proceeded to have a meeting in Wanich at foltz's Institute. When did that meeting take place? A. I con't remember that for sure today but it was in the beginning of 1948. Q. The was present at that meeting? A. Present - Professor Woltz, Dr. Roscher, Dr. Romberg and myself. Wen't Dr. Lutz and Dr. Wondt there? 6657

Court 1 April 29-A-18-6-HD-Gross-Brown. A. Drs. Lutz and Wendt were not present at this discussion. .. Vall, now, don't I recall Dr. Lutz stating here that you and Romberg visited the Institute in Munich and upon reival he met with you at the same time with Wendt and then weltz, Rasener, Romberg and yourself got to the part of this discussion which would involve the work to be done at the Dachau Concentration Comp. Thereupon Lutz and lengt were asked to leave the room. I believe you will find that in the record of this trial on page 279 and that is the testimeny of Lutz. Well, now did you talk to Lutz about these setters to any extent at all prior to the time Lutz was asked to leave the room? A. Of course, when ' was there in Munich in the Institute I wise spoke with Luts. . Fore Lutz and lendt mon who had done considerable work on high mittade reserved work with mismis? . Of Lutz I know that he had done work in the field of high altitude experimentation. Q. fell, then why would it be necessary or why was it necessary to ask Lutz, a man who had had considerable knowledge of high eltitude research, to leave the room? A. This request that butz should leave the room was not known to me. I heard his testimony here but with the best will in the world I cannot recall that apon this occasion Lutz was sent from the room, Please keep in mind that this took place about five years ago and I am quite willing to comit the possibility that some such thing did happen. On the other hand I believe that Lutz's failure to participate in this decussion is nothing sovery unusual. as I imegine that in my institute , received Visitors, let us say Professor Wendt and Lutz, and we had 5658

Court 1 april 29-A-18-7-HD-Gross-Brown.

something to discuss then I should have thought it a metter of course to ask my collaborators who were in the same room to leave the room. I think that is so a metter of course that we wouldn't have to do much talking about it.

- The tis very true, but we first must consider that when the witness buts was here in this court room he stated that he was offered the
 position that you had relative to be school at Bachau. In other tords,
 Lults had paked buts to collaborate with bescher at Dachau and buts sold
 here on the stand that he considered himself not ruthless enough to
 conduct high eltitude experiments on human beings in concentration craps.
 The time on page 269 of the record. Do you recall that?
 - A Yes, I resember that testimony.
- A hell, taking that into consideration, it would empear that Lutz would have had so a interest in this particular conference, would be not, had it not been determined by the members of this conference that they wented it kept secret?
- A It some to me that the opposite is the case. If this really was the case, as you have described it; namely, that helts asked buts ahead of time to carry on the experiments and buts here states that he didn't feel himself ruthless enough to carry out such experiments, then there certainly wouldn't have been any reason to send him from the room.
- Lutz exhibited here before this Tribunal when he stated, on page 302 of the record, that he ha self would not have exerted out such experiments on a god, much less on a human being.
- a sir. Prosecutor, I believe I have to correct you here. In the Garman record of which the witness said it least, as I understood it he never testified that he wouldn't have a raise out such experiments, even an a dog, such a seconded out on human beings. The witness did not say that. The bitness said he did not real himself to be robust anough for such experiments.
- Q Yes, you will fine that, Doctor I won't argue with you on that point... You will find that on range 269 of the record "rebust" and you will find, on page 302, the expression I quoted to you. In addition, to that, for I ends also resused to particip to in these experiments. Now, incomment as you are an expert in this field of research, why do you

suppose these two gentlemen, who were also men of considerable remutation in the field of high altitude research, had such attenuous misgivings about conducting experiments at Dechau?

A. Let me point out first of all thet, at that time, I did not know that these two gentlemen had allegedly received on offer from Veltz to carry out such experiments and had refused. I still doubt that. And I believe that the vitness handt will, in the course of this week, testify on this matter. It is very hard for me to any why these men refused to accept Weltz's offer. Yesterday I described the experiments that we carried out on ourselves. I told you the conditions under which I gave my approval for these experiments in Dechau and I can say for swell that I had neither legal nor marel misgivings about these experiments. As you have seen from the testimony of prosecution witnesses here "first Loibbrandt "the poysician can take the point of view that he regulates all human experiments. That is one point of view which everyone must respect. On the other hand, there are obvelcious who sobrace a different coint of view.

Q. Woll now, when was the low pressure chamber ordered down to

A. Again I can't tell you the precise day. It was efter the 12th of February. I know that on the 13th of February the chember was etill in Berlin and the chamber must have gone to Dachau after that date; roughly, at the end of the first half of February or the beginning of the second helf of February.

Q. Woll now, prior to the ordering of the low pressure checker to Dechau had you yourself been to the concentration comp - that is, prior to the time the low pressure chesher errived?

A. Yes, I have already emid that after this discussion in Weltz's institute - I believe on the next day - there was a discussion in the concentration damp of Packau with the camp commander.

to be used in the experimental

- A. Buither Romberg nor myself chose these volunteers. Before Ecmberg came down to Dechau for these experiments, of those sivty persons who had suplied Easther chose those who were suitable for our purposes.
- Q. Well now, efter the low pressure chamber arrived in Decheu, did the experiments begin immediately?
- A. I can't say that for sure today. The witness Beff testified that on the 19th of Tebruary, or carriers on the 22nd of February, if I remember correctly, the experiments began. It is also possible that the first experiments took place on this day, but the documents show that there was then a pause of a considerable length of time because there were some difficulties with Essener, and then, at the beginning of March, the experiments really began. That is what I know on the basis of the documents and Neif's testimony. Of my own knowledge I don't know that.
- Q. Well now, the volunteers were selected efter the pressure chamber had arrived at Dechau, is that right? In other words, the evperiments began some time efter the pressure chamber arrived? Now, did they than select the particular subjects to be used or second the volunteers efter the arrival of the low pressure chamber?
- A. I can't answer that in detail. I was not at Dechau when the chamber arrived, nor was I there at the beginning of the experiments. Thus, I cannot enswer that question with precision.
- Q. Voll, you have stated here that your reason for accepting this invitation to participate in those experiments at Pacheu was because of the fact that the subjects to be used work voluntaers. Now, didn't you "ourself, acting as a brudent person, ascertain the existence of a group of voluntaers before you sent this classeer to Dachau? Suppose you sent the chamber all the way to Pacheu and you couldn't get a voluntaer, which obviously was the case? You sent the chamber to Dachau before you even had ascertained whether or not any one had volunteered for these experiments, didn't you?
- a. That is so, yes. But the situation was that the camp commander told us during this discussion that we should have no difficulty in find-

ing enough volunteers. He asked us, nevertheless, to keen the number of prisoners whom we should need to a minimum since he needed all the innetes of the news for work.

- Q. Woll now, Doctor, you apparently received the authorization from Higgs prior to the time that you sent the chember to Dochau. Now, this chamber was a mobile chamber, was it not?
 - A. You, that is eo.
 - Q. That is, the chember itself was mounted onto a trector?
 - A. Yos, that is so.
- Q. And it was possible for the chamber to be driven from Berlin to Dachau on the autobahn, I prosume?
 - L You.
- Q. Well now, you sent the chember first of all to Munich to Weltz's institute, is that correct?
 - A. Yas.
- Q. Why was the chember sent to Weltr's institute rather than having been sent directly to Decham?
- A. In my direct examination I explained that yesterday. The chamber, on its way to Dechau, went via munich. During the discussion with the camp commander in Dechau we talked about whom the drivers should turn to. The chamber belonged to the Inftweffe and should cortainly be turned ever to a member of the Duftweffe. Moreover, we did not know when the chamber would reach Duchau. It was winter, there was enow, so that you couldn't forcess when the chamber would arrive. Rescher was not at Dechau but was either in munich or Schongen, and while we were discussing those things the camp commander saked whether the drivers were informed what sort of experiments were to take place. We, of course, denied that because it was not our custom to talk the drivers what sort of experiments we were doing, and then the commander said, "If that is the case, then I want that chamber to stay in sumich for the time being because, otherwise, the drivers will spread some rumors to the offset that the papele to be used in it are about to be chosen." For this reason, on the wish

Court No. 1 29 Apr 47-A-19-5-MEN-Karrow (Brown)

of the camp bounarder, the chamber stayed in Bunich.

29 - 17-- GES-20-2-Malry (Brown) Genet F. 1. ... It is my opinion that a prisoner is altrgether in a position to wolunteer for an experiment. From the purely legal point of view so for as I can judge the prisoner is altogether in a position to carry out lagul business, consequently he can also decide whether he is to instictiate in an experiment or not. Q. Vell, then it is your raining that a yersen, even though incorrespond can actually in the direct sense of the word, volunteer for a modical experiment? A. I am of that wice, that both a prisoner or a mon-prisoner can volunteer for experiments. Q. If that be the case why was the criminal status of those subjerts to be used in the high altitude experiments of any rensequence? . A. of fourse, it is comiser in experiments to give approval if the subjects are habitual criminals and act some ther merale was betenue of their idealogy or politics or a me other reason are being kept glarger. I as of course of the coining that I as committed to corry out omportments on printical prisoner if these gentlemen volunteer. But reporting the presidility of carrying out of priments on political oris ners, there was ar distussion on the occasion et all. Then Weltz made this progress to me and told so that his he has given its tormissing. I asked who those prisoners were and he told me that they were additual criminals who had welentoored. Thus the questi a whotever in and two might experiment on political prisoners never teme up in this "chiectich. Q. and it is your rententies that the somerns used at Dechem ware t -nly subjects erndemned to death, but else included habitual rrisin la, that is perple with 10, 20 or 30 years sentence, is that right? ... The experimental subjects which were used in our experimenta, numely the 10 or 15 mir were clarge at the experimental statica, were art porarus eradomned to donth or far as I know, rather this was a T' a reportmental misjects the were under pretective custrdy. Q. Nev, what did you or your group offer these hebitual triminola? ... I'm mean the restrapense that they should received

- 2. Yez.
- "I will profess the formula of these people, nearly Himmler, unly he fair remise recompense and see to it that it was given, and as the vitness helf here has testified, he promised those people that agree people that the refer the experiments they would be parelyed.
- Q. Now, income as you and Reaberg were involved in these asseriments, did you yourself make any attempt to investigate the status of a prisoner after he had been subjected to the experiments in the high altitude research work?
- us to turn in in "dning, we maked how this business of pardoning orise nors was coming along. Enseher and that he had seen to that, and thereofter we did not coppern curselves with this matter, because that was not our job and was not within our power. That was the job of the Medical action of the property of the property of the Medical action of the property of the property

29 April-4-GJ-21+1-Mechan-(int. Brown)Court No. 1

Q Of course Rescher never exhibited

Of course Reacher never exhibited to you the lotter that he received from beinging himmler stating that Reacher had the power to pard a some of these immates after they had some one through the extra riments to the extent that they had died and if they could have been recalled to life that their sentences could have been constitted to life imprisonment in the concentration comp; "if he call that to your attention which was the after that these immates had?

A No. I now that letter here for the first time among the D ou-

naintain that there are notunally to sets if experiments at because in the field of high mitting research, namely, these experiments for the benefit if the laftwarfe and these experiments for the benefit if the laftwarfe and the experiments for the benefit of the Sa, on unted solely by Resenter as ordered by Higgser and the experiments that some community by suff on Resource; is that o process.

were experiments in descent from him altitude and on the other hand, as can be seen from the "cusents; there was a time experimental series but several shirt ones which Pascher carried out in Himsler's rivers.

Q and those are the experiments offer with remarkly is that right, the Engelor experiments, the SS experiments, or to speak?

experiments concerning his heltitude there were none.

How, when these gentleen from the concentration compositioned for the experiments, was is not clear to these subjects that the y were welenteering for the experiments to be a monoton under the uniques of Ruff in Resbor, rather than the fittal experiments to be a newted under the fittal experiments.

the experiments that, or entree, I cannot report on to you, because I was not present; but what they were told was that these were high alti-

29 April - G.S-21-2-Looben-(int. Sr.wn)-C mrt 1

this experiment and that so far as could be seen, those experiments mere at democracy to life. Then heading ment of Dechau, he told the experimental subjects precisely just shat the nature of the experiments was to be. This was necessary so that the subjects would be in position to participate in a sensible manner in these experiments, namely should be able to do what was expected of them in the experiments. The experimental subjects that we had for your experiments, more certainly told at considerable ion to what these experiments had as that all and they were also certainly told that there would not be any serious dancer as fatalities or leath.

Q One last question along these lines; Destrop, in the source of these experiments was determined whether or not the volunteer was a volunteer for Resence or for Ruff and Robber. In other words, when an experimental subject entered the prison chamber, was he given a tap on the shoulder, was not the years Resence subject, you are Ruff's experimental subject, or it they were terseys, no having SS on it and the ther luftwaffer

a I have already and that the experimental subjects, who participated in our experiments, were kept personably at this experimental block, that they were there there in a hat the participated in all the experiments in his haltitude and that nothing happened to may of them. I remember the witnessess Neff and Viewe testified and both of these collaborated with this.

Q Dily u check in the status of each in everyone of the experimentated outposts that were set aside, Ruff, Repbert, and Rescher experiments, nearly 60 subjects.

- " I il not quite un lerstan' the questi n.
- of You have stated here that a minimum of mental subjects were set uside in the proof to be used in the Ruff, Bosher and mascher experiments, after the conclusion of the experiments, if you chock and can you tell this Tribunal under the that each and every no of these sixty experimental subjects are no livin ?

29 april-a-GJ-21-3-Mcchan-(int. Brown)-Court No. 1

A I believe there must have been an error in translation, I in not say that eight persons were reserved for the Ruff, Rombers and Rascher experiments, I said that from a number of about sixty of these prisoners about them or fitteen were chosen, who were a naturally present at the experimental station, as experimental subjects.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will now be in recess until 9:30 of eleck to prove a raing.

(The Tribunal recessed until 09,30 Hours, 30 april, 1947).

29 april-a-GJ-21-3-Eschan-(int. Brown)-Court No. 1

A I believe there must have been an error in translate n. I in not say that sixty persons were reserved for the Ruff, Runberg and Rascher experiments, I said that from a number of about sixty of these prisoners about them or fitteen were chosen, who were constantly present at the experimental station, as experimental subjects.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will now be in recess until 9:30 ... elek to rrow a rmin...

(The Tribunal recessed until 09,30 Hours, 30 april, 1947).

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribural in the matter of the United States of America against Earl Brendt, et al, defendants, sitting at Nurnburg, Germany, on 30 April 1947, 0930, Justice Beels presiding.

THE HARMHALL Pursons in the court room will block find thier seats.

The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal I.

Military Tribunil I is now in sussion. God save the United States of Americ and this imporable Tribunal:

There will be order in the countroom.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Marshal, you ascertain if the defendants are all present in court.

THE LARSHAL: May it places your Monor, all defendants are present in the court.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary-General will note for the record the presence of all defendants in court.

Counsel may proceed.

CROSS LAMINATION (Continued)

BY LEE. DARDY:

्या को स

Q. Dr. Ruff, continuing our discussion regarding the subjects used in the experiments at Darhau, you maintain that all subjects used in the Rascher, Ramberg Ruff high-altitude experiments were voluntaers. No told you these subjects were voluntaers?

I was first told about them and tour Hipphe corroborated this attituded, furthermore, this was also corroborated by Ruscher in the discussion in Telta's Institute, and fourthly, it was corroborated by the cap compander, and after the experiments began, when Rembers was in Berlin for the first time to report to me, he again corroborated by the first time to report to me, he again corroborated

Jeruleip to in the soluction of the inertes to be used?

Q. Then it is possible that those three gentlemen didn't know

A. These three mintlemen had been told that these experimental subjects were volunteers, moreover --- when Romberg came to Serlin ---

Q. Who told tham?

A. Probably Rasoher. I don't know the details.

Q. Then you extually con't know who cold them that those subjects were to be voluntours?

A. That is so, except for Remberg, because when Romberg told me that theme people were volunteers he had already conducted experiments in Dachau, and what he told me was based on his own information.

4. Now you have also told us that the subjects used were either men dendemned to death or nabitual criminals in every instance. what do you consider to be an cabitual criminal?

A. I consider an ancitual priminal to be a person who by conmitting several crimes cas demonstrated that no is a recidivist, in other words, a criminal who is condecand by a regular court to be kept in jail for the general good of society.

C. Now I notice on page 89 of document book number 2 which is the toport signed by Ruff, Romberg, and Rescher signed the draft thereof, which is document 10-402, and on page 14 of the original dony of that particular report, which is your report, there is

described there the conditions of an experiment, and just what happened to one of the subjects experimented upon, how me answered each question, and so forth. In earenthesis you will note in that report that this inmate is described him civilian life a delicatessen dealer. The German, I believe, should be translated to mean "delicatessen owner", rather than dealer. Now, it is important, isn't it, to note, br. Raff, that the gentleman used in this experiment was a delicatessen son dealer? Does that fit into the framework of being a person who is considered to be an habitual criminal?

A. I see no contradiction between the fact that a man is on the one hand an enter of a delicatessen and on the other hand an habitual original. There is no contradiction there. It seems to me that persons from every profession or class of society can consist crimes and thus can become habitual originals. I recall to your attention the prosecution witness Viewes who was of profession a worthy book binder, and yet he had consisted a long series of crimes and is at the moment under indictment in Basberg for, I believe, 7 or 8 crimes for abortion, for arrogating to himself the title of physician, —major theft, fraud —

now why the words in parenthesis were found as they are in the report, and shy they weren't set down in the following canner: In civilian life "a professional criminal", that would have been more appropriate, would it not?

A. No, I don't believe so. Could you blease give me the procise page where this is to be found?

V. On bage It of the original copy. Page 39 of the muslim.

- a. Now, I can tell you about this. This is the description of an experiment in great detail. For every minute of the experiment there is an indication of what the experimental subject is ideing, and it is here stated that the experimental subject, in the twelfth minute after he reaches sea level, himself asks: "May I slice something?". And, then, as an explanation of why a man should ask something as strange as that, there is, in parenthesis, the explanation of what he was in civilian life; namely, a delicatessen dealer. The indication that he was of that profession explains why he asked if he could elice something such as wurst or....
- Q. (Interrupting) How will did you know this particular man, Doctor?
 - A. I personally didn't know him at all.
- Q. You didn't know whether or not he was just a delicatessen dealer who was perhaps put into the Dachau concentration camp because he was a Jew?
 - A. That I cannot tell you.
- Q. Yet you were willing to sign your name to a report which, very elaborately, describes what happens to a human being and did not know who that particular human being was, or what his status was in the Dachau concentration camp?
- a. In my direct examination, I said already that all the experimental subjects whom I saw when I was in Dachau wors the green sign that meant they were habit—ual criminals, and that the persons used in our experiments were housed all together in one room. That, in addition to this, I inquired when I was in Dachau just what crimes these individuals had committed and that I found out that one of them was a counterfeiter, and

30 April 47-M-AK-2-2-Karrow(Brown) Court 1 another was a habitual cheater. Several such crimes were named to me. That had to suffice for me. Moreover, we had to depend on the use of whatever the executive organ of the state made available to us in the way of habitual criminals for these experiments. Q. Nov, what was the manner in which these criminals volunteered for the experiments? a. When the subjects turned up for the experiments you did not have the impression at all that they were, in any way, doorded to take part in these experiments. They participated in the experiments with interest; were, for instance, interested in sweing what altitude a person, who just concluded the experiment before the one they word to take part in, had recovered consciousness, at what height they had handled the parachute. They discussed the experiments among themselves; they would talk shop: One would say: "I woke up at 7,000. " The other would say: "I woke up at 5,500." The entire attitude in those experiments showed clearly that those experimental subjects were not only volunteers but were participating in the experiments with personal interest. Q. I can quite agree with you that they were tramendously interested in what was happening in that chambur. I imagine I would be too if I were one of these so-called "voluntuers". But, now I ask you again, how did they volunteeor? a. By applying for the experiments. Q. Well, did they call these sen in and ask them if they wanted to voluntour, or did the men just walk up and say: "I want to go into that chamber and volunteur for these experiments. " How did it happen that they voluntsored? What was the particular surroundings of 6675

30 April 47-M-AK-2-3-Karrow(Brown) Court 1 this volunteer business? A. In my direct examination I have already explained that a number of persons volunteered for these experiments and one of the prisoners told me that it was approximately sixty. Of these sixty, the experimental subjects were selected whose age and physical condition made them good subjects for the experiments. These experimental subjects were collected in a room at the experimental station and, throughout the entire three L Kith months which the experiments lasted, they stayed in this room at the experimental station. Q. I have heard all that, Doctor. I'm asking you again, and for the third and last time, how did they volunteer? A Very simple question. Do you know or don't you? a. I'm sorry to say I don't understand the question. Q. Well, then, in other words, you don't know how these men volunteered for this experiment. You don't know who ther they want up and said to the concentration camp commandant splease, I want to take part in this experiment", or whether the concentration camp commandant called them into his office and said: "Gentleman, do you wish to volunteer for this experiment? " Hod did they volunteer? A. So far as I know, during formations in the camp, and there were two or three every day, theywere asked to volunteer for the experiment. Q. They were asked? d. Yes. Q. Well, that's all you know about it. a. That's all I know about it. 6676

50 april 47-M-AL-8-4-Karrow(Brown) Court 1 Q. You don't know whether the concentration camp commandant, or Rascher, or whoever it may have been, published a bulletin, and placed it on the bulletin board which said "Volunteers wanted"? Such a thing as that you' ve never heard? A. No, I never heard about it. Q. You do not know mother these men volunteered at the point of a gun, do you? A. I know for certain that they were not forced to volunteer at the point of a gun. In my direct examination I have already explained Q. (Interrupting) Just a mement, Dector. How could you snow for certain if you weren't there when these inmates were selected? You're protty positive now. You state for certain you don't know whether these men word courced or seduced or whatefer it may be, or forced into those experiments. You weren't there. You really don't know, do you? A. I have already explained in my direct examination that, for the experiments we carried out for resour for high altitudes, it was now possible to work with persons who were not volunteers since it was nessessary that the experimental subjects show original initiative, that they should be interested in the experiments. Otherwise, we should not have been able to obtain the results that we did. Q. Of course, that is argumentative and we will leave that for argument in briefs, Doctor. Let's go on. Now, after you had had this meeting in Dachau and it had been established that the experiments were to take place and the concentration camp commandant had offored to supply the electricity, etc., you then returned to 3-rlin, is that correct? 6677

36 April 47-M-AK-2-5-Karrow(Brown) Court 1 A. After the discussion in Dachau, we went back to Borlin, yas. Q. When did you raturn to Dachau again? a. Ma? Q. Yas. A. During the first weeks of the experiments. Q. Now, experiments had been conducted between the time you left Dachau in the first instance and returned? There had been some experiments in that interval had there not? A. Yos, experiments had been carried on in that interval. Q. Did you receive reports daily or weakly, or in what manner, from Romberg during the course of these experiments? A. At irregular intervals I received reports from Romberg. Q. Woll now, what was Romberg's status here actually? I haven't got it clear yet. Romberg was your immediate subordinate in your institute in Borlin, wasn't hay A. He was one of my comworkers and was subordinate to me. Q. And he was still subordinate to you while he was working with Rascher, was he noty A. That is so, yes. Q. are you willing to assume full responsibility for any work that Romberg was engaged in? a. I am ready to take the responsibility for the experiments that were approved by me; namely, the experimonts in rescue from great heights. Q. Of course, as I understand it, anything that Romberg did was approved by you, ween't it? A. Romberg went to Dachau with a very specifically 6678

30April 47-MAK-2-5-Karrow(Brown) Court 1

sot down assignment; namely, to carry out experiments in rescue from great heights and, of course, I must take the responsibility for that assignment I gave to Romberg.

Q. Well now , actually, what was the assignment that you had given Romberg when he left Berlin? Now, bear in mind that you had experimented at great length with this thigh altitude business in your own institute in Berlin, and I imagine that Dr. Benzinger had done the same in Rechlin, and there had been extensive experimentation along those lines. Now, what was the purpose in setting up Dachau? Was there something new to be found or what was it? What was the instruction you gave Romberg?

A. The experiments we carried out in our own institute were, as I have already described, the first
part of several experimental series to clarify the question of rescue from great heights. This first part, which
was carried out by ourselves through experiments on ourselves, concerned itself with rescue up to the heights
of 12 kilometers; namely, in altitudes in which already
many of our war planes flew. Now, the second part of
these experimental series concerned heights from 12 to
20 kilometers and, so far as this particular series was
carried out in Dachau, it included the experimental
testing of the question up to what height a parachute
descent with or without exygen, with parachute unfolded
or not can be carried out.

Q. Wall, then, in brief, Dr. Ruff, the series of experiments which had been conducted in your institute in Berlin went to a limit of 12,000 meters.

4. That is right.

Q. and then it was your express purpose to continue on your research work at Dachau and to investigate the

30april 47-M-.K-2-7-Karrow(Brown)
Court 1

situation in an altitude from 12,000, to 20,000 moters, is that correct?

A. That's right.

- 1. Well, why couldn't you have investigated the situation between 12,000 and 20,000 meters in Berlin without going to Dachany
- A. We could have done that in Berlin also, I have already described, already told you what the necessary were for my proposing that the second part should be carried out at Dachau.
- C. It wasn't been use of the fact your co-workers or yourself perhaps were abrievely reluctions to try to go up to such heights as you had planned to put those prisoners at, was it?
- A. No, that was not our reason, because as I have already told you in my cross-examination, I had already conditioned a part of the second half of the experiments in our own Institute namely that part which involved explosive decompression. In my cross-examination I described a few of the experimental series which we carried out in the course of last 10 years at my Institute. If I had taken more time on that I relieve this idea that we did not want to subject ourselves to such experiments ourselves would not have been brought up at all.
- The well, Dector, there is evidence here in this same report, and I will hesitate a moment for the same of clerity, when I refer to your report that means the report or Hemberg and Rescher with reference to the two reports of Rescher; in your report, Decement No 403, there is evidence your co-withers haselor and Remberg and a last because it the propert to go above 12,000 meters, that they ent to 12,500 or 13,500 meters. As said in this report they stopped the experiments because of the intense pain that experienced, isn't that seg

- A. We, it is not quite so.
- 1. Didn't you say that in the report, Dectar?
- A. I invo the report here.
- Page 91 of the English the case of Engeler and Remberg and there experiment there, and two-thirds of the way down the page it states: "At the same time there were most severe headsches as though the skull were being burst spart.

 The pains became continually more severe, so that at 1 st the disc utinuance of the experiment became necessary."

 Well, now, didn't Rescher and Remberg have to quit after they hid reached at 13,500 or 13,500 maters, respectively?
- A. That is so, and amain it isn't so, Now, I didn't rules sysolf quite class about this yesters y, and consequently I skould like to pope to it. There is an essential difference between weather the experiental subject stays above 12,600 ... ters for 100 seconds orf r 40 minutes like in this of so. Hose expers onts in the solves by Renhorn and Armeter i we p thing to to with ble lescent experiments, such a they were exprise out on the experimental subjects. I emplained worter by why they carried out there erronaution experiments on blomselves et all, What is to say then tion for with the experimental subjects sever I thees a by in the compaction after the second or third ascent they folt pain but not in the first again. In other words, the pain ineressed with the number of ascents per dry. and now in relar to ascordant mot or to is pain are so boardied that I do no several ascente, in other work's, because of the murber of ascents or theser the min was Cased by a probe eted stry at great heights, therefore Than experiments were proposition and they then knew that

the pain ill rise or each prize for if it reason. These experiments for months and in this report in order to obtain symptoms on the part of the experimental subjects. If it is the reason why they are mentioned here, and that is why they were each course, but by Rasch r and Harbors. It would have the no sense to carry out those experiments on the netually experimental subjects themselves, because first of all the subjects the selves felt no pain, because they remained in the objects the selves for the pain, because they remained in the objects the selves for the pain.

I. Just a mont. I hope you appropriate I am a very simple sore n, and it is I rd for so to understand a one of those thin s. If you make them briefer them I can understand them better. I on the purpose of this experiment of Massler and Recharg was to see how land they enable stay there; is that what you are trying to tell me, just to see low land they share the tell me, just to see low land they are trying to tell me, just to see low land that the try was it the time limit that they were trying to evereene or what was it?

- ... He, they lithet want to find out how long they could stay there, they supply wented to ascertain whether if you stayed to that altitude for along time one felt a similar sort of pain, such as the pain they felt when they had entered per the several times in other to observe the experimental subjects.
 - Q. For it was a time factor that was involved?
- perple enalucting the experiments felt epenied on the har her of ascents per day or whother he length of time to it the spent at the altitude was count tive in its offect.

- ". Then it did become a problem to you particular resourchers just how long a men could remain at that altitude?
- a. No, the time limit and experiments in rescue from great heights was parametrized definitely determined. Then a man jumps from an director and does not open his perachute he falls about a tiquend notors in 10 seconds. On the other hand, the man who opens his parachute is modifically lescends in the open parachute at the rate of perhaps one thousand meters in one minute. These time limits have been accordance in eventual practice, and there was no reason to do any changing of the tile proportions.
- And then when these deaths occurred in the Rescher experiments what enused these to the free your knowledge here? Probably you don't know because you weren't there when that occurred at Dachau, but from your knewledge of the documents what enused these deather
- is probably why I or no one also could the cause of do the for sure. Now from what I know today, namely what I have known since 1946, it is my point of view that this fatality occurred been use the subject stayed at a great height, for the long time, namely between 12,000 and 14,000 motors, because one of several small me bubbles formed in the blood stream and one of the cas bubbles ledged in the little space between the spinal column and the brain. It there stored up an every and lead to leath. I have come to this canclusion by analogy with the accidents I have of that occurred in the approach air Force, which again could be braced back to the reason.
- C. This air bubble you speak rhout, what would that be more processly called; would that have my connection with

this embolism, would that be one and the same thing you are referring to now?

- A. That is the auto thing, yes. That is also the same as pressure drop stelmess.
- O. At is pressure drop sickness, would that be caused from an air embolism?
- A. Pressure drep sickness does not occur as a result of an air embelism. The converse is she case. Then you are suffering from pressure trop sickness an air embelism can be crised. I as not quite sure whether I make myself clour on this.
- If it is quite close, New, this perticular phononome of a gas bubble in the blood streen, you say portups is the cause of death of that first person in the Rescher experiment; chyone would not be able to determine that when it impone, that you only learned ever a period of a number of jours after you had soon a number of other experiments, and the things that happened in the other experiments, is that right?
- and the bests of one such individual fatality it was containly not possible to determine the cause. Only the repotition of similar such to the would load one to the conclusion that that was the cause of the death. I should like to emphasise that this is only my porsinal opinion that this was the cause of doubt, and I readily a list that they might have died for other causes. However, that is the only linguous I could find.
- I. Woll, was this condition you have emploised fore of that the o, we are going back to the Massler employees, -- at that the was it something now, the condition you have just determined now to purhass have been the cause of the death in 1942, was that condition as compared in

30 April 47-M-S W-3-6-M:loy-(Int. Brown) Court Wo, 1

high altitude research senothing new, in other words did Rascher accidentally discover senething new, and not know about it?

.. Rector didn't discover anything.

Court 1 April 30-M-4-1-HD-Asenan-Brown. A. Rescher did not discover anything. . Well, how about eir embolism; did you always consider the fact of mir embolism in connection with all y ar experiments? A. With what experiments? 2. In the high eltitude experiments. A. You man rescue from great height? w. Yos? A. In these experiments about high eltitude rescueing we did not take air imbolism into account. .. I sec. Well, now Doctor, could you tell us just what ir ambolism is, very briefly so that myself and the Tribunch will understand it and try to put it in layman's language for us, will you please? A. Under the expression air embolism one understands the acclusion of a larger or a smaller blood vessel through an air bubble or a gas bubble. 2. Voll, now, how can you as a physician ascertain such a state, such a condition; how is it possible to "scertain whether or not a person is suffering from air embolism? A. You ascortain this one the basis of the symptoms tant such air embolism causes. It depends entirely on what organ, what tissue or what part of the body has the air bubble, in it, and on that depends the symptoms boccuse this air bubble prevents the blood from circulating in the blood vessel in which it is located. Q. What I am getting at is, onn you diagnose it; for instance is pain a proof of air embulism? A. A general "ir embolism does not cause pain. a. I see, well suppose you re looming at one of the subjects; how one you determine whether or not thay 6687

are suffering from oir embolism; what would be your way to diagnose this condition?

- a. First, I should have to suspect that some form of air embolism was present. I could only have this suspicision if there are some symtoms of deficiency, and on the basis of these symptoms of deficiency, I can under certain conditions form an impression of where the air embolism is blocking off.
- Then it is most difficult, is it not, to di gnoso ir embolism on living man?
- A. To diagnose air embolism, if one diagnoses air embolism, that of a urse is only a diagnosis of probability and conjectures in most cases.
- that air ambulism existed would be on a deed man by an autopsy or a Raschar did by keeping a died man under water ato; that is the only way you on determine if the state of air ambulism exists?
- A. It is usual in pathology that if you suspect that there is an air embolism for instance, in the neart or in the paracordis, you carry out the dessection of this organ under water.
- Q. Let us look at Rescher's two reports, the first one being on page 60 of the English Document Book, Document No. 2, which is Document 1971-A PS. The first page of the Document is a covering letter, Dr. Ruff, What I am trying to get you to do, Dr. Ruff, is in the best wanner you on describe to the Tribunal this situation of air embolism, in smuch as it is referred to in Rescher seport. Rescher could probably enswer the question better than you however, I want you to go over this with us. This is the first report, under No. II,

if ou will go down to the line, "The experiments conducted by myself and Dr. Homberg proved the following, " then saip ine, two, three and the fourth presgraph under that statement; do you have that? This will be under presgraph 2 in the report. — That is the trouble? —— It is under No. 2, ou will see the second per-graph starts out with the words, "The experiments conducted by myself and Dr. Romberg proved the following: " Then if you will jump down to the fourth paragraph under this section, which starts out: "The third experiment of this type took such an extraordinary course...." Do you have that Doctor?

- n. Yos, I have.
- if you can help us with this problem.

"The third experiment of this type took such an extraordin ry occurse that I called an as physician of the comp as witness, since I had worked on those experiments all by myself. It was a continuous experiment without expend at the height of 12 km conducted on a 37 year old dow in good general condition. Breathing continued up to 30 minutes. After 4 minutes the experimental subject began to perspire and to wiggle his head, after 5 minutes cramps accound, between 6 and 10 minutes breathing increased in second and the VP experimental subject become anomascious; from 11 to 30 minutes breathing slowed down to torse breaths per minute, finally stopping altogether.

"Severest cyrnosis developed in between and form appeared to the mouth.

"At five minus, intervals electrocardiograms from 3 leads were written. After breathing had stopped, dissection was started."

Now, in this autopsy report it is apparent that Dr.

Rescher found this condition of embolish, intended as an eteres from his outoney in the second programm, under the "Authory Raibert," he status:

"One nour after breathing now stopped, the spinol more - was completely severed and the brain removed.

Thereupon the petion of the sariely stopped for all seconds.

It then removed is notion coming to a complete stantstill.

6 minutes letter. A mency subprehnold demonstration in the brain. In the voins and orthogon of the brain a considerable quantity of ir was discovered. Furthern regime along vessels in the Heart and liver were charmonally obstructed by amoulism."

Tell, now was that the only way that Assenur could a valuational that this subject was suffering from hir only list was to perform an actorsy; he definitely found that a matter, wou see, poster?

- a. Yes, it is certainly true that no found this condition in this autopay.
- into evidence here; is it possible form this picture of the brain to discuss his embolism; it ight permoss be the light, out you can see some bubbles in this cloters, so I have here it enlarged for your benefit to look at.

 Do no garhands see tabse light spets; while that permoss be sir occulism?

(The picture is shown to the witness.)

A. Yes, that is in subclish, but the discovery that his in the in these vessels done not mean that the vessels are detructed. These air bubbles in the blood vessel can be present without their leading to any discrete. We in w

of mir, let us say at 100 eddic cas. or his ands into a slow wessel without the experimental subject noticing anything at all. In other words, the effect of mir in the blood stream depends entirely where the top gots to.

went to see it to the Tribunal, so that they can get a leture of just what this condition is.

The PRESIDENT: Will the Secretor Seneral procure a copy of President out 2 for the Tribanal?

MP. HARDY: This, Your monor, is on and read versu n
of what one rs in account Sout 2 and you can quite clearly
on what he, Ruff h s printed out.

Which is street. The lat, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, the Son or greet ander No. 7. It starts ut. "To find out whether the severa parciant no physical affects." Do n ye that Destor?

The ENESS: I have paragraph 8 and 7, yes.

- find out whether the severe psychical and physical effects, as montioned under No. 3, are due to the formation of embolism, the following was done." Do you have it now?
 - A. Yos, I have it.
- physical offects as mentioned under No. 3, are due to the formation of embolism, the following was done. We before we go into that it appears apparent that Dr. mascher doesed it necessary to conduct an sutopsy under material.... In order to find out whether or notthis a modism condition existed in this particular experimental person. Now his curpose in doing this, as it states, was to find out whether or not this condition of amolism was the cause for the severe psychical and physical effects. Well now from your experience since that time with the american authorities and your past experience, was Mascher correct in priorsing an autopsy in order tofind out this condition, or could it have been incortained without such an autopsy.
- A. It is not altogether easy to answer that question. I think the situation is that wester or not there is a case of air embolism, one can say from the symptoms with protty great certainty whether embolism is present, but for a more certain answer or an absolutely certain answer, you have to carry out an autopsy. For example, if after an operation, death occurs through abolism, then of course the autopsy is the first thing that absolutely determines for certain the cause of death.
 - Q. Well nowlet us read this: Rescher states:

"after relative receperation from such a personate descending test had taken place, however before regaining of consciousness, some experimental persons were kept under water until they died. When the skull and cavities of the broast and of the abdomen had been opened under water, an energous amount of air embolism was found in the

Court I 30 apr M - 5-2 - LJG - Cook (Brown)

vessels of the brain, the coronary vessels, and the vessels of the liver and the intestines, etc.

"That proves that air embolism, so far considered as absolutely fatal, is not fatal at all, but that it is reversible, as shown by the return to normal conditions of all of the other experimental persons.

PIt was also proved by experiments that air embolish occurs in practically all vessels even while pure exygen is being inhaled.

One experimental person was eade to breathe pure exygen for two and one-half hours before the experiment started. After six minutes at a height of 20 kilometers he died and at dissection also showed suple air embolism as was the case in all other experiments.

"At sudden decreases in pressure and subsequent invedicts falls to heights where breathing is possible no deep reaching damages due to air embolism could be noted. The formation of air embolism always needs a cortain amount of time."

Well now were you familiar enough with air embolism to have been able to determine this that Enacher found, or do you agree with what Rascher states hare?

a. No, I do not agrow in every point at all with what anscher says here. Anscher says here that:

"That proves that air embolism, so for considered as absolutely fatal, is not fatal at all, but that it is reversible as shown by the return to normal conditions of all other experimental subjects."

Now this is a finding which Rascher ands on the basis of his ignorance, and the case really is not air embolism. I said before what the offects of air embolism are depends on where the embolism is in the body. If the embolism is in the brain and in the vital part of the brain it can be fatal. If that embolism is located in the heart and in the neighborhood of the heart, it can also be fatal, because it interrupts the entire circulation of the blood. If it is located in the fatty tissue of the abdomen that cuts off a cartain part of that tissue from blood irrigation, and then necrosis

develops which is quite harmless. In other mer's, it also depends a, first, in which is quite emission is, and secondly, where it is located. A few minutes in I said that it is before hischer made this disc very, experiments were carried but in which air was injected into the blood strong, in it was them a derived that even large amounts of air, such as located continuous and be the root by the experimental subject with no die or or into the headed at all. However, this experiment did not prove that 100 cubes continuous of air in the the distributions is always herealess, because if that his reasons the right places it will cause an each list as that his reasons the right places it will cause

J. New you will notice in the paragraph just above, mix, that is down right, but in the same section of the rap of Resolve said:

"If r th. f. H. min experiments Jewish professional oriminals wh

Lot committee rose pollution were used. The question of the formation of
embedding wis investigated in 10 cases. Jose of the experimental subjects

"Tod durin a northway his notitive ox prisonty for instance, after
no-third hour were health of 12 kilometers. After the skull by been non"The story on imple about of directions was francia, the brain vessels, and, in part, free sir in the brain ventricles."

Toll now it seems to be that described in one to import of experience annexts of the terminal the course of

noss or high altitude sickness. Were you as interested on Easther was in whether or not air embolism was the cruse of those things, or was that yourse of those things, or was that

... of the exteriments which were here described I knew only what is in this reacht here and no more, and I saw this reacht for the first time when it was not in evidence in this trial. What Rascher's ideas were about the experiments I dea't knew. For us these results that he here souts forth would not have been entirely uninteresting, of rouse, but for all provided curveson those results played no role in that we were doing, because as I said in the thrushads of exteriments that we recried out in German modicine at such altitudes on a later of there, we never had a fatality, a that we had no reach a to inquire into the reas as for fatality.

O. Sell then any question about - or promine air embelies, did not a) our in the experiments that you and Semborg had any authority over, did it? That I am nothing at here, it appears to me that the relational expect of Easther's work was his strange desire to find out just what air embelies hid and whether or not he could determine how a core news suffering from it, and consequently he billed these per also controls then is in the air long enough so that they died, and then no autopsied them, and that appears to me to be a crime in it—colf, and it appears for a your testimony you were not involved in those antions. Now were you interested in finding out whether or a topic ambelies was one of the causes for the pressure fall sickness?

That pressure fell sickness has a nothing to do with the fraction of the nitrogen from the blood or tissue was known very well at time. Handher's experiments were all together unnecessary to nevertain that, because it was already known.

C. bell new it seems to me that in the cours of these

on orients there would be two things to consider, one would be the elitated sickness as considered by lack of exygen, and the other would be your se-called pressure drop sickness, which would be where the function of his out-line would come into play, New did it appears in the course of your as origentation that this pressure drop sickness was course by senething also rather than the lock of exygen?

36 april 47-5-1-M-AK-Blakley (Brown)
Court I, Case 1

A Pressure fall sickness has nothing to do with the lack of oxygen. I have already described during my direct examination that there is a certain zone, namely, the zone between 12 and 1= kilometers, or let's say, 12 and 1*\frac{1}{2} thousand kilometers; and in this altitude there is no sickness among the passengers nor pilot of a plane. That is to say, if they are adequately supplied with oxygen. But drop pressure fall diseases can occur. In other words, this proves that pressure falls have nothing to do with the supply of oxygen. That is an entire different matter, pressure fall sickness occurs as a result of the general reduction of the air pressure in which the person finds himself.

It is similar to the symptoms that occur when a deep sea diver rises from a considerable depth and does not do so slowly enough; in other words, the pressure on him is reduced. It is similar to the so-called caisen sleknose. This is the same sort of disease that you notice with persons who have to work in caisons when they come from the calson, which is a chamber under pressure. If they come from it too rapidly then they have similar symptoms as one finds in pressure fall symptoms. But what I am saying now is not something that was learned from these experiments of Rascher's; it is something that has been known for a long, long tim.

Q I 898.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, the Tribunal will now be in recess.

(a recess was taken.)

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session. BY MR. FARDY:

- Q. Now, Doctor, we have about completed our discussion of this business of eir embolism and I have gathered from what you have told me that in your embolism to n rescue from high altitudes you were not perticularly interested in collecting date on pressure drop sickness or eir embolism, were you?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Fell, now did the problem of pressure drop sickness or air embolish enter at all into your research on research from high altitude at that time or later on efter the Dachau work or during the course of your time at the Aero medical Center? Did this problem of pressure drop sickness or air embolish ever enter into any of your research on rescars from high altitude?
- A. Regarding the complex of questions concerning itself with protection from high altitude this did not bother me. After the Dachen experiments I personally concerned myself with pressure full diseases. This was from the year 1945 to 1946 at the Aero Medical Center at Heidelberg.
- Q. Well, was there enything found in your experiments on rescue from bigh elititude that could have contributed to the problem of whether or not pressure drop sickness with pir embelism was present in addition to the exygen lack? You understand the question?
 - A. I don't understand you.
- Q. I wak again. You there envithing found in your experiments on rescue from high altitude that could have contributed to the problem of whether or not pressure drop sickness with air embolism was present?
 - A. No, not really. These experiments had had nothing to do with the reason for the origination of this pressure fell discuss.
 - Q. I see. Well now, Doctor, in this Document 402, which is on page 82 of the English copy, Document Book II, which is Exhibit No. 86.

 For your convenience, Doctor, I have the original here that I will page

aphere the experiments were carried out and he canto there reports to to ment to him : t first.

Q. I see. 'ell now, Doctor, this report here doesn't contain any of the information that is not forth in some of the Reacher reports. For instance, the deaths, etc. How does that hur ton?

A. Becomes the fatalities which occurred during the experiments of acanhor had nothing to do with our experiments - experiments for the

resone from high altitude - and didn't occur there. As a result there was no reason to incorporate these deaths in that report.

Q. Well, now. Rescher had these deaths that were connected with his research for air embolish and likewise that had nothing to do with your particular phase of the experiments, did it?

A. The experiments which were carried but by Rascher for reason of research in air embolism have nothing to do with the experiments carried but for the purpose of rescue from high altitude.

Q. Is that why you had no mention of air embolism in your report?

That's why you didn't bring that factor up, isn't it, because it had

nothing to do with you end you hadn't heard about it?

A I said, during my direct exemination, what I heard about Rascher's experiments from Romberg when a death occurred. He told me that Rascher wanted to take notes of the register of the electrocardiogram in the case of this pressure fall sickness. What I know when this report was compiled was, first, of all, that Rascher, in addition to our experiments for the purpose of rescue from idgh eltitude, was carrying out other experiments and that, in the course of those experiments which were carried out by order of Himler, deaths occurred, is to the manner of the experiments "macher was carried whrowh, I only know that he was using the electrocardiogram in the case of those pressure drop sickness. This is all I know about these other experiments when compiling or report. In other words, I know cometly that donthe which occurred during Rascher's experiments had nothing to do with the experiments for the surpose of rescue from high altitudes. For that reason, there was no occasion to incorporate these death cases in that report for two reasons: one, that they did not occur during our experiments; and, secondly, the other experiments were only known to me in very broad outlines and I only knew about a part of these experiments. You can see from Rescher's intermediate reports that he had carried out a museur of various experiments. For that recent, I could not ombark on the idea to incorporate any thoughts that Reschermay have had, haring the course of his accordants, in my report.

corning air subclien, since you dignot discuss it with him, adnoc you didnot know about it, and no knowledge about it at all at that time, until such time as you were served with those documents in this case — is that the reason why this report contained or made no mention of the inta concerning the facts that Rascher found in connection with this air embelian business?

A No, this report, as it is stated here, is a report for the purpose of rescuing people from high altitudes. The additional experiments of Rascher had nothing to do with these experiments. Even today, after these intermediate reports are available to me, I can still say that these experiments had nothing to do with the experiments for the purpose of rescue from high altitudes, and that was the reason.

Q Well, Doctor, we won't linger on this any longer. We will go to page 91 of your own report. Chylously, you slipped up when you wrote this. Page 91 of the English which is page 16 of the original document, Doctor, and it will be the last paragraph on page 16 of the original document. Do you have that?

A Yes.

Q Now, it starts off: "In spine of the relatively large number of experiments, the actual cause of the severe mental disturbances and body functions (paralysis, blindness, etc.) attendant upon post-hypoxedo trilight still....."

A Just a moment. Page 16 of that report starts with a different text.

- Q This is on the last paragraph of the page of 16 of the report.
- A Yes, thank you.
- Q And I will start again:

"In spite of the relatively large number of experiments, the actual cause of the severe mental disturbance and body failures (paralysis, blindness, etc.) attendent upon post-hypoxemic twilight still remine sensithing of a riddle. It appeared often as though the phenomena of pressure trop sickness (serverbolism) had combined with the results of severe express lack."

Now, how till you know that it "Imprened often as though the phenomena of pressure irop sickness had combined with the results of severe express lack" had it not been for the fact that Mr. Rascher, ir. Rembers, and Mr. Ruff had their besits together in each and every one of these experiments?

- A I didn't understand the question.
- I Wall, first of all, the first sentence says that "a large number of the experiments found the cause of the severe mental disturbances and

body failures" and then you state in the last four - five words "the twillight still remains something of a riddle." Now, didn't he Rescher supply the enswers to that riddle when you go on to state "It appears often as though the phenomena of pressure drop sickness air exhalism, had combined with the results of severe oxygen lack?"

Now, I ask you, how did you know air embolism without Rescher's work?

"It appeared often as though the phenomena of pressure drop sickness had combined with the results of severe expendence. This is entirely different and has nothing to in with what you have just said. You cannot say, as I already stated before, that pressure frop sickness and pas embolism is the same. I tried before to explain that, in the case of the pressure frop disease, was subolism can appear. That, of course, is not absolutely necessary and does not follow, herefrom our knowledge came which emblad us to discuss this question as to whether air pressure sickness would play a part in those serious symptoms can be seen on the next page. On the next page to have the two experiments by Rossberg and Rascher.....

Q. Just a moment, Doctor.

DR. SaUTER: Mr. President, the copy which is eveilble to the Prosecutor must in some way be different to
the original, which is before me and which was also
svailable to the defendant Ruff. The Counsel for the
Prosecution has repeatedly read "pressure drop sickness,
Air embolism, etc." He wrote that twice. In our original,
however, there is no work mentioned of "air" or "embolism."
I should like to coint that out to you at thim time so as
to evoid the Prosecutor reading a text to the defendant,
which is probably just an error on the part of the
Prosecution. I want to state expressly that in the German
copy and the original there is no mention of embolism.

MR. HARDY: That is correct, Your Honor. The English contains the word in parenthesis "air embelism" and the German original text does not. We will go on, Doctor.

Q. Now, on page-

DR. SAUTER: Mr. President, if what the Prosecutor has just said is correct I ask that the Document Book be rectified accordingly. Secondly, it may be expedient to exclain at this moment now it is possible that an expression is introduced into a document which is not contained in the original, and it must of necessity misled any person reading that document. I don't think that this is a temple cituation where such an e-roneous expression gets into a document which is available to the Tribunal and upon which the Tribunal has to base its Judgment.

THE PRESIDENT: Several times during the course of this trial similar errors have occurred and have been discovered. These matters are rether serious and when patters like this are found, the document book, the

Tribunch should be corrected so they speak the truth and .

show correct translations of the original documents.

These errors are serious and couse a great deal of delay in the trial and a great coal of trouble for counsel and for the Tribunch. Some of the errors are apparently interpolations which have been inserted in the document, by whom or under what circumstances, of course, the Tribunch is not equised, but may each errors as this which are found should be immediately corrected by preparing the shoets, the simble-raphed shoets online attention to the volume and page where the error is found, and also the correct translation.

- in an everage or standard Gorgan dictionary defined as air ambalism or vice-versa?
 - A. No.
 - . Is it evamon to any-
- A. One and to destinguish there between two matters, firstly, pressure drop diseases are used in the anglish sage as banse one cackes, and then there is mother designation "bir embolish." Air embolism, however, does not at all mean and embolish. These are two entirely different expressions. Air embolish in the English usage means that the complaints arise through the release of nitrogen within a person's cody. It isn't a very exact designation. One embolish modicine and air embolish are two entirely different matters.
- the it governed often as though the phenonome of pressure drop sickness and combined the pressures of severe payon laca?

Court 1 April 30-M-9-3-HD-Maloy-Ramiler. a. I said that after these sentences it is explained how this conclusion could be drawn. It was drawn as a result of the self-experiments by Rascher and Rombers, then that experiment pressure drop sickness---2. Just a moment. It appears from the Rascher-Romberg experiment that they h d performed on themselves, is that what you mean? a. Yes, it says that in this regard observations are important carried out upon the person. I will read in this connection "the subjective accounts made by the authors in two experiments each were interesting. " This is the following sentence. Q. It says here it appears often now, in what other experiments dia it appear? A. In the case of these drop experiments from a 15 kilometar cititude during which those more severe symptoms of altitude sickness appeared, and which are explained in detail in this report. It seemed as if during this sicknoss the lack of oxygen and the pressure drop sickness combined. Q. Well, now, I wesh you would turn to p-be 95, which would be page 22 of the original, and this is section 3, that is Roman numeral III entitled "Discussion of the Rosults. " A. Discussion of the Results. .. Do you have that? A. Yes. . Woll, now, it says here "The descending experiments without oxygen show that the limit for a safe oscape with an open y rachute lies approximately at a jumping altitude of 13 Kilometers." That is 42,700 fest. "Since in a jump in a lo kilometers recovery of consciousness occurred only 6206

1.6 kilometers. * And so one must always consider the possibility of landing in an unconscious condition with all the attendant dangers. Well, now, it is apparent that you have decided that a safe altitude is 13,000 meters, is that correct?

- a. You, 13,000; yes, it is said here 13,000. The conditions as they are entitled in this sentence, non-oxygen and pressure drop sickness, which meens a descent when the perschute was unfolded, and in that connection the limit was considered to be 13,000 mater. That is correct.
- 5. Did you retuelly sent men up to an altitude higher than 13,000 meters?
 - A. Yos, that is truc---
- determined to be the highest you can go and still be sere?
- A. Firstly, the determination of this save limit was only possible after the conclusion of the experiment. I can only determine a limit if I notice when reaching that limit that I can go on no longer, and after mying concluded some experiments subsequently which had gone beyond this limit. Say that for practical conditions I would have to consider that the limit lies at 13,000 meters. The conditions which praymiled when we lay down the limit of 13,000 maters were only durived ofter having experienced a 15,000 mater height. After these experiments I could say that in an altitude of 15,000 meters a flyer who has no exygen at his disposal and whose parachute is unfolded at 15,000 meters cannot be saved without injuries, because when landing on the ground he is still unconscious and that when landing on the ground he could of necessity be injured or in case of dropping into the water he would drown.

I. Well, then, you do agree, in smuch as you were und to to determine before the experiments started, just how high a man could go up, but these were extremely diagrams; were they not, those experiments?

A. Hose are two different anthers, the ignorance whether one can ascend to these limits or act and the danger which any be incurred through the experiments. They have nothing to do with one mother. I should like to give you an example, I should like to assume if a human being can carry out one bundred knee bonds, unless I can experiment on him, I den't know. On the other land, you cannot maintain that I could say whether this is danger—ous or not, because I den't knew before hand if it is possible for him to carry out one hundred knee bonds or or not.

The louds it not have been then the proper thing to experiment first in any of the things, which you speck of in the report, to experiment on unionly to determine the effect there before leving reserved to human beings?

but those and all experiments can only roughly inform us.

In this report you find a corp rison made between animal experiments, which were carried out by buts at the Institute of Weltz and our experiments and the results of those two experiments is not only differentiate the those two experiments is not only differentiate the thousands from a quantitative point of view, but also from a quantitative point of view; that is the altitude which you are use by using an miral on mayor by the factor or lover. Fore we not only have a suntitative ligher or lover. Fore we not only have a suntitative ligher or lover. Fore we not only have a found that we also full a qualitative ligherence. In other words, the reaction

of the united conducted experiments was entirely different from that of experiments on hum n beings.

on animals of you goined your 'nowledge from Latz and Wondt; is that right; and that is, why it was not necessary for you to experiment on unimals; is that what you are trying to tell mo?

a. No, porhaps I did not express sysolf clourly. "Il I said, was that a proof is to be found, that such experiments enumer be carried out on animals, in this very same Document, where the results of the animal experiments carried out by late and fount are being compared with the experiments on human beings. The results of the enimal experiments on human beings. The results of the enimal experiments, carried out by Lutz and fount were not known to us of on we exceuted those experiments and this is something which is country contained in the report. It is only some to our knowledge of the experiments.

O. Hon, how do you justify experimenting on human beings before you experimented on animals; buts and forth wood unimals, you did not even to that for.

Appriments on onice is before the a more correspond out appriments on onice is before the a more contents on human beings, in order to clonify the trend to which these experiments would have be be relied. Yesterday, I tried to appriments would have be number of questions which crop up in deficie, especially which is nuclicine, which cannot be clarified by the use of smirels. For responsibility for not haven corried out these caparitants on smirels instead of human beings, I on well a summer a scientist and a spiral content.

1. May I call it to the Tribunal's attention on pero 98

unitally this work - s not vailable to us during those exteriments, so that we would not build upon the which results contained in it and derived from numerous animal experiments, or upon the experience of the outbors.

Woll, Dr. Hauf, when did Remberg first record the doubt to you?

- A. Materally, I a must state today emotly, but I should say it was in april.
 - 1. March mybo or Lord of 1945, is that it?
 - ... I did not a y anything of March, I spoke here of April.
- nestered it was in Three of 19:3; now to you assume that it was in April r ther thin Hereb?
- 1. Then enswering the Denny's questions, I never said
- O. Well, now, whom you received this report from Remberg whout the dath of an inners, just what in Memberg tell your
- to Worlin and reported to no that hasher, by order of Manden, was carrying out other experiments than ours designed for the rescue of high lititude and during this other experiment a see of couth had occured. He further a fid that during the entering the case of the barries the experiments, which Resolve was derrying out, he intended to study the beart in the case of pressure drop sickness.
- i. Now, who this the first circitat you realized that
 there were cotably two part of experiments on the Rescher
 "schor, Ruff and Resberg exeriments and then the Rescher
 "particular which he did exclusively; when this report was
 forested, we this the first to a you realized you were

for as I know for the first time came to Berlin, I found as for as I know for the first time that there existed at all, in addition to our experiments for the protection from high altitude other experiments, which were carried out by Rescher by order of Himsler.

Q. Well now, upon receiving the report from Routiong about this then what aid you do?

A. I already stated what we considered about the natter. We came to the conclusion that it would be best to conclude the experiments as quickly as persible. I had reported this matter to Hippke and Acabers returned to Dachau in order to conclude the experiments, subsequently the charber was taken from Dachau.

Q. Mile we are on the point of the chember, you a take that the damber was taken from Dachen by roll; that you located it on a relirous, in connection with the book which Dr. Sautor presented to you in direct execute tion and that it took some eight hours to load the chember; I presume it took nearly eight hours to unload the chember. Yould it not have been easier to load the chember on a truck and Trive to Berlin; was there a gaseline stortage?

I did not get any enswer.

THE EVERTOR: I had not come through.

4. Will you start again ploase.

restorday from the book of my welcome, I said there is no indication about the time, which was necessary for the loading of the low pressure clamber, with the exception that my mechanic had so work on a certain by for so many loars when unit ding the charber. From these loars of work, the cament conclude the entire arount of hours, which were

necessary for the leading or unloading of that chamber. The transport back of this chamber by real actually resulted on the basis of the hack of fuel. There we were not concerned with gaseline, but with diesel oil. As I already said during to direct emaination, diesel oil was terribly scarce in Germany and was essentially reserved for the submarines. It was impossible to receive permission for a journey from Emich to Cerlin and get the corresponding amount of diesel oil for that purpose. That is the reason why the chamber was transported by rail.

- ". Woll, now mitor those deaths were reported to you, or "ftor this first death, did you order my steps to be taken with reference to R select
- as quickly as possible and that we tried to get the chamber out of D chau.
- G. I make you amain, did you take any a tope against
- A. No. I did not take any stops against Rescher. I could not do that.
- There is a person who had died and you have not done must ing about it; is that right?
- ... It is correct that a person died; it is not correct that I did nothing wout it. I saw that this elember was removed from Dachar, which meant that Mascher was deprived of the opportunity of a pring out future experiments.
 - 1. Mew, did senters report ony other foothe to your
 - ... You, blat is right.

3 Uhom?

A As I said already during my direct examination that during the time high clapsed totwoon the return of Remberg and the final return of Remberg to Berlin, we were repeatedly in telephonic contact with one another. During one of these telephone conversations, and as far as I remember the last one, Dr. Remberg told me Rascher was carrying out further experiments and on that occasion he indicated semathin had happened again. After his return to Berlin he confirmed my assumption which I had during the telephone conversation and actually teld to another the cerams had lest their lives during these Rascher experiments.

- 5. 514 you do anything about that?
- A Flore was nothing for no to do. The chamber at they time had already been removed from Dachau. The experiments had already been concluded and seen I reported this matter to Tippes that the chamber had been returned, I at first told him workally about the result of the experiments and at the many time informed him about these facts.
 - Was Rascher ofill in the Lurtwarfe at that time?
- A Rescher at that time he was trying to be transferred to the SS.
 Rescher, therefore, from a military point of view belonged to the SS.
 Luftwaffe, but as it has become evider; from a number of documents,
 he has been eate available to the SS or rather Himmler.
- Q Woll now would you tell us just what runetion the electro-
- A Pro electrocardio ran mayor the purpose to master the electrical dibrations which occurred in the heart whomever it is active. Each muscle and every other tiesus produces by it activity an electrical current. In the case of the heart this current is rather o'ree and one passiff succeeds in red tourist, this electrical current in the heart.

30 April 67-46-Fig-11-2-Cook (IIT., Raculor) Q. Vall no do you uso an electrocardiogram each time you are confucting one of those high altitude amoriments A Mo. I cannot answer that question exactly, but I don't believe that in the case of all autoriments electrocardic raph ro inters were tada. Ton it used in the high altitude experiments? A You 1 Well now, if Rombary was using the electrocardiogram in these or portunate, he was doing that for the benefit of the mascher, Tuff and Combon, work, wann't haft A He wood the B K G amenever it seemed advisable to him When our in out a peri onte for the purpose of rencus from high altitudes. Abart from uning it during the high altitude of periments, ha wast the 3 . 6 is order to supervise and cheervo the organizationtal subjects. Defers the business, of the experiments he reliasored the heart of the experimental subjects. I That is right. Lot's look at Mr. Romborg's affidavit, which is document MC 476, on race 2 of Document Book 3. This is the para rath No. 5 in the affidavit, No. 6: "I witnessed the death of three of Dr. Rascher's human or orimental subjects durin the experi-Tunta. The first doubt occured in the latter part of A wil, and on this particular occasion I was studying the electrocardio par by usin the experimental subjects." To form was your subordinate, wasn't he? A Ton, that is true. T. HUDD's No further quantions, Your Honors. TREEDET: Is there any re-direct examination by defense commonly na-of a staining OT DY. SAUTE: ? Dr. Ruff, I should like a clarify a number of column (lich have been raised durin the sewice of your examination which require clarification. 6714

Sounsel for the Prosecution has repeated your testiment regarding the voluntary nature of the experimental subjects and he stated that according to your testimony sixty experimental subjects were selected at Dathau, and I think ten or different of those sixty were actually used for the experiments. In order not to allow any erroneous epinion appearing I shall ask you ence here. Did the Camp Commendate or Dr. Rascher or any one class select a law of sixty experimental subjects who were then to be used for the experiments, or is it rather true as you altready stated during your direct examination that this group of approximately sixty servents volunteered and them, Rascher, Noff or any one also selected from these sixty volunteers those persons who were to be used for those extentions on a portain dates flow has the true situation?

A directly restricted in have tried to illustrate that point.

According to my information sixty persons all requires volunteered. Or these sixty people, a recrimately 18 were selected, the, because of their health and physicial condition, scapling with the conditions which we needed for our amore into. These ten or trains amperimental subjects were housed to their in the apperimental scales throughout the entire length of the enteriment. These ten or trains persons, are the experimental subjects were for the experiments as it is stated in our report.

This, I think, finally clear up that boing. The second correction, pr. Ruff, when describing those experimental subjects, you repeatedly used the empression "Sicherheitsvarwahrum", people in protective custody, and you said that there were refersion criminals who had been placed into notice time custody and for that reason were sont to Pachau. I have in the mantime that this empression "sicherheitsvarwahrum" was in the English translation expressed as protective custody. The difference is the following: The "cicherheitsvarwahrum," of which Dr. Ruff was shown; according to German law, could only be used in the case of sefectional criminals, and was ordered by the court. Protective custody on hami,

which is a vary similar approachen, although containing a different content, has nothing whatsouver to do with justice or any court. It was carried out mostly for political reasons, completely arbitrarily, and without any justice or sentence. Dr. Roff, is it correct what I just told your

A You, it is true.

of the trial a missass butz was board who at one time had been employed at Professor Walter office. Dr. butz at that time stated he was principally opposed to an orthograph subjects because he wasn't robust enough, he wasn't roun and strong enough. Then using this expression "robust" under quotation marks, he was asked what he really meant by it. An I see from the record of the 12th of December, he replied, and I quoter

wann't completel. Then the witness continues, and I quotes "It is even difficult to have a doc for experiment who has a soulful look in his ejec," and of giote. So far the testiment of the witness Lutz, who, I think is still today in the Fachau concentration camp as an old Austrian 3. Then, Dr. Buff was teld that Dr. Lutz's testiment road as follows, and I quotes Thee is what I understood from the Gorman translation, is was said that Lutz wouldn't even carry out the experiments on a doc that Buff had been carry in, out on human beings. I am sure that this rejetition of Dr. Lutz' testimony was erropsons, and I should like to rectify that is order to provent the defendant Buff from being inclinitated by an erropsons statement in the record.

- ments could have been used instead of your experiments was discussed; and you at ted that in the case of the migh altitude experiments which you were to carry out the use of animal experiments was often impossible. I am now asking you, Dr. Ruff, is it correct that during your high altitude experiments—and I am in particular referring to the altitude experiments—and I am in particular referring to the altitude sickness which plays a part—the essential points consist of the fact that the experimental subject, after awakening from unconsciousness, remakes out above his head and then pulls the lever of the parachute with all his strength. A turnly a guinen-pib cannot be trained sufficiently to cause it to pull the lever of the percente steries of the percente steries authors. You will agree with me there, won't you.
 - A. This is no doubt correct.
- Then you were neked; "Was Hippke there?" and you said
 "No", and so forth, until at the end it was that, "In
 that you don't know who exactly told you that those persons
 at Dachau were volunteers. That is, at any rate, how
 your enswer sounded, even though you may protest
 against it at the moment. You were asked: "as Dr.
 Romberg present? Does he know it from his own knowledge?
 Was he there when those people were asked? You said, "No."
 Then you were asked: "Was Hippke there?" and you said
 "No", and so forth, until at the end it was that, "In
 that case, you don't know who exactly told you that
 those people were volunteers." Then you answered, and I
 quote: "That is correct."

I believe that you really wanted to say something the because in addition to Dr. Rombers and Dr. Hippite outlier people confirmed it to you that these persons were wlunteers. Who were these other persons?

- A. Prof. Weltz, Rescher, and the camp Commandant.
- and in porticular the camp Commandant were in fact the puople who had personally spoken to the prisoners?
 - A. Yes.
- dog there was another error; that the sound of the translation was to the effect that the Austrian SS and who is now in Dachau, was to have Stated that he wouldn't even kill a dog. There is no word of killing. We are only a nearned with an experiment on a little dog who looks at one with a mournful expression in its eyes, that's all.

MR hardy: Your Honor, would you please advise defense counsel to ask his question rether then just talk to the witness? He masn't asked a question yet in that last remark.

DR. saUTER: The last thing I said naturally was no question. In that case Mr. Hardy is completely correct. It was marely a correction of an error in translation.

Naturally I cannot put that in the form of a question; I can only make a statement.

MR HARDY: Your Honor, may I suggest that these corrections of translations could take place after he finishes his redirect examination?

THE PRESIDENT: It might well be convenient if these corrections were written out, typewritten, and manded to the Tribunal and to opposing counsel at one time.

BY DR. SAUTER:

on other document and should like to ask you to tell as how exactly you interpret that document; in other words, what

conclusions you derive from it. This document can be found in Document Volume Number II on Page 79. This is a letter by Dr. Rascher to the Reichsfuchrer SS. It is dated the 11th of May 1942. If you will look at the second paragraph, you will find that it starts with the words, "tonight..." and there you will find the sentence and I quote: "He(and that means a certain Dr. Fahrenkamp) intends to report to you about his own opinion regarding my hairt experiments."

THE PRESIDENT: Can you give us the page in the English Document Book of this document?

THE INTERPRETER: This is found on Page 74 of the Document Book Number II.

DR. SAUTER: Price 74 of the English Document Book, see and paragraph, middle of the second paragraph. "....

Be intends to report to you about his own opinion regarding my heart experiments Dr. Fohrenkamp give to you hims. If. From our conversation (Dr. Rascher's conversation), I have the impression that a great field of work will open up to me yet."

BY DR. SAUTER:

- Q. Dr. Ruff, if you now compare this quotation to the intermediate secret report which Rascher submitted to the Reichsfuehrer Himmler before your official reports, behind your back, won't you find a clear confirmation that these experiments of which you are now speaking to us were special experiments of Rascher's and were always actually claimed by him as being his own experiments, having nothing at all to do with your experiments?
- A. This letter, Document No. 220, which you just submitted to me, is the accommying letter to the intermediate report deted the 11th of May. This is the

secret intermediate report dated the 11th of May and addressed to Himmler. In my opinion it becomes clearly evident from the paragraph that in the case of these heart experiments they are concerned with Rascher's experiments; and it furthermore becomes evident from that paragraph that Anscher intended to carry out yet a large number of experiments in order to clarify these questions. Of this opportunity, however, he was deprived by the transport of the chember back to Borlin.

which reached me and in all affidavits which I have submitted to the Tribunal, you were described to us as a particularly conscientious and careful researcher and a man filled with a love for truth. Doctor, within five or six months, during which you have been in solitary confinement, you had sufficient opportunity to sugreh your conscience and to ask yourself whether, during those Dochau experiments in which you were mixed up in such an unfortunate manner, you noted justifiably or whether you constitud a mistake.

at the end, Dector, I want to ask you about this—
and don't think now about the end of the trial but Just
elve me your answer as a man who loves the truth. Please
answer the question, if today from the point of view of
your conscience you are now judging your acts at that time,
if you yourself take your conscience into account do you
full today that you acted correctly, or is it your faciling
today that you committed crimes; that you committed an
arrow? Will you please enswer this and tell the Tribunal
homestly and openly in the conclusion of your examination?

tion I have a free conscience. If I have made a mistake,

it was only perhaps that I gave my approval to working with a man like Rascher; but that Rescher was a criminal I found out only after the war end chiefly during this trial. In the year 1942 when these experiments took place, I knew nothing of all that. Sascher to me meant the serious officer who never give any occasion for criticism; and if his superior considered him to be a seriously-thinking officer, I certainly could not think of Judging him as a criminal.

The conditions under which the experiments were corried out in Dachau on voluntary people, on professional criminals, in my opinion were not objectionable; and that is even my opinion today. The manner in which the experiments were carried out was unobjectionable.

They were carried out scientifically, prepared scientifically; and they were necessary. After all these considerations I can even today say that my conscience as a human being and as a researcher is free.

DR. SAUTER: Mr. President, I have no further questions on the reexamination of the defendant Ruff.

MR. HARDY: Your Honor, I have some other quostions to put to this witness before he is dismissed from the stand.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunel will now be in recess until 1:30 o'clock.

(a recess was taken untill 1330 hours.)

/947 30 April-A-ING-13-1-Foster (Int. Brewn) Court No. 1

APT. FUNDON SESSION

The Tribun'l reconvened at 1330 hours, 30 April 1947.)
THE LASHA: The Tribunel is again in session.

Dr . SIEGFRIED MUFF - husured

RECEGS EXAMINATION

BY .B. H.RDY:

Q br. huff, in clerification of this translation, the word "acro embelian", you have implied that sere-cabelish is not a constant for ture of the condition known as pressure drep sickness, slee known as docompression delesen decise, or the bonds. No , in fact it is not only a constant fitture of it, but in English it is noturily used as a synopym. New I was like to show you end the Tribunel : standard medical dictionary, a may, Dolone marie a Illustrated dedical Dictionary published by . B. Benders in 1944, and on p ga 214 you find that bends is a new for original discrete, les for nore-subalism. This makes the translation as set forth in the English here perfectly correct. In edition, calasin discess is defined on page 446 as, and it states, "it is out to the release of bubbles of others there grows in the body." is, this crisson diserse is clso synonomous with your pressure drop disc so, both of which are caused by decempression. Then you find the compression defined on wego 410 of this same dictionery, which states, "the remaind of pressure or compress a force, particularly the slow removed of ressure from deep see divers and emission workers to prevent the ensut of begus."

Mew, Dr. Huff, we have here a Sermen distingry -

If the presentation of that definition we should correctly, when the administration in that definition we should correctly, when the administration in the electioners is elections wrong, because both the evidence discussion in the beside of not crise if the pressure is gradually related or rether, leadered. On the steam hand, these listeness opens if the crosses of pressure is relatively repid. For this reason there are lightly repid thouses have a seen there are lightly repid thouses in the coissons are to be used, for instance, it what

rete the pressure in the caleson is to be resuced, nearly, a change of 1-2 etcos heres desmot be more in less than helf an hour. In other words, if the translation of the definition you read was correct, then that definition in the dictionary is wrong.

A holl, now, here you find a Germen dicti many wherein we have marked this. I would like to have you read this as marked. This is a standard German dictionary, Meyer's. There it is marked there — I have it marked for you, dector, would you read that definition, planse. Would you read that definition,

A (Diction ry to need to mitness) "Crisson discrse occurs in persons who are subject to " high 'ir pressure, workers under oir prossure, and such people. (The loss for the protection of vorkers should be referred to, age 771.) The sudden presence of grees absorbed by the blook thigher than named pressure when the pressure is suddenly reduced, lends to obstructions and stoppages which out off ports of the body from blood circulation. This is fital in the brain, cruses heamorbages in mese, stoutch and so forth. Compare also mountain discuss, and so wise professional discusses in the same dictionary." This is Layer's Kenversations Luxikon of the year 1925. At this time very little m. a known about pressure fall disease if anything to il. Here again the some mateke is and that has econsionally been add here in the trial. Here the crissen disease, for exemple, is brought into rel tion with mountain sickness. Now mountain sickness is on Ititude sickness which rests on a lock of exygen, whereas the prisson disease, rother the symptoms that result from chissen disease, result from the change of pressure. Now, the confusion of these two terms in this dictionary shows that the editor of this porticular definition was not in expert in this field.

of pull, now, those three diction ries, the two I colled to your ettention at fir, is show that the pressure drop disease, decompression discase, the ben's, classen disease and fore-challen, are used inter-changeably with each other, den't they, the dictionaries do? However,

You wantein that these dictionaries are incorrect, is that right?

A The dieti mary that I have here before as istocrtainly not correct, and I've ir dy told you what things orn be compared, armely, pressure fall disease, calsson disease and the bonds can be compared with one enother. They are altogether simil r. They arise roughly in the state monner only with the difference that the differences in pressure in eviction re less than one atmospher, because the pressure of more "tamespheres is resched only at the height of 400 kilomoters, menoly, there you report the tetal vocuum of outer space. In emission diserse, on the other hand, work is the unior same direcustances under a pressure of two, three r four statephores. In other words, the differ nee in pressure in crise n disease, or in crissen work, is much gre ter than a difference in pressure that is possible in avirtion. That is the recom why the symptoms of crissen isome tre more severa than the symptoms of pressure fell discose in oviction. But in principle you can equite these three diseases, a cely, pressure fell discise, bunds and crisson discise. But there is a quantitative differ not though the cruses of them 're by n' 1 rge the sine.

Court I 30 apr-a - 14-1 - 13G - Gross (Brown)

diseases is air embolism bucause, as I asid this merning, there is air embolism not infrequently in the case of operations. And, this air embolism in the case of operations can have nothing to do with any change of air pressure. In other words, this is something quite different. On the other hand it is correct that in the disturbances after reduction of pressure air embolism can occur. They do not necessarily have to but the may. In other words you cannot equate calsson disease or pressure fall disorders with air embolism.

Q. Well, now was Dr. Rescher, when he used air emboliam, was he using it correctly in his sonno.

A. When he said - I don't know just where he said it if he said it - that an air ambalism has been discovered; then he satually had found in the heart or in a blood wassel greater or smaller amounts of air, and that is air ambalism.

Q. Well, let us go on and get your opinion of this other definition in another dictionary. This is Taber's Encyclopsedia medical dictionary, and under bands, on page B 20, records: "Bends (caisson disease)" and then it at tes as follows:

"Pain and weakness caused by increased atmospheric pressure. This brings about the absorption of atmospheric gases other than oxygen, porticularly nitrogen. Release of pressure releases this nitrogen from solution in the blood and causes fernation of gas bubbles in the tissues. Treatment: Decompression or increased pressure reapplied until nitrogen is redisselved in the blood when gradual sustation of the pressure is induced."

THE FRESIDENT: Coursel, there seems toba some trouble with the translation.

iR. SROWN: You are woint such too fast.

IR. HARD: .. e will repeat. Prin and weakness caused by increased atmospheric pressure. Imas brings about the absorption of atmospheric games other than oxygen, particularly nitrogen. Release of pressure releases this nitrogen from solution in the blood and 5725 Court I 30 apr-a - 16-2- 185 - Gross (Brown) causes formation of gas bubbles in the tissues.

Then they have her. "Treatment: Decompression or increased pressure reapplied until nitrogen is redissolved in the blood when gradual sustation of the pressure is induced."

Now, decon't that convey the same thought that pressure drop sickness, decompression disease, the bunds, calsson disease, and air embolish are used interchangeably?

A. Now, first of all, if the translation was correct I heard the atcomment that raising of pressure causes molestation. That is not so; it is the reduction of pressure that can cause suffering, because when I rise up in the air I do not raise the pressure but I lower it, and in caisson elsesse difficulties do not arise when pressure is increased, as all when people go into the caisson but symptoms occur when the workers leave too caisson. In other words, when the pressure on them is reduced.

Q. Well, new let's go to the next. The proyelopsedia Americana has a very plucidating paragraph written by no lass a man than Smith Ely Julfy. New this here - I will road slowly for the benefit of the translator - shows undetakably that air embolish is a constant feature of decompression disease. This is on page 150. Calason disease is a disorder popularly known as the bands, recurring among workers in compressed hir who are submitted to a prossure of two or three stoosphores, as are tunnel workers. The symptoms of the disease do not appear while the worksan is under compression but come on after decompression when some minutes or even hours have elapsed. Symptous were at first thought to be one to mechanical pressure, which by producing changes in the distribution of the blood, caused congestion of the blood when pressure was removed. That, however, is contrary to the 'sdaptability of body fluids to pressure and does not agree with experimental evidence. The gas emboli theory is now generally accepted. according to this theory, blood in compressed air absorbs an increased amount of oxygen and mitr gen, which under compression is distributed 6726

Court I 30 apr-a - 14-3- LJG Gross (Brown)

to the fluids of the various parts of the body. If now rapid decompression takes place, bubbles of gas form in the blood more rapidly than the gas can be cast off by the lungs, and numerous capillary emboli result. These then cause pain in local regions, either by diract or suchanical force, or by cutting off the local blood supply. There may be sore or less general cain involving two or three or all of the extremities and scaptimes sovere obderinal pain with prostration, which in rare cases results in unconsciusness, collapse, and death. Those symptoms are due to the presumes of spinal cord of brain lesions - the results of the gas embeli in the blood vessels of the central nerv us system. Verti, with do inose and labyrinth humorrange are a media of present and probably point to embolism in the labyrinth. Dypenen in the sense if constriction in the chest are also a but as present but a t always fatal. The most successful treatment is that if recompression with gradual dec revesion carried on in a mochanical air look. This is now required by law in some countries. Prophylactic ensures are erried at by careful examination of the workern and the elimination of these unfitted for work in compressed air. Precisposing fact rs are youth or too advanced age, clochulism, organic diseas., r fatness. New son should be given short smifts and worksen should be carofully supervised. Fatigue is also a fector. Cortain countries and states already have laws regulating shifts and us widing for gradual duc. spressi a gagod according to pressure undergone, which are the chief preventive measures."

Now, can it not be said in view of that that air embelism can have other causes, but caise a discuss is always due to air embelism?

what I said a little while ago. In your star dictionary you read that cuieson discuse arrises through the increase in pressure.

THE PRESIDENT: Witness, it appears to the Pribunal that those rather a plicated and technical translations may not make the matter clear to the witness. I think these definitions as read by counsel

Court I 30 Apr-14-4 - Low - Gross (Brown)

should be carefully translated into German and with the English Williams be sub-itted to consulf r the defense, so that he may study them and make his answers a re intelligent and more satisfactory to all o neerned.

im. HambY: I will do that a later date, Your Honor, ... at this tire I merely wanted to print out that it is consistently in most distinguise a synonomus tors.

THE I USIDENT: We understand that. We understand your position, but the question is whether or not the witness, having had these translations read to him only, whether he thoroughly understands the definition and is competent to discuss them. That could be done at a later time with those carefully see translations, with the english dictionaries for examination by desense counsel, should be furnished to the defense who may be allowed to consider them and discuss them. iaber.

- Q. Professor Wuff, then report which is document NO-LOZ -- when did you and Momberg and Rascher write that report?
- A. I didn't write this report at all. The report was written by Rancher and Romberg. I simply alaned it.
 - Q. When did you receive the report, to sign it?
 - A. When was it finished?
- Q. Well, what diver Can you tell as that? Do you know off-hand? The report is dated 28 July 1/42.
 - A. The date is as you said it -- the date is on the report.
- Q. Inon in other ords, aur and amberg wrote this report and then submitted it to yo . Did you at any time offer any suggestions for this report?
- A. Rescher and Roberg wrote this report and gave it to me to be countered gned.
- Q. Well, did you have any corrections or anytoing to say about the report, r did you lives of all see a draft of the report and then have an opportunity to correct it?

Court I 30 Apr-14-5 - Log - Gross (From)

- A. It is possible, but I can't tell you that in detail today.

 But, I have already said in my direct examination that through my countersignature I took responsibility for the scientific deductions set forth in this report. That is the meaning of my signature.
- Q. And even after having heard of the deaths at Dachau you were still willing to associate your name with Rascher on this report?
- A. We had to issue a report on these experiments in some form or another. We had to report on what had been done there. That this report was signed by the two workers that worked on the experiments is of course quite understandable, and correcver it was regular procedure that the leader of the institute would have to put his name to it also. The fact that I know account had carried or experiments and had doubte did nothing to charge this.
- Q. Wall, now as a result of this work, Dr. Rosberg received a medal, didn't be -- the War durit Gress, I believe?

Court 1 april 30-4-80-15-1-Karrow-Brown.

- A. After he visited Himmler in the Fuehrer's Headis pters, a few weeks or months letter, he received the Wer Merit Gross, Second Class.
 - . Did you slso receive one?
 - a. No, I dian't.
- Did you recommend him?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Wall, wno recum ended him?
- A. I neve seen from the documents that that on Rascher's suggestion, at least, I think that's to be found in a document; nevely, clavers made this recommencation. I am not ours of that, but that seems to be my recollection.
- 2. It could have been Rescher, nowever, that recommended him, couldn't it?
 - A. I den't tell you.
- Aerit Cross to Himtler -- Just Homberg -- is that right?
- A. No, I was not suggested for that decoration. There was no reason to either, because if any one was to be suggested for a decoration because of this experimentation it certainly wouldn't have been I because I didn't carry out these experiments. I was simply the Chief of the Institute.
- chember to Decise now would the have cerried out the experiments?
 - A. I don't understand that question.
- in Dechau then there wouldn't neve been any low pressure experiments in Jacuar obviously.

Court 1 april 30-a-10-2-HJ-Karrow-Brown. .. The low pressure chamber came from your institute? a. The low pressure chamber was the property of the Medical Inspectorate and, on Hippke's approval, was sent to Sagnau. .. and it was Professor 'sltz that requested that the low pressure chember be brought to Dacheu? A. I didn't know that. Q. I'm saking you that. a. I report, I know not ing to the effect that Prof. Weltz hed asked that the low pressure chamber be taken to Dach-u. Q. Fell, it actually was your low pressure chamber, assigned to your institute, wasn't it, owned by the Luftwaffe? A. No, that was not a low pressure chamber of our institute. It had just come from the firm and was to be used in the Versuchsenstalt fuer Luftfahrt. It was to be equipped with the inter-com system and so forth. It was not acturally assigned to our institute for use, but just so we could make these alterations in it. .. Vell, who was it essimed to? Was it a new one thet had Just been built? A. It was new chamber that had just been manufactured by the firm, and which was sent to us at the institute because we, on the orders of the Medical Inspectorate, were to put in the inter-com system and the oxygen system. We, in this case, means the Versuchsenstalt fuer Luftfahrt, which had the experts and technicions who usually put This same sort of equipment in air planes. 2. Well now in summation, now did you happen to become involved in these experiments at Dachau? A. In my direct examination and in my dross-examination by you this morning I said several times that in 1941,

0 urt 1 April 30-A-15-3-HD-Kerrow-Brown.

abilit December, Professor Weltz visited me in Berlin in my institute.

- . Yell, ned it not been for Professor Weltz' visit
 - s. That's possible yes.
 - w. So further & estions, Your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: any other questions of this witness
b) Lefense of meet in co nection with the cross-examination?

DR. SaJTiR: Ar. Prosident, it was my intenti n to ask the defendant Ruff a number of ruestions to olarify the question of empolism, since the question has been oursued e stobornly by the prosecution; .. owerer, I shall wit to see wast clerification of this point the prosecution later submits and, for my pert, I shall meas the accessery o inter-clarifications and submit them to the Tribunal in writing. I believe that would be better, then if we should here diccuss a problem about which lewvers, in effect, cannot true any attitude. Abwever, I should like to point out no thing. This corning the question, so fer es I a preised it, was not whether the word"embolied" dould os transletos oy euch and such a word, or whether it meant this or that, but what struct he as important was that the principal question that in a document where the word "smoolism" is not found the word "scholism" is saded, and the German translation is given to us with the usual certification that it is a verbatio copy. To these objected towar. I enell not make any i winer at a tempate in this subject, our small supply well the witness suff one at two further questions.

The cardy: I went to clarify to the Tribunel this sociality that Dr. of Mer is trying to more or less andly that we stuck the word "Air-Labolism" in a document to 5752

Division and the translator apparently at that time - she isn't here at this time - but the Chief told me that the Translation Division, went they come scross such a word and the may two meanings or another meaning, that they at in parenthesis an elternative meaning, and this here is just another meaning or another translation for the word in German. That's new the confusion gross. It was not put in to confuse the denon or to create an interference as Dr. cauter is trying to put forth nove.

JUDGE SESRING: Mr. Horay, when that expression appears
it are appeared in several of the documents — and when that
some re should not that fact be spice apparent to the
Triolnel?

MR. HaRDY: Yes, it enough, Your donor. But, at this cardiouler time, it was not made apparent to me either, fur conor, and a poviously went along the same course, but not intentionally.

REDIRECT SXAUTNATION

BY DR. BAUTER:

Dr. Ruff, at the and of the oross-examination for were saked by the prosecutor about your responsibility for the delandant Dr. Hombers. You were shown Sombers's afridavit of I covember 1946, 46. This is in Joognant Book #2.

"I witness i the death of three of Dr. Rascher's whan experimental subjects during the experiments. The first teath occurred in the latter part of world. On this writedlar occasion I was studying the electrocactic rank of the death of this human experimental subject then raing tested, after the death of this human experimental subject I raised objections to be some and also informed suff concerning.

Court 1 April 30-A-15-5-HD-Karrow-Brown.

the matter. Thereafter two other deaths occured on different days in May."

You were asked whether this Homberg was your co-worker and whether you were his superior, and you answered these questions in the affirmative. You were then asked if you were responsible for what momberg did and you also answered that in the affirmative. Now, tell me what your point of view previously was end what your point of view now is with repard to this question: Dr. Rombere received a very precise working program from you regarding what he was to do with Amsoner. Outside of this ork program, Dr. Rasoner cerried on experiments of his own behind your back. Now, in the experiments that took place according to the program nothing happened, but in Rescher's own experiments something did. Now, I want to ask you, in view of what you were charged with this morning, is it your point of view that you responsibility for your do-worker, Dr. Romberg, rep rding the Dachau experiments - speaking now entirely in _ensrsl- extends so far that you would consider yourself responsible for ony possible cooperation by Rombers in Rescher's own experiments, or is it your point of view th t you knew nothing of these matters, that you didn't approve these matters, and that, scourdingly, you are not responsible for what rembers did in that field? Now, please think about that and answer.

as a physician, can enswer only with great difficulty. I believe that is a purely legal question. I personally do not feel myself responsible which I neither requested, nor approved or knew of, but, that nowever, is my personal opinion and I believe the question is a purely legal problem.

Q. But, in your personal opinion, it is a matter of 6734

Court 1 april 30-a-15-5-HD-Karrow-Brown. quares to you that you are not responsible for what Romberg did under those circumstances? A. Yes, that is my pursonal opinion. . Now, in this question or responsibility I should like to brin up something else, or. Ruff. You signed the ons recort officially which sombers and hascher drew up. You op-signed this report, and you told us this morning that you thus undertook a dertain resconsibility for this report. I believe you seld that you took the responsibility for this report. I calleve you said they you took the responsibility for the actenditio evaluation of the results of those experiments. A. I said that I thereby undertook the responsibility for the scientific conclusions that were drawn from the experiments described in the report, the theories that the two workers pursued when they draw their conclusions from the experiments. I said that I have a with those theories and, to that extent, also parsonally take over the respon-

6735

sibility for that.

30 April-A-DJG-16-1-Maloy (Int. Brown) Court No. 1 In other words, you undertake the responsibility for the correct _valuation? 7. Yes. Q I ask you this because you were the chief of an Institution. Is it your point of view test by cosign ng this report, which two other researchers worked out, you thus take the responsibility for the experiments the salves? In other words that my co-signing this report you take co-responsibility for the experiments, for any irregulatities that wight have taken place during the experients, or any harm that might have been done? A I don't know, but I don't helieve that my signature to this report can be construed as my taking responsibility for the experiments. Every scientist knows who reads such a report, if the chief of the Institution has co-signed, every scientist knows that the Chief of the Institution was not present at each experiment and himself carried out the experiments. That is a matter of course. A Now, a lest question. It was proviously seid that Dr. Romberg had received the or worit Gross, 24 Class. The Judges probably have no idea what sort of a decoration this is. It could be a very high docoration or could be a very low one. Please tell me, is it correct that this is the lowest class of accoration that exists in Germany, the very lowest decoration one could receive? A That is true no of the year 1942, yes. DE. SAUTER: No further questions. DR. HLE: Mile for elts. BY OR. 'ILLE: Q Dr. Ruff, you said on a question from the Presecutor that without a visit from Professor with to you in Berlin it was inconceivable to you that the Dachau apportments would have taken office; I believe to have understood the mushing of your enswer, but I don't want a false picture to be created here. Now, let me ask you, you will agree with no that it was a matter of your can document that on Dr. Weltz' suggestion 6736 you arranged that the experiments in Dacheu should be carried out?

a I have described already several times how these experiments came to be carried out. Dr. Waltz was not my superior. He visited me as chief of the sounch Institute in my institute. We discussed scientific questions and came to speak of the question of rescue from great altitudes, and on this occasion Dr. Waltz suggested that the second half of those Experiments should be carried out at Dachau in cooperation with Rascher. I believe that this chirifies the question you asked.

DR. "ILLE: But it was very important to me that I should hear this once again in view of the question the Prospect a put to you.

DR. VOM ERG: Vorword for Romberg. I have two or three questions. BY DR. VOM ERR:

Q Do you believe, Dr. Ruff, that during the time the chamber was in Dachau, Romberg undertook other experiments than those on the question of resource from great altitudes?

- A I consider that to be out of the question.
- Q May I measure that it is your firm conviction that during his stay in Dechau homborg only took part in experiments which concerned themselves with rescue from high altitudes?
 - A I canume that to be practically cortain.
- I Dr. Buff, con you tall me who issued Romberg authority for his travel orders when Romberg went to Dachau?
- A then an officien trip was to be undertaken the person who wanted to carry out this official trip filled out the travel orders, then I signed then for my Institute, and then they went to the management of the Experimental Institute for eviation and they approved the trip.
- of In this case then so far as the Institute was concerned these travel orders here your signature and then were passed on?
 - A Yes.

DR. MISGRIBER: "cisgorber for Slovers.

Your Honor, I should like to ask one or two questions concerning 6737

30 April-A-DAG-16-3-Miloy (Int. Brown) Court No. 1 the relations notwoon haff and Sievers. In Prosecutor has reportedly pictured Sievers as a person responsible for the execution of a number of experiments such as are here the subject of the charge. Among these experiments are included the experiments here lesignated as high altitude experiments, the planning and execution of which are known to you. Now, I should like to find out the following: Did Sievers have raything to do with the planning and execution of the experience widen were carried out in Dechau so fer as you know? A I know nothing of that. So far as I can recall I never heard Sievers name only for the experiments were concluded, roughly at the time when this recort, Decement 402 was compiled in Berlin. Thoretofor. I had not mure the name Sievers at all so far as I can recollect. In my opinion h own h v had nothing to do with the planning of those experiments and cortainio not with the carrying out of them. Q Did sievers have enything to do with a ucing the low prossure chamber evailable? A No, pertainly not. Q Do you know whether Seevers had engthing to do with the choice of Dr. Rascher as the person in charge of the experiments? A I never heard my thing to that affect, nor can I imagine that that any heyo been so. Q Did Sievers have ongthing to do of the tore evaluation of the notes that were token during the experiments or with the properation of the reports on the coperi ente? A This report that is to be I and in Document 402 here was conplied in my Institute in Berlin on the besis of the minutes of the Experiments. New, Surveys was cortainly not present when the evaluation of these notes was uncorrecken, bec use until I reached Nurmberg hare I did not know Sievers t all, vensequently, he could not have been in my institute, in Sorlin. G I again then would conclude that the high altitude experiments

were carried out without Sievers having to appear at all?

a I have no reason to essume the controry,

before the last perception on page 2 of the decument.

DR. WEISGIRBER: No further questions.

THE PRINCIPAL: .. re there eny further questions to be propounded to this witness?

The witness haff may be excused from the stand and resume his place.

Oh. 5. Vici: Mr. Prosident, there are a manuar of documents which

I should like to subsit to you which have so for not been subsitted.

The first, I have an affidavit contained in Document Book Ruff, Document # 3, to be found on page 10 to 11. This will require Exhibit No.

12. This is an affidavit made by a cartain adult Vess — who from the year 1937 until 1944 had worked with Dr. Ruff with some interruptions, and for that reason is well acquainted with his personality and the entire situation. I should like to sk you to take notice of the contents of that affillavit and I shall only read the last and the one

I quote. Dr. Wisshofer was a collaborator of Dr. Ruff:

"Dr. 'isshofer, who was a very close friend of mine, told me in 1942 or 1943 that experiments were carried out at Dachau behind Dr. Ruff's back and without his consent. Dr. Viushofer was very indignant ebout it but he himself has no detailed information on the subject.

MI have always known and estemed Dr. Ruff as an upright and decent man. Dr. Ruff proved to be an avcellent and careful physician when carrying out experiments with me in low pressure chambers. I never felt that any experiment was bodly property, scientifically or technically, considering the seriousness of the situation. I cannot imagine that Dr. Ruff risked human lives in Jacket in a frivolcia and unscruptions manner, when making experiments in sviation medicine."

So, for the omotation. I saw you to take notice of the rest of the contents. This affidavit is signed in the proper manner and pertified.

As the next document I should like to subsit arbibit No. 13, Document No. 7, which is the document to be found on pages 24 to 26 in Document Rook huff. This is an afridavit by a certain Dr. Hans George Clamann. This vitness was an Oberrogierungsmedizinalizat at the Aviation medical Research Institute and therefore a high medical official in the Luftwaffe. I also ask you to take notice of the contents of that affirdavit. The witness mentions that he always held Dr. Ruff's oberactor in high esteem and ways that he only heard the best about his in his capacity as a human being as well as a scientist. From the affidavit itself I should like to read the following taragraph and I quote:

The far as the investigations carried but in Berlin by Tr. Siegfried haff are known to me, the overwhelming majority were carried but by him and his collaborators as celf-experiments.

WFurther volunteers were recruited for experiments only because the number of his collaborators was no longer sufficient owing to the urgency of the investigations.

*Dr. Ruff showed a high sense of responsibility in the investigation 3740

and never domanded nore of his colleagues than of himself.

"In view of this experience, it appears to me to be out of the question that Dr. Buff should have carried out experiments which constituted primes against humanity."

This affidevit, which I just read, is certified in the proper manner. The nowt document will receive axhibit No. 14, East Exhibit No. 14, and It is to be found in Document Book Ruff as No. 10 on pages 75 on.

It is an affidevit rade by the university lecturer Dr. Otto Gauer, dated 23 January 1947. This affidavit to: Is sworn to and certified in the proper manner. I attach particular importance to this affidavit because its author, Dr. Otto Gauer, was not only a collaborator of Dr. East for a long period of years but also because this Dr. Otto Gauer is now in the United States active in the same caracity as a researcher as before in Germany. For that reason Dr. Gauer has a very particular expert knowledge of the satters involved here. In the Sirst part of his affidavit the witness describes the defendant Ruff's personality and I shall not read all that in detail. This is as brilliant a characterization of Dr. Auff's character as was made by all the other witnesses.

NA. HABIT: Your Honor, might I ask counsel that this notation on the back by Dr. hark has to do with the authenticity of the signature of the affiant, if it was made at the case time, or later here in Mueraberg. There is no jurst on this document; it merely contains the name of Dr. herz, who save, "The authenticity of the signature accours to be correct." Does that happen to be a jurat, or what might it be?

In. SANTAR: Now I charify that point, or. President? Councel Dr. Marx, as you know, is representing a defendant here. In. Mary at that time, with my approval, went to be ideliberg and there took this affidavit from Dr. Gamer, which I had arranged for with Dr. Gamer dreviously. Dr. Marx, if I am not mistaken, had simultaneously obtained an efficavit for the purpose of his own client, which I do not know. Naturally, I did not make this special trip to Ecidelberg, but asked Dr. Marx to obtain this affidavit for me. Avg.

MR. HARDY: Granted, Your Bonor, the defense counsel has authority of the Tribunal to samplister as both and to certify a signature, but I morely want to know if Dr. harm certified that the signature was correct and see Dr. Samer sign it.

DR. SAUTHE: Dr. Larx vent to heldelberg for that very purpose. He went there in order to obtain an affidavit for his client and for me from Dr. Gamer. That is the reason why neither I nor any other notary could make this certification, but Dr. Marx who was present when the signature was given.

AR, HARDY: I have no objection to this document. I only wanted to know if it was executed in heidelberg.

THE FRESIDENT: The jurat signed by Dr. Larx contains no date, no place, nor does it contain a certificate that the witness was sworn. It simply says, "The authenticity of the above signature is hereby certified." As counsel says, no place, no date or any affidavit delivered.

IR. SAUTAR: This deficiency of form, which obviously is due to a mistake by Dr. Marx. I shall rectify in the future and I shall see to it that the date and place of this certificate are added by Dr. Marx.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well, counsel may proceed with the document now, MR. HARDY: Your Honor, inasmuch as Dr. Smuter is willing to testify that Dr. Mark signed this affidavit in Heidelberg and it is merely a mistake in form, I will not protest it and it won't be necessary for him t. do that work.

The PRESIDENT: The councel for the defendant may proceed.

Th. SAUTER: Thank you very much.

This vitness, enjoyed with social qualifications, Dr. Dawer states in this efficient, which he made immediately before his departure for the United States, a number of satters referring to the personality of Dr. Ruff. I shall skip the first part since it entirely conforms with statements made in the other efficients, referring to Dr. Buff's character. I shall muste from page 3, the center of the page. This is to be found on page 37 of the German document book volume:

6743

"In many dozens of experiments I had the opportunity to learn with what extreme coution and sense of responsibility Ruff carried out the experiments. His sugreme principle was never in any way to endanger the experimental subject. I never experienced an experiment in which huff carried on the strain longer than was necessary for the solution of a certain problem. In Buff's published work (Aviation Medicine 3, 225, (1939)), Montgraphy of German Aviation Medicine (in wrint), there are frequent references to the fact that he refrained from adding to the atrain after a certain problem had been solved. Experiments with very great strain and experiments of which the consequences could not be immediately foreseen Ruff carried out on kineels first, on principle. It is also characteristic that Just, after a rebuilding of the erverimontal installation on the large human contribute sivers insisted on serving we ar experimental subject himself for the first test. This attitude prested unlimited confidence in Ruff enough is employees and among most of his closest collaborature, who slways placed thorselves at his diamonal for our chically and obtained cytraordinarily exacting owner monts. It was due to Ruff's experience and caution that out of all of his manufact experimental flights and dangerous experiments, as far as I know, n t one surious socident occurred. To sum up, it can thursform be stated that haff is a highly qualified adjuntist, who is distinguished by a perticular mease of resconsibility.

the boats of the indicament against harf. I think it bigily improbable, for purely objective as well and as for paramel reasons, that any initiative came from East in this respect, but I presume that it came from the technical site (Technical Office, RIM). As far as I know, high altitude experiments were too far on the margin of his real field of interests. His whole attention, as has already been stated, was contored on the problems of high speed flying and detacault installations, the building of which he had to accomplish in the face of ever-increasing difficulties. I presume that East was called to these C745

Court No. 1 30 Apr 47-4-17-5-LHA-Machen (hamler)

Dachau experiments because he was the medical scientist who was beet accuainted with the development of the stratosphere and rocket aircraft.

As the whole problem of recome from stratosphere aircraft was a very special science, a short explanation is given, as far as seems necessary to judge the experiments on which the indictment is based.

"The problem of resous from stratesphere aircraft.

"Importance was attached in Germany much earlier than in other countries to the development of rocket propelled aircraft, which can fly at heights of between 18 and 20 km. Therefore the rescue of aircraft at height accidents at such a great height became an urgent aviation medicine problem. These problems, which first became engont with the development of the Me 165 rocket fighter, rotain a great practical importance also for the future, insofar as the development of long distance aircraft for passenger and goods transport will probably quiminate in the construction of large stratesphere planes up the not too distant future.

eabin, the so-called pressure cody in which the atmospheric conditions necessary for like, such as normal statespheric pressure, normal temperature and oxygen concentration, are artificially maintained. Outside the cabin the atmospheric pressure is so low, that is, the air so thin, that he human being could live. If the wall of the orbin is camaged, the artificially maintained pressure bursts away, and the crew finds itself with lightening speed in a vacuum corresponding to the altitude of the flight. Anglo-dexon aviation medicine created for this process the very partinent expression "E-plosive Décompression". In this way the crew is exposed to double danger.

The described covities of the body exp nd with lightening speed. Theoretically, there is a danger that the tissues may tear and other mech mically conditioned disturbances may occur; also the emergence of gas bubbles from the body folids is to be feared, which may cause circulation disorders, paralysis symptoms and necrosis.

"Secondly, the scate lack of oxygen caused by the cobin being no longer oir tight, effects after the so-called reserve interval, high altitude sickness, which finally, if the lack of oxygen continues for too long, leads to death by paralysis of the respiratory and circul tion denters. Rescue from the stratosphere is only possible by immediate descent into lower, non-dengerous air layers. The question srises here whether the speed of the pilot's descent with an open parachute or the speed of the body felling unimpeded with a closed personnel is sufficient to enable the crew to pass sufficiently rapidly through the danger sphere and to reach the non-dangerous air layers.

Court 1 April 30-4-18-2-HD-Cook-Rammler.

The aviation physician whose task it is to clarify the problem of rescue from great heights, has therefore two questions to answer;

"Firstly, re numer beings able to endure explosive decompression?

"Secondly, if so, is there a nossibility of surviying the lack of oxygen after leaving the 'plane'?

"The first quistion has been ackled since 1939 in different institutes by self-experiments."

Now there follow a number of tables which I merely ask you to read and I continue reading the affidevit efter those t bles and I quote again:

The results were from bla. In particular, the most modern investigation by H.M. Sweeney showed that the resistance of the human body is unexpectedly high against the action of explosive decompression.

"In contract to the numerous investigations on explosive decompression, the only report about which I know on the subject of rescue from altitudes above 12,000 is the DVL report by Homberg and Rescher which forms the basis of the indictment. The energode experimental expenditure which was made to clarify the effect of decompression on the human body, and which involved a considerable risk for the experimental subject, only has a real practical ense if the senond question finds a real practical ense if the senond question finds a real practical solution. If we do not wish to stop half way, corresponding experiments are categorically sided by the above named result."

I shall skip the next perserech. The witness said, so it was stated before, that animal experimentation is insufficient, and on page 7 you have the results of the experiments he made, and I quote:

3746

Court 1 april 30-a-1:-3-HD-Obok-Rangler.

The experiments were made in connection with selfexperiments on the possibility of rescue from maximum
altitudes of 12,000 m, carried out by Romberg. The first
experiment in the series of experiments yielded an extraordinarily important result. During the jump with an open
personute, the so-called descent experiment, from 12,000
meters consciousness is lost after 1 minute 10 seconds.

After descending for a further 3 minutes, the experimental
subject awakens and 1s fully capable of action t an
altitude of 8.3 km.

"This is surprising insofar as progressively severe oith oltitude sickness develops is in experimental subject is exposed to this situitude for 4-1/2 minutes. The process of the so-called "pre-methlisation" with descent experiments from situitudes lower than 12 km., apparently plays an important and beneficial role at higher situitudes. Its mechanism has not yet been existed. On the basis of this extraordinarily favorable result, the strain was increased step by step in the following experiments. This procedure is usual in experimental medicine. The experiments were finall stopped "because in practice there is no necessity whatscever to save eneself from even greater altitudes with an open parachute." No death occurred.

"The extraordinarily long periods of unconsciousness are in themselves no proof of a particularly reckless method of experimenting. An experienced altitude research worker is able to judge the actual condition of the experimental subject from the state of breathing and the cotivity of the heart, and in case of a life-endangering disturbance to stop the experiment by increasing the pressure and administering oxygen. The observer knows that Romberg

5747

Court 1 April 30-a-18-2-HD-Cook-Rammier.

The aviation physician whose task it is to clarify the problem of rescue from great helphts, has therefore two questions to answer;

"Firstly, we human beings able to endure explosive decompression?

"Secondly, if so, is there a nossibility of surviving the lagt of oxygen after leaving the 'plans'?

"The first quistion has been schild since 1939 in different institutes by self-experiments."

Now there follow a number of tables which I merely ask you to read and I continue reading the affidevit after these tables and I quote again:

"The results were forerable. In particular, the most modern investigation by H.M. Sweeney showed that the resistance of the numer body is inexpectedly high a sinst the action of explosive decompression.

explosive decompression, the only report about which I know on the subject of resous from altitudes above 12,000 is the DVL report by Somberg and Rescher which forms the basis of the indictment. The energous experimental expenditure which was must to clarify the effect of decompression on the human body, and which involved a considerable risk for the experimental subject, only has a real practical ensu if the senond question finds a real practical solution. If we do not wish to stop half way, corresponding experiments are categorically aided by the above named result."

I shall skip the next paragraph. The witness said, so it was stated before, that animal experimentation is insufficient, and on page 7 you have the results of the experiments he made, and I quote:

Court 1 April 30-A-16-2-HD-Cook-Rammler.

The aviation physician whose task it is to clarify the problem of resous from great heights, has therefore two questions to answer;

*Firstly, re human beings able to endure explosive decompression?

"Secondly, if so, is there a possibility of surviving the lack of oxygen after leaving the 'plane'?

"The first question has been ackled since 1939 in different institutes by self-experiments."

Now there follow a number of tables which I merely ask you to read and I continue rouding the affidevit after these t bles and I quose again:

"The results were favorable. In porticular, the most modern investigation by h.M. Sweeney showed that the resistance of the human body is unexpectedly high against the action of explosive decompression.

explosive decompression, the only report about which I know on the subject of rescue from altitudes above 12,000 is the DVL report by Rombers and Rescher which forms the basis of the indictment. The shorteness experimental expenditure which was made to clarify the effect of decompression on the human body, and which involved a considerable risk for the experimental subject, only has a real practical east if the second question finds a real practical solution. If we do not wish to stop half way, corresponding experiments are categorically sided by the above named result."

I shall skip the next paragraph. The witness said, as it was stated before, that animal experimentation is insufficient, and on page 7 you have the results of the experiments he made, and I quote:

Court 1 April 30-A-18-5-HD-Cook-Rampler.

DR. SAUTER: In this connection the witness says.

I repeat, this to be found on page 42, page 8 of this
affidavit, because the prosecution contends these experiments cause special pain:

"If the air pressure is decreased, the concentration of exylen in the sir waich is inhaled, correspondingly depresses. The oxygen apporption by the lungs is finally so alight, that the combustion process in the cells can no longer properly take place and the vital functions must cease. At first, the complicated work of the central nervous system is discontinued. First of all spontaneous, and self-control stato, changes of feeling similar to those occuring under lobelic intexication take place. Then follow musculear weakness, sleep and unconsciousness. In the final stage of the high altitude sickness the reopiratory and circulatory centers are paralysed as by an over-dose of narcosis. In the initial stage of unconsciousmens frequent cramps of verious kinds can be observed. unvoluntery contractions of the ficial muscles, leading to grimaces, weeding, law ning, etc. my slso occur-These phenomens which may make a ghastly impression on peo le who know noth no about aviation medicine, take their course subjectively without any kind of trouble. The danger of high altitude sichness is especially based on the fact that it causes only few impressive symptoms, bove all neither pain nor heavy breathing. It was for just this harmlessness of the symptoms that the moctors of all nations had to make the greatest efforts to convince the air crows by appropriate instruction of the danger and malignity of the hi h altitude disease.

"Occasionally, especially during longer spells in high altitudes, pains in the joints and wind troubles may occur.

Court 1 April 30-A-18-6-HD-Cook-Rammler.

But they probably did not play an essential role in the experiment put forward, since from the considerable lack of oxygen which was induced, unconscioueness resulted after in ever se of 36 seconds:

"To sum ap:

altitudes constitutes a problem of the atmost importance for eviation medicine. The experiments yielded unexpected results which were of importance for technical development.

"Secondly, experiments with animals yield no quantitatively binding values.

"Thirdly, the experiments were carried out on a scientific basis. The experiments were discontinued after a certain practical sim had been attained. No essuelties ensued.

"Fourthly, the subjective troubles during experiments with low pressure chamber in high altitudes without oxygen are slight."

Court I; Case 1 30 Apr-A - 19-1 - LJG - Blakley - (Remmler)

The witness in the last paragraph goes on to explain Ruff's cell experiments and the self experiments of his collaborators; and he points out that Dr. Ruff always subjected himself first to very dangerous experiments and then the voluntary collaborators of his institute. This affidavit is certified in the proper manner.

I offer as the next document an affidavit by a dentist, Dr. Breitag, as Ruff addibit Number 15. This is to be found in Document Book Ruff, Document Number 15, page 57. This is an affidavit of Dr. Walter Freitag, dated the 2nd of January, 1947, which was certified in the proper manner on the 30th of January, 1947.

Dr. Freitag, from dime 1939, ith some small interruptions, up
to the year of 1945, was a collectrator of Dr. Ruff in the latter's
institute. He participated personally in a number of experiments
and he knows the work of the institute very well. In the affidavit,
which I will not read in detail, he describes his impression of Dr.
Ruff's versonality, his demosnor during the experiments, and similar
matters. I will ask you to take nonce of that affidavit; and I
shall dispense with reading it since by and large it conforms completely with observations which other witness a have made of Dr. Ruff's
personality and his behavior.

As a further document I offer to you under exhibit Ruff Number 16, in Document Book -uff, page 63, Document Number 16, an affidavit by a woman, France von Guaita. She is a German national. I beg your pardon, Your Honor, this is not on page 63 but, rather, on page 52.

THE PRESIDENT: What is the number of this document?

DR. SAUTER: Document Number 13; and I gave it the exhibit number 16. I repeat, on page 52 of the Document Book, Document Number 13, Exhibit Nuff Number 16, and affidavit by a woman called France von Guaita. This affidavit is signed in the proper manner on the 27th of January 1947. This are Guaita gets into this matter because the was the head of the film Document in the DVL, of which Dr. Ruff's institute was a part.

Court I, Case 1 30 Apr-A - 19-2 - LJG - Blakley (Ramiler)

In this capacity she dealt with Dr. Ruff's experiments and often was present during the execution of such experiments. I shall confine myself to reading from the 3rd paragraph on page 1 where it says, and I quote:

Dr. Ruff and his collaborators exposed themselves first to known as well as unknown dangers. His medical colleagues, as well as colleagues from other research institutes of the German Experimental Institute for Aviation, volunteered as subjects for these experiments, especially during the war; and thus a great many persons took part in dives, among them, I myself, several times, to operate the film camera.

Dr. Ruff nimself as pilot took part in all dives which affected health, whereas we took part only once a day."

Then the last paragraph of this afficient reads;

people's lives; and I consider it entirely out of thequestion that he ever laid violent hands on anybedy."

I ask you to take notace I the rest of the contents of this

As Exhibit Ruff Number 17, I offer Document Number 12 in Document Book Ruff, on page 19 of the Derman text. This is an affidavit of Dr. Friedrich Kipp, which I am not going to read. I shall merely ask you to take notice of the contents. This Dr. Kipp for four years, from the year 1912 until the end of 1915, was a scientific collaborator of Dr. Ruff. He also confirmed the point that Dr. Ruff basically was performing all the experiments himself as self experiments and then used his collaborators as volunteer subjects. He says that Ruff was very hard on himself but just as considerate and coreful towards has collaborators. He says he was a researcher who was very considerate and conscious of his responsibility.

I should also like to ask you to take notice of the next document, 6752

Court I, Case 1 30 Acr-A - 19-3 - LOG - Slattley (Remaler)

Number 16 in Document Book Roff, on page 63 of the German text.

I am giving this Exhibit Number Roff Number 18. This is the affidavit by Master Sechanic Karl Fohlmeister, the very same master mechanic from whom this work diary originated which I yesterday submitted to the defendant Buff. I ask you to take notice of this affidavit, which is certified in the proper way.

The witness fohlowister from February 1937 until April 1945,
that is, over a period of eight years, was a co-worker with the
physician Dr. Ruff. As a master mechanic he had a special position
of confidence. He described the detailed experiments as they were
carried out. He goes on to electable the part which Ruff played during
those experiments; and I shall confine eyealf to a paragraph at the
end of the first page where it mags;

"The principle tasks of the institute were primarily the examination of the afflictions of the human body caused by any action resulting from cerial activity. Of the approximately ten make collaborators of the section, those was sere seet tirelessly experimented upon yore Dr. Ruff, worlf, and Dr. Moshofer, who crashed during a test flight. In this respect, however, the first place goes without any doubt to Or. Hoff, who always was the first to try out on himself without any personal considerations all the newest experiments. Moreover, his evaluations were always of the highest quality; for instance, these in connection with the effects of centrifugal force. amen diver and curves were carried out in the Junker 87, he, as a regular pilot, was the one who was always exposed, while we as experimental sucjects, took our turns respectively. In good flying weather, for instance, there was almost continuous activity in the air during which twelve diving and flattening out operations were generally curried out by each individual flight. As already mentioned, however, Ruff always occupied the pilot's sout and flow with each one of us. Operations of this neture have been sufficiently recorded both in pictures and documents. On many occasions I completely lost consciousbuff often suffered from nosa during touse curvilinear flights.

Court I, Case 1 30 Apr-A - 19-4 - LJG - Blakley (Rosmisr)

ocular disturbunces and severe headaches in the evening."

The witness goes on to describe the difficult experiments in which hull was always the first to participate. In particular, he describes the participation of Smif in the high altitude experiments with low prossure chambur, of cold going down to minus 15 degrees. Then he concludes his affidavit on his last page with the words: "For ten years all of us in the Institute for Aviation Medicine volunteered for all experiments, and primarily our chief as already mentioned; and we all did it only in the interests of health and to protect the lives of others, without receiving any compensation or other reward. We all considered this as understood. Once General Odet gave my chief and we an extra work of furlough. That was all, Thursfore, with regard to my previous chief Dr. Ruff, I can only say the bust with a clear conncionco. And nothing is more applicable to him than the inscription on a cup which he once jot for glider flying instruction which reads: "The doud is milent." This was Karl Fohlmeister's testimon, sworn to in the proper manage.

The next document is the Document Number 17, to be found in Document Book Ruff on page 67 of the Borman text. This will receive Exhibit Number 19. This is an excerpt from a journal -- I think it is althor dealish or an Averican journal. I have the original available here.

offuring in evidence is an article in a journal, "The Lanclet", dated December 7, 1946, 1946: the article written by Kenneth Mellanby, a man who set here in the first row, an observer of this trial for several weeks. It is abrely his epinion. This is a moral issue of this trial, corhaps an opinion of judgment in his behalf, one which was not drawn at the time of the experiments but one drawn now; and I down it inclination in evidence and object to its admission.

PPE PRESIDENT: While, Counsel, some metters contained in this proposed exhibit might be proper matters of argument if counsel for 8754

Court I, Case 1 30 Apr-A - 19-5 - L-33 - Blakley (Remuler)

the defense is desirous of so using them, I do not see that this is propurly admissible as an exhibit. It contains no evidentiary matter.

DR. SAUTER: Or. President, in this trial one can be of a different opinion regarding many issues. You know very well that I hardly submitted any exception from literature. In this case, however, I made an exception. That, because this article in a journal originates from a new who himself belongs to the Travical Hygiene Institute in London; I am sure that he is an expert of highest rank. I am sure that he is an expert who has maintained a certain account of objectivity in such subjects that form the subject of this trial. However, I shall shide by your suggestion and I shall revert to this matter in my final plea when I shall show you shat the conception of unobjectional physicians on the Anglo-baxon side on these experiments. I shall corroborate that in my final plea.

One nest document, Your Bonor, which I am going to submit to you can be found in Supplement No. 1 to Document Book Ruff. It bears Document No. 18 of this supplemental volume and will get exhibit No. 19.

This is an affidavit by dioloma engineer Point Ernet Lessor, who for sight years was a co-worker at the institute of Dr. Ruff. This affidavit was sworn to and certified in the proper way. I ask you to take notice of its entire context and I shall only read page 2 of this affidavit, the center of the page, and it reads:

carried out all experiments in themselves before they repeated them on other persons who volunteered. The work carried out by Dr. Ruff and Dr. Rubers, we well as those of the other physicians of the German Experimental Institute for Aviation, have contributed towards the solution of many problems of aviation medicine, the greater part of which until 1926 had not been solved and thereby many flying secidents, particularly those due to high altitude unconsciousness, were explained.

"All experiments corried out at the order of the German Experimental Institute for Aviation were made with persons who had volunteered."

The witness goes on to describe in detail that the

method developed by hascher and Homberg was, as he said, an immense contribution for the decrease of death resulting from high altitudes.

According to his experience also, he said, the experiments involved no danger whatspaver, and he goes on to say that he was ready at any time to subject himself to any such experiments under the leadership of Dr. Ruff. He goes on to describe the extraordinary care which Drs. Rescher and Rumberg showed towards all experimental subjects.

As a further document I am going to submit an affidavit of General of the SS Marl Wolff. This is to be found in Supplement III, taken in Lendon on 21 November 1845 and sworm to and certified in the proper way in Musenberg on 27 March 1947.

The record of this affidavit was submitted to you as a document mainly because the witness, without himself having participated in the Dechar exteriments in any way, can testify conclutely objectively about the quality of the experimental subjects. I am not going to read the efficient in detail. He first explains the research spleen of Reichsfushrer-SS Finnier and then he goes on to may that the initiator of these experiments at Dachau was Dr. Rascher - the mental originator of these experiments. And then he speaks about the quality of the experimental subjects. That is on page 3 of the original, first paragraph. I quotes

number of junior members of the bultweffe had volunteered with their own person for experiments. Now, he said, constine ago Dr. Rascher had had the idea to use volunteering immates of concentration camps instead of the valuable members of the buftwaffe which could be assigned to better tasks. Of course, favors would have to be granted to impates as a commembation, after about a dozen experiments, for instance, release from outstody and front assignment. Fo, Himmler, he said, had gladly accepted this suggests n of Dr. Rascher and had granted versistion to carry it out. Be continued that he was very such interested in these experiments and therefore that he thought it very important that

Court No. 1 BO Anr 47-A-20-3-EHM-Gross (Ramler)

I without the experiment we were going to see, in order to be able to talk about it to the Fushrer at a suitable occasion. And that is what I did. The Fushrer was very glad about it. As far as I remember, the short report I gave him also resulted later on in the release and front assignment of those immates.

"Therefore, Himmler was altogether responsible for making possible the execution of the experiments in the concentration camps, while Dr. Rascher was the executive head."

Think that I can exit the next paragraph; then the witness says:

"Right after the arrival of Himmler in the concentration camp

Dachau Dr. Bascher started with his experiments. Alous ten prisoners

were standing in front of their barrack. Shey looked quite detached

and one after the other they climbed willingly into the low pressure

chamber which was built into an automobile. Lach experiment took only

a few minutes, in accordance with the height that was imitated and with

the normal falling speed of the human body.

MAFter they had left the low pressure chamber, it took the prisoners only about two minutes until, lying on a blanket on the floor of the barracks, they had recovered from the short endurance test. After that, in the knowledge of what they had just achieved, they became actually confiding. None of them were political prisoners; they were all rightly and lawfully declared to be unworthy for military service because of a strong criminal record (thieves and such).

They emphatically assured Himmler in my presence that in this manner, after a request to get a phance to prove their value at the front
had been rejected, they at least made a voluntary, modest contribution
for Germany with their own person, and that thereby they wanted to prove
their good will which really existed. Thereusen Finnler promised then
to use his influence with the Fughrer to obtain their release and the
front assignment they wanted. It results, for instance, from the letter
of Dr. Eascher to Dr. Brandt of 20.7.42 that Einmler, in fulfillment of
his promise, decided on 14.7.42 really, that the prisoner Sobota and two

Court Mg. 1 30 Apr 47-A-20-4-EFA-Gross (Esmler)

other co-prisoners were to be released from the concentration camp and transferred to the trial unit (Bevachrungstruppe) 'Pirlewanger'.

"Had these guinea piges been involuntary, then Fimmler, in view of the inhuman treatments which in that case would really have taken place, could never have afforded to take the risk of these people going over to the energ during a combat assignment of this 'Dirlowanger' unit.

"As far as I recollect. I have gained no knowledge from Himmler or from any other sources of the fact that later on low pressure experiments, for instance, were carried out on innates on a non-voluntary basis."

The further statements of the witness show the same trend and I do not intend to go into them in detail.

Court 1 April 30-A-21-1-HJ-Kerrow-Hammler.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, what number of exhibit do you assign to this document?

DR. SAUTER: I beg your pardon.

THE PRESIDENT: What Exhibit number did you assign to is document?

DR. SAUTER: The testimony of Wolf has Exhibit #20.

I then have a number of nonuments which have not yet returned from the Translating Branch and I shall submit them at a later date. Among those is the extract of the penul record a out our witness Vieweg. If you remember, this is the witness who didn't know whether, excepting one sentence, he had previously suffered any other sentences. In the meantime, I have received his criminal record and I found confirmed what I had assumed from the start; namely that the witness already before hid suffered seven sentences, including one of five years' positiontiary. This is one of these people who are now coing around the country claiming to be political prisoners, and who offered himself here in this trial as witnesses. I shall submit this criminal record to the Tribunal.

MR. H.RDY: Your Honor, as I understand it now, Dr. Sauter has one more book that book is Book #4. That is the one we haven't received.

THE PRESIDENT: That is my understanding.

MR. HaRDY: and then now, in Document Book 2, he has an affidavit under "Ruff Publicatione", or is it supplement \$17 Does he intend to introduce that? I haven't given it an exhibit number yet.

DR. SAUTER: I have already done that during the course of the examination of the defendant Ruff. I think this is Exhibit #8 if I'm not mistaken. Yes, I think it is #8.

Court 1 April 30-A-21-2-HD-Karrow-Ranmier.

MR. -ARDY: No. 8 is another one. That is the affidavit of Schroeder. This one here has not been introduced yet.

JUDGE SEBRING: Counsel for the prosecution is referring to

MR HARDY (Interrupting): Document 19.

JUDGE SEBRING: Document #5, I think, counsel.

MR HARDY: I have missed it then. Thank you Your Honor.

DR. SAJTIR: Mr. President, this brings me to the end of the submission of my documents, and I further make the application which I have lready made in the past that we carry out nero a practical experiment, using a low pressure chember, in order to convince ourselves here how such an experiment, using a low pressure chamber, in oreder to convince ourselves here how such an experiment is being perried through and what its effects are. In Heidlberg, not too for from here, there is each a low pressure chamber. The defendant or. Ruff and other defendants have already worked in this low pressure obsober. This mobile low pressure chamber, loasted in Heldelberg, can be brought to Surnbar, without any difficulty. Some such experiment oun be carried out in a courtyard of the Palace of Justice and it will considerably make the Tribunel's tasks easier 11 it our convince itself about such an experiment. We h ve a number of experts who can be in charge of that exeriment. There is a american medical center at Halfaelberg where a number of experts are located. The infordants Ruff and Rumbers are abailable for this - Xtoricent and are ready to subject themselves to it. I h ve encived a number of telegraphic offeres from a dozen former collaborators of Dr. Huff that they would be and to make themselves available here as experimental Bubjects. Among them is this witness Mrs. Guaits who,

Court 1 April 30-a-21-3-HD-Karrow-Rommler.

parlier in her capacity as film director, had participated in these experiments. I should like to consider this my application for having such an experiment performed here and then, Gentlemen of the Tribunal, you will convince yourselves that, in case these experiments are planned and executed in a scientific manner, they are harmless and non-longerous and not painful to an extent that any one of de persons here in the court room could subject ourselves to any such experiments.

JUDGE SEBRING: Counsel, is the experiment you propose the one you say that or. Haff norformed? That one or one of Dr. ascher performed? Which one you propose to show here?

DR. DAUTER: Or. Huff's experiments, the experiments that Dr. Fuff has performed. The experiments of Rescher do not concorn us.

MR. HandY: Your Monor, it is my understanding that the Tribunal has ruled on this once.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal has ruled on this point, donying the application made for the defendant Ruff, and the Tribunal is of the same view. The application is denied.

The Tribunal will now recess until 5:30 o'clock tomorrow morning.

(A recess was taken until 0930 hours, 1 May 1947).

MICROCOPY 807

ROLL

